

# Topobathy Lidar in the Coastal Mapping Program

**Stephen White** 

NOAA

National Geodetic Survey/Remote Sensing Division

## **National Geodetic Survey**

**Mission:** Define, maintain and provide access to the National Spatial Reference System.

### **RSD Primary Programs:**



Aeronautical Survey Program Coastal Mapping Program

**Emergency Response** 

## **The RSD Coastal Mapping Program**

 A congressional mandate to conduct remote sensing surveys of coastal regions of the United States and its possessions for demarcating the nation's legal coastline.

#### • Goals:

- Provide the Nation With Accurate, Consistent, Up-to-Date National Shoreline
- Acquire Nearshore Elevation Data
- Sources:
  - Lidar
  - Digital Cameras
  - High Resolution Satellites
  - UAS





## **Support of Hydrographic Surveys**

- RSD collects nearshore topobathy lidar to the 4m NALL in the year prior to ship ops
- RSD will provide both shoreline and nearshore bathymetry
- Hydro operations will use this data to plan operations and overall situational awareness
- Increases efficiency and safety of launch and ship operations



## **Lidar Shoreline Extraction**

Edit Lidar Point Cloud





	۲ ۶
Vertical Datum Transformation Tool	
GTX Files Location: C:\VDatum	
Natum Information	Point mode
iorizontal Datum:	Input Point: Output Point:
VAD 83, WGS, ITRF	Latitude: 0.000 0.000
ertical Datum:	Longitude: 0.000 0.000
VAVD 88	Height: 0.000
iew Vertical Datum:	
VAVD 88	KesetConvert
ertical Datum Unit: 🕫 Meter 🔿 Feet	File mode
	Input File(s):
eight/Sounding: (• Height (* Sounding	Output File(s):
eoid: Geoid 2003 💌	Terrat The Research (APCTI 2 sectors as 4 sectors)
oordinate Information	C ((w) Lat Long Mainter (C ((w)) Long Lat Mainter
Geographic C LITM Zone:	<ul> <li>(ney), cor, cong, magin. (* (Ney), cong, cor, megnic.</li> </ul>
abographic Contraction p	Seve output data as in geographic coordinate Convert

VDatum





Contour Shoreline from DEM

Quality Control & Feature Attribution



## Leica HawkEye 4X Deep Bathymetric LiDAR Sensor

The most efficient and powerful sensor for deep bathymetric surveying delivers 4X the point density



Guam and the Northern Marianas



## Rota

Lidar

Lidar + MBES





#### Lidar

Lidar + MBES





## Tinian

#### Lidar



#### Lidar + MBES



#### HYDROGRAPHIC SERVICES REVIEW PAN F

2

3.501

Max (m)

1

2

20

40

80

160

500

3.5

8

(128, 0, 128, 255)

(127, 127, 127, 255)

(255, 215, 0, 255)

(139, 139, 0, 255)



"Ranier wanted me to share was how valuable the lidar was for not only ship acquisition and safety, but also small boat multibeam surveys. In typical operations, the survey launches use a safety .tif to more easily see where they have safe water and where hazards exist. In many areas in the figures they shared, the launch/ship tracklines (in green) are far from any hazards, and surveying in relatively deep water. For me, anything around 20+ meters is deep from a small boat operational perspective. With this large buffer, I would feel very comfortable both being on board a launch as a hydrographer, and tasking small boat crews to survey these areas. Having high quality lidar like this is very different and improved from how I'm used to operating, which is surveying areas with little awareness of what we are actually going to encounter nearshore, with our only reference being outdated/small scale nautical charts and original georeferenced H/T-Sheets."

"It is huge in terms of time savings and safety. The work that RSD did was awesome, and would be incredible if we had some for next year."









