Page 1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

+ + + + +

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION (NOAA)

+ + + + +

HYDROGRAPHIC SERVICES REVIEW PANEL MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY MAY 23, 2012 + + + + +

The Panel met in the Aleutian Conference Room in the Hilton Anchorage, 500 West Third Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska, at 8:30 a.m., Matt Wellslager, HSRP Chair, presiding. PANEL MEMBERS PRESENT:

MATT WELLSLAGER, Chair SCOTT PERKINS, Vice Chair RADM KEN BARBOR LAWSON BRIGHAM, Ph.D. JEFFREY CAROTHERS CAPT. DEBORAH DEMPSEY MICHELE DIONNE, Ph.D.

RADM EVELYN FIELDS WILLIAM HANSON DAVID JAY, Ph.D. GARY JEFFRESS, Ph.D. FRANK KUDRNA, Ph.D. JOYCE MILLER

## Page 2

NON-VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: JULIANA BLACKWELL, NOAA/NGS Director RICHARD EDWING, NOAA/CO-OPS Director LARRY MAYER, Center for Coastal and Ocean Mapping, University of New Hampshire STAKEHOLDER PANEL 1: ALASKA REGIONAL NEEDS FOR NOAA'S NAVIGATION SERVICES, PRODUCTS & INFORMATION: STEVE BOARDMAN, Chief, Civil Works Project Management, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District CAPT. EDWARD PAGE, Marine Exchange of Alaska WALT TAGUE, Crowley Tug & Towing MARK SMITH, Vitus Marine CAPT. DANA JENSEN, Alaska Marine Highway System STAKEHOLDER PANEL 2: ALASKA MULTI-MISSION APPLICATIONS OF NOAA'S GEOSPATIAL, TIDES & CURRENTS & HYDROGRAPHIC SERVICES: MICHAEL O'HARE, Alaska Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Management COMMANDER JAMES HOUCK, Chief, Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard, District 17 AIMEE FISH, National Weather Service TOM HEINRICHS, Director of GIS Network of Alaska at University of Alaska Fairbanks and Executive Committee for Statewide Digital Mapping Initiative

MOLLY McCAMMON, Alaska Ocean Observing System

WILLIAM HAZELTON, Geomatics, University of Alaska Anchorage

```
Page 3
NOAA STAFF PRESENT:
CAPT. JOHN E. LOWELL, JR., Designated Federal
      Official
HOLLY BAMFORD, Ph.D., NOAA/NOS Assistant
      Administrator
LTJG MATT FORNEY, NOAA/OCS, Navigation Manager
      of Alaska
CAPT. GERD GLANG, NOAA/NOS
KATHRYN D. SULLIVAN, Ph.D., Assistant
      Secretary of Commerce for Environmental
      Observation & Prediction, Deputy
      Administrator and Acting Chief
      Scientist, NOAA
KATHY WATSON, HSRP Program Coordinator
ALSO PRESENT:
CAROLE ANDERSON, ADS-B Technologies
LARRY BISCHOFF, Holland American Line
RON BRITTON, Alaska Peninsula National
      Wildlife Refuge
BRET CHRISTENSEN, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
BILL CREGER, David Evans & Associates
JOEL CUSICK, National Park Service
JON DASLER, David Evans & Associates
ANNE DOLLARD, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
SHANNON EARL, Fugro Consultants
KAS EBRAHIM, Fugro Consultants
PENNELOPE GOFORTH, SeaCat Explorations
STUART GREYDANUS, Port of Anchorage
CLIFTON HEBERT, Witt Associates
COLLEEN KEANE, Pacific Environment
TOM LAKOSH, Public Interest Advocate for Oil
      Spill Prevention and Mitigation and
      Renewable Energy
CAROL LOCKHART, Woolpert, Inc.
STEVE MILES, David Evans & Associates
BOB PAWLOWSKI, Office of State Senator Kevin
      Meyer and University of Alaska Anchorage
      (retired)
JIM PERKINS
JOEL REYNOLDS, Western Alaska Landscape
      Conservation Cooperative
CAPT. MICHAEL TERMINEL, Edison Chouest
SCHAWNA THOMA, Office of Senator Mark Begich
```

Paqe	4
------	---

## CONTENTS Day 2 Welcoming Remarks & Recap of Day 1 Matt Wellslager, HSRP Chair NOAA's Navigation Services & the Emerging Arctic. 10 Dr. Holly Bamford, NOS Alaska Regional Needs for NOAA's Navigation Services, Products & Information Steve Boardman, United States Army Corps Capt. Edward Page, Marine Exchange of Walt Taque, Crowley Tug & Towing Capt. Dana Jensen, Alaska Marine Highway Lunch Speaker - Bering Strait Port Access Cmdr. James Houck, U.S. Coast Guard Alaska Multi-Mission Applications of NOAA's Geospatial, Tides & Currents & Hydrographic Services Michael O'Hare, Alaska Division of Homeland Security & Emergency Cmdr. James Houck, U.S. Coast Aimee Fish, National Weather Molly McCammon, Alaska Ocean Observing Dr. William Hazelton, University of

	Page 5
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	8:33 a.m.
3	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Good morning,
4	everyone. Welcome to Day 2. A couple of
5	bookkeeping things that we need to discuss
6	before we get started. Will all public
7	attendees please sign in on the sheets in the
8	back of the room? We have sign-in sheets and
9	we like to have these done so we can keep a
10	running tabulation of those who come to these
11	meetings so we can see who's actually
12	attending.
13	We're going to have some breakout
14	sessions, stakeholder breakout sessions with
15	HSRP members tomorrow. And there are sign-up
16	sheets for those in the back as well. And
17	this is going to be something that I think
18	would be beneficial for all those attendees
19	other than the HSRP members to attend as well
20	if you're interested.
21	This is an idea that's new to us
22	and it was presented to me during a call with

٦

	Page 6
1	the HSRP program coordinator, Kathy Watson.
2	We were trying to come up with a new paradigm,
3	and the paradigm was, you know, let's put the
4	rubber on the road and find out what the users
5	actually want from the Anchorage area and then
6	indirectly the nation.
7	So after she and I talked about
8	this awhile I presented the idea to the
9	Trioffice directors during a conference call
10	about the format of the meeting and we've
11	agreed to do this.
12	And the sessions are going to be
13	individual in meeting rooms downstairs and
14	there will be signs up for each of the
15	locations. They will be staffed with HSRP
16	members. The Trioffice directors will be in
17	their own separate room not their own
18	separate room, but the room that they're going
19	to be most associated with in the breakout
20	sessions which will be the Alaska Baseline
21	Data Collection & Requirements for NOAA's Nav
22	Data, Arctic Emerging Priorities, Alaska

	Page 7
1	Geospatial Framework, and Tides & Currents.
2	So we're pretty much covering the gamut right
3	there.
4	And these are going to be things
5	that we discuss for about 2 hours, come up
6	with recommendations, and then after lunch
7	present the recommendations to the HSRP panel
8	and with those make recommendations to the
9	NOAA administration.
10	All right. Getting back to today,
11	things that we've got on schedule. We will
12	first have Dr. Bamford address the panel on
13	some more navigation services in the emerging
14	Arctic. After Dr. Bamford's remarks we'll get
15	into the meat and potatoes of what we're here
16	to do today, and that's hear from some
17	stakeholder presentations.
18	We have two set up. The
19	stakeholder panels are at the front of the
20	room and each will speak for about 20 minutes.
21	We would like to hold the questions until
22	after the presentations unless you just have

Page 8 1 a burning thing that you've got to get out, 2 and we can take maybe one or two. But we want to get the panel discussions completed, then 3 have the questions and keep a flow going 4 5 through the whole thing. 6 Following a break in the afternoon 7 we'll have a panel discussion about what we 8 heard today, throw some ideas out, bicker a little bit which is always healthy discussion 9 anyhow. You know, this is what I like, this 10 is what I didn't like, this is what we need to 11 12 have, that type of thing. Come up for some 13 recommendations for NOAA and then address the 14 agenda for Thursday. After that we'll have a public comment period and we will adjourn. 15 The HSRP panel will have a 16 standing invitation to Dr. Brigham's house 17 tonight for karaoke and lasagna. 18 19 (Laughter.) 20 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: But he was 21 gracious enough to invite us out to his house 22 for a light meal and discussion. So we thank

	Page 9
1	you very much for that.
2	Okay. Any questions? Captain
3	Lowell, do you have anything you'd like to
4	say?
5	CAPT. LOWELL: I think you covered
6	everything.
7	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay, very
8	good. Well, our first speaker today, Dr.
9	Bamford, is an organic chemist, a PhD in that.
10	So that's actually quite impressive. Having
11	a degree in biology, chemistry just killed me.
12	I never could get through all that.
13	She brings a significant
14	leadership and management expertise that was
15	first honed as an undergraduate studying
16	business management. So we've got the best of
17	both worlds right here.
18	As a director of NOAA's marine
19	debris program in the Office of Response and
20	Restoration Dr. Bamford brought national
21	recognition to issues related to marine debris
22	and to the program, accomplishments that were

	Page 10
1	recognized with a NOAA Administrator's Award
2	in 2008.
3	Through her work as Marine Debris
4	director and division chief Dr. Bamford has
5	served on a number of scientific and advisory
6	committees. She's presented at a number of
7	national and international meetings, academic
8	institutions as well as addressed through
9	national media outlets which include CNN,
10	NewsHour with Jim Lehrer, Good Morning
11	America, my favorite the Rolling Stone, People
12	and the Wall Street Journal. Please help me
13	welcome Dr. Bamford.
14	(Applause.)
15	DR. BAMFORD: So thank you, Matt,
16	very much. I'm very happy to be here today
17	and be again with the HSRP. I'm so sorry I
18	missed last night. I came down with a cold
19	and so that's why my voice is a little bit
20	raspy today. So I brought some drink up here
21	with me just so I don't lose my voice.
22	I had a very good time on Monday.

	Page 11
1	I got up here on Sunday and then Monday Dr.
2	Sullivan, myself and others had an opportunity
3	to tour around Homer and other places, and
4	keeping up with an ex-astronaut is very
5	tiresome. So I caught myself a little cold.
б	Anyway, all kidding aside I do
7	want to thank Dr. Sullivan for her leadership
8	in the navigation/observation portfolio for
9	NOAA and being at the HSRP here with us today.
10	I also want to recognize
11	Lieutenant Governor Treadwell, his
12	presentation yesterday as well as
13	Representative Joule. So we thank them very
14	much for attending the HSRP.
15	I'd also like to acknowledge Kathy
16	and others who put the agenda together, and
17	particularly the Port of Alaska yesterday in
18	going down there and actually meeting with
19	some of the captains. Frank and I were
20	talking about that yesterday, how important it
21	is for the panel members to really get out and
22	talk to the community and talk to people who

Page 12 1 utilize the tools that we provide. 2 It's good to do that in a forum here but actually getting out, speaking in the 3 margins, actually talking to the captains in 4 5 their comfort zone and hearing really what they like and what they don't like. Hearing 6 7 from the captain of the Horizon Kodiak really 8 of how he relies so heavily on the realtime 9 tides and currents that we provide, the National Weather Service information really 10 allowing him to get in and out safely. 11 12 But then also hearing from him 13 things that don't always work. He's very 14 concerned about the change of bathymetry, the vintage charts in the area and just getting in 15 16 and out of ports safely. So it was really 17 good to have an opportunity to talk with him 18 and hearing from him what's important to him 19 in terms of tools for navigation but also what 20 he hopes to see comes out in the future. 21 I mean just hearing yesterday, I 22 think they said within 4 days if we can't get

	Page 13
1	goods into this port foods and goods can be
2	off the shelf. I mean, I live in Washington,
3	D.C. If there's a dusting within hours
4	there's no more bread and milk to be found
5	anywhere. So I am just so happy nobody from
6	D.C. lives in Alaska, you guys would be in big
7	trouble.
8	But as I said, I'm very pleased to
9	be back with the panel and I do want to
10	recognize the diversity of this panel. It's
11	so unique versus other FAC panels. And it's
12	not necessarily the technological expertise or
13	the leadership capabilities that you bring to
14	this panel, but it's really the makeup of the
15	panel.
16	You're not just people who
17	actually collect the data side by side with
18	NOAA, but you also utilize the information for
19	research and for doing your job. I mean,
20	Deborah Dempsey needs these products to, you
21	know, do her job safely. So I think that
22	brings a really unique aspect to the

	Page 14
1	recommendations that you can provide to the
2	Under Secretary of NOAA. So we thank you.
3	I'm also excited to hear that the
4	working groups are established since Norfolk.
5	And you guys are moving forward on some key
б	areas to really drill down and focus on areas
7	such as the Arctic as well as legislative
8	issues.
9	As you know, NOAA will be facing a
10	lot of challenges in the coming budget. In
11	2012 we saw some challenges and `13. And so
12	I'm not going to be here to talk about budget,
13	I don't want to make this a depressing
14	presentation, but I do know we'll be coming
15	into some challenges.
16	And that leads us to think very
17	strategically and innovative in how we produce
18	our products and how we work with our
19	partners. As Dr. Sullivan mentioned
20	yesterday, we're in the job of positioning
21	America and our coastal economies for the
22	future, and we have to do that with our

	Page 15
1	partners and we have to think outside the box
2	within our fiscal constraints to meet that
3	vision and to meet that mission.
4	Therefore, NOAA is really looking
5	to this panel to provide us recommendations,
6	and not necessarily 2012, 2013, but 2025.
7	Start to think beyond the next 2 to 3 years,
8	but what is it going to look like in 2035. I
9	mean, right now we're already seeing a lot of
10	challenges with sea ice melt and what that
11	brings to the coastal economy and the
12	ecosystem. But what's it going to look like
13	in 2035 is what really concerns me and we have
14	to be prepared to provide those tools by
15	forward thinking of what we need today to be
16	prepared for tomorrow.
17	As the Lieutenant Governor said
18	yesterday this region poses profound
19	challenges and benefits to our nation's
20	economy in the coming years due to ice
21	receding. But this also produces a number of
22	unexpected and expected challenges in terms of

	Page 16
1	change in ecosystem, change in coastal
2	erosion, sea level, tides and currents, all of
3	which is really going to affect the navigation
4	in and out of these ports safely.
5	NOAA envisions an Arctic area
б	that's using the best available information to
7	make the most educated decisions. And as Dr.
8	Sullivan mentioned yesterday we do have a NOAA
9	Arctic Vision Strategy that outlines a number
10	of different areas that we need to focus on.
11	So what I was going to do this
12	morning was talk about a couple of those that
13	are focused more on the navigation, what are
14	those gaps, and then what are some of the
15	things that our offices are doing in partner
16	to fill some of those gaps.
17	So the first one is vintage
18	hydrographic charting data. I have in my
19	notes here that NOAA's charting data in
20	certain areas of Alaska are vintage which is
21	pre-1970. I'd rather say pre-1900 in some
22	areas, pre-1800. You know, I think there's

	Page 17
1	areas we just haven't looked at in a very,
2	very long time or haven't been looked at at
3	all, and this is a problem. We're going to
4	have to really figure out how to fill that
5	gap.
6	For example, Kodiak, Alaska which
7	has 1,800 vintage charts up there. And this
8	area has seen a tremendous amount of growth in
9	terms of commercial and ecosystem and
10	recreational fishing along that coastline.
11	And they've seen some changes in their
12	bathymetry, which is concerning. So these are
13	areas we need to look at in terms of updating
14	our charts.
15	John, you can correct me if I'm
16	wrong, but I think Coast Survey identified
17	38,000 square nautical miles in the U.S.
18	Arctic as priority. I think it's going to
19	take about 25 years to actually survey all
20	that area. So we have a lot to do in a very
21	short time frame and how do we do that.
22	As we heard yesterday NOAA is

Page 18 looking to really partner with folks up in the 1 2 region and others to kind of fill this gap. And we heard from John yesterday that in the 3 summer of 2010 and `11 the Ship Fairweather 4 5 worked around the clock to provide Kotzebue 6 Sound updated charts. And these charts were 7 not only updated with bathymetry data but they 8 had tide data, shoreline data from satellite 9 imagery. 10 And this area is very interesting because as you get into the sound, vessels had 11 to anchor about 14 miles offshore in the sound 12 because they were too large to actually safely 13 14 navigate into the coastal area. So they actually had to bring other vessels out there 15 So with this new nautical 16 to get those goods. 17 chart we're going to have better decisions to 18 be made to safely navigate in that area. 19 And this is the uniqueness of 20 Alaska, that we really need to keep in mind as 21 we provide recommendations for the Arctic. 22 This is so different and unique that we have

	Page 19
1	to be forward-thinking in how we come up with
2	recommendations to safely provide nautical
3	charts for safe navigation.
4	Second, I'd like to talk about the
5	collaborative sharing of oceanographic data
б	and products. There's really a need for
7	improved oceanographic, meteorological and
8	accurate elevations for the area of the Arctic
9	and for Alaska. As we heard about ERMA
10	yesterday, it's a great tool but it's only as
11	good as the products it's used to run that
12	tool. And if we don't have the data to input
13	into ERMA it's not as effective as it could
14	be.
15	Observations are sparse in Alaska
16	as I learned on Monday in our touring around
17	that there's not a lot out there. We had an
18	opportunity to hear from our partners, and for
19	instance, AOOS. We talked with them a little
20	bit and we heard about the wave buoy that was
21	deployed in Cook Inlet. And I want to mention
22	it's one and stress that it's one of its kind,

	Page 20
1	but it has provided a tremendous amount of
2	information for boaters, mariners to safely
3	navigate through Cook Inlet.
4	Another example I'd like to talk
5	about is the successful testing that CO-OPS is
б	doing up in Barrow, Alaska. As we saw
7	yesterday the tide gauge. They're also
8	working with their partners up there, an
9	Alaska-based contractor to leverage their
10	experience and their understanding in putting
11	out a tide gauge that's working now under the
12	ice. And it's been operating now for 2 years,
13	is that right, Rich?
14	MR. EDWING: It's out now, but it
15	was successful almost 2 years under the ice.
16	DR. BAMFORD: And 2 years under
17	the ice. And it's now gathering year-round
18	information that we didn't have in that area
19	before. CO-OPS and Coast Survey are also
20	partnering with the Alaska Energy Authority to
21	conduct a tidal current survey for this year
22	and build a hydrographic dynamic model for

	Page 21
1	Cook Inlet to assess the potential for
2	renewable energy coming to this region.
3	And I think yesterday there was
4	some discussion on how we need to partner
5	more, particularly with industry, and I think
6	we're moving in that direction.
7	And then NGS has also been
8	collecting gravity data, part of our GRAV-D
9	project to improve elevations. And I think
10	the increase is from a meter down to 2
11	centimeters. That's a dramatic change and
12	it's so critical in this area. We're going to
13	see shoreline changes on an annual scale, and
14	being able to provide that information is so
15	beneficial and critical to producing the best
16	nautical charts we can.
17	So these efforts are just some of
18	the efforts that NOAA is conducting. And this
19	is really, truly a partnership. We can't do
20	it alone. And that's something that we've
21	seen and we've heard on Monday in our tour of
22	talking with our partners of how important it

	Page 22
1	is to bring all capabilities to bear to
2	produce the best available science, to make
3	the most educated decisions.
4	These were just some of the issues
5	I wanted to bring here. I know there's a lot
6	more out there I did not mention. But I just,
7	in terms of starting off today as you go into
8	your breakout sessions, I just wanted to bring
9	up some high-level things that we are doing up
10	in the Arctic to start to fill some of those
11	gaps. But clearly there is a lot that needs
12	to be done. And we truly look forward to
13	hearing what the panel has to say and the
14	recommendations that come out of the panel in
15	the next couple of years to guide us in how we
16	operate and how we work in the Arctic. As we
17	move and position the U.S. for the future.
18	Thank you.
19	(Applause.)
20	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you, Dr.
21	Bamford. Are there any questions in the
22	audience that they would like to ask? Well,

	Page 23
1	thank you. Oh, I'm sorry. Jeff, yes.
2	MEMBER CAROTHERS: This is Jeff
3	Carothers. Yes, Dr. Bamford, just I know
4	NGS is flying GRAV-D in the lower 48. Is it
5	currently going on here now, or is it planned
6	for up here?
7	MS. BLACKWELL: Juliana Blackwell,
8	the director of National Geodetic Survey.
9	Jeff, we have completed over half of the area
10	of Alaska as far as the GRAV-D collection
11	goes. At this point in time there is another
12	small project that is planned to try to get
13	done in FY `12. We're doing a lot of
14	partnerships with other federal entities to
15	try to get platforms and things necessary to
16	be able to fly the airborne gravity.
17	We also plan on doing a little bit
18	of work down on the eastern side of Alaska
19	along the coast in FY `13, realizing there's
20	still some of the west coast and the Aleutians
21	that's going to be a little further out before
22	that data is collected. But I do have a

	Page 24
1	printed map just to show what's been covered
2	so I'd be happy to show that to you and others
3	during the break.
4	DR. BAMFORD: Thank you, Juliana.
5	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Lawson?
6	MEMBER BRIGHAM: Maybe at the next
7	meeting we could have a brief on how the
8	Arctic strategy that you have in NOAA, how
9	you're doing with it in implementation or what
10	your success rate is for funding. It might be
11	nice to hear maybe at our next meeting.
12	DR. BAMFORD: That's a great idea
13	and we can do that. We can do that. Great.
14	Thank you, Matt.
15	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: One note. As
16	we do go through the panel discussions and
17	questions and answers, if you have a
18	discussion or if you have a question please
19	speak into the microphone, give us your name
20	and that'll make life much easier for the
21	court reporter.
22	All right. Well, we're fortunate

	Page 25
1	today to have as our first stakeholder panel
2	session a group addressing the Alaska regional
3	needs for NOAA's nav services, products and
4	information.
5	The first speaker, Steve Boardman,
6	is the chief, Engineer Division of the U.S.
7	Army Corps of Engineers, the Alaska district.
8	He'll be followed by Captain Edward Page, the
9	Marine Exchange of Alaska, Walt Tague
10	Tague, I'm sorry with Crowley Tug & Towing,
11	Mark Smith with Vitus Marine and Captain Dana
12	Jensen with the Alaska Marine Highway System.
13	So, thank you very much for offering to be
14	here with us, and Mr. Boardman, if you're
15	ready to start, please.
16	MR. BOARDMAN: Thank you so much
17	for having me, giving me an opportunity to
18	make a presentation. I have a number of
19	slides here. I promise you I will not dwell
20	on these slides. I'll rip right through them.
21	Hopefully they'll be published and you can
22	read them at your leisure. But there's a

	Page 26
1	piece of information obviously I want to share
2	on each one of those.
3	A little small correction. I'm
4	not the chief of the engineering division.
5	I'm actually chief of project management,
б	civil works project management.
7	Just a little quick background. I
8	have almost 40 years with the Corps of
9	Engineers, 33 of them here. I've been in
10	regulatory, operations, navigation and since
11	1989 I've been building navigation projects
12	and erosion control projects, flood control
13	projects throughout the state. So I'm going
14	to focus my attentions on the items that are
15	with the navigation and let's see if I can get
16	this to work.
17	A little quick history. I'm sure
18	most of you are aware the Corps of Engineers
19	in the state of Alaska, we've been doing
20	projects since 1912. So far now we have built
21	49 harbors, 15 channels with a number of
22	projects under construction right now. I say

	Page 27
1	that because that just puts pressure then on
2	NOAA and others to generate navigation surveys
3	and whatever to approach those navigation
4	projects as they come online.
5	This morning it hit me that as I'm
6	in the shower that whoa, I forgot all about
7	erosion control which is a major event that's
8	occurring for us as well. And we have
9	multiple projects going on right now at
10	Shishmaref, Kivalina, Unalakleet, relocation,
11	assisting relocation of the community at
12	Newtok.
13	And we published a report not too
14	many days ago where we identified 26
15	communities both coastal and riverine that
16	have a severe and urgent need to deal with
17	erosion and another 69 that have a moderate
18	need. I say that because we do not have the
19	engineering data, coastal data or riverine
20	data for that matter to be able to calculate
21	waves and what the currents and the basic
22	conditions that we're protecting against. So

	Page 28
1	we need the assistance of all the agencies
2	here to generate that information.
3	Just real quick, over the last few
4	years we've built projects in Alaska, Douglas
5	down by Juneau, False Pass, St. Paul, Chignik,
6	just expanded Seward Harbor. Right now under
7	construction, brand new harbor at Akutan,
8	finishing up work at Douglas there in Juneau,
9	and in Alaska.
10	Projects that we have in plans and
11	specs right now: Haines, a second harbor at
12	Haines, a second harbor at Valdez, and a new
13	project to expand Port Lions.
14	Some of the other marine
15	activities that are going on. I mentioned
16	that we, and you folks are probably more aware
17	of it than I, the lack of engineering data.
18	So we've had some success with getting some
19	green light and some money out of Washington,
20	D.C. to do our Western Alaska Hindcast,
21	getting some data on what are the winds, the
22	waves, making a model that's predictive of

	Page 29
1	what will happen along the west coast under
2	certain conditions. As the ice and the
3	weather changes of course that model is going
4	to have to be dealt with.
5	We also have the Western Alaska
6	Storm-Induced Water Level Prediction Model.
7	Working with AOOS and others I'm trying to
8	figure out what's going on in the various
9	sites that are out there.
10	We were asked four basic
11	questions. What are the uses and applications
12	the Corps of Engineers has for NOAA data?
13	Obviously we're in the business of navigation
14	and erosion control. So, we are building
15	things, we're operating them, we're
16	maintaining them. We need data to be able to
17	do that. We're planning new projects. We
18	need data to do that. This state you folks
19	are well aware of it is data-poor. So we
20	either have to generate it as part of our
21	planning process or going to our other
22	agencies to figure out if that data might

	Page 30
1	exist, whether it be wind, waves, hydrographic
2	conditions, topographic conditions.
3	So some of the things we need as I
4	already indicated, tidal data. Hydrographic
5	surveys. Tidal data, the first figure talked
6	about Kodiak Island. Kodiak as well as
7	Southeast is having an experience of glacial
8	rebound. Kodiak Island in particular, for
9	years we would go down and survey our small
10	boat harbors and say the depth of water is in
11	great shape.
12	The problem with glacial rebound
13	is the datum that you're measuring from is
14	going up at the same rate your bottom contours
15	are. So while we say it's 8 feet deep of
16	water or 10 feet deep of water, because it's
17	rebounded 2 to 3 feet it's actually 5 feet of
18	water.
19	So as part of the ARRA process we
20	were able to get some money and we did a
21	complete redoing of the datum on the Kodiak
22	Island archipelago and we're working right now

	Page 31
1	in southeast Alaska to try to do the datum.
2	So when we do our surveys we'll have current
3	and find out what the true depth of water is.
4	Some of the data gaps or issues.
5	I think that's a coordination issue. As we
б	generate information, make sure we integrate
7	it in with the greater body. Likewise as
8	other people generate, is it stored, is it
9	able to be retrieved by all of us.
10	So there's an element of
11	redundancy which is good and there's a certain
12	aspect that is bad. As we are kind of plowing
13	over the same area. Maybe we need to work
14	together so as we're working that takes some
15	of the pressure away from another agency. As
16	we're setting tide gauges or setting other
17	data.
18	Lack of wave and wind, I indicated
19	we've already generated some of that
20	information and they're publishing it as part
21	of various reports. But as we work together
22	we need to make sure that all of that is

Page 32 1 collected and we understand who's doing what, 2 where and why. Lack of tidal datum and hydrographics, those are obvious and I think 3 you folks have discussed that already. 4 5 Recommendations for improvement. Increased user groups such as the Alaska 6 7 Interagency Hydrographic Survey Working Group 8 to be able to share data. Standardization of 9 data so that we can compare and match and 10 folks look at that and be able to interpret it 11 the same way. 12 I'm not an expert here and I don't know if my expert is here, but collaboration 13 14 on S57 products. You folks probably understand that more than I do, but mainly the 15 Corps still uses PDF files rather than a 16 17 universal system and we need to work on that. 18 I'm not going to go very hard on 19 this, but I just want to alert you because 20 this is where we're going to be needing data 21 tremendously in the next few years. Back in 22 2003 we started Alaska Regional Ports. Alaska

Page 33 Regional Ports Study was to look at the entire 1 2 needs along the Alaska coast which you folks are well aware is greater than the entire 3 So we're trying to find 4 lower 48 coastline. 5 out what is the federal needs for improvement in navigation. 6 7 We also as part of that identify 8 the harbors are part of a system rather than 9 individual projects. So we're trying to work on a whole series of connections on whether 10 it's 500-mile increments, 1,000-mile 11 increments. So we've kind of broken the state 12 13 into five areas as we're trying to go through 14 this analysis, and trying to do a systemwide identification of the needs that are out 15 16 there. 17 So we've had two statewide port conferences, one in 2008, one in 2010. 18 The 19 one in 2008, overwhelming majority of the 20 people needed greater collaboration between 21 agencies. And of course the fact that there's 22 no engineering data. We need to collect

Page 34 1 engineering data. 2 The next report. Well, we then 3 got into a cost-sharing agreement. We looked at trends analysis, hub analysis, the 4 5 tabulation of needs, policy recommendations and where can you find building materials. 6 7 The second one in November `10 8 identified a list of navigational needs which is what this document is. I don't know how 9 10 many pages it is anymore, 19 pages of zero font it looks like. 11 12 (Laughter.) 13 MR. BOARDMAN: Identifying 14 anywhere from needing a port or harbor, a 15 deadman, piling, anything that will support navigation. The one big challenge obviously 16 17 is do we even know what exists there. What is 18 the beach line? What is the approaches to it? 19 This is not necessarily Corps of Engineers-20 centric, this is everybody. You know, who's 21 going to build these facilities as they become This was the entire state of 22 necessary?

	Page 35
1	Alaska and it's a monumental task to say the
2	least.
3	We also started to develop
4	criteria that if what are the needs and
5	what are the ports. What's the depth that we
6	need or information we need? What's the
7	moorage capacity? What are the infrastructure
8	that would be necessary if we were to build
9	marine improvements out there? Who are the
10	users?
11	And the congressional delegation
12	and the Governor decided let's put a more
13	focused, and that's the Arctic deep draft
14	ports. It originally was Arctic deep water.
15	We defined Arctic deep water meaning we
16	already know what the depth of water is. In
17	the case of and it's deep already. So
18	we're going to Arctic deep draft, meaning
19	we'll have to create that water by whatever
20	means, assuming we know what the existing
21	conditions are.
22	And so we had a planning

	Page 36
1	charrette. I'm just going to kind of fly
2	through some of these slides. We had of
3	course the State Government, the Federal
4	Government that were there. These are some of
5	the products. This is Ed Page's document.
6	You'll see him next trying to define what are
7	the Federal needs that are out there, mainly
8	oil and gas and exploration.
9	You can see on the right the
10	current state of hydrographic surveying. This
11	was published by NOAA as they made their
12	presentations.
13	State interests. Governor
14	Parnell's main interest is the exportation of
15	mineral wealth, whether it's oil, gas or
16	otherwise. We need ports to move that out and
17	where to put those ports.
18	Arctic geographic. Where is the
19	Arctic? The obvious is above the Arctic
20	Circle but that is not the case. The legal
21	definition takes you all the way down to the
22	Pribilofs. Well, we felt that was too big of
	Page 37
----	---
1	an apple. So the group at the time defined
2	Mekoryuk Island which is here the Aleutians
3	are down here as that's the starting point
4	and we'll work our way to the coast.
5	Define a vessel. Who's using
6	this? What size vessels are using this? That
7	kind of goes into the other one of what was
8	deep. Who was envisioning deep? We define it
9	as -35 feet. The state's now asking us to
10	look for places along the coast for 45 and 55
11	feet deep so they can compete with the
12	conditions and the folks who are moving
13	product around the world. Who are the other
14	ones? Obviously NOAA is doing hydrographic
15	surveying. Maybe they need a place to berth
16	every once in awhile for changing crews,
17	taking out provisions, whatever is necessary.
18	Life and safety, Coast Guard. I
19	saw a Coast Guard uniform here. Their mission
20	dealing with the opening of spill response.
21	Shell is hoping to drill. Others are hoping
22	to drill up there. What happens if there's a

	Page 38
1	spill? There is very little information or,
2	well, information and infrastructure out there
3	to support all that.
4	Where can you put a port? As I
5	say we're talking about 35, 45 feet. Those
6	who are doing hydrographic surveys know
7	there's not many of those places out there.
8	And generating it, digging it, we don't even
9	know what the contours are or what volumes
10	we're talking about.
11	Here's just some of the port sites
12	we're considering. I'm not going to go
13	through all of them, but there's at least 14.
14	I think Representative Joule talked about the
15	Northern Waters Task Force yesterday. The
16	vast majority of these were identified as part
17	of that process. We're tagging onto that. We
18	are now going through all of these.
19	We're using multi-criteria
20	decision analysis software, running what-ifs,
21	trying to figure out. But one of the biggest
22	things that's still missing is what is the

Page 39 depth of water coming to those sites from the 1 2 approaches as well at the site. And so someone, either we're going to have to 3 generate it as part of the study, we're going 4 5 to ask our friends from NOAA to generate it, 6 we're going to ask our friends from whomever 7 else to try to identify the information. 8 And again, this will be published 9 hopefully as part of the record. If you want to see what's going on in these regional 10 ports, the data is being posted on our 11 12 website. And I'll defer questions till the 13 end. 14 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Actually, we've got about 10 minutes. If there's some 15 questions that would like to be asked right 16 17 now we can go ahead and do that. Larry. 18 MR. MAYER: It seems clear that 19 during this Alaska Regional Ports process you 20 had input from NOAA. But is there a formal 21 process for more regular consultation and 22 collaboration?

	Page 40
1	MR. BOARDMAN: We are working
2	right now. Again, the first rounds of these
3	multi-decision criteria. Our next step, and
4	I think it starts even next week is going to
5	the stakeholders. And so we'll be going to
6	the oil industry, the NOAA, the other agencies
7	and presenting what we have. Now, yes, more
8	and more stakeholder meetings are envisioned
9	before the end of this calendar year.
10	MR. MAYER: You look at NOAA as a
11	stakeholder. NOAA is also a partner that has
12	many of the same needs as you.
13	MR. BOARDMAN: Yes, I understand
14	that. I understand that. And yes, I mean
15	right now our partner from a financial
16	perspective is state of Alaska. The
17	stakeholders we're going to engage are
18	partners in the fact that yes, the data is
19	necessary for all. And that would be the
20	process that will be going on this summer is
21	pulling more of that out.
22	NOAA was a participant in all of

	Page 41
1	these statewide and planning charrette,
2	gathering information from it as well as Coast
3	Guard, Navy and other users. So, it's the
4	start of many years still to come. Because as
5	we identify a handful of projects for
6	consideration then the real work of
7	identifying the needs for that port will come
8	to pass. This is right now just to try to
9	take this group of 14, 16, 20, whatever it is
10	down to a more manageable number of sites.
11	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Joyce?
12	MEMBER MILLER: Yes, Joyce Miller.
13	Yesterday we went out to the ships and heard
14	the concerns of the captain. And we asked
15	Matt yesterday what was going on. But since
16	it's Army Corps that's in charge of what's
17	going on at the Port of Anchorage right now in
18	terms of active projects can you tell us a bit
19	about what's happening with Anchorage?
20	MR. BOARDMAN: Well, let me try to
21	touch on that because Stuart's over there and
22	I'm not going to take away the Port of

	Page 42
1	Anchorage's responsibilities of the expansion
2	of the existing harbor.
3	Port of Anchorage of course is a
4	major project for us. I've been involved with
5	the port since at least 1981. The Corps has
6	been dredging at the Port of Anchorage when it
7	was an Army dock back in the fifties.
8	The Corps has multiple missions as
9	it relates to the Port of Anchorage.
10	Legislation back in 2006 I believe it was gave
11	us more authority. And it all kind of
12	dovetails into the physical structure of the
13	Port of Anchorage is the Port of Anchorage is
14	to deal with. And that includes the expansion
15	project that's going on right now. Now,
16	that's actually a mixture of MARAD and the
17	port and the muni. That whole relationship,
18	I'll let Stuart and the port answer that in
19	greater depth or not.
20	The Corps has the responsibility
21	of dredging at the existing port. It's the
22	only one that I'm aware of within the system

1	
	Page 43
1	where we dredge up to the face of the dock.
2	And that started, again, when it was part of
3	the U.S. Army. So we dredged up to the face
4	of the dock. Everywhere else, the berthing
5	area, dredging is part of the port.
6	So the relationship is a much
7	closer one because if a user feels that the
8	depth of water at the port is not at a
9	sufficient depth they're going to knock on our
10	door as much as they knock on Stuart's door
11	because it's our responsibility to do the
12	dredging.
13	Now, legislation we received in
14	2006 gave us other directions. One is what we
15	quaintly call transitional dredging. As the
16	port expands we are to dredge in front of the
17	new phases down to -35 feet which is the
18	authorized depth of the harbor so that that
19	phase can be usable to any carrier that would
20	come.
21	So we've done that on the north
22	end. The existing structure that's there

	Page 44
1	we've dredged up to the face of that. Since
2	it's not being used yet by a carrier we have
3	it in a I'm not sure what term I want to
4	use, but it's in the maintenance mode but it's
5	not in the continuous maintenance mode as we
6	would at the existing structure where we
7	dredge constantly during the summer.
8	We dredge it twice a year, start
9	of the season, end of the season so that it
10	does not fill in substantially and then
11	consolidate because the fine-grained material,
12	if you let it consolidate it turns hard like
13	stone till you have to stir it up. So we've
14	dredged in front.
15	We had an authority well, we
16	have an authority that allows us to do the
17	construction dredging to assist in the
18	development of the project except the
19	terminology used in the law was "we may" and
20	Washington, D.C. said we're not going to. The
21	transitional dredging, the word was "we
22	shall." We couldn't get out of that. So

	Page 45
1	that's a poor way of putting it, but.
2	We also have an authority
3	obviously to maintain the existing is to
4	take the existing navigational channel leading
5	into the port or upper Cook Inlet in the Knik
6	Arm. We have one that's 1,000 meters by 100
7	meters wide and approximately, I'll convert it
8	to English, -38 feet channel out there between
9	Fire Island and the Port of Anchorage. This
10	law says take that channel and expand it
11	against the two range lines, the entire length
12	of the range lines, as it goes.
13	One of those range lines as it
14	travels north towards the two ports bisects a
15	shoal that's been growing since 1999. The
16	Corps is a bureaucratic organization. The
17	process is go expand the channel the full
18	length of these two range lines, deepen it to
19	-45 feet.
20	The Corps' answer is we'll do that
21	after we do what we call a decision document
22	to justify the engineering and the economics -

	Page 46
1	- or not the economics, the environmental.
2	We'll have to do economics too but there's no
3	rationale, it doesn't have to be a positive
4	B/C ratio, benefit to cost ratio. But we
5	haven't done that study yet, that decision
6	document because that has to be cost-shared
7	with some partner and no one yet is willing to
8	do that.
9	So, all of the users are
10	complaining about siltation outside our
11	channel. They're complaining about the shoal.
12	We recognize that. And we're working as best
13	we can with the port and Port MacKenzie to
14	provide a workaround the shoal by surveying it
15	in conjunction with NOAA periodically and then
16	we do spot areas to see what's the depth of
17	water so that it can be navigated around.
18	While we ultimately lead towards a solution,
19	a permanent solution of creating a
20	navigational channel that'll either be right
21	through the shoal or around the shoal or
22	however it's going to be as we determine those

Page 47

1 conditions.

2	So our relationship with the port
3	is close. It's a I'm not sure if I want to
4	use the word "symbiotic" but the actual
5	physical construction is the port's. We are
6	doing a study for them on behalf of MARAD and
7	the muni to determine the conditions or what
8	happened in the construction, what happened in
9	the design, geotech that led to where they are
10	right now where they're kind of caught with an
11	unusable structure or a partially usable
12	structure. And then we'll provide that data
13	as soon as it's completed and that's hopefully
14	very soon. It's actually overdue. So they
15	make some decisions on where they're going to
16	go. And whether the Corps has a role in the
17	future is yet to be determined. Long answer.
18	I'm a politician, I'm sorry.
19	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Well, that's
20	actually very good. Thank you. I'd like to
21	hold any other questions until afterwards so
22	we can move onto the next presenter. Captain

	Page 48
1	Page, if you could please.
2	CAPT. PAGE: I'm Ed Page from
3	Marine Exchange of Alaska as it says up on the
4	board there. I first came up to Alaska here
5	in 1973. After sailing on the ocean station
6	patrols off the North Atlantic I said this is
7	really lousy, Alaska has got to be better.
8	Obviously I was wrong on that one so I brought
9	a ship around from Boston to Seattle and onto
10	Alaska. And obviously I didn't graduate very
11	high in my class. Alaska was even worse as
12	far as harshness, whatever.
13	But I've been back and forth to
14	Alaska over various assignments in my 30 years
15	with Coast Guard and became very enamored,
16	fascinated and enamored with Alaska to the
17	point where I retired here about 11 years ago
18	and started the Marine Exchange of Alaska.
19	During my Coast Guard career I did
20	work several years with the Exxon Valdez oil
21	spill as chief of environmental protection
22	during that period. And then I think to put

	Page 49
1	me in the penalty box the Commandant of the
2	Coast Guard sent me to Los Angeles/Long Beach
3	to be the captain of the port and group
4	commander down there. He realized I was
5	having too much fun in Alaska kayaking and
6	hiking and what have you.
7	But when I went down there very
8	early on I was wowed by all the traffic and
9	activity. I said gee, this is amazing. So
10	where's the Vessel Traffic Service? Of course
11	there wasn't one. The Coast Guard didn't have
12	a Vessel Traffic Service back in 1993. And I
13	realized that information was critical as far
14	as enhancing maritime safety and what have
15	you.
16	So and the same issues existed
17	then as they do now, probably now it's even
18	worse and that's just the fiscally constrained
19	environment. The Coast Guard certainly did
20	not have money to build the Vessel Traffic
21	Service to man the traffic in L.A./Long Beach
22	even though it's one of the biggest ports in

	Page 50
1	the world. And we basically found the
2	workaround.
3	And we basically sat down with the
4	state of California. The Marine Exchange was
5	a non-profit organization that has existed
6	since 1900. The Marine Exchange is
7	essentially exchange maritime information.
8	I'll get into that here in a minute. And then
9	the Coast Guard. And together we put together
10	a Vessel Traffic Service through a partnership
11	and a shared commitment, shared interest and
12	obligation towards maritime safety and found
13	solutions we all contributed towards.
14	So, in my discussion in the next
15	couple of minutes I'm going to talk about the
16	Marine Exchange, how it works with the Coast
17	Guard and NOAA and others, and some of the
18	lessons learned over the last couple of years
19	as far as in a fiscally challenging
20	environment how do you prioritize and get
21	certain things done. You know, how do you
22	leverage resources with other agencies? How

	Page 51
1	do you work with partnerships. As Dr. Bamford
2	mentioned earlier about partnerships. And
3	then information. How do we get the
4	information we need to make informed
5	decisions, smart decisions and be more
6	efficient. So that's basically what I'm going
7	to talk about in the next couple of minutes.
8	The Marine Exchange is a non-
9	profit maritime organization established to
10	provide information and communication services
11	to aid safe, secure, efficient and
12	environmentally sound maritime operations.
13	That's basically the Coast Guard statement
14	too. The Coast Guard has the same mission, I
15	just took that and walked out the door and
16	continued on in that vein. And they go back
17	to the nineteen hundreds, basically exchange
18	maritime information.
19	They're honest brokers of maritime
20	information. Originally they used telescopes
21	and scanned the horizons before the
22	information crossed the bays if you will to

Paqe	52

the shipping industry to facilitate trade.
 And of course today we've moved forward into
 radars and whatever.

In looking at starting the Marine Exchange for Alaska I realized that the only way we could pull off getting that type of information was tapping into the new emerging technologies that were coming online. Because -- and we also needed that information.