#### **French Frigate**















## **Total Propagated Uncertainty (TPU)**



## **Normalized Intensity**





### USACE NCMP Chukehi Sea Alaska Survey

Anadyr Anadyr Anadyr Anadyr

Point Spencer

Ser sont of

2019 mapped

2021 mapped

😧 2022 mapped

📀 2022 planned

Bering Sea

Gulf of Alaska

1.	Homer (18, 19, 21,22)	18.	Point Hope (19, 21)	39.	Thorne Bay (21
2.	Point Hope (19, 21)	19.	Kivalina (21)	40.	Hollis (21)
3.	Little Diomede Island	20.	Cape Blossom (21)	41.	Klawock (21)
	(19)	21.	Shishmaref (21)	42.	Craig (21)
4.	Port Clarence (19,22,	22.	Elim (21)	43.	Hydaburg (21)
	Point Spencer)	23.	Shaktoolik (21)	44.	<b>Mikkelsen Bay</b>
5.	Nome (19, 21,22)	24.	Savoonga (21)		Oliktok Point)
6.	Golovin (19)	25.	Gambell (21)	45.	Kotzebue (22)
7.	Unalakleet (19, 21)	26.	Akun (21)	46.	Deering (22)
8.	Ninilchik (19, 21)	27.	Cold Bay (21)	47.	Wales (22)
9.	Vosnesenka (19)	28.	Nelson Lagoon (21)	48.	Tin City (22)
10.	Seldovia (19)	29.	Gustavus (21)	50.	Chefornak (22)
11.	Elfin Cove (19)	30.	Hoonah (21)	51.	Quinhagak (22
12.	Port Alexander (19)	31.	Tenakee Springs (21)	52.	Seward (22)
13.	Coast from Lituya to	32.	Angoon (21)	53.	Lowell Creek (2
	Palma (19)	33.	Kake (21)	54.	Sumner Strait (
14.	Kaktovik (21)	34.	Petersburg (21)	55.	Point Thompso
15	Utkiagvik (21, Barrow)	35.	Wrangell (21)	56.	St. Paul Island
16.	Wainwright (21)	36.	Whale Pass (21)	57.	Pilot Point
17.	Point Lay (21)	37.	Coffman Cove (21)	58.	Chignik
974 _		38.	Naukati Bay (21)	59.	Sand Point
STATISTICS.					

Atka

22



## USACE INDOPACOM NCMP West Coast Survey Areas



- 1. 2020 NCMP Oregon/Washington
- 2. 2021 NCMP Southern California
- 3. 2022 NCMP Central/Northern California
  - and Southern Oregon
- 4. 2022 INDOPACOM Wake Island
- 2022 INDOPACOM Kwajalein Buffered area around 11 Islands (TENTATIVE)

OGEAN



8238

## **Distribution of Data**



## **Integrated Ocean and Coastal Mapping (IOCM)**



U.S. Ocean Action Plan

The Bush Administration's Response to the U.S. Commission on Ocean The practice of acquiring, managing, integrating and disseminating ocean and coastal geospatial mapping data in such a manner that permits these data and their derivative products to be easily accessed and used by and for the greatest range of users and purposes.

IOCM requires intra- and inter-agency coordination with a focus on streamlining operations, reducing redundancies, improving efficiencies, developing common standards, and stimulating innovation and technological development.



## **Predicting Benthic Habitats Using Lidar Waveforms**

NCCOS, OSU, Woolpert



Draft benthic habitat map for Saipan, CNM developed using lidar waveforms.

Accuracy= 0.534722222222222	
mode	0.063331
pearson2	0.063217
mean	0.056657
width	0.041142
auc_r	0.040452
slope_r	0.037864
sigma	0.033249
median	0.032756
SlopeOfSlope_from_Depth	0.028242
Slope_from_Depth	0.026572
intensity	0.023984
Latitude	0.023979
kurtosis	0.023952
Depth_Standard_Deviation_from_Depth	0.023751
skewness	0.021359
coastal blue-yellow	0.020591
slope	0.020217
EuclideanDistance_ReefCrest_Mask	0.020134
EuclideanDistance_Shoreline_Mask	0.018983
pearson1	0.018808
Longitude	0.016836
red-red edge	0.015746
Rugosity_from_Depth	0.015031
amplitude	0.014858
Sin_Aspect_from_Depth	0.014787
green-coastal blue	0.014645
green-red edge	0.014504
Longitudinal_Curvature_from_Depth_Mask	0.014144
green-yellow	0.013723
hlue-coastal hlue	0 012517

Waveforms (yellow box) were the most important predictors of habitats.

### Logistics





## **Questions?**

### **Stephen White**

Staff Cartographer, Remote Sensing Division NOAA National Geodetic Survey

stephen.a.white@noaa.gov