10 Alaska is a state that's more Some of my colleagues 11 maritime in nature. 12 here that have worked in support of Marine Exchange over the years who will be talking 13 14 after me who operate vessels can attest to the fact that it's a very challenging environment, 15 a huge environment, ecologically sensitive 16 17 environment and one of the most diverse maritime regions in the world for that matter. 18 19 Any kind of ship you can think of, oil 20 exploration, reduction, LNG ships, oil on 21 tankers, ferries, cruise ships, tugs and 22 barges, I'm missing some, but container ships

Page 53 1 and trampers all are operating -- fishing 2 vessels of course are operating in this very challenging environment. 3 One of the tools that we've been 4 5 using and relying very heavily on the last 6 couple of years basically is the cornerstone 7 if you will of the Marine Exchange operations 8 is the Automatic Identification System or it's referred to as AIS. And this is something 9 that has been in the books an deliberated for 10 several years for our international maritime 11 12 organization, but it wasn't really until 9/11 and the interest of maritime security that 13 14 kind of pushed it as one more reason why we 15 should have this capability. 16 And so IMO adopted the treaty for 17 requiring larger commercial vessels to have 18 Automatic Identification System which is much 19 akin to or like transponders on aircraft. 20 Basically ships are, every couple of seconds 21 they're broadcasting out over VHF frequencies 22 their course, speed, destination, dimensions,

Page1even their rudder angle in some cases, next2port of call, destination ETA. A tremendous3amount of information. Even draft for that4matter.55So this information is being6pumped out all the time by these vessels7sailing through Alaska waters. And8unfortunately because of fiscal constraints9the Coast Guard hasn't been able to build the10system to receive this information, process it11and disseminate it up in Alaska because the12challenges of the tyranny of distance and the13remoteness, what have you.1415orazy folks like I've found walking the16streets. We have about 16 people that are17pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and18build the system. And so that's what we've19been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic		
1even their rudder angle in some cases, next2port of call, destination ETA. A tremendous3amount of information. Even draft for that4matter.5So this information is being6pumped out all the time by these vessels7sailing through Alaska waters. And8unfortunately because of fiscal constraints9the Coast Guard hasn't been able to build the10system to receive this information, process it11and disseminate it up in Alaska because the12challenges of the tyranny of distance and the13remoteness, what have you.14So they if you find enough15crazy folks like I've found walking the16streets. We have about 16 people that are17pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and18build the system. And so that's what we've19been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic		Page 54
port of call, destination ETA. A tremendous amount of information. Even draft for that matter. So this information is being pumped out all the time by these vessels sailing through Alaska waters. And unfortunately because of fiscal constraints the Coast Guard hasn't been able to build the system to receive this information, process it and disseminate it up in Alaska because the challenges of the tyranny of distance and the remoteness, what have you. So they if you find enough crazy folks like I've found walking the streets. We have about 16 people that are pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and build the system. And so that's what we've been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	1	even their rudder angle in some cases, next
3amount of information. Even draft for that4matter.5So this information is being6pumped out all the time by these vessels7sailing through Alaska waters. And8unfortunately because of fiscal constraints9the Coast Guard hasn't been able to build the10system to receive this information, process it11and disseminate it up in Alaska because the12challenges of the tyranny of distance and the13remoteness, what have you.14So they if you find enough15crazy folks like I've found walking the16streets. We have about 16 people that are17pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and18build the system. And so that's what we've19been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	2	port of call, destination ETA. A tremendous
4matter.5So this information is being6pumped out all the time by these vessels7sailing through Alaska waters. And8unfortunately because of fiscal constraints9the Coast Guard hasn't been able to build the10system to receive this information, process it11and disseminate it up in Alaska because the12challenges of the tyranny of distance and the13remoteness, what have you.14So they if you find enough15crazy folks like I've found walking the16streets. We have about 16 people that are17pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and18build the system. And so that's what we've19been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	3	amount of information. Even draft for that
5So this information is being6pumped out all the time by these vessels7sailing through Alaska waters. And8unfortunately because of fiscal constraints9the Coast Guard hasn't been able to build the10system to receive this information, process it11and disseminate it up in Alaska because the12challenges of the tyranny of distance and the13remoteness, what have you.14So they if you find enough15crazy folks like I've found walking the16streets. We have about 16 people that are17pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and18build the system. And so that's what we've19been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	4	matter.
<ul> <li>pumped out all the time by these vessels</li> <li>sailing through Alaska waters. And</li> <li>unfortunately because of fiscal constraints</li> <li>the Coast Guard hasn't been able to build the</li> <li>system to receive this information, process it</li> <li>and disseminate it up in Alaska because the</li> <li>challenges of the tyranny of distance and the</li> <li>remoteness, what have you.</li> <li>So they if you find enough</li> <li>crazy folks like I've found walking the</li> <li>streets. We have about 16 people that are</li> <li>pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and</li> <li>build the system. And so that's what we've</li> <li>been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic</li> </ul>	5	So this information is being
7 sailing through Alaska waters. And 8 unfortunately because of fiscal constraints 9 the Coast Guard hasn't been able to build the 10 system to receive this information, process it 11 and disseminate it up in Alaska because the 12 challenges of the tyranny of distance and the 13 remoteness, what have you. 14 So they if you find enough 15 crazy folks like I've found walking the 16 streets. We have about 16 people that are 17 pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and 18 build the system. And so that's what we've 19 been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	6	pumped out all the time by these vessels
<ul> <li>8 unfortunately because of fiscal constraints</li> <li>9 the Coast Guard hasn't been able to build the</li> <li>10 system to receive this information, process it</li> <li>11 and disseminate it up in Alaska because the</li> <li>12 challenges of the tyranny of distance and the</li> <li>13 remoteness, what have you.</li> <li>14 So they if you find enough</li> <li>15 crazy folks like I've found walking the</li> <li>16 streets. We have about 16 people that are</li> <li>17 pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and</li> <li>18 build the system. And so that's what we've</li> <li>19 been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic</li> </ul>	7	sailing through Alaska waters. And
9 the Coast Guard hasn't been able to build the system to receive this information, process it and disseminate it up in Alaska because the challenges of the tyranny of distance and the remoteness, what have you. So they if you find enough crazy folks like I've found walking the streets. We have about 16 people that are pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and build the system. And so that's what we've been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	8	unfortunately because of fiscal constraints
10 system to receive this information, process it 11 and disseminate it up in Alaska because the 12 challenges of the tyranny of distance and the 13 remoteness, what have you. 14 So they if you find enough 15 crazy folks like I've found walking the 16 streets. We have about 16 people that are 17 pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and 18 build the system. And so that's what we've 19 been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	9	the Coast Guard hasn't been able to build the
and disseminate it up in Alaska because the challenges of the tyranny of distance and the remoteness, what have you. So they if you find enough crazy folks like I've found walking the streets. We have about 16 people that are pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and build the system. And so that's what we've been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	10	system to receive this information, process it
12 challenges of the tyranny of distance and the 13 remoteness, what have you. 14 So they if you find enough 15 crazy folks like I've found walking the 16 streets. We have about 16 people that are 17 pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and 18 build the system. And so that's what we've 19 been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	11	and disseminate it up in Alaska because the
13 remoteness, what have you. 14 So they if you find enough 15 crazy folks like I've found walking the 16 streets. We have about 16 people that are 17 pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and 18 build the system. And so that's what we've 19 been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	12	challenges of the tyranny of distance and the
14So they if you find enough15crazy folks like I've found walking the16streets. We have about 16 people that are17pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and18build the system. And so that's what we've19been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	13	remoteness, what have you.
15 crazy folks like I've found walking the 16 streets. We have about 16 people that are 17 pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and 18 build the system. And so that's what we've 19 been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	14	So they if you find enough
16 streets. We have about 16 people that are 17 pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and 18 build the system. And so that's what we've 19 been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	15	crazy folks like I've found walking the
17 pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and 18 build the system. And so that's what we've 19 been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	16	streets. We have about 16 people that are
18 build the system. And so that's what we've 19 been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	17	pretty adventurous and willing to go ahead and
19 been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic	18	build the system. And so that's what we've
	19	been doing is we're building a Vessel Traffic
20 Service.	20	Service.
21 We use AIS and satellite tracking	21	We use AIS and satellite tracking
22 and the users the system goes from Puget	22	and the users the system goes from Puget

	Page 55
1	Sound all through Alaska out to Adak, up to
2	Beaufort Sea, over to Kaktovik for that matter
3	and south to the Dixon entrance. And the
4	users are tanker companies and Marine Highway
5	System, cruise industry, container lines,
6	passenger vessel operators, ports and harbors,
7	oil spill response organizations, fishing
8	companies, pilot associations as well as
9	government agencies, NOAA, the state of
10	Alaska, all of the Department of
11	Environmental Conservation, Fish and Game,
12	Commerce, you name it, they're all using this
13	system and tapping into it.
14	And certainly, you know, part of
15	this is to kind of minimize a lot of it in
16	my mind anyway is to help save lives, help
17	save property, help protect the environment,
18	help increase efficiency. And in a state
19	where we've had a fair amount of incidents.
20	I'm sure we've all heard about the Selendang
21	Ayu and certainly the Exxon Valdez no doubt.
22	So why do we track vessels? It

	Page 56
1	provides a safety net. If someone's in
2	distress we can find a vessel nearby and it's
3	basically information to provide to the Coast
4	Guard Operations Center. They can see all
5	this information, they can make good
6	decisions.
7	Risk assessments, environmental
8	protection, to validate compliance, emergency
9	response, improve efficiency and maritime
10	security. I'd like to think back. If you
11	look at the Exxon Valdez incident or the Rena
12	or the Costa Concordia, in each of those cases
13	if somebody was watching, if they knew that
14	somebody was watching their movements at all
15	times kind of like you knew the police is out
16	on the highway with a speed gun that would
17	influence your behavior.
18	Most likely it would Hazelwood
19	would have stayed on the bridge where he was
20	supposed to be, he would have stayed within
21	the channel like he was supposed to be, but he
22	was actually beyond the Coast Guard's range of

Page 57 1 the radar at the time. So he went below, he 2 went out of the channel and the rest is 3 history. The Costa Concordia, I'm sure he 4 5 thought nobody was looking at him so he took 6 that very bold move with very bad consequences 7 obviously. And the Rena, what happened off 8 New Zealand recently. Again, a vessel that 9 went off course for quite some time. Nobody 10 was watching, no alarms went off and the rest 11 is history. 12 Of course the other important 13 thing about tracking is tracking your daughter 14 when she goes down the Yukon River on a canoe. 15 That's one of the more important things. And 16 my operation centers used to give me daily updates of where my daughter was so there's 17 18 other ancillary benefits to this thing. 19 (Laughter.) 20 MR. BOARDMAN: There's a personal 21 side to this. It's not all business, some 22 personal aspects to this thing.

	Page 58
1	And this is our operations center.
2	We run a 24-hour operations center where all
3	this data is coming into, satellite and AIS
4	information. And when I look at Alaska and
5	what we learned beforehand, this is one I
6	always like to talk about: 99.9 percent
7	success in Alaska it caused public outrage
8	because that's the success rate of getting
9	tankers in and out of Prince William Sound
10	before the Exxon Valdez. So that's not good
11	enough. It's a very high-stakes, very zero
12	tolerance game in Alaska. You really have to
13	get it right, because if you don't get it
14	right there's big consequences to pay.
15	So as I mentioned, you know, the
16	Marine Exchange and what we're doing here is
17	a shared commitment by the maritime industry,
18	government, what have you.
19	And how we track vessels, we're
20	using a variety of systems: Vessel Monitoring
21	Systems which are required to be carried on
22	fishing vessels; Global Marine Distress Safety

	Page 59
1	and Signal System, we tap into that and track
2	vessels with that. We use ship security alert
3	systems to track vessels and alarms go off in
4	our office for that matter when there's an
5	incident. Long-range identification tracking
6	is another system that the Coast Guard uses.
7	And then which is IMO-adopted. Every 6
8	hours a vessel will provide a position report.
9	Then Automatic Identification System which is
10	another piece of equipment that's required by
11	both international treaty and the Coast Guard
12	regulations.
13	And so to that end we've built in
14	Alaska 90 AIS sites and we're still building.
15	I still have people out today as we speak in
16	helicopters and float planes around Alaska
17	scoping out and building and upgrading and
18	repairing sites. As you can see, all the way
19	out to the Aleutians, southeast and up to the
20	Arctic.
21	And then we also continually
22	evaluate the coverage of where we actually are

	Page 60
1	picking on ships. This is where we picked up
2	ships in a period of 30 days so we know where
3	the gaps are and where the coverage is and
4	where we need to put new equipment in, what
5	have you.
б	And we can also monitor our
7	operations center. If they see the light goes
8	out, a red light goes on, alarm goes off and
9	then we start doing troubleshooting to get
10	them back to operational.
11	A lot of the discussion here has
12	been about the Arctic. Well, we are
13	prioritizing just like NOAA and the
14	Hydrographic Service is doing. You can't do
15	it all, you can't put everywhere, so initially
16	we prioritized saying where are the biggest
17	concerns, biggest consequences and that's
18	where the tankers went, where the cruise ship
19	went and where the ferries went. Those were
20	our first three areas that we installed our
21	AIS sites. And then when the Arctic became a
22	pretty hot issue we started installing AIS

Page 61 1 sites up in the Arctic. 2 And then we realized most of our 3 trade, a lot of our trade goes into the wilderness and serving small communities 4 5 throughout the interior if you will, and some 6 of our -- so we started populating the 7 entrances to the rivers, Vessel Traffic 8 Service. So we're constantly looking at the 9 trends and prioritizing. We don't have 10 complete coverage. We're constantly looking at what's the best return on investment since 11 12 we can't do it all at once. And how do we do this? 13 Well 14 basically, you know, the maritime industry has 15 stepped forward to say we'll help get you there. We're not using a Lockheed Martin or 16 17 General Dynamics solution where big expensive 18 towers. We're really going to lighthouse 19 associations saying can we come out and 20 install an AIS site at your pilot station, the 21 harbor office or tug offices, travel offices, 22 fish hatcheries, science centers, you name it,

	Page 62
1	and oil spill response organizations. All
2	those have actually come to the plate to say
3	we'll help you build this system. My staff
4	calls it the Friends of Ed. I've got friends
5	all over the place. If you're on the
6	waterfront I'll befriend you and try to find
7	a way I can put a tower on your property.
8	(Laughter.)
9	CAPT. PAGE: And this is an
10	example of one of our sites. This is our
11	little 30-foot landing craft that we put 3,000
12	miles on last year running around. And we'll
13	put a couple tons of concrete bags and
14	batteries and solar panels and wind
15	generators, what have you, and then we'll
16	build a site such as this that's looking down
17	at Dixon entrance and watching vessels in the
18	Canadian and the U.S. border and sends the
19	information back to our operations center
20	which goes into the Coast Guard system.
21	Here's another system we've built
22	at Cape St. Elias, another island lighthouse

	Page 63
1	that's a lighthouse association that we built.
2	This is another example of one we built and we
3	actually took a sailboat and sailed down the
4	coast with a crew and built the station. And
5	this is basically where we put the antenna and
6	power satellite. Now we're looking at tankers
7	that's 224 miles offshore. We're tracking
8	ships coming down the coast.
9	And this is what I do. I catch
10	the fish and I run around with my head cut off
11	like delivering sonar buoys to the guys.
12	(Laughter.)
13	CAPT. PAGE: People say what do
14	you do when this all happens? I say well, I
15	catch fish and whatever.
16	And also, our system allows us to
17	see Russia from my backyard which I think is
18	the expression that Sarah Palin used. And she
19	said when I'm with Ed and his iPad I can see
20	Russia I think is what she really said. They
21	cut her off. Katie Couric didn't let her
22	finish the sentence I think.

	Page 64
1	So, we've also of course used it
2	for search and rescue and emergency response.
3	The Selendang Ayu is the classic example where
4	we've used a system to track the vessel even
5	as it's unfolding then later on an oil spill
б	response tracking the vessels to ensure
7	they're optimally located position and
8	maximizing the return.
9	Other incidents happen. This is a
10	vessel that lost power that were identified.
11	Something was an anomaly, it didn't make
12	sense. It was going backwards a couple knots.
13	Talked to the Coast Guard and sure enough she
14	called and said they had some problems. A tug
15	was dispatched but fortunately she got herself
16	underway because she's far enough offshore
17	that she had time to actually repair the
18	problem.
19	But we could track the whole
20	thing, the rescue tug coming out to assist it
21	and the vessel in distress and locate tugs.
22	Pick on the screen where's the closest tug,

	Page 65
1	where can we find it, where is it right now
2	and what have you. So it's a tool that can be
3	used in emergency response.
4	I'd also use to identify what went
5	wrong if there is a casualty what went
6	wrong. In this particular case, a cruise ship
7	ran aground and hit this rock and then
8	continued on, and then called the Coast Guard
9	and said I hit a submerged object. Well, it
10	was a latter date action on that thing.
11	Environmental protection, remind the cruise
12	ships of where they're going.
13	The nexus to the Hydrographic
14	Service which Captain Lowell knows and Matt
15	knows is that and I think that my analogy
16	would be like a university where they put a
17	couple of sidewalks down and then they watch
18	where the students really walk and then that's
19	where they go ahead and put another sidewalk.
20	So when they cut across or what have you. And
21	so what this does is give you an idea of where
22	ships are really going. So we're going to

Page 66 1 prioritize, maybe you want to see where the 2 ships are going. And this has to do with monitoring 3 environmental compliance. This is also --4 5 alarms go off. If a vessel's in the wrong area or going too fast alarms go off in the 6 7 operation center, outside traffic lanes, 8 whatever. So we can -- these things are ways 9 that you can monitor compliance with the 10 various safety measures in place, areas to be avoided, what have you. And we can 11 12 demonstrate compliance when it's happening. If it's not then we can take other measures or 13 the Coast Guard can take other measures to 14 15 help improve compliance. But here's a traffic analysis that 16 17 NOAA has had a lot of interest in the past as well as the Corps of Engineers where we can 18 19 play back this data we come up with and see 20 the density of traffic. We can say which 21 vessels are actually going -- color-coded by 22 which vessels are going where. This is Cook

	Page 67
1	Inlet. This is the detail. We can play with
2	Excel spreadsheets. Do you want to just see
3	tankers? Do you want to see what kind of
4	vessel do you want to see, basically, and what
5	information, the destination or the type of
6	ship or the size of the ship, whatever. It
7	can sort it in different ways.
8	The Bering Strait, this is a slide
9	that shows up periodically in several
10	presentations. A lot of people are interested
11	in this new traffic that really didn't exist
12	a couple of years ago. And where are the
13	vessels going. And where if we're going to
14	survey charts and I've got calls from the
15	Fairweather XO saying hey Ed, can I get some
16	information from you. We're up here in the
17	Bering Strait. I'll send some pictures of me
18	kayaking off Diomede Islands, just rub it in
19	a little bit. And but anyway, and I
20	begrudgingly give him information even though
21	he kind of made me wish I was there.
22	But I also might add that NOAA was

	Page 68
1	bumping up the numbers a little bit. I don't
2	think deliberately obviously, but we're
3	looking at transits. We took a line and said
4	what are the transit, where are they going,
5	where's the density of transits and we came up
6	with 568 transits of which a couple hundred
7	were the Fairweather alone in their little
8	boats. They were going back and forth
9	surveying.
10	(Laughter.)
11	CAPT. PAGE: So we kind of rule
12	them out and said sorry, it's 338. So nice
13	job there, Captain, but we caught you on that
14	one.
15	We're also seeing ships that we
16	never even knew existed or transit through our
17	waters. And this is an example of a tanker.
18	And we have a couple of examples of tankers
19	coming that sail from San Francisco and then
20	going to Tuktoyaktuk, a Canadian town east of
21	Prudhoe Bay and what have you, and delivering
22	oil. And so where are they going and who are

Page 69 these vessels again? And this is information 1 2 we didn't have a couple of years ago quite Technology has changed the 3 honestly. information we have to make good decisions on. 4 5 So it's good and it's bad because your work changes. We have more information, you have 6 7 something to do then. But in any case having 8 the information is best because you can focus 9 your efforts on it. 10 And this is an example of vessels transiting up and down the North Slope and 11 12 seeing where they're going and kind of seeing what the nature of the trade is. And this is 13 14 off of Barrow. This is the traffic off of 15 Barrow and they color-code the type of ships 16 and what have you and where they're going. And then the density. Where are most of them 17 18 going? Where are they -- how close to shore 19 are they? 20 So these are all analytical tools 21 that we have that we've used to working with 22 the Coast Guard and NOAA and the state of

	Page 70
1	Alaska and other environmental agencies, what
2	have you, to kind of get better information,
3	to be more efficient and focus in your
4	efforts.
5	This is not a drunken sailor, by
6	the way, this is a guy simply working his way
7	through ice. So this is the other interesting
8	things you see is that people are navigating
9	through the area.
10	One of the things that we're
11	moving into migrating now is that once we have
12	our AIS stations we're starting to hang on
13	weather sensors and even testing digital
14	sector calling for search and rescue
15	capabilities that receive those signals which
16	aren't, again, that equipment isn't available
17	up in the Arctic and many other places in
18	Alaska.
19	And we're also doing some field
20	tests and we're going to have the ability to,
21	once we get Coast Guard approval, to push out
22	data, weather data, safety data, even tidal

	Page 71
1	data, realtime tidal data over AIS frequencies
2	so they can just digitally see that on our AIS
3	receiver and not have to listen to a long
4	broadcast or what have you, or dig for it. So
5	we're working on that to again further
б	increase the benefit of some of these tools.
7	And this is the Dixon entrance.
8	It's another area that's emerging. I don't
9	think it's been discussed at all here, but
10	there's been a lot of concern by some parties,
11	certainly the Coast Guard is looking at this
12	very hard because this entrance is starting to
13	heat up. This is the southern border of
14	Alaska. Because Prince Rupert, a Canadian
15	port, is starting to bring in oil into there
16	in container ships and what have you. So,
17	basically there was no shipping at all out of
18	Prince Rupert a couple of years ago except
19	fishing vessels. Now we're seeing large
20	container ships and support vessels and then
21	soon possibly tankers and right, going through
22	basically our waters or shared waters right

Page 72 1 next to Alaska. 2 So these are some of the things that we're using, tools that we're using to 3 get information. I look at this in a macro 4 5 perspective. You look at the bottom, we're using a variety of tools to bring in 6 7 information. Global Marine Distress Signaling 8 System, Ship Security Alert Systems, AIS, 9 Vessel Monitoring System for fishing vessels. And we like to refer to this as Alaska 10 Maritime Safety Net which has many other 11 12 benefits of course. 13 And then we can disseminate that 14 data on a need-to-know basis to local 15 authorities, NOAA, DoD, whatever, with no 16 restriction to essentially the government if you will as far as access to data and some 17 restrictions to the others in the community. 18 19 Obviously some people could use 20 this to, you know, target vessels if you will 21 or cause some harm so we are sensitive to 22 And basically if you're a stakeholder, that.
	Page 73
1	you're operating vessels and you're on our
2	team and you have access to it.
3	But everyone pays. The only way
4	we keep the lights on because we're a non-
5	profit, and I have 16 mouths to feed and I've
б	got 90 AIS sites and I've got my internet
7	bill alone is about \$150,000 a year, because
8	I've got internet with everybody out there, is
9	that everyone who accesses the system has to
10	pay into the system to contribute to the
11	success.
12	So in summary, I see shared
13	objectives of the Coast Guard, NOAA, state and
14	local communities, the marine industry, all.
15	They have good information, good charting
16	information. The safe maritime operations and
17	one of the tools is this vessel tracking data
18	that can help you focus where you need to go.
19	The assessment here is can identify.
20	There's certainly a risk assessment component
21	as far as which vessels do we need cause
22	the greatest harm and how do we want to make

	Page 74
1	sure those are done well, safely. And lastly,
2	the efficiency of maritime operations is
3	important.
4	And seeing that many of you I'm
5	hearing are from the D.C. area and are proud
6	of, if you will, the traffic jams that you
7	have and like to say yours is worse than New
8	York or L.A. or what have you. I want you to
9	know that even in Juneau where I reside that
10	we also have heavy traffic in the morning.
11	This is what we refer to as heavy traffic in
12	Juneau. So with whales harassing people as
13	I'm going to work after camping on the island
14	for the night. So, you're not the only ones
15	with heavy traffic. We have our own heavy
16	traffic.
17	(Laughter.)
18	CAPT. PAGE: That's my story, I'm
19	sticking to it. Thank you.
20	(Applause.)
21	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you, that
22	was interesting. Would you rather be there in

Г

Page 75 1 Long Beach kayaking? 2 CAPT. PAGE: Where I'd rather be? 3 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Yes. Keep in 4 mind where you're speaking. 5 CAPT. PAGE: (Speaking offmicrophone.) 6 7 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Understood. 8 Thank you. The next speaker is Walt Tague 9 with Crowley Tug & Towing. 10 MR. TAGUE: Good morning, everybody. I appreciate the opportunity to 11 12 speak to you guys. I pulled a presentation 13 that I've used in the past here to educate 14 captains of the port as they've rotated 15 through Alaska here. I've been working in western 16 17 Alaska specifically with the petroleum 18 industry or petroleum distribution for the 19 last 6 years, and prior to that I was in the 20 escort response system over in Valdez. 21 Crowley has been operating in Alaska for close 22 to 6 years now and they've been involved in

	Page 76
1	the transportation of goods and supplies to
2	the western Alaska villages as well as sea
3	lifts for the Arctic Slope production and even
4	the Red Dog Mine type of exploration there.
5	I put this slide show together
6	originally as I said to educate captains of
7	the port on kind of what we do up here so
8	they're not quite as alarmed when we call them
9	in the middle of the night and say we have a
10	vessel aground.
11	In the past requirements for
12	reporting serious marine incidences included
13	groundings, both intentional and
14	unintentional. And as you'll see in these
15	slides due to the lack of charting and basic
16	knowledge of the coastline it is almost
17	impossible not to run a vessel aground in some
18	of these locations.
19	So, this is a good example of what
20	we do. This is an old Aputco barge that
21	Crowley ran in the Arctic. This is what the
22	beach can turn into in Barrow. And this is an

	Page 77
1	example of a typical Alaskan port facility.
2	So that is Barrow's port.
3	Lack of tide datum. There are
4	just some villages that exist out here that
5	don't get the recognition that the current
6	economic projects in the Arctic or mining
7	projects in Bristol Bay or the Kuskokwim River
8	get. But all these villages rely on safe,
9	reliable and efficient transportation.
10	One of the common complaints of
11	the people in communities in western Alaska is
12	the high price of transportation. And the
13	reason is most of them require up to three
14	transfers of product to get to the final
15	destination. You might have to put it on a
16	ship, then transfer it to a barge, and then
17	transfer it to another barge that has the
18	capability to get into the vessel.
19	This picture here is of Newtok
20	which is currently in the process of moving.
21	But this is the navigation channel into
22	Newtok. It is, like many villages, subject to

	Page 78
1	wind and tide. You don't go into Newtok
2	obviously on a low tide. And if the wind's
3	blowing the wrong way, and it often does for
4	several days, you may be in this type of a
5	situation for awhile. So, it adds days and
6	cost to the end user who is the village.
7	Channels and crossings change from
8	year to year. There are many villages that
9	are located on rivers and river deltas that
10	have some obviously unique challenges. These
11	channels literally can change from week to
12	week, year to year, and even storm to storm.
13	This is the channel at Hooper Bay
14	where again the water comes in with the tide
15	and can be wind-assisted or abated by the
16	wind. And you typically bump the whole way in
17	here. It's not a wide channel and it's often
18	restricted by the locals' fishing skiffs. And
19	it takes some special equipment to get in and
20	out of.
21	Like I said that the port
22	facilities in most of these villages are non-

	Page 79
1	existent. We have been working with the Corps
2	of Engineers to try and help and improve some
3	of these sites, at least to give, as I said,
4	deadmen a place to tie off to. You can see on
5	some of the river locations there's at least
6	some trees you can tie off to, but this is
7	river delta tundra and there's just nothing
8	here but the mud to lay in, so.
9	MEMBER DIONNE: So you just wait
10	for the tide to lift your boat up?
11	MR. TAGUE: Yes, basically.
12	MEMBER DIONNE: These are all just
13	naturally formed channels. There's no
14	MR. TAGUE: Naturally formed, yes.
15	And actually what's kind of unique is these
16	villages used to be somewhat nomadic. They
17	were fishing villages or fishing locations.
18	Some are fish camps. And somewhere in the
19	past somebody decided to put up a building and
20	there sprung a community that needed fuel and
21	goods and services.
22	So, in a lot of these places they

Page 80 were originally located on a cut bank. 1 The 2 cut bank cut into the river, into the village and the village has moved since. Or if you're 3 on the opposite side of the cut bank the water 4 5 went away from you, you know, and it got harder and harder, and further and further to 6 7 move the products and keep the vessels further 8 offshore. 9 This is Diomede and I put this in 10 This, looking at the second picture here. There just isn't a port facility and 11 here. 12 there's really nothing to do but float offshore and run a hose in and hope the swell 13 14 is not too bad to knock you out of there. And 15 to also show that you really can't see Russia 16 from Diomede. It's usually foggy. 17 (Laughter.) MR. TAGUE: 18 And here's an example 19 of, again, a village that's located -- this is 20 Gambell where you can't get close enough even 21 with our shallow draft tug and barges. And 22 you have to run a hose offshore to shore, and

	Page 81
1	then approximately 800 feet up to the marine
2	header which is safely tucked away from ice
3	and the hazards that exist in the wintertime.
4	Alaska has a short, intense season
5	that's subject to freeze-up. On the Kuskokwim
6	for example we have about a 150-day season.
7	On the Kobuk River and then the Kotzebue area
8	we typically run about 125 days. And those
9	regions take, you know, up to 3 million
10	gallons of fuel to some of the outlying
11	villages. And if you can only take it 100,000
12	gallons at a time it's quite a few trips. So
13	every trip is vital and every day is vital.
14	And it typically happens every year, a village
15	will not get their fuel because there's not
16	enough water or the planning in getting the
17	fuel to the village was too late for the
18	weather to set in.
19	So this is a transit in Cook
20	Inlet. This can typically happen overnight.
21	You can go from a 40 degree day to wake up and
22	have yourself completely surrounded by ice.

	Page 82
1	And it is, again, not necessarily a
2	hydrographic function but the reasons a lot of
3	these, we end up working late into the season
4	is because we get stuck in places. There's
5	not enough information on the whole Alaska
6	coast to be able to deliver efficiently.
7	There are some villages again that
8	one of the Coast Guard requirements is to
9	report whenever you block a channel. And in
10	some cases the channel's only a boat-width
11	wide or a barge-length wide. And to coin a
12	fishing phrase, this would be getting corked
13	off. You may we all try and time ourselves
14	to be at a village at the highest point of the
15	water.
16	For example, White Mountain,
17	there's about two tides in the summer where
18	you can get a barge into White Mountain and
19	you don't want to be second in line because
20	the guy who goes in front of you is going to
21	most likely knock around the channel and upset
22	it a little bit. But the other issue is that

	Page 83
1	he's going to be in there for the whole tide
2	cycle and you're going to miss out.
3	An example of a dock out in
4	Bristol Bay where the dock is above the low
5	water line. And it requires multiple transits
6	in and out in order to get a full barge load
7	off. And the other unique aspect of these is
8	the mud is all slope. So even if you have a
9	barge that's built where it can stay on, you
10	know, and actually ground out safely there's
11	always the potential that the barge can slide
12	down the channel which can get kind of scary
13	for the guys on the barge.
14	And then finally there's some
15	unique locations that require tidal plan
16	transits. Togiak Bay is a good example and
17	Goodnews Bay are examples where it may take
18	you more than one tide to get across the tidal
19	flat. And each time the water goes out your
20	vessel lays aground in the mud.
21	None of these, by the way, are
22	emergencies. This is just a typical day in

1 western Alaska. 2 I took an Alaskan Native cultural awareness training last summer that our 3 4 company sponsored. And during the 5 presentation, Warren Anderson taught it here at the Alaskan Heritage Center. He talked 6 7 about hunting and the cultural importance of 8 hunting in western Alaska and just the process 9 of planning these out. And I thought it would 10 be applicable to how we use all our hydrographic and weather data. 11 12 Basically on almost an hourly 13 basis we're checking weather, satellite 14 information, and we're always appreciative of the new chart information that Matt slides us 15 16 when they become available to plan our 17 logistics and to try and achieve the biggest economies of scale for each load that we can. 18 19 And so we use your navigational suite 20 basically on the NOAA site bouncing from 21 Weather to NIMA sites, et cetera, to gather 22 information.

	Page 85
1	This is an example. Right now
2	Bristol Bay has actually, this ice band has
3	kind of moved off a little bit. But we have
4	deliveries currently in Nelson Lagoon, Port
5	Moller and Port Heiden. And each of these
6	were affected by the ice that came down,
7	packed in onshore and each of these locations
8	required their fuel for in Nelson Lagoon it
9	was for hunting. That's their economy. They
10	needed their fuel by May 10th and we were able
11	to get there May 21st. Port Heiden has a
12	large project going on. I believe they're
13	cleaning up contaminated dirt there. And they
14	were projected to start their projects April
15	25th and were iced out until just yesterday.
16	So it can have a huge impact on the planning
17	obviously when companies are investing large
18	amounts of money into the projects out there.
19	Commonly used tools. Again for
20	the hydrographic guys this is the Coast
21	Pilot contains a lot of information on these
22	ports, but what we do know is a lot of it is

Page 86 1 passed from boat to boat. Information is 2 passed boat to boat and not necessarily through a documented means like a Coast Pilot. 3 4 And to give Matt credit he showed up at a 5 captains meeting and sat through all of my 6 spiel to my vessel crews, but to interview our 7 captains and talk to them about relaying 8 information and advising them on what tools he has in his websites. 9 10 This is Port Clarence and this was 11 actually pretty timely for us. We bring 12 tankers into Port Clarence, typically as Crowley, and lighter them. This information -13 14 - and most of the charts in western Alaska, the majority of them are no larger than 1 to 15 16 50,000 on a scale. So by no means pilotage 17 charts. And even in this case bringing --18 having an Alaska Marine pilot onboard to 19 doesn't do you a whole lot of good. We bring 20 them out, we board them on the ship and he 21 asks us where should I go, you know. So those 22 types of things.

1	
	Page 87
1	We do know where to go, I'm not
2	trying to scare you, but we use this type of
3	information to pinpoint deep draft anchorages
4	where we can conduct our operations safely.
5	And obviously the guys on the
6	vessels, you know, right now the realtime
7	stuff they get is weather faxes and NAVTEX
8	forecasts. And you know, unless you're a
9	trained meteorologist a lot of this stuff
10	isn't, you know, it's not real useful to you.
11	And you know, I have to admit there are
12	probably a lot of mariners sailing out west
13	that have no idea what these things mean
14	except that that's a big storm and a really
15	big storm.
16	(Laughter.)
17	MR. TAGUE: And I'm going to
18	anchor, you know.
19	But some of the things that we've
20	been made aware of are your LiDAR hydrographic
21	surveys. In the Kuskokwim River that sounds
22	very promising. Each year and by the way

	Page 88
1	the pilots for the Kuskokwim River no longer
2	exist. The last one, Ron Sumstad, retired
3	last year.
4	And there isn't an official pilot
5	for the Kuskokwim River, yet Bethel is
6	probably the largest hub in western Alaska and
7	relies on basic passing of knowledge back and
8	forth between tugs and barges that have gone
9	through and what the latest makeup of the
10	channel is. The Kuskokwim River also is
11	fickle in that which way the wind blows and
12	how much the rain the river has gotten all
13	make a difference in what you can bring in
14	over the river crossings there.
15	And you know, we've heard
16	promising tales of realtime data being, you
17	know, water datum that our mariners could be
18	made aware of. And it would be just as useful
19	and probably more useful than weather charts
20	and NAVTEXs.
21	I think that's the end of my
22	presentation there. So I thank you very much

Г

Page 89 and I'll look forward to questions. (Applause.) CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thanks, Walt. Due to time constraints I think we'll actually honor some questions afterwards. It sounds like it's creative engineering with the tug driving in your neck of the woods. I'm surprised some haven't been stuck in the silt and weren't able to lift off when the tides came in and have to suffer through some of that. Dur next speaker is Mark Smith with Vitus Marine. MR. SMITH: The name is after Vitus Bering. CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He was Danish and the proper pronunciation is Vitus. CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus. MR. SMITH: However, because we are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.		
1       and I'll look forward to questions.         2       (Applause.)         3       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thanks, Walt.         4       Due to time constraints I think we'll actually         5       honor some questions afterwards. It sounds         6       like it's creative engineering with the tug         7       driving in your neck of the woods. I'm         8       surprised some haven't been stuck in the silt         9       and weren't able to lift off when the tides         10       came in and have to suffer through some of         11       that.         12       Our next speaker is Mark Smith         13       with Vitus Marine.         14       MR. SMITH: The name is after         15       Vitus Bering.         16       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.         17       MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He         18       was Danish and the proper pronunciation is         19       Vitus.         20       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.         21       MR. SMITH: However, because we         22       are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.		Page 89
2       (Applause.)         3       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thanks, Walt.         4       Due to time constraints I think we'll actually         5       honor some questions afterwards. It sounds         6       like it's creative engineering with the tug         7       driving in your neck of the woods. I'm         8       surprised some haven't been stuck in the silt         9       and weren't able to lift off when the tides         10       came in and have to suffer through some of         11       that.         12       Our next speaker is Mark Smith         13       with Vitus Marine.         14       MR. SMITH: The name is after         15       Vitus Bering.         16       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.         17       MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He         18       was Danish and the proper pronunciation is         19       Vitus.         20       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.         21       MR. SMITH: However, because we         22       are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.	1	and I'll look forward to questions.
3       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thanks, Walt.         4       Due to time constraints I think we'll actually         5       honor some questions afterwards. It sounds         6       like it's creative engineering with the tug         7       driving in your neck of the woods. I'm         8       surprised some haven't been stuck in the silt         9       and weren't able to lift off when the tides         10       came in and have to suffer through some of         11       that.         12       Our next speaker is Mark Smith         13       with Vitus Marine.         14       MR. SMITH: The name is after         15       Vitus Bering.         16       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.         17       MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He         18       was Danish and the proper pronunciation is         19       Vitus.         20       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.         21       MR. SMITH: However, because we         22       are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.	2	(Applause.)
4       Due to time constraints I think we'll actually         5       honor some questions afterwards. It sounds         6       like it's creative engineering with the tug         7       driving in your neck of the woods. I'm         8       surprised some haven't been stuck in the silt         9       and weren't able to lift off when the tides         10       came in and have to suffer through some of         11       that.         12       Our next speaker is Mark Smith         13       with Vitus Marine.         14       MR. SMITH: The name is after         15       Vitus Bering.         16       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.         17       MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He         18       was Danish and the proper pronunciation is         19       Vitus.         20       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.         21       MR. SMITH: However, because we         22       are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.	3	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thanks, Walt.
5       honor some questions afterwards. It sounds         6       like it's creative engineering with the tug         7       driving in your neck of the woods. I'm         8       surprised some haven't been stuck in the silt         9       and weren't able to lift off when the tides         10       came in and have to suffer through some of         11       that.         12       Our next speaker is Mark Smith         13       with Vitus Marine.         14       MR. SMITH: The name is after         15       Vitus Bering.         16       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.         17       MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He         18       was Danish and the proper pronunciation is         19       Vitus.         20       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.         21       MR. SMITH: However, because we         22       are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.	4	Due to time constraints I think we'll actually
<ul> <li>like it's creative engineering with the tug</li> <li>driving in your neck of the woods. I'm</li> <li>surprised some haven't been stuck in the silt</li> <li>and weren't able to lift off when the tides</li> <li>came in and have to suffer through some of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>Our next speaker is Mark Smith</li> <li>with Vitus Marine.</li> <li>MR. SMITH: The name is after</li> <li>Vitus Bering.</li> <li>CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.</li> <li>MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He</li> <li>was Danish and the proper pronunciation is</li> <li>Vitus.</li> <li>CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.</li> <li>MR. SMITH: However, because we</li> <li>are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.</li> </ul>	5	honor some questions afterwards. It sounds
7       driving in your neck of the woods. I'm         8       surprised some haven't been stuck in the silt         9       and weren't able to lift off when the tides         10       came in and have to suffer through some of         11       that.         12       Our next speaker is Mark Smith         13       with Vitus Marine.         14       MR. SMITH: The name is after         15       Vitus Bering.         16       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.         17       MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He         18       was Danish and the proper pronunciation is         19       Vitus.         20       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.         21       MR. SMITH: However, because we         22       are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.	6	like it's creative engineering with the tug
<ul> <li>8 surprised some haven't been stuck in the silt</li> <li>9 and weren't able to lift off when the tides</li> <li>10 came in and have to suffer through some of</li> <li>11 that.</li> <li>12 Our next speaker is Mark Smith</li> <li>13 with Vitus Marine.</li> <li>14 MR. SMITH: The name is after</li> <li>15 Vitus Bering.</li> <li>16 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.</li> <li>17 MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He</li> <li>18 was Danish and the proper pronunciation is</li> <li>19 Vitus.</li> <li>20 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.</li> <li>21 MR. SMITH: However, because we</li> <li>22 are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.</li> </ul>	7	driving in your neck of the woods. I'm
<ul> <li>9 and weren't able to lift off when the tides</li> <li>came in and have to suffer through some of</li> <li>that.</li> <li>12 Our next speaker is Mark Smith</li> <li>with Vitus Marine.</li> <li>14 MR. SMITH: The name is after</li> <li>Vitus Bering.</li> <li>16 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.</li> <li>MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He</li> <li>was Danish and the proper pronunciation is</li> <li>Vitus.</li> <li>20 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.</li> <li>21 MR. SMITH: However, because we</li> <li>22 are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.</li> </ul>	8	surprised some haven't been stuck in the silt
10 came in and have to suffer through some of 11 that. 12 Our next speaker is Mark Smith 13 with Vitus Marine. 14 MR. SMITH: The name is after 15 Vitus Bering. 16 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. 17 MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He 18 was Danish and the proper pronunciation is 19 Vitus. 20 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus. 21 MR. SMITH: However, because we 22 are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.	9	and weren't able to lift off when the tides
11 that. 12 Our next speaker is Mark Smith 13 with Vitus Marine. 14 MR. SMITH: The name is after 15 Vitus Bering. 16 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. 17 MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He 18 was Danish and the proper pronunciation is 19 Vitus. 20 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus. 21 MR. SMITH: However, because we 22 are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.	10	came in and have to suffer through some of
12Our next speaker is Mark Smith13with Vitus Marine.14MR. SMITH: The name is after15Vitus Bering.16CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.17MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He18was Danish and the proper pronunciation is19Vitus.20CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.21MR. SMITH: However, because we22are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.	11	that.
<ul> <li>13 with Vitus Marine.</li> <li>14 MR. SMITH: The name is after</li> <li>15 Vitus Bering.</li> <li>16 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.</li> <li>17 MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He</li> <li>18 was Danish and the proper pronunciation is</li> <li>19 Vitus.</li> <li>20 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.</li> <li>21 MR. SMITH: However, because we</li> <li>22 are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.</li> </ul>	12	Our next speaker is Mark Smith
<ul> <li>MR. SMITH: The name is after</li> <li>Vitus Bering.</li> <li>CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.</li> <li>MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He</li> <li>was Danish and the proper pronunciation is</li> <li>Vitus.</li> <li>CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.</li> <li>CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.</li> <li>MR. SMITH: However, because we</li> <li>are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.</li> </ul>	13	with Vitus Marine.
<ul> <li>Vitus Bering.</li> <li>CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.</li> <li>MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He</li> <li>was Danish and the proper pronunciation is</li> <li>Vitus.</li> <li>CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.</li> <li>CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.</li> <li>MR. SMITH: However, because we</li> <li>are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.</li> </ul>	14	MR. SMITH: The name is after
16 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. 17 MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He 18 was Danish and the proper pronunciation is 19 Vitus. 20 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus. 21 MR. SMITH: However, because we 22 are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.	15	Vitus Bering.
MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He was Danish and the proper pronunciation is Vitus. CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus. MR. SMITH: However, because we are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.	16	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.
<pre>18 was Danish and the proper pronunciation is 19 Vitus. 20 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus. 21 MR. SMITH: However, because we 22 are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.</pre>	17	MR. SMITH: That's anglicized. He
<pre>19 Vitus. 20 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus. 21 MR. SMITH: However, because we 22 are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.</pre>	18	was Danish and the proper pronunciation is
<ul> <li>CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.</li> <li>MR. SMITH: However, because we</li> <li>are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.</li> </ul>	19	Vitus.
21 MR. SMITH: However, because we 22 are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.	20	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Vitus.
22 are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.	21	MR. SMITH: However, because we
	22	are here in America we call it Vitus Marine.

	Page 90
1	I appreciate the invitation to be here. I'm
2	sure that this audience is by far the most
3	August one I have ever addressed so I thank
4	you. You're a milestone in my life.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MR. SMITH: My previous experience
7	was in the little village of Aleknagik, Alaska
8	where I grew up and lived. I was able to
9	deliver the eighth grade commencement address.
10	(Laughter.)
11	MR. SMITH: Lucky for me the
12	principal found one that was already written
13	and so I just had to read it a few times and
14	then try to read it steadily during the event.
15	Up here on the screen is a picture
16	of one of our two vessels that we're going to
17	be transiting western Alaska waters with. So
18	our zone of operation is infrequently in the
19	Aleutians, but mainly in the other areas of
20	western Alaska.
21	More brief history on myself. I'm
22	a third generation tug and barge person of a

	Page 91
1	company that was based in Bristol Bay. I was
2	a commercial fishing captain at age 19 in 1980
3	and since then I have only missed the Bristol
4	Bay salmon season four times since my mother
5	took me setnetting in 1963. So I have a very
6	long history with the NOAA Chart I think
7	16322, Bristol Nushagak Bay and its
8	approaches.
9	So that's where I spent my
10	commercial fishing activity and then outside
11	of that 30 days of critical salmon fishing I
12	was able to wile away the hours with tug and
13	barge work. So the typical tug and barge
14	season for us started in May and ended in
15	October.
16	I have to say that I'm in love
17	with Google Earth. It's a fantastic tool that
18	I have just used probably more than any other
19	application other than Microsoft Outlook.
20	So, I got a few shots here just to
21	give you the operating environment and I guess
22	that's my presentation is similar to Walt's.

Page 92 1 We just happen to be the same couple of 2 western Alaska guys here next to each other. But a little different perspective, but 3 basically reinforcing the same thing, where we 4 5 operate. 6 So, large picture, coming into, 7 closer into Bristol Bay as I get closer and 8 closer to my home town. Same issues that were 9 also mentioned. Tidal influence is rarely by the book. So you have a few datums that have 10 been set up historically for the better part 11 12 of a century, and then everything is sort of leveraged off these particular points. 13 But 14 not a lot of technical expertise was really 15 This is very old technology laid into these. that exists out there. So it's basically 16 17 local knowledge of the captains and the 18 organizations there so that you can try to go 19 in and enter a location and figure out what 20 the actual tidal correction is. 21 So actually this morning -- I'll 22 try to use the laser here. We're up in

	Page 93
1	Goodnews Bay here actually this morning
2	waiting to get out. And so I was up talking
3	to the captain just after I got into work and
4	asked him what the, you know, when he was
5	planning on leaving. And he was saying well,
6	I'm just kind of adjusting what the tide book
7	says to what actually I'm observing here in
8	Goodnews Bay and I hope to be off by about
9	1:30 this afternoon. So that's the data that
10	we deal with.
11	So you've certainly seen the
12	unimproved beach landings that Walt showed
13	you. And one of the other issues about this
14	operating environment is the Coast Guard is
15	doesn't have a great presence here. Dutch
16	Harbor has a lot of folks working out of
17	there. Coast Guard has a great presence
18	there. After my experience this fall I found
19	the Coast Guard to be a useful partner when
20	you're trying to get things done. So one of
21	the things that we're looking forward to is
22	having a larger Coast Guard presence here in

1 the future. 2 Getting in a little closer to the Nushagak Bay chart area which again I'm 3 delighted to know that NOAA is doing some of 4 5 the basic work this summer to update this 6 chart that hasn't seen any major revisions 7 since I believe the fifties. 8 And you can start to see from this 9 Google Earth picture just the areas here into 10 the river entrances have a lot of gray shading around it. So these are two rivers that we 11 12 transit very regularly. This is the Kvichak 13 River and this is the Naknek River. This is 14 the Nushagak River, this is the Wood River, 15 this is the Snake River, this is the Weary River, this is the Igushik River. And these 16 17 are all little rivers that we deliver the 18 basic goods and services to. And so we have 19 marine traffic there that has the 6-month 20 operating season to take care of. 21 Zooming in a little closer to the 22 Igushik River and Weary River, highlighting

Page 95

1 this area. The Manokotak is the village we 2 serve there, about 450 folk. And even though 3 as the crow flies it's only about 22 miles to 4 the village there's actually 55 miles of river 5 that you go through.

6 And when you get to Manokotak you 7 have from bank to bank about 235 feet across. 8 And so when you go in with a 180-foot barge 9 and you have a tug strapped onto the back of 10 it you really have to literally sometimes, you know, pull your tug off, get on the hip or on 11 12 the bow of the tug and push out backwards down the river to find a place where you can turn 13 14 around so you can head out in a conventional And this is, again, not a 15 manner. particularly unusual circumstance for us. 16 17 I'm sure a lot of the nautical folks have heard the old maxim that it's not 18 19 the ocean that's dangerous, it's the hard 20 parts around the edges. And that's truly what 21 we experience in Alaska. And I was able to 22 vent just yesterday a little bit to Mr. Lowell

	Page 96
1	that we really don't care where the
2	Fairweather goes because wherever the
3	Fairweather goes we don't have any concerns.
4	It's really that area that's less than 12 feet
5	of draft that we're truly concerned about
6	because our operations happen in the zone of
7	about 3 and a half feet to 8 feet.
8	So for the small barges that serve
9	Alaska's coastal villages we really operate in
10	that zone. I don't think that any of the
11	Crowley or Delta or Vitus equipment that
12	typically serves the village has a load line
13	that's over 9 feet.
14	Just to give you a perspective
15	here, a little dark on the screen but there's
16	a little red square here around what we call -
17	- well, the Fish and Game calls the Wood River
18	Special Harvest District. So again, this is
19	part of the chart, NOAA chart there for
20	Nugashak and its entrances. Here's
21	Dillingham. Here's the Wood River.
22	Between the Wood River and the

	Page 97
1	Nushagak River there is between 2 and 4
2	million salmon go up every year during the
3	famous Bristol Bay salmon season. There's a
4	4-mile stretch of river here called the Wood
5	River Special Harvest District. This year
6	it's going to be used because more salmon need
7	to get up the Nushagak. Wood River's had
8	success. So they're going to put all of the
9	fishermen in this little stretch of river here
10	4 miles long. You can see just some of the
11	issues.
12	You're going to have over 300
13	vessels, 225 and 150 feet of gillnet behind a
14	typical vessel. And you have setnet skiffs
15	that are also running around, you have
16	tenders, you have supply vessels and you have
17	other things servicing this very small area
18	that has, again, virtually no data with it.
19	Also up at the end Walt and I have
20	both had experience in transiting the very
21	rocky upper end of that river. We'd also love
22	to have some of those hazards identified.

	Page 98
1	The aids to navigation in western
2	Alaska are virtually nonexistent. Back in my
3	family history my grandfather, dads and uncles
4	basically, the aids to navigation were to find
5	particular transit across the river and to, in
6	the wintertime when the snow was high, go
7	knock the branches off spruce trees and nail
8	white signs so that you could make a transit
9	line between the slots. So that is still some
10	of the best aids to navigation in the area are
11	things that were put up 50 years ago.
12	This is a photograph as I'm
13	leaving Dillingham with the local aircraft
14	after fishing. This just gives you a visual
15	of that 4-mile area river where you will have
16	up to, you know, 400 vessels occupying that
17	with a majority of several hundred feet of
18	gillnet hanging off behind them so it's a very
19	exciting area. And of course having good
20	navigation charts and good water depth data
21	would be a great asset.
22	I appreciate the invitation by

Page 99 1 Matt Forney to come here. He said that some 2 folks would be interested. The trip to Nome 3 this winter, very exciting on any number of levels. Unprecedented and truly I think a 4 5 great positive story about what we can do in 6 the maritime industry. And just to let you 7 know, we enjoyed a wonderful amount of national attention with this story and that 8 9 national attention just literally stopped cold 10 when the Concordia went aground. So that actually happened while we were in Nome. 11 So 12 tragedy, you know, does trump a positive story 13 every time. And this was just another evidence of that. 14 15 So, to quickly run through. This 16 is a pirated presentation from some of my staff that gave this earlier. But you know, 17 18 basically when the final barge missed its 19 regular delivery there was some real trouble. 20 And there's just no conventional way of 21 getting fuel through the ice in U.S. domestic 22 waters.

	Page 100
1	What we knew that a lot of folks
2	didn't know was that the Renda had gone
3	through a modification in 2010 that made it a
4	double an official double-hulled vessel and
5	it was also an ice class Al Super so it was
б	some of the highest ice class capability out
7	there. And it was home-ported in Vladivostok.
8	So again, a gentleman by the name
9	of Mikhail Shestakov had worked for Aleut
10	Enterprises and had had overseen about 30
11	trips into U.S. waters I believe with the
12	Renda, so very familiar with the vessel and
13	its owners.
14	So by early December we had kind
15	of polled the stakeholders to see if they
16	thought this was possible. Nobody really put
17	up a flag and said no, it can't be done.
18	Everybody said well, you know, if the Coast
19	Guard is on board we'll try it, and ADEC said
20	well, if you can amend your plan we think we
21	can do it. And so based with at least tepid
22	support we chartered the tanker and started

Page 101

1 the drama.

2	The biggest challenge in doing
3	this was actually not the navigation as much
4	as it was just putting the financing together
5	and finding the right product. When you're
6	taking gasoline to the Arctic you have to
7	achieve a specification that's appropriate.
8	We finally found that specification in Japan
9	that would be appropriate for the northern
10	latitudes.
11	When we got there and the tanker
12	was ready to do a transfer we had a huge
13	unprecedented storm that actually sank I think
14	a Russian fishing boat up there in the Far
15	East. And basically the conditions weren't
16	appropriate for lightering. Coast Guard was
17	on hold. It basically said don't wait for
18	weather in Japan, head for Dutch Harbor and
19	let's see if we can get a Jones Act waiver and
20	get gasoline on you in Dutch Harbor which is
21	a whole `nother story that could take up 20
22	minutes.

	Page 102
1	So here's a picture of the planned
2	route basically from Vladivostok where the
3	vessel was home-ported. We were looking at
4	going to Ulsan and Yeosu. We were thinking
5	about going up around and getting our gasoline
6	here.
7	It didn't work out so we actually
8	came back, came over here close to Japan, had
9	the big storm, so we went off and then this
10	was our route. Instead of going straight to
11	St. Lawrence Island as we had originally
12	planned with our gasoline we actually diverted
13	to Dutch Harbor, met up with the Healy and
14	then virtually headed straight north towards
15	Nome.
16	I think the critical factor in the
17	success of this mission was unquestionably the
18	Coast Guard. The Russian owners thought the
19	ship would be able to make it to Nome
20	unassisted. In retrospect I don't think that
21	had any chance of happening because it just
22	happened to be that December we had

Г

	Dage 10
1	unprecedented cold. And it seemed the north
2	wind blew and we had sub-zero temperatures and
3	the ice formed extremely quickly through the
4	Christmas break. And by New Year's the ice
5	footprint I think had about tripled.
6	Just a brief, there was a lot of
7	discussion about whether or not the trip was
8	necessary. The answer is no, it wasn't
9	necessary, but the alternative would be to fly
10	in fuel. And when it all came down and
11	looking at the economics it turned out that
12	the Renda's resupply was probably about half
13	the cost of flying the fuel in via aircraft.
14	Biggest challenge. The biggest
15	challenge by far I think for us was just
16	putting in the prevention aspects and trying
17	to get the stakeholders to a level of
18	confidence that we could pull this effort off
19	without incident. And there was a great
20	number of people who dedicated about an hour
21	every day with a 9:30 conference call that
22	started around mid-December and actually went

3

	Page 104
1	for about 45 days. And I'm sure there were
2	some days where we had 100 people on that
3	conference call. So it was just a tremendous
4	level of effort to get all the stakeholders
5	involved with the operation.
6	Some of the physical challenges
7	though when we actually got the Healy and the
8	Renda together were the expectations of both
9	on what, you know, what the Coast Guard
10	thought appropriate escort procedures were and
11	what the Russian captain thought appropriate
12	escort procedures were. So that was probably
13	the biggest challenge in actually getting the
14	vessel physically to Nome.
15	The Russian tanker wanted to be
16	right on the tail of the Healy and you know,
17	they would be perfectly happy 100 feet off the
18	stern traveling at 8 knots. And the Healy did
19	not want the Renda anywhere close to their
20	stern. And basically they wanted one-tenth of
21	a nautical mile for each knot of forward
22	speed. And so that was basically the paradigm

Page 105 1 that they went forward. 2 Unfortunately that left the Renda so far behind that the ice lead would come 3 together and essentially just put the brakes 4 5 And so it was constantly beset in the ice on. and required a lot of relief work. So that's 6 7 one of the reasons why it took, you know, the 8 better part of a week each direction going 9 through 300 or so miles of ice on the way to 10 Nome and about 400 miles on the way out. Coast Guard took some great 11 12 imagery, had flyovers to do some ice 13 reconnaissance and other support work so we're 14 sharing some of this. This is from the bridge 15 of the Renda. This is some of the ice when 16 they were beset. When you get pressure in the 17 ice and the wind and the currents came 18 sideways it would basically just squeeze the 19 boat and the ice would crawl up the side. And 20 here's a little bit of video if I can get it 21 to play. I'm not sure it's showing up. 22 Kathy, do you have any advice? There we go.

Page 106 Yes, just click on it. 1 2 Taken with a cell phone from the It's not terribly dramatic but they 3 bridge. said the accompanying noise and vibration of 4 5 the vessel as they're squeezed by the ice had a profoundly chilling effect on those folks 6 7 that had never made that type of voyage before 8 just felt they would be crushed. 9 This is a typical block of ice off 10 the Nome Harbor. It's probably about 42 inches of ice there. And this just shows some 11 12 of what the Healy and Renda went through to make it ashore. Folks from ADEC were out 13 14 surveying areas where we thought would be the 15 most appropriate place for the Renda to come to rest after it reached Nome. 16 So a lot of 17 pre-arrival survey was done. And you can ask Commander Houck in the back about some of 18 19 He was the one that went out and did that. 20 some of the initial recon. 21 Some of the tools that were used. 22 NOAA played a very key role in the trip with

	Page 107
1	providing all of the ice and current and other
2	information they could and consolidated a lot
3	of data for. And I think in an unprecedented
4	move to my knowledge actually set up a special
5	website that was just for the Renda. And so
6	the NOAA folks did an awesome job of putting
7	together all of this data in one place that
8	had ice, weather current and other information
9	on it. So there was always good access for
10	those of us on the shore and for those in the
11	vessels to have access.
12	A little over a half mile of hose
13	was utilized to get from the vessel to the
14	header. In deciding where the vessel stopped
15	the Coast Guard did not want the Renda to get
16	any closer to the beach than the Healy could
17	safely transit next to it because they wanted
18	to be able to make relief cuts to get the
19	Renda out once she had been done delivering.
20	So there was a lot of work that was done
21	looking at all the data that exists off the
22	end of the Nome breakwater to ensure that

	Page 108
1	where the Renda came to rest that the Healy
2	would be able to do relief cuts on both sides
3	of it to get out.
4	Just a few more pictures there.
5	Twin hoses were used to try to speed up the
6	pumping time so we were able to pump in one
7	case two products simultaneously and when the
8	gasoline was delivered have two lines running
9	diesel. A picture of the Healy frame there at
10	Nome.
11	So the arrival was on the 14th.
12	It took several days to get set up, get
13	everybody's comfort level. Actual pumping
14	time was about 40 hours. And on the morning
15	of the 21st after several after the
16	previous day of basically relief cuts by the
17	Healy, the Renda was unable to really get
18	started. Overnight we had a 40-knot north
19	wind blowing directly offshore from Nome and
20	essentially we all woke up to daylight, or
21	when the daylight came essentially that 5
22	miles of shorefast ice was drifting its way

Г
	Page 109
1	out and the Renda and the Healy were able to
2	depart in an open lead.
3	The southbound journey. Because
4	of the basically every day of the operation
5	up there was sub-zero temperatures and I think
б	the lowest that I saw was -31. And we had
7	winds that were gusting up to 40 miles an hour
8	occasionally during the exercise. So
9	essentially the deep freeze was put on super
10	cold and it just blew ice down into the Bering
11	Sea. So there was a significant amount more
12	of ice going south than there was. And with
13	the Renda being light it was even harder to
14	get through the ice and on some days the only
15	progress made was actually just the wind and
16	the currents pushing the Healy and the Renda
17	south.
18	Just a few of the folks that we
19	have to thank here. There's just a
20	tremendous, again, a lot of folks put a lot of
21	calories into making this work and of course
22	we're very appreciative because everyone

Page 110 1 played quite a critical role. 2 And just have -- with great appreciation to the Coast Guard Chief Scott 3 who was put on the Renda for the transit 4 5 south. He took a little bit of video here and 6 I guess it's having a hard time loading up, 7 but I thought I'd give you just 1 minute to 8 time-lapse photography to show you what the 9 actual process looked like. 10 So, they have a little comfort behind them and the Healy allowed them to get 11 12 a little closer than they might just to make 13 This is the 25th so they're some progress. 14 probably 100 or 150 miles south of Nome. So 15 essentially the --16 (Laughter.) 17 MR. SMITH: Okay. And the recipe 18 for success here is repeat as necessary, and 19 it got repeated a lot. So that is the story 20 and I'd be delighted to answer questions 21 later. Thank you. 22 (Applause.)

	Page 111
1	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you very
2	much, that's very interesting. Okay, our next
3	and final speaker is Captain Dana Jensen with
4	the Alaska Marine Highway System.
5	CAPT. JENSEN: Good morning. It's
6	my honor to represent Alaska Marine Highways.
7	I'll give you a little outline or brief of
8	what we're about and then I have some
9	recommendations that came from people in our
10	fleet for some areas that could use a little
11	improvement for the mariners in Alaska.
12	Alaska Marine Highways has been in
13	existence since 1963. As our name implies we
14	are the highway where there isn't one. We get
15	vehicles and people from road heads to various
16	communities around coastal Alaska. We're a
17	division of the Department of Transportation
18	in the state of Alaska.
19	We operate from Bellingham,
20	Washington along the coast of British Columbia
21	out along the coast of Alaska out as far west
22	as the eastern Aleutians and Dutch Harbor. We

	Page 112
1	operate out of 35 different ports from, again,
2	from Bellingham. We also touch Prince Rupert,
3	British Columbia and 33 ports in Alaska.
4	We operate if you went from
5	port to port to port by the shortest means
6	possible our route would be 3,248 nautical
7	miles. If you traveled all of our routes it
8	would actually be tremendously more than that
9	because many of our vessels and routes
10	overlap. But a minimum to get from one end of
11	our system to the other would be three
12	thousand and almost 250 nautical miles.
13	Of the 33 ports we service in
14	Alaska 15 are manned and have AMHS terminal
15	staff. Seventeen of the ports we frequent
16	have no staff, no shoreside support at all.
17	In the last year we've added two new ports to
18	our system, both of them near Kodiak. We've
19	added Ouzinkie which is about 15 miles
20	northwest of the city of Kodiak on Spruce
21	Island and we've added Old Harbor which is
22	about 80 to 100 miles southwest of the city of

Page 113 1 Kodiak on Kodiak Island. 2 We operate a fleet of 11 ferries. The original three in our fleet were the 3 Matanuska, Malaspina and Taku. They operate 4 5 in our southeast system connecting the cities and towns in southeast Alaska and the 6 7 Alexander Archipelago to the mainland and road 8 heads out. 9 The Tustumena was built a year later in 1964 and she's serviced from the 10 Kenai Peninsula out to Kodiak and seasonally 11 12 goes out the Alaska Peninsula and the eastern 13 Aleutian Islands. She's also serviced Prince 14 William Sound. In the nineteen seventies we added 15 16 three more ships to our fleet, the LeConte which operates out of Juneau and services the 17 smaller communities surrounding the Juneau 18 19 area in North Lynn Canal, the Columbia which 20 is our flagship of the fleet. She's 418 feet 21 long, primarily operates from Bellingham to 22 Skagway servicing the southeast communities

Page 114 1 and getting them connected to the lower 48. 2 And the Aurora which services Prince William Sound. 3 In 1998 we added our -- added the 4 5 Kennicott. She's our largest oceangoing and 6 she connects the southeast and the southwest 7 systems with her cross-Gulf transits from 8 Juneau up to Prince William Sound and then 9 continues out to Kodiak, Homer and Seldovia. 10 In 2004 and 2005 we added the fast ferries, the Fairweather and the Chenega. 11 12 They're each 235 feet long. The Fairweather 13 operates also out of Juneau connecting 14 primarily Juneau with Sikta and Petersburg 15 with faster service than the rest of our 16 fleet. 17 And the Chenega works out of 18 Prince William Sound home-ported in Cordova 19 and provides the communities in Prince William 20 Sound a means of getting to and from road 21 heads. And the Lituya, the smallest of our 22 fleet at 181 feet was built in 2004 and

	Page 115
1	connects Ketchikan to Metlakatla. And that's
2	the shortest one we have of about 15 models.
3	Over the last 30 years we've moved
4	on average about 350,000 people per year and
5	in the neighborhood of 100,000 vehicles per
6	year. When I say "vehicles" that's a loose
7	term. That's anything from a small sedan to
8	a large tractor-trailer, large motor homes and
9	construction equipment.
10	In southeast the banner years as
11	far as moving people for us were in the early
12	nineties. It's dropped off some in Southeast
13	primarily although it's been growing in
14	Southwest pretty steadily. In Southeast we
15	bottomed out more or less on passenger counts
16	around 2005 and have been increasing again
17	since 2005 for vehicles. We've been pretty
18	consistent and that also though has been
19	growing since 2005. And 2011 was actually a
20	banner year for us. We moved the most
21	vehicles we had ever moved at 114,100
22	vehicles.

	Page 116
1	When I first came to Alaska in
2	1974 and like Captain Page I was a member of
3	the Coast Guard at the time sailing out of
4	Kodiak, the equipment we used to navigate and
5	the survey techniques were quite, quite old
6	compared to what we have now.
7	The state of the art equipment
8	that I had to use all too often was the LORAN-
9	A unit. I also had LORAN-C. The charts
10	didn't even have the lines plotted on them up
11	here so to get a fix laid on the chart would
12	take 15 to 30 minutes and then it was of
13	questionable accuracy but you had to go
14	through a worksheet. Well, you had to go
15	through five steps just to get a TD, a single
16	TD and then you had to go do a worksheet with
17	the TD, go through a bookcase full of tables
18	to come up with a means of laying that TD or
19	line of position on the chart.
20	Many of the charts back then
21	operated, in fact all the charts I remember
22	around Kodiak had a warning on them, and I'm

Page 117 1 paraphrasing here but it basically said that 2 soundings may vary by as much as 50 feet due to the `64 earthquake. Well, I was sailing 3 around `74, `75 and `76 and virtually all the 4 5 charts said that. So, the assumption is there wasn't any surveys between `64 and up to that 6 7 time. Of course that's improved tremendously 8 in current years. 9 Overall AMHS is very happy with 10 the support we get through Hydrographic Services and NOAA. We build our schedules 11 12 based on the Tides & Currents. We don't start a schedule before we go thoroughly through 13 14 those. We travel a lot of waterways we can't get through under certain tide conditions or 15 current conditions. 16 17 There's a number of waterways we do operate and things, like I said, are much 18 19 better. We use both electronic charts and the 20 paper charts and the navigation systems 21 greatly exceed what they did back in the 22 seventies.

	Page 118
1	A couple of areas of concern I
2	wanted to bring up to the panel and point out
3	that we think could use some improvement.
4	This is Tongass Narrows. This is Ketchikan.
5	Our terminal is right here next to Alaska Ship
б	and Drydock and just to the south of us is
7	Boyer Barge. It's the largest tug and barge
8	facility in town, and then the largest small
9	boat harbor there is at Bar Harbor.
10	The tide prediction stations and
11	current stations in Tongass Narrows are north
12	and south of this narrow section across from
13	the airport. The velocities in the wider
14	sections of the Narrows are substantially less
15	than what we are actually encountering in the
16	vicinity of our terminal and we believe that
17	it would be of value to have a tidal station
18	or current station in the Narrows where so
19	much activity in town is going on and where
20	virtually everything transiting north to south
21	goes through. We would say we probably get up
22	to 4 knots of current going through there at

	Page 119
1	times, yet the predictions are rarely over 1
2	and a quarter knots.
3	Another area that we think could
4	have improved metering for current would be in
5	the vicinity of Wrangell. The station that is
6	noted for Wrangell is about a mile and a half
7	west of the town. The currents experienced
8	near town, near the port appear to be stronger
9	than what's predicted in the published tables
10	and all of our vessels that have experienced
11	some very heavy set onto the pier landing in
12	Wrangell.
13	This is a shot of Port Lions/Port
14	Wakefield. This is on Kodiak Island about 30
15	miles west of the town of Kodiak. We have
16	service going in there with the Tustumena,
17	nearly a 300-foot vessel, multiple times a
18	week. As you can see the scale of the chart
19	is less than ideal for navigating in and out
20	of the harbor. Basically we moor on top of
21	the buoy right there. So we would like to see
22	an inset developed of Port Lions if possible,

	Page 120
1	a larger scale inset available on Chart 16594.
2	Another place we would like to see
3	an improved inset would be False Pass. False
4	Pass, the chart appears to be accurate, but
5	again, it's at a scale when you're maneuvering
6	into the dock that is less than ideal and
7	believe that with the challenges of getting in
8	and out of False Pass due to weather and
9	current a more detailed chart would serve not
10	only Marine Highways but the other mariners in
11	the area.
12	The Coast Pilot isn't quite up to
13	date. There are some things that's happened
14	in False Pass that haven't that appear on
15	the chart but haven't been updated in the
16	Coast Pilot.
17	Another area that we think could
18	use extensive update on survey and an inset or
19	a better scale inset of the harbor is Akutan.
20	In the harbor of Akutan, the cannery wharf and
21	the city wharf have been there for decades but
22	neither is plotted on the chart. In 2008

	Page 121
1	there was extensive coastline change when a
2	lot of fill was pushed out into the water.
3	And currently there's a large boat harbor
4	being constructed in the west end. So I think
5	this area would warrant survey and a better
б	inset into the chart.
7	The last area that I wanted to
8	bring up of our concern was Olga and Neva
9	Straits. These two waterways are in southeast
10	Alaska north of Sitka. They're the waterways
11	that traffic coming in and out of Sitka
12	primarily use.
13	The charts are adequate there but
14	we've noticed a lot of beach erosion and
15	slides in those two channels. And the
16	coastline in those two areas has changed
17	somewhat over the years and we think that the
18	underwater has probably changed as well.
19	Anyway, that's really all I had to
20	bring to the meeting this morning. And I'll
21	be available for questions. Thank you.
22	(Applause.)

	Page 122
1	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you very
2	much. I'd like to thank all the panel members
3	for some very interesting and thought-
4	provoking presentations. My hat's off to the
5	tug drivers. I can't imagine going through
6	some of that stuff and the ferries as well.
7	But we've got time for some
8	questions. And Frank, I know you had one to
9	start.
10	MEMBER KUDRNA: I did. This is
11	for Steve Boardman. We've been discussing in
12	one of our working groups cost-sharing and
13	Holly earlier described how far behind we are
14	in revising the charts. The Corps has cost-
15	sharing relationships with local sponsors that
16	you talk about, these new projects and new
17	ports and port expansion.
18	I guess my question is would it
19	make sense to have a three-party agreement
20	instead of a two-party agreement between the
21	Corps, NOAA and the local sponsors so that the
22	necessary charting both for design and for

Page 123 1 operation of a constructed facility would be 2 available? The idea is 3 MR. BOARDMAN: intriguing. We haven't had those serious 4 5 dialogues. Certainly when we're dealing with the development of a marine infrastructure 6 7 we've been looking at the near shore and 8 haven't necessarily focused our attentions. The cost-sharing is an interesting 9 one because part of it in my mind right now is 10 how does the whole project fit together and 11 12 all the pieces, and whether that throws any of the cost-benefit out. And I don't think it 13 14 should, but I think it's an intriguing idea that we have not yet had a serious 15 conversation with NOAA and others of how to 16 17 put together a package. Several harbors that the Marine 18 19 Highway just described are the ones that the 20 Corps has built recently. I look at those 21 charts and, wait a minute, the Heritage Harbor 22 down in Wrangell is not on the chart, Akutan's

Page 124 1 under construction, False Pass, and they're 2 not there yet. And as we build those and open up the door to users how comfortable are they 3 of coming in there if they don't know what the 4 5 conditions are outside the harbor? So it's an intriguing question and I'll engage Matt and 6 7 others in that for future actions. 8 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. Dr. 9 Jeffress? 10 MEMBER JEFFRESS: This is a question for Steve too. Steve, at least on 11 12 big projects that you've put up on your slides 13 do you have your own tide gauges associated 14 with these projects? 15 MR. BOARDMAN: We do put tide 16 gauges, but we work with NOAA as much as 17 possible to see because we've got to validate 18 them. So in certain cases, yes, we put our 19 own out and it's trying to then tie them into 20 adjacent data marks. 21 MEMBER JEFFRESS: On that subject 22 are they designed to NOAA specifications and

	Page 12
1	are they then permanently located and part of
2	the national water level system?
3	MR. BOARDMAN: My answer would be
4	is they are not permanently there and they are
5	not tied into the system. They're usually
6	tied for the purpose of the project. I'll
7	have to defer to Matt on whether we've been
8	leaving them there or working on it from a
9	permanent aspect. But during the course of
10	the project more often than not. Anne? This
11	is Anne Dollard from our operations and
12	navigation organization.
13	MS. DOLLARD: As part of the ARRA
14	money in the CEPD program and agreement with
15	NOAA we've been updating all of our datums to
16	NOAA's standards.
17	MR. BOARDMAN: What she's
18	referring to is the datums that we're using
19	for existing harbors. Some of the questions
20	you're asking as we develop a new harbor it
21	may be a long ways away from existing datum.
22	So it's creating new datum.

5

	Page 126
1	MEMBER JEFFRESS: Okay, can I just
2	finish this off? In Texas we have a really
3	sophisticated tide gauge network which is
4	funded by local government, the state of Texas
5	and the Corps of Engineers in cooperation with
6	NOAA. And it's an ideal system where all
7	those entities pay a part of the cost but they
8	all get the benefit of the entire network and
9	it's all to NOAA's standards. I just put that
10	forward as an ideal model to follow.
11	MR. BOARDMAN: I appreciate the
12	idea and I think that's something for us to
13	discuss. It's interesting, as you get down in
14	the area that I'm looking at, Arctic, these
15	small communities, cost-sharing is quite a
16	challenge. That's why the state of Alaska is
17	engaging more often than not because small
18	communities do not have the tax base to cost-
19	share. It'll be something that'll have to be
20	discussed on how they can financially
21	participate.
22	But you're absolutely right, they

	Page 127
1	will benefit by it. And whether it's a
2	sliding scale based on their ability to pay.
3	I'd be intrigued and interested in knowing how
4	they set it up for Texas. You're working
5	mainly with Galveston District or? Okay. I
б	might knock on their door and see because that
7	would be an appropriate of course the other
8	members of ADOT as well to see how they would
9	work.
10	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Lawson?
11	MEMBER BRIGHAM: A question for
12	Captain Page. Could you comment about I
13	think there's some notion that satellite
14	coverage in all of this with AIS data and how
15	your system which is land-based kind of
16	relates to satellite information or the use of
17	satellite information in this new AIS arena.
18	CAPT. PAGE: The satellite
19	information is great strategic information
20	because it provides a very good overview of
21	traffic all over the Pacific and what have
22	you. But the granularity of the data and the

Page 128 1 frequency of the data is many times 8 hours 2 gaps. 3 And so when you really want to get into the detail of what the routes they took, 4 5 we'll look at our screen in AIS. We'll see a 6 vessel that's north of Unimak Pass. We'll 7 look at the satellite imagery and it's south 8 of Unimak Pass, 150 miles away. So that 9 doesn't help you for search and rescue, 10 doesn't help you for tactical type decisions but the information is good as far as looking 11 12 strategically. What are the routes, the patterns of where vessels are going. 13 14 So it's a valuable tool but -- and 15 it's also, the other satellite information like vessel monitoring systems or LRIT also 16 17 provide different ways of providing reports. 18 And also again it's hours versus seconds. AIS 19 really gives it every 6 seconds. There's an 20 updated position report. A satellite will 21 give it probably every couple of hours. So it 22 is information used in the big picture but it

	Page 129
1	doesn't give you the granularity, the detail
2	you need for some decision-making. In other
3	decision-making it's very valuable.
4	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Joyce?
5	MEMBER MILLER: Yes, this is for
6	Captain Page too. You said that everybody has
7	to pay for your services. Can you just sort
8	of briefly describe your relationship with
9	NOAA? I mean because NOAA would be
10	contributing data as well as being a consumer.
11	CAPT. PAGE: Right. Basically the
12	Coast Guard is one of our biggest customers
13	and pays in the system. When they pay in the
14	system they've also said please make the
15	conditions are that we can share with other
16	agencies. So, basically federal agencies. So
17	they share that information with DoD and NOAA.
18	The only caveat is they can't turn around and
19	put that publicly available on the internet
20	because then I'd lose all the shipping
21	companies who'd say we don't need to support
22	you anymore, or other agencies or State,

	Page 130
1	whatever. I no longer have a revenue stream
2	and then a couple of months later the thing
3	shuts down again because you don't have the
4	revenues to keep it operational.
5	So the Coast Guard actually right
6	now is contributing to the operation of 65 of
7	the 90 sites. They decided to pay into
8	supporting 65 sites of the 90 that we have
9	right now.
10	The state of Alaska has provided
11	us grants the last several years. The
12	government and the lieutenant governor have
13	been very supportive and the legislature.
14	Guys like Representative Joule and others have
15	been supportive. So they've given us capital
16	grants over the last several years to help
17	build the system but we don't have operating
18	funds.
19	So we go to the Coast Guard and
20	the maritime industry to get the operating
21	funds and we go to the state to help build it
22	out. And we've also had, you know, the

	Page 131
1	fortune of having NGOs on occasion contribute
2	some money to build a site. Like Oceana said
3	can we build something in the Pribilof
4	Islands. So they give us some money to build
5	it and we turn around and find money to pay
6	for the operating cost.
7	So it's kind of a very hybrid,
8	convoluted, complicated system but basically
9	we're going to be able to amortize costs by
10	sharing it with those people who are
11	benefitting from the information. Normally it
12	would be a case where the Coast Guard has the
13	whole burden to pay for the whole system and
14	everybody else would take off of that. But
15	the Coast Guard hasn't had the funding to
16	build an entire system.
17	And normally the Coast Guard, when
18	you look at a Vessel Traffic Service to AIS
19	they have in Prince William Sound they only
20	have enough money to really build and operate
21	their own operations center, but they don't
22	have information to share with other agencies

Page 132 1 or similarly the maritime industry, the 2 operators, whatever. Our system on the other hand is 3 designed to be disseminated to a whole bunch 4 5 of entities, the Coast Guard included of 6 So that's probably a long answer to course. 7 a short question, you can see it's somewhat 8 complicated, but NOAA certainly has access 9 through the Coast Guard for a percentage of information, a good percentage. About 60 10 percent of our data is available to NOAA 11 12 through the Coast Guard right now. 13 Would there also MEMBER MILLER: 14 be opportunities to put, you know, sort of 15 weather sensors and that type of thing? CAPT. PAGE: Oh, absolutely. 16 17 We're working with AOOS. I sit on the AOOS board and AOOS is looking for the broader base 18 19 of people that benefit from data including the 20 maritime operators, shipping operators. And 21 so they provided some funding to test and 22 develop this capability.

Page 133 1 So we can take this and add 2 weather stations to our -- once we got a footprint, once we build the infrastructure 3 and a power plant which is solar powers and 4 5 wind generators and the back haul it's real 6 easy to hang out other ornaments as I call 7 them such as digital selective calling search 8 and rescue capability or AIS -- weather 9 sensors, excuse me, that could be disseminated 10 over AIS frequencies. So we're already working down that 11 12 path, working very closely with Weather 13 Service and AOOS in leveraging this capability 14 and getting a lot more return on investment aiding to maritime safety and environmental 15 16 protection. 17 Yes, I was MEMBER MILLER: 18 particularly thinking about potentially 19 current sensors if you've already got power 20 because there's been a number of calls for 21 that. 22 CAPT. PAGE: We definitely would

1	
	Page 134
1	like to do that. I'm definitely taking notes
2	when I heard Walt Tague talking about that.
3	Even Mark Smith was talking about tidal
4	heights and whatever. Our goal is to get more
5	of those sensors co-located or at least if not
6	co-located then close enough they can transmit
7	the data to our site and we can turn around
8	and put it into the whole system as well as
9	broadcast it over AIS so it's digitally
10	readable to the vessel.
11	So we've been working with the
12	software engineers who and this is what AIS
13	was designed to do anyway, broadcast other
14	information, safety information, weather
15	information, what have you, and get away from
16	having to listen to the radio and trying to
17	interpret what was said. And the range of
18	radio is much less, voice comm is a much
19	shorter range than AIS which is digital.
20	So we have better range, better
21	clarity, easier to get the information you
22	want right when you want it versus push the

	Page 135
1	button and it shows up versus listening for
2	the broadcast that may have the information
3	you're looking for. So those are all things
4	that we're working on to better disseminate
5	information to the mariners and get more miles
6	out of the gallon if you will or more return
7	on investment. So if we can kind of commingle
8	and partner and share infrastructure we can
9	certainly cut down costs.
10	We've done that in southeast
11	Alaska with the Weather Service down there.
12	And we're working further up. I met with the
13	Weather Service up in this area and so that's
14	definitely the path we're going down.
15	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Larry?
16	MR. MAYER: I should preface my
17	question by saying that I'm brand new to the
18	panel and I apologize if this is a very naive
19	question. And it's maybe more appropriately
20	a question to my colleagues here as opposed to
21	Captain Page but you certainly brought it up.
22	What you described really sounds

Page 136 fantastic and it also sounds like a critical 1 2 infrastructure that's essential to safety and navigation as traffic increases. And I guess 3 I wonder why this isn't part of the mission of 4 5 a government agency as opposed to having -you're doing a tremendous job but it seems to 6 7 me this is really bordering on critical issues 8 of safety and navigation. 9 And why isn't this part of the 10 mission of a government agency rather than having you to have to depend on fees and the 11 12 fact that you might want to go fishing many more times next year and the thing will fall 13 14 apart. 15 CAPT. PAGE: I can answer real 16 quickly. I mean, I agree with you but the 17 point is that this is a lesson I learned with my last -- my 30-plus years in the Coast Guard 18 19 that many times Congress wasn't forthcoming 20 with information. They just needed it and not 21 wait. So we just do it basically. But good 22 point, good question.

	Page 137
1	It won't hurt my feelings if you
2	take it over. I can find other things to do.
3	I can go back to retirement.
4	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Just a second,
5	Larry. Rich?
6	MR. EDWING: So Rich Edwing,
7	Director of CO-OPS. And Ed, I run the office
8	that does do realtime water levels and other
9	information up in Alaska.
10	We've been collaborating with the
11	Coast Guard to get our realtime information
12	into an AIS format. That's all been done.
13	There's been successful tests down in Tampa
14	Bay and Columbia River of actually pushing
15	that data out to vessels. There's been at
16	least one manufacturer of the black boxes on
17	the vessels that have changed their firmware
18	to accept this data and integrate it with
19	other data.
20	The thing that's been lacking is
21	the Coast Guard has not had the funding to put
22	up the transmitters to get this information

	Page 138
1	out. So certainly it seems like you've
2	been able to get a couple of steps beyond that
3	up here so maybe we can talk afterwards and we
4	can get something going up here.
5	CAPT. PAGE: Absolutely. And
б	again, the state benefits and the maritime
7	industry benefits and the Coast Guard all
8	benefit then maybe to pool those. And I know
9	the National Academy of Science did a study
10	after the L.A./Long Beach Vessel Traffic
11	Service came online in a partnership.
12	And they said we should do more of
13	this. We don't have unlimited funds. We need
14	to partner and amortize and leverage better
15	with other entities benefitting from this than
16	put the burden on the Coast Guard or the
17	Federal Government for that matter in that
18	particular case. So it's something that's
19	been studied and I think it's one way of
20	getting things to move faster. And I
21	definitely would like to take to you further
22	on this one because we're chasing down the

	Page 139
1	same path and we'll get it done faster.
2	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Bill, did you
3	have something you wanted to say?
4	MEMBER HANSON: Walt, Mark and
5	Dana, you guys touched on a different part of
6	the operation, the true highway in Alaska
7	since a lack of overland highways so much is
8	done by the waterways, and sometimes in some
9	pretty interesting locations.
10	As I watched the slides here I was
11	kind of struck though as what is the national
12	interest in those areas. And as you provide
13	your products and services to the locals
14	you're going to some pretty remote areas and
15	you might be the only folks going in there.
16	Is that a service you provide to
17	yourselves or to your clients to provide your
18	own surveys to make your operation more
19	efficient, or is that just something that you
20	think that maybe we should take another look
21	at with NOAA or the Corps?
22	I guess just, again, struggling

	Page 140
1	with what's the national interest and what
2	might just be better done on a local basis and
3	paid for that way.
4	MR. TAGUE: I guess I can say
5	there are a finite number of customers in
6	western Alaska and we're in the business of
7	transporting goods and selling fuel there. So
8	you are correct in not all of them are
9	strategic but they do have needs. So we get
10	by sharing information between operators or
11	between vessels.
12	And each year it's a little
13	different. Obviously there are some things
14	that would have a large impact on the
15	economies of western Alaska. When I say a
16	large impact it may be relatively small in
17	comparison to Seattle but to have realtime
18	water datum transmitted at the Kuskokwim mouth
19	near Bethel could mean the difference between
20	spending 3 days taking in a limited draft of
21	12 feet versus maybe knowing and if possible
22	maybe even forecasting what the based on

	Page 141
1	all of the elements, the tides, the wind, you
2	know, the amount of runoff upriver. To be
3	able to forecast what the water might be at a
4	certain crossing, you know, could be the
5	difference of three trips into Bethel for a
6	barge versus five or six trips into Bethel for
7	a barge.
8	MEMBER DEMPSEY: Deborah Dempsey.
9	Just kind of an observation and a comment,
10	Walt and Ed, is if we address the training on
11	the vessels, you know, why the need for so
12	much response. And Walt, as far as reading
13	the NAVTEX is it a NOAA issue to make it more
14	user-friendly or make sure that your officer
15	has the background in meteorology in reading
16	that. We used to, you know, we used to have
17	that onboard.
18	MR. TAGUE: Certainly we as
19	industry make the effort to hire trained
20	individuals. But just from my experience we
21	can hire a captain with 30 years of experience
22	and put him to work in western Alaska and tell

	Page 142
1	him to go into a village and (a) there's no
2	chart for that village. The GPS is showing
3	him being 5 miles inland versus what's on the
4	chart. The charts aren't scaled to show
5	accurate pilotage. And he's thinking of his
6	livelihood and career versus, you know,
7	getting in and delivering a product. You
8	know, because he's at risk for making
9	headlines if he, you know, rips open the side
10	of his barge.
11	So we do try and provide specific
12	training and we do have a continual
13	improvement process through our ISM
14	certifications where we're always trying to
15	identify what we need to improve on, whether
16	it's software, navigational equipment or
17	training. But you know, and we've appreciate
18	Matt coming over and talking to our guys.
19	But things just kind of appear on
20	your websites and you know, until we know that
21	they're there and know how to use them, you
22	know, they're probably just there.

	Page 143
1	We, you know, I've gone through
2	the NIMA site there and found some of the
3	things that you guys are working on and
4	they're very interesting. You know, now we
5	can pull up site-specific area forecasts just
б	with the click of a button. But unless you
7	know it's there, you know, you don't know it's
8	there.
9	So in the past it's always been a
10	call to Rich Courtney in Kodiak and said, you
11	know, trying to he'll do an area-specific
12	forecast and tell guys whether or not they've
13	got enough time to run from one port to
14	another. And in some cases that can be the
15	difference between 2 days of transit versus 3
16	weeks of transit in the fall.
17	MEMBER DEMPSEY: For sure you know
18	what you have to face in the seat of the pants
19	of your operation, you know, how much can NOAA
20	prevent that daily change that changes so
21	quickly. How feasible is it for NOAA to take
22	that on?

	Page 144	
1	MR. TAGUE: I guess if you guys	
2	keep supplying us tools we'll figure out how	
3	to use them and use them to our advantage.	
4	MEMBER DEMPSEY: Local knowledge,	
5	right?	
6	MR. TAGUE: It is, it's very much	
7	local knowledge. When we lose a captain	
8	either to him or, you know, to somebody else -	
9	_	
10	(Laughter.)	
11	MR. TAGUE: It's a big loss. We	
12	have some very skilled, very knowledgeable	
13	mariners that operate in western Alaska that -	
14	- they're probably not suitable for working	
15	anywhere else. But they do know western	
16	Alaska, you know.	
17	(Laughter.)	
18	MR. SMITH: I'd like to say just	
19	for the record that our captain was kicked off	
20	a tug in Mexico by Walt Tague.	
21	(Laughter.)	
22	MR. SMITH: I'd like to back up	
Page 14 just a bit too and squeeze a word in about sort of the very larger picture, ask about what is the national interest in doing things out here. Just a really, really broad overview is that we operate in literally the wild west. And so I mean, some of the same questions could have been asked about moving from, you know, east of the Rockies to the west of the Rockies. I I mean, if you take a long enough picture at what we're doing and the resources and the development of Alaska, you know, this is America's last frontier. There's a tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's a very small population but it is in the national interest to develop western Alaska and we have to have the tools to do that safely. So, I think that the economies of scale do not work today, but in order to have	1	
---	----	---
1       just a bit too and squeeze a word in about         2       sort of the very larger picture, ask about         3       what is the national interest in doing things         4       out here.         5       Just a really, really broad         6       overview is that we operate in literally the         7       wild west. And so I mean, some of the same         8       questions could have been asked about moving         9       from, you know, east of the Rockies to the         10       west of the Rockies.         11       I mean, if you take a long enough         12       picture at what we're doing and the resources         13       and the development of Alaska, you know, this         14       is America's last frontier. There's a         15       tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's         16       a very small population but it is in the         17       national interest to develop western Alaska         18       and we have to have the tools to do that         19       safely.         20       So, I think that the economies of         21       scale do not work today, but in order to have		Page 145
sort of the very larger picture, ask about what is the national interest in doing things out here. Just a really, really broad overview is that we operate in literally the wild west. And so I mean, some of the same questions could have been asked about moving from, you know, east of the Rockies to the west of the Rockies. In I mean, if you take a long enough picture at what we're doing and the resources and the development of Alaska, you know, this is America's last frontier. There's a tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's a very small population but it is in the national interest to develop western Alaska and we have to have the tools to do that safely. So, I think that the economies of scale do not work today, but in order to have	1	just a bit too and squeeze a word in about
<ul> <li>what is the national interest in doing things</li> <li>out here.</li> <li>Just a really, really broad</li> <li>overview is that we operate in literally the</li> <li>wild west. And so I mean, some of the same</li> <li>questions could have been asked about moving</li> <li>from, you know, east of the Rockies to the</li> <li>west of the Rockies.</li> <li>I I mean, if you take a long enough</li> <li>picture at what we're doing and the resources</li> <li>and the development of Alaska, you know, this</li> <li>is America's last frontier. There's a</li> <li>tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's</li> <li>a very small population but it is in the</li> <li>national interest to develop western Alaska</li> <li>and we have to have the tools to do that</li> <li>safely.</li> </ul>	2	sort of the very larger picture, ask about
4       out here.         5       Just a really, really broad         6       overview is that we operate in literally the         7       wild west. And so I mean, some of the same         8       questions could have been asked about moving         9       from, you know, east of the Rockies to the         10       west of the Rockies.         11       I mean, if you take a long enough         12       picture at what we're doing and the resources         13       and the development of Alaska, you know, this         14       is America's last frontier. There's a         15       tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's         16       a very small population but it is in the         17       national interest to develop western Alaska         18       and we have to have the tools to do that         19       So, I think that the economies of         20       So, I think that the economies of	3	what is the national interest in doing things
5       Just a really, really broad         6       overview is that we operate in literally the         7       wild west. And so I mean, some of the same         8       questions could have been asked about moving         9       from, you know, east of the Rockies to the         10       west of the Rockies.         11       I mean, if you take a long enough         12       picture at what we're doing and the resources         13       and the development of Alaska, you know, this         14       is America's last frontier. There's a         15       tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's         16       a very small population but it is in the         17       national interest to develop western Alaska         18       and we have to have the tools to do that         19       safely.         20       So, I think that the economies of         21       So, I think that the economies of	4	out here.
<ul> <li>overview is that we operate in literally the</li> <li>wild west. And so I mean, some of the same</li> <li>questions could have been asked about moving</li> <li>from, you know, east of the Rockies to the</li> <li>west of the Rockies.</li> <li>I mean, if you take a long enough</li> <li>picture at what we're doing and the resources</li> <li>and the development of Alaska, you know, this</li> <li>is America's last frontier. There's a</li> <li>tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's</li> <li>a very small population but it is in the</li> <li>national interest to develop western Alaska</li> <li>and we have to have the tools to do that</li> <li>safely.</li> </ul>	5	Just a really, really broad
<ul> <li>vild west. And so I mean, some of the same</li> <li>questions could have been asked about moving</li> <li>from, you know, east of the Rockies to the</li> <li>west of the Rockies.</li> <li>I mean, if you take a long enough</li> <li>picture at what we're doing and the resources</li> <li>and the development of Alaska, you know, this</li> <li>is America's last frontier. There's a</li> <li>tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's</li> <li>a very small population but it is in the</li> <li>national interest to develop western Alaska</li> <li>and we have to have the tools to do that</li> <li>safely.</li> </ul>	6	overview is that we operate in literally the
<ul> <li>questions could have been asked about moving</li> <li>from, you know, east of the Rockies to the</li> <li>west of the Rockies.</li> <li>I mean, if you take a long enough</li> <li>picture at what we're doing and the resources</li> <li>and the development of Alaska, you know, this</li> <li>is America's last frontier. There's a</li> <li>tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's</li> <li>a very small population but it is in the</li> <li>national interest to develop western Alaska</li> <li>and we have to have the tools to do that</li> <li>safely.</li> <li>So, I think that the economies of</li> <li>scale do not work today, but in order to have</li> </ul>	7	wild west. And so I mean, some of the same
<ul> <li>9 from, you know, east of the Rockies to the</li> <li>west of the Rockies.</li> <li>11 I mean, if you take a long enough</li> <li>picture at what we're doing and the resources</li> <li>and the development of Alaska, you know, this</li> <li>is America's last frontier. There's a</li> <li>tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's</li> <li>a very small population but it is in the</li> <li>national interest to develop western Alaska</li> <li>and we have to have the tools to do that</li> <li>safely.</li> <li>20 So, I think that the economies of</li> <li>scale do not work today, but in order to have</li> </ul>	8	questions could have been asked about moving
<ul> <li>10 west of the Rockies.</li> <li>11 I mean, if you take a long enough</li> <li>12 picture at what we're doing and the resources</li> <li>13 and the development of Alaska, you know, this</li> <li>14 is America's last frontier. There's a</li> <li>15 tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's</li> <li>16 a very small population but it is in the</li> <li>17 national interest to develop western Alaska</li> <li>18 and we have to have the tools to do that</li> <li>19 safely.</li> <li>20 So, I think that the economies of</li> <li>21 scale do not work today, but in order to have</li> </ul>	9	from, you know, east of the Rockies to the
11I mean, if you take a long enough12picture at what we're doing and the resources13and the development of Alaska, you know, this14is America's last frontier. There's a15tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's16a very small population but it is in the17national interest to develop western Alaska18and we have to have the tools to do that19safely.20So, I think that the economies of21scale do not work today, but in order to have	10	west of the Rockies.
12picture at what we're doing and the resources13and the development of Alaska, you know, this14is America's last frontier. There's a15tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's16a very small population but it is in the17national interest to develop western Alaska18and we have to have the tools to do that19safely.20So, I think that the economies of21scale do not work today, but in order to have	11	I mean, if you take a long enough
13and the development of Alaska, you know, this14is America's last frontier. There's a15tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's16a very small population but it is in the17national interest to develop western Alaska18and we have to have the tools to do that19safely.20So, I think that the economies of21scale do not work today, but in order to have	12	picture at what we're doing and the resources
<ul> <li>is America's last frontier. There's a</li> <li>tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's</li> <li>a very small population but it is in the</li> <li>national interest to develop western Alaska</li> <li>and we have to have the tools to do that</li> <li>safely.</li> <li>So, I think that the economies of</li> <li>scale do not work today, but in order to have</li> </ul>	13	and the development of Alaska, you know, this
15 tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's a very small population but it is in the national interest to develop western Alaska and we have to have the tools to do that safely. 20 So, I think that the economies of scale do not work today, but in order to have	14	is America's last frontier. There's a
<ul> <li>a very small population but it is in the</li> <li>national interest to develop western Alaska</li> <li>and we have to have the tools to do that</li> <li>safely.</li> <li>So, I think that the economies of</li> <li>scale do not work today, but in order to have</li> </ul>	15	tremendous amount of resources. Yes, there's
17 national interest to develop western Alaska and we have to have the tools to do that safely. 20 So, I think that the economies of scale do not work today, but in order to have	16	a very small population but it is in the
18 and we have to have the tools to do that 19 safely. 20 So, I think that the economies of 21 scale do not work today, but in order to have	17	national interest to develop western Alaska
<pre>19 safely. 20 So, I think that the economies of 21 scale do not work today, but in order to have 22 scale do not work today.</pre>	18	and we have to have the tools to do that
20 So, I think that the economies of 21 scale do not work today, but in order to have	19	safely.
21 scale do not work today, but in order to have	20	So, I think that the economies of
	21	scale do not work today, but in order to have
something more to contribute to the greater	22	something more to contribute to the greater

	Page 146
1	national good there's no question that we need
2	more data acquisition and more data
3	aggregation. And I think that's absolutely
4	NOAA's role is to help get that data and then
5	aggregate it so it's useful to us.
6	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: David.
7	MEMBER JAY: I was going to
8	comment that since the 19th century NOAA has -
9	- it wasn't NOAA then, but the Coast Survey's
10	job has been viewed as strategic. And in
11	those days of course national defense and
12	competing with the British was, you know, it
13	was strategic aspect of things or even the
14	Russians and Spanish.
15	But economic is strategic too and
16	the economic development side of this, the
17	we've always taken in this country the point
18	of view that federal investment in navigation
19	was an important aspect of national security.
20	And you know, what national security is gets
21	reinterpreted and of course Congress could
22	make another decision that they don't want to

	Page 147
1	do that anymore, but that's certainly we're
2	back to the 19th century the way this has been
3	viewed.
4	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Gary.
5	MEMBER JEFFRESS: Walt mentioned
6	just a little while ago that a GPS position
7	might plot some 5 miles different from what's
8	on the chart. One of the physics of GPS is
9	that the satellites oscillate between north
10	and south latitude of around about 60. Is
11	that right, Juliana? Yes.
12	And so in these high latitudes the
13	positioning of the satellites is always in the
14	southern sky which dilutes the precision of
15	the GPS positioning depending on the time of
16	day and the satellite constellation. So the
17	further north you go theoretically the worse
18	your position is because there's no satellite
19	coverage in the northern sky.
20	So I'm wondering if that is a
21	concern particularly if you're going further
22	up into the Arctic around Barrow, et cetera,

	Page 148
1	if the actual GPS positions are not accurate
2	enough for what you need.
3	MR. TAGUE: Well, I guess like for
4	us there aren't a lot of features that we have
5	to navigate through in Barrow, in that area.
б	But, and I like your I've made use of that
7	as an excuse sometimes when I'm talk to the
8	Coast Guard about one of our grounded vessels.
9	(Laughter.)
10	MR. TAGUE: I guess there are
11	probably two things I would two examples
12	that I've seen. We have an ECDIS system on
13	some of our tugs operating and you know, we
14	were up in the Red Dog Mine area. We were
15	trying to pinpoint an anchorage for the ship
16	to come into which just inside the 3
17	nautical mile curve that had enough water
18	depth for the ship to maintain its underkeel
19	clearance. And it was difficult to determine
20	based on the charting because, you know, the
21	coastal plain there literally showed up 3
22	miles out according to the GPS. So we had

	Page 149
1	pilots onboard and they knew from the local
2	geographic features where the area we were
3	trying to anchor at was, but.
4	Another example was we had a
5	grounding in Kwinhagak coming into the river
6	there. And the locals there put out buoys
7	each year to mark the channel. And it was
8	late in the season and they had pulled the
9	buoys out. And we came in via GPS and with a
10	pilot skiff out front. The pilot skiff was
11	carrying a handheld and reporting the
12	information back to the tug.
13	And they thought they were in good
14	water but they weren't. They went aground and
15	when we reported it and it got charted for our
16	incident it looked like we had come aground
17	about a mile inshore and kind of way up on the
18	beach which sparked the Coast Guard to send C-
19	130 flights and everything else. And very
20	quickly we had what had been a routine
21	grounding in western Alaska became a \$300,000
22	incident for a company trying to deliver

	Page 150
1	50,000 gallons of fuel. So those are
2	expensive to both us and to the customers.
3	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Scott?
4	VICE CHAIR PERKINS: Captain
5	Jensen, Scott Perkins, vice chair of the
6	committee. Looking at your right table it
7	looks like it costs me about \$500 to get my
8	personal vehicle from Juneau up to Whittier.
9	Yesterday we reported out on our working group
10	committee's activity and we've been looking at
11	user fees.
12	So I guess I'm trying to get an
13	understanding, you're a state-run agency with
14	11 vessels on the water charging the public a
15	fee for service. That's a model that we've
16	been looking at for where are there
17	opportunities that we could leverage
18	additional user fees that would help fund for
19	the products and the services that each of you
20	are describing are desperately needed in this
21	region.
22	So what would the market bear and

Pag 1 is your agency profitable at this point? Are	e 151
21 you heavily subsidized? I mean if \$500 is	
2 you meavily substatized: I mean, II \$500 is	
s enough to make profit could \$510 be enough to	)
4 pay for some charting and some mapping and	
5 some additional hydrographic services in this	}
6 region? Can you speak to that or help us	
7 understand the economic model that might work	
8 here?	
9 CAPT. JENSEN: Well, unfortunatel	У
10 we aren't profitable. Basically the state	
11 subsidizes us just about \$2 for every dollar	
12 we take in in revenue. It's very expensive t	.0
13 run passenger ships these days and it costs a	L
14 lot. So the revenues are set by the state	
15 legislature. They're set at a cost that is	
16 believed to be acceptable to the public and	
17 not put too much of a burden on them. But	
18 unfortunately we cost considerably more to	
19 operate today than we're able to take in in	
20 revenue.	
21 I'm not an expert on this subject	•
22 I did watch was catching the news a few	

	Page 15
1	months ago and they were talking about with
2	the recent recession that public
3	transportation had increased significantly but
4	a lot of the municipalities and states were
5	cutting back at the same time that those were
6	increasing. And they noted that it generally
7	costs about \$2 of the state, city or whatever
8	agency is supporting the mass transit puts in
9	about \$2 for every dollar that they take in in
10	revenue. So in that regard I don't think
11	we're dramatically out of step with other
12	means of transportation. But we are heavily
13	subsidized.
14	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Lawson?
15	MEMBER BRIGHAM: I just wanted to
16	get back to the issue that Larry brought up
17	about whether there should be Ed's
18	operation in the Marine Exchange should be
19	public or private, or Coast Guard should run
20	some massive command center with all the data.
21	In our working group the Marine
22	Exchange model here is exactly what we're

2

	Page 153
1	talking about, new and innovative approach to
2	a remote area where the Federal Government
3	will probably never invest enough to have a
4	system. And here Ed said he had, what, 13
5	people. A pretty lean organization.
6	I'd be nervous to think of what
7	kind of command structure and massive maritime
8	domain awareness system it would be if the
9	Federal government had such a beast here or
10	sitting in Washington trying to cover here or
11	wherever.
12	So I think in this particular case
13	it's a unique and a model for what we're
14	talking about thinking out of the box for how
15	to handle Alaska. And it's public safety,
16	it's in the public good but it's run by
17	commercial interests who have a vested
18	interest in the safety. So I think it's
19	actually, as you would agree, very positive
20	that maybe government not run this thing.
21	MR. MAYER: I would agree,
22	actually. I just am concerned about the

	Page 154
1	frailty of the funding basically, that when
2	you have a system like that it is maybe even
3	more fragile than some of the so I'd like
4	to see a hybrid where there's some commitment
5	on the part of the government because it's
6	recognized that this is an essential service
7	and then have this other model.
8	CAPT. PAGE: If I can add on the
9	discussion a little bit. And from my
10	perspective from having been in the Coast
11	Guard, now on the other side of it. Old
12	retired doddering captains like myself no
13	longer can jump on ships and helicopters,
14	whatever, so maybe it's a good job to sit
15	there and collect data or install these.
16	Basically I tell the Coast Guard we'll do the
17	easy work. When it's a good day we'll go out
18	and install the stuff. You know, when it's a
19	dark and stormy night you can get those young
20	kids and put them in helicopters and boats or
21	whatever and do the rescues if you will.
22	But some things are inherently

	Page 155
1	governmental and some aren't. Like for
2	instance I see the number shift in the phone
3	system. I don't think the Federal Government
4	needs to do a phone system but they need the
5	phone system. And the key thing is getting
6	information in the hands of the Coast Guard so
7	they can do act upon it. That's what I see
8	is just really an efficient way of getting
9	information to those who can act upon that.
10	So we're not really making decisions, we're
11	just helping them get the information to make
12	their decisions.
13	And if the Marine Exchange go back
14	I was just visiting with all the other
15	marine exchanges here last week in San
16	Francisco. Some go back to 1850 so some of
17	them are lasting longer than the agencies.
18	But I do agree that some commitment as far as
19	a recognition and commitment which is done to
20	some extent.
21	I know Senator Begich in hearings
22	has spoken very highly of the Marine Exchange

	Page 156
1	back in Congress, congressional hearings or
2	whatever, so we're definitely on the radar
3	screen with the congressional delegation.
4	They see the merit and wisdom of taking this
5	approach. But maybe some more
6	institutionalization of this to recognize and
7	further ensure the stability and long-term
8	would probably have some merit and recognized
9	as a sufficient way of getting the job done.
10	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: David?
11	MEMBER JAY: I was very impressed,
12	Captain Page this is David Jay by your,
13	you know, the possibilities of traffic
14	analysis and safety analysis. But the frailty
15	of the organization raises the question are
16	these data being archived, you know,
17	centrally, nationally, anywhere so that
18	somebody can take a 10-year retrospective or
19	a 50-year retrospective and look at how
20	traffic has changed and impacts for safety and
21	you know, that sort of thing?
22	CAPT. PAGE: Yes. I mean, we're

	Page 157
1	realizing now how important this information
2	is becoming to a lot of folks. And so we have
3	several server banks and we have in Juneau
4	but we also have servers in the lower 48 and
5	backups and what have you. So we're
6	recognizing that more and more people are
7	asking for data. It's very costly for us to
8	have the software and the servers, whatever,
9	for it but we recognize it's key information
10	that can help for risk assessment. So we are
11	and plus the information we're getting is
12	going right to the Coast Guard.
13	So I'm not exactly sure what the
14	Coast Guard is doing with it, but they also
15	have servers and they also have the ability to
16	data-mine or data-record and retain it. So
17	it's going to the Coast Guard back at Virginia
18	somewhere so they also have computers that can
19	pull this out and cull it out. So we have
20	several redundancy ways of both ourselves but
21	also the Coast Guard are basically getting
22	this information and have it available.

	Page 158
1	MEMBER JAY: My experience with
2	government agencies is that unless they are
3	told specifically that they have a data
4	archiving function they won't. You know, in
5	theory it's being archived, but oops, it gets
б	lost. And you know, the Coast Guard has got
7	lots, I mean, I'm sure you've been there.
8	They have lots of things to do other than, you
9	know, curate data. So I do wonder about the
10	robustness of the data.
11	CAPT. PAGE: I would say that
12	probably, you know, recognizing that Coast
13	Guard is really not funded to go ahead and
14	keep on generating data reports. So many
15	people come to us and say I know we can go to
16	the Coast Guard but it's not high on their
17	priority list to accommodate somebody else.
18	So we find a lot of people come to
19	us and say because we'll say well, you can
20	go to the Coast Guard. You know, they're a
21	federal agency, you can go to them and get the
22	data. And they come back to me and say you

	Page 159
1	know, we'd rather get it from you actually.
2	MEMBER JAY: I'm a subscriber to
3	my local Portland Marine Exchange so that we
4	have our own AIS data feed and we pay for it,
5	so.
6	CAPT. PAGE: Good.
7	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Lawson, do you
8	have something you want to say?
9	MEMBER BRIGHAM: Just to add that,
10	you know, Larry, back to the original thought.
11	I mean, the Federal Government is all over the
12	place in the lower 48 with VTS systems.
13	There's a vast Marine Domain Awareness System.
14	We know ships come up from Singapore probably
15	when they leave Singapore and we follow them
16	using the intelligence. I mean, the Coast
17	Guard is hugely involved in all that. None of
18	that has actually been applied here, only
19	occasionally and on a maybe particular issue.
20	And so there have been calls for
21	VTSs in Bering Strait. There would have to be
22	a lot of justification to build some command

	Dage 160
1	center and to have a federal system to
2	orchestrate traffic and manage it all. Maybe
3	in the future, but I just think that other
4	the vast investments that have been made in
5	the rest of the country but again not here.
б	And so this fills this niche and this gap.
7	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Admiral Barbor.
8	MEMBER BARBOR: Yes, Ken Barbor.
9	Follow-up on one of Joyce's questions to
10	Steve. In particular, when we're on the
11	Kodiak Horizon the master was very sensitive
12	to the annotated channel there and the
13	maintenance of it. And just wondering, I
14	think Matt has said that you have plans for
15	some maintenance coming up. But right now the
16	chart says `08 and nothing more. What is your
17	routine maintenance of that?
18	MR. BOARDMAN: Well, the challenge
19	we have, and we've already talked about
20	budgeting challenge, is we dredge five harbors
21	annually. The last few years the budget has
22	been so constrained and we were very fortunate

Page 161 this past year. Well, the previous year ARRA 1 2 gave some money to do some planning and then this year Congress had undesignated blocks of 3 4 money which we were able to get because we had 5 done the previous. So in the case of Kodiak the two 6 7 that we're working on is the upper channel for 8 St. Herman's Harbor which was never finished 9 when we built the harbor because it was a rock outcrop and then St. Paul Harbor which is the 10 one right at Kodiak itself. And that's either 11 12 through the earthquake or uplift. We're going to knock a couple, 3 feet off of the bottom of 13 14 that. 15 Those plans and specs are due out 16 in a month or so I guess, go out for dredging contracts here this season. 17 18 MEMBER BARBOR: Let me follow up 19 on my question. Maintenance is probably the 20 wrong word for me to use. Actually, more 21 awareness. What sort of survey asset do you 22 have available to adjust the chart in the

	Page 162
1	interim of the maintenance of this channel?
2	Because 30 feet of tide and you can there's
3	plenty of water out there, it's just knowing
4	when there isn't.
5	MR. BOARDMAN: Well, we have a
6	schedule and each harbor has his own schedule
7	based on historical use and the frequency.
8	This is the first time in quite some time I've
9	done any maintenance in the area. Anne, do
10	you know what frequency you do of surveying?
11	Everything is done contract. We used to have
12	our own crews.
13	We get a budget, annual budget in
14	the order of \$600,000 to go survey both
15	hydrographic, topographic and aerial
16	photography that we maintain on all of the 50-
17	plus harbors and channels. But Kodiak, you
18	know what the frequency is?
19	MS. DOLLARD: I'm sorry, I don't.
20	COURT REPORTER: Please use the
21	microphone.
22	MS. DOLLARD: I'm still pretty new

Page 163 1 at the Corps but I don't know the exact 2 frequency of Kodiak. But in general it's 3 to 5 and even sometimes 7 years depending on the 3 4 importance of the area. 5 MR. BOARDMAN: So the unfortunate thing is that any sudden changes, we're not 6 7 positioned to react to it very much. 8 MEMBER BARBOR: And I'm sorry for 9 my misleading question. It was the -- the ship was the Kodiak Horizon. The channel was 10 the Cook Inlet Channel. 11 12 MR. BOARDMAN: Oh, we're at Cook Inlet Channel. Okay. All right. 13 Ι 14 apologize. Now, you want to talk Cook Inlet Channel. 15 16 (Laughter.) 17 The problem is that MR. BOARDMAN: 18 the Corps of Engineers is project-funded and 19 Cook Inlet Channel which is a project but it's 20 only the 1,000 meter by 100 meter and that's 21 the area -- and that one right now is -- we 22 also receive funding to dredge that particular

	Page 164
1	channel but not the approaches to that
2	channel. And so I think that contract is
3	being worked right now. You're doing the
4	environmental work for it for later this year
5	or next, the actual dredging?
6	MS. DOLLARD: As far as the actual
7	dredging goes I don't know much about that,
8	but the survey is happening next month. So
9	everything will probably go from there. We're
10	talking about doing it more routinely but we
11	don't have a schedule as of right now.
12	MR. BOARDMAN: Yes. I think the
13	effort that we've got this year is to do the
14	environmental, the geotech and plans and specs
15	so that hopefully we'll do the maintenance
16	dredging next year.
17	We're trying to, we've asked
18	Congress and Senator Begich's staffer has left
19	the area. Asked Congress to move money from
20	one project to Cook Inlet and we'll have to
21	wait until Congress acts on that.
22	In fact, to be honest with you

Pag 1 it's still up in Washington, D.C. in the Corp 2 channels. It hasn't even gone to the	e 165 s
<ol> <li>it's still up in Washington, D.C. in the Corp</li> <li>channels. It hasn't even gone to the</li> </ol>	s
2 channels. It hasn't even gone to the	
3 committees yet. The committees are going to	
4 go on recess here in a few weeks and then com	e
5 back and we'll see how they react.	
6 But it has not been in the	
7 President's budget so we are in the process of	f
8 asking Congress to move it from actually Port	
9 of Anchorage to Cook Inlet along with some	
10 other projects.	
11 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay, Lawson.	
12 MEMBER BRIGHAM: Just to extend	
13 this discussion, Steve. When the arguments	
14 are made, I mean aren't there security,	
15 national security implications here when you	
16 have combat ships, combat support ships,	
17 Military Sealift Command ships come, pick up	
18 brigades and all that. They're all 30-plus	
19 draft, 35.	
20 I mean, why aren't the arguments	
21 not made by maybe you, but maybe Senator	
22 Begich and others that this is not only a	

	Page 166
1	commercial interest but a national security
2	interest particularly for this place?
3	MR. BOARDMAN: Well, I certainly
4	won't argue with you. The difficulty in this
5	constrained budget, again, we've presented I
6	don't know how many harbors needing
7	maintenance. Okay, we'll give you the five
8	you've been doing since 1960 and you have
9	channels throughout there.
10	The interesting thing is the Port
11	of Anchorage of course is challenged as the
12	vessels move to the port. You go across the
13	way, I don't know if anybody here represents
14	Port MacKenzie. They indicate they have no
15	need. Their vessels have no difficulty
16	getting to their port. And I'm confused but
17	I'm not a navigator and I don't move big
18	vessels around.
19	So, the in going back to the
20	legislation was to extend that channel which
21	in essence is saying Corps, establish a
22	federal channel that you will maintain for

	Page 167
1	future for all users whether it's at the Port
2	of Anchorage, Port MacKenzie or any other
3	development that might occur in upper Cook
4	Inlet.
5	And you know, we kind of hang our
6	sails and say well, okay, that's going to take
7	a study of X amount of time and dollars. We
8	have other needs for it. And Port of
9	Anchorage who is the more logical player to be
10	the cost-sharing sponsor someone brought up
11	cost-sharing earlier had not yet been
12	they've been engaged in the port itself, not
13	necessarily the driveway to the port. Port
14	MacKenzie has been not necessarily in
15	agreement and the state of Alaska hasn't
16	necessarily been in agreement to jump in and
17	say let's study it, let's get it ready so that
18	we can do maintenance for future.
19	But you're right, you know, the
20	marine lift and everything else, all those
21	folks need to step into the game and say this
22	is impacting us adversely. We've got to go in

	Page 168
1	there. And I'm not sure we have that choir
2	yet singing.
3	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Michele.
4	Speaker.
5	MEMBER DIONNE: Yes, Michele
6	Dionne. Going back a few steps to Lawson's
7	comment about, you know, a government-funded
8	effort versus a private non-profit. I can
9	certainly see how a private non-profit's
10	mission might go beyond the bottom line so
11	that they could, you know, direct themselves
12	to help a village out in a time of need at a
13	loss. But I think that's something that needs
14	to be worked out if you want to try to develop
15	a commercial supporter of the work that needs
16	to be done. I can't see a corporation making
17	any decisions that would lead to a short-term
18	or a long-term loss.
19	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay, Joyce.
20	MEMBER MILLER: Joyce Miller.
21	This is a question I put to Ken yesterday.
22	And you know, Army Corps has assets. NOAA has

Page 169 NAVOCEANO has big assets. 1 assets. I've 2 sailed for NAVOCEANO. 3 And we -- Lawson's comment about, 4 you know, isn't this a national defense issue. I mean, it seems to me that, you know, putting 5 a petition in for a couple of months. 6 I mean, 7 they have big ships with two or three launches 8 and so forth. If it were a national security 9 issue it might be another route of possible 10 funding is to, you know. Now, most of their ships are not 11 12 in this area unfortunately but it's just another way to look at potential for cost-13 14 sharing or potential for more assets that could be, you know, the small ports I don't 15 think -- would make any sense. But if 16 17 Anchorage is such a big, you know, if it's a 18 real problem then maybe it's a possibility. 19 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: For something 20 like that though would it not require a 21 memorandum of understanding or agreement 22 between different departments within United

	Page 170
1	States Government? That's going to be trend-
2	setting right there I think. That would be
3	pretty good. Interesting.
4	(Laughter.)
5	MR. BOARDMAN: Let me follow on
6	that if I might just to understand a little
7	bit of the politics. When the Corps
8	legislatively was tasked to modify the depth
9	of water at the Port of Anchorage there was a
10	section in there is if the Department of
11	Defense needed deeper water that would be the
12	rationale. Department of Defense didn't want
13	to touch that for anything because the marine
14	or the carriers that were coming in for the
15	deployments and whatever, their attitude was
16	if I've got 10 feet of water I have a ship
17	that can go into 10 feet of water. If you
18	give me 30 feet of water I've got a ship that
19	can go in 30 feet. You give me 100 feet I've
20	got a ship that can go in 100 feet.
21	I'm not going to tell you I must
22	have X amount of feet because and I'm

	Page 171
1	paraphrasing it in my mind is if I put the
2	requirement then I'm going to be hit with the
3	bill of creating it. So, right now the Coast
4	Guard, NOAA and Navy and whatever don't
5	necessarily want to make commitments because
6	they'll be stuck with paying things.
7	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. I've
8	seen two people in the public that would like
9	to ask questions so I'm going to ask that that
10	happen. And we've got two questions. We're
11	almost out of time. So, please, if you could
12	limit it to one question I'd appreciate that.
13	MR. LAKOSH: Yes. My name is Tom
14	Lakosh. And the question was raised what kind
15	of sources of funding you might have to do
16	some of the hydrographic surveys and current,
17	tide work. And the Coast Guard, you know, by
18	both public and private vessel operators here,
19	the Coast Guard has access to the Oil Spill
20	Liability Trust Fund to establish not only
21	planning but actual equipment that for its
22	sub-area plants. And the state has a similar

	Page 172
1	fund, it's called a 470 fund. They're both
2	oil spill prevention and response funding.
3	So to the extent that you need
4	that hydrographic information for sub-area
5	plans that have to be constructed by both
6	state and federal governments and where the
7	Federal Government has the liability for
8	responding to spills from vessels in innocent
9	passage and state vessels, you know, state of
10	Alaska, federal vessels that are not otherwise
11	required to have spill contingency plans, that
12	it would be quite appropriate for the Coast
13	Guard to make app with the Oil Spill Liability
14	Trust Fund as it was the specifically
15	designated fund for these types of information
16	and planning purposes.
17	And the question is why haven't
18	those applications been made as part of the
19	sub-area planning for all of these areas that
20	now have tank vessel operations in the middle
21	of winter in 42 inches of ice. You know,
22	clearly I asked yesterday whether the

	Page 173
1	hydrographic information would be gathered and
2	utilized for designing specific salvage,
3	lightering and spill response vessels in those
4	areas.
5	And there seems to be with such a
6	large liability of the Coast Guard at stake
7	here that it would necessarily budget
8	hydrographic surveys and request funding from
9	the OSLTF. And certainly where the state has
10	very specific requests for operation of its
11	public vessels that it also seek funding from
12	the 470 Fund for that purpose as well.
13	So there are government
14	responsibilities here. There are government
15	assets that are specifically dedicated to oil
16	spill planning which these hydrographic
17	surveys are clearly a part of. And to the
18	extent that dredging is a spill prevention
19	measure it could definitely be included in
20	that sub-area plan request as well.
21	So, the other end of that is that
22	the private organizations that are required to

Page 174 1 respond in these areas also need that 2 information, and it's a matter of these OSROs, federally recognized Oil Spill Response 3 Organizations, to charge their membership dues 4 5 that can fully fund planning and response in 6 these areas. 7 And I might specifically give an 8 example of additional hydrographic surveys 9 that might be necessary. In Hinchinbrook entrance we had a tanker go out that hit 135-10 knot winds from a barrier jet when the buoy 11 12 near Seal Rocks registered less than 15-foot seas and 45-knot winds allowing that tanker to 13 transit under the entrance closure conditions. 14 15 So we've got a real disparity. I've seen AIS printouts of a 16 17 Crowley tug that was strung out across the entrance to the extent that it forced a tank 18 19 vessel, a laden tank vessel to transit east of 20 Seal Rocks instead of through the normal 21 shipping channel. 22 So, we've got a real problem

Page 175 created by barrier jets off of Hinchinbrook 1 2 Point that we need to recognize and that Coast Guard needs to fund, Crowley needs to fund, 3 4 the state ferry system needs to fund and the 5 alternative planning criteria group that Ed is now sponsoring, it needs to fund these types 6 7 of facilities to properly plan for and to 8 deploy equipment in these areas. Thank you. 9 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you. Mr. 10 I'm not sure if there actually was a James? statement or if that was a question. 11 Ιt 12 sounded to me like it was a statement so I don't know if there's any reply that we can 13 14 really make to that at this time. 15 MR. LAKOSH: Well, it's the question is will the Coast Guard, the Marine 16 Exchange APC group, Crowley Maritime, Vitus 17 18 Maritime and the state spend the money that 19 they need to make the planning in these areas 20 using 470 funds and OSRO funds along with the 21 Coast Guard funds, the OSLTF funds that are 22 specifically supposed to be expended on these

	Page 176
1	measures.
2	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: I'm sure
3	they'll take things like that under
4	consideration and do what they can for that.
5	Mr. Chance. Or Jon, I'm sorry.
6	MR. DASLER: Our experience with
7	Marine Exchange, we use that a lot and we were
8	doing some work down in the Straits of Juan de
9	Fuca and the VTS system that the Coast Guard
10	runs down there was only picking up class A
11	AIS. So I think it's Lockheed Martin that was
12	working on that was working on that system for
13	them, but it was only picking up class A AIS
14	and we had to go through Marine Exchange where
15	you could see class B AIS data. So are your
16	receivers picking up all of those?
17	CAPT. PAGE: Yes, we are picking
18	up A and B. I'm pretty sure the Coast Guard
19	would be also. I don't know why they wouldn't
20	at that time but who knows, maybe the
21	equipment hadn't been modified at that time.
22	But actually we bring in, without diluting

	Page 177
1	what we do, but the Marine Exchange of Alaska
2	actually brings in data from Maine all the way
3	to the gulf coast, west coast, throughout the
4	country. It all comes in here and then we re-
5	disseminate to Lloyd's of London and other
6	folks.
7	So we see that information all
8	around the country and we work with other
9	Marine Exchanges as far as data analysis and
10	playbacks, historical and reports just to kind
11	of amortize costs and share the burden, what
12	have you. And we basically started it, we
13	built it in the lower 48 and we passed it over
14	to the other Marine Exchanges and we still
15	provide technical support on the whole system.
16	So but we do see that stuff all the time, Bs
17	and As and have the data to kind of play it
18	back whenever.
19	MR. DASLER: It was just
20	surprising to us that a class B AIS.
21	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Talk into the
22	mike, please.

	Page 178
1	CAPT. PAGE: You know, I should
2	just say within class B because I just
3	realized you and I are probably the only
4	well, a couple of others know it, but probably
5	don't really know the difference. But
б	basically a B-AIS is less robust, less power,
7	2 and a half watts versus 12 watts. It's
8	every 30 seconds versus every couple or 6
9	seconds or 3 seconds depending what your
10	course and speed and other parameters. It
11	doesn't have rudder angle indicator.
12	It's basically designed as a low-
13	cost, somewhere in the neighborhood of
14	actually \$500 you can put an AIS-B on the
15	vessel. And it's predominantly focused
16	towards fishing vessels and recreational
17	boats, whatever.
18	But fishing boats are starting to
19	realize that it's nice to have cruise ships or
20	other large vessels that steam in through the
21	darkness of night to see them and see that
22	they're a fishing vessel and they can also see

	Page 179
1	the name of the lights in the Horizon or the
2	radar image and call the cruise ship and say
3	by the way, I'm that fishing vessel 5 miles
4	ahead of you. I have net 1,000 feet or
5	whatever, several hundred feet out my port
6	side, please give me a wide berth and what
7	have you. So it's a lot of information
8	exchanged that normally wouldn't be exchanged.
9	We drive around in a little 32-
10	foot landing craft and I'm getting calls for
11	cruise ships now because they know my name and
12	they can talk to me, and basically say hey, we
13	have a closing situation. I say, trust me,
14	I'm giving you a wide berth.
15	But the fact is it doesn't add to
16	maritime safety by just knowing the name of
17	the vessel. So you call on the radar, you got
18	to know who you're talking to versus a vessel
19	being at Point whatever, some Cape Chachon and
20	five vessels answer. You're not sure who
21	you're talking to. So it doesn't add safety.
22	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay, Joyce.

Page 180

1	The last one of the day.
2	MEMBER MILLER: The last one.
3	This is just an idea kind of to foment
4	discussion maybe over lunch. NOAA has
5	something called NRTs, Navigation Response
6	teams. They're single boats, they're trailer-
7	able, they can be deployed anywhere in the
8	country. They were used in Katrina and so
9	forth. A lot of time transport to get them to
10	where they need to go is an issue. You know,
11	if there's needs with these little tiny areas
12	you don't need a ship, you need a launch with
13	a little boat, you know, a launch and people
14	that know how to run it. Is this an
15	opportunity for potential, say some user fees,
16	say some buy-in by the folks that have ferries
17	that are going into this place and want
18	charts?
19	You know, is there some sort of
20	possibility that we could make it a consortium
21	here that says, okay, you've got to survey in
22	that place. It's tiny. It would take a week
	Page 181
----	--
1	or two. I mean, would you guys be willing to
2	free of charge take it up there to get what
3	you need, et cetera? It's just an idea.
4	MR. SMITH: I'd like to respond.
5	Mark with Vitus. I think there's a lot of
б	interesting things that could be done with
7	getting data for these small areas. I'd like
8	to have discussions on depth data and how we
9	could aggregate that.
10	There are public sourcing
11	information, Olex software some of the folks
12	know. To me that really impressed me as a
13	group of stakeholders who get together and
14	share data to get specific information. I
15	think when you look at where me and the rest
16	of the industry goes to these small villages
17	if we all had a way to get data that was any
18	sort of reasonably ordered data we could get
19	a lot of information in just a couple of years
20	that NOAA really is looking out a 50-year
21	horizon at getting.
22	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. Thank

	Page 182
1	you very, very much. And gentlemen, please
2	know that there's a standing invitation for
3	each of you to attend and possibly add some
4	more valuable input to our breakout sessions
5	if you're at all possibly available to attend
б	those. It would be greatly appreciated.
7	And it is now time for lunch. So
8	we have until 11:30 to 12:30 for lunch and
9	then we will be picking things back up. Oh
10	and a lunch speaker at noon. Lieutenant Faith
11	Reynolds oh, okay. Commander Houck, thank
12	you very much. Sorry about that. He'll be
13	addressing the Bering Strait Port Access Route
14	Study.
15	COMMANDER HOUCK: Yes sir, thank
16	you.
17	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay, very
18	good. Thank you. Can I have a quick hand for
19	the panel members, please?
20	(Applause.)
21	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
22	matter went off the record at 11:40 a.m. and

	Page 183
1	resumed at 12:07 p.m.)
2	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Commander Houck
3	has graciously accepted an invitation to speak
4	to us today about the Bering Strait Port
5	Access Route Study. Thank you, Commander.
6	COMMANDER HOUCK: Thank you very
7	much. Ms. Reynolds extends her apologies for
8	not being able to be here. My staff is even
9	shorter this week in light of my number two
10	and his wife having a new baby and she's
11	covering for the Integrated Command System for
12	the Shell oil spill drill that's going on I
13	believe in this same building a couple of
14	rooms over.
15	I wanted to give you an update on
16	the Bering Strait Port Access Route Study and
17	our efforts to move forward in the process of
18	making a joint recommendation with the Russian
19	Ministry of Transport to the International
20	Maritime Organization on a better method and
21	a way to make the traffic which is ramping up
22	in the Bering Strait more predictable and

Page 184

hence safer.

1

2	Much of this data I want to thank
3	Ed Page for. When we originally started
4	looking at the Port Access Route Study we did
5	not have the capability to get VMS or AIS data
6	north of the Bering Strait for much of the
7	year, and we're not able to track where and
8	what type of vessels were actually using the
9	data and which side of the Diomedes they were
10	transiting on, how close to shore. None of
11	this information was available and we were
12	only able to gather the data through reports
13	from the mariners. And no mariner is going to
14	tell the Coast Guard how close they're really
15	going to the shore, we realize that. But with
16	this data it's incontrovertible.
17	MEMBER HANSON: AIS has ruined
18	that.
19	(Laughter.)
20	COMMANDER HOUCK: AIS. It hasn't
21	stopped them from doing it, it just gives us
22	the ability to see where they really are.

	Page 185
1	So you can see that using Ed
2	Page's data we can break these down and see
3	that the majority of the traffic or at least
4	the biggest user of the traffic are the tugs
5	and barges who operate through the Bering
б	Strait to supply the small villages to run
7	back and forth between the Red Dog Mine and
8	the lightering operations that you saw earlier
9	through Crowley and Vitus Marine to resupply
10	ports like the Diomedes, like St. Paul Island.
11	Move to the next one.
12	These are some of the potential
13	routing measures that we could use to make
14	traffic more predictable. I've given this
15	presentation many, many times and generally
16	I've given it to hunting and subsistence
17	organizations as well as city groups, native
18	corporations, the Russian Ministry of
19	Transport.
20	And I want to say up front that
21	most of you are well aware of this, but the
22	International Maritime Organization, its

Page 186 primary concern is the safety of shipping. 1 2 Anything that we do to shape shipping to preserve subsistence rights or the areas to be 3 avoided for animals and the preservation of 4 5 those animals has to be tied to safety. So that is my primary concern and I take it upon 6 7 myself to work those things into the safety 8 recommendations and the joint recommendation 9 moving forward. 10 What you see on your left are areas to be avoided. You can see in yellow, 11 12 and there is a -- what you see here and around the Diomedes and around Cape Dezhnev are areas 13 14 to be avoided which effectively shape traffic through a negative by forcing them out further 15 16 away from shore. 17 If we go back to the slide that 18 shows the traffic routes you can see that the 19 majority of the traffic, and this is north-20 and southbound traffic, north- and southbound 21 traffic on both the Russian side using -- or 22 showing all types of ships running close in-

Page 187 1 shore on both sides. So, the areas to be 2 avoided effectively push that traffic out further away from the shore and further away 3 from the potential grounding areas in these 4 5 shallower waters. Now, as I go through these I need 6 7 to remind you and remind myself that these are 8 potential measures. These are not -- my final 9 recommendation is not due to be published 10 until November of this year in the Federal Register, okay? 11 12 Another potential routing measure 13 that we're looking at is the traffic 14 separation scheme that you see on the right-15 hand slide. This would benefit predictability 16 of the traffic in many ways, the biggest of which is in my estimation and what the 17 18 recommendation is shaping up to be, northbound 19 traffic on the east side of the Diomedes and 20 southbound traffic on the west side of the 21 Diomedes. This would take a bigger level of 22 cooperation with the Russian Ministry of

	Page 18
1	Transport than we've seen at any time in our
2	history. So not being one to want to tilt
3	windmills this is just an idea that is up on
4	the up for review right now.
5	There is room, but you would
6	the beauty of this one is you have straight
7	lines with no intersections of traffic moving
8	in opposite directions. As we all know,
9	intersections, especially with traffic moving
10	in different directions has the potential and
11	for those of us that have operated at sea for
12	any time at all we know that if it can happen
13	it will. It's only a matter of time. Anytime
14	you have two intersecting lines with traffic
15	moving in different directions it is only a
16	matter of time until you wind up with an
17	accident there. And we in shaping a
18	recommendation to the International Maritime
19	Organization we're trying to avoid as many of
20	those intersections as possible or reduce them
21	to the smallest number possible.
22	So, there is also the possibility

8

	Page 189
1	of making a recommended route. And you can
2	see that I've outlined that with these light-
3	colored arrows with the route coming in from
4	the south to the north on the east side of the
5	Diomedes with a course change in the vicinity
6	of due south of the Little Diomede Island and
7	then recommended routes on the other side.
8	The recommended routes
9	historically have worked not because the
10	mariner wants to make them work but because
11	Lloyd's of London has also, or the insurance
12	company has insisted that in order to insure
13	the cargo that is passing through these
14	international straits they have to comply with
15	the local regulations and recommended routes.
16	So to go against them opens them up to a
17	liability or uninsurability problem.
18	So I'd like to, since I feel like
19	I have a little time, are there any questions
20	that I could address before I move to the next
21	slide? Captain Brigham?
22	MEMBER BRIGHAM: Yes, I mean

	Page 190
1	you've probably guessed that I would ask the
2	question.
3	COMMANDER HOUCK: I did.
4	MEMBER BRIGHAM: None of the maps
5	have any ice. The application of routing in
6	ice-covered waters has some implications for
7	the navigator because as any icebreaker person
8	knows, you may go 50 miles out of the way to
9	avoid some sort of rubble field. So these are
10	recommended routes.
11	The question is in ice navigation
12	in the winter when it's ice-covered how we
13	were to handle the ice operation kind of
14	navigation which might be slightly different
15	than in free water. It is an issue, true?
16	COMMANDER HOUCK: Yes sir, it is
17	an issue. And while right now it's not a
18	current issue, economics shows that as there
19	is money to be made mariners will spend a
20	longer and longer season and find ways to
21	continue to make money in the ice. So that
22	will drive the issue.

	Page 191
1	And that's a nice lead-in to the
2	next slide. For those of that don't know,
3	Captain Brigham in one way or another taught
4	me how to break ice. He taught the people who
5	taught me how to break ice. And I owe a lot
6	of what I know about ice and icebreaking and
7	much of my career to Dr. Brigham.
8	Dr. Jeffress?
9	MEMBER JEFFRESS: Yes. I had a
10	question about the weather. Like, how often
11	is it clear visibility and how often is it
12	restricted visibility going through this
13	strait?
14	COMMANDER HOUCK: I would have to
15	base my answer on my own experience and I
16	would say that only about half the time are
17	weather conditions ideal with visibilities
18	greater than 8 miles.
19	The non-ideal can vary between ice
20	coverage, fog with visibilities down to where
21	you can't see the bow of your ship to sideways
22	rain to 40-foot seas. So, if there are no

	Page 192
1	other questions I'll move onto the next slide.
2	This is the Port Access Route
3	Study process that I've been following. I
4	inherited it and it seems to be working quite
5	well so far with one minor exception. Notice
б	of the study was published in November of
7	2010. The Access Route Study itself has been
8	ongoing. A recommendation was published in
9	the Federal Register and we are currently in
10	our second public comment period.
11	I'm working with the other agency
12	groups and just yesterday got a response from
13	the Ministry of Transport in Russia after
14	going to Washington, D.C. and giving a
15	presentation to them and asking for a point of
16	contact 6 months ago. They are, as you all
17	know, or may or may not know, they are
18	transitioning to a new government and not
19	quick to come to an accord with a foreign
20	government in the midst of their change. And
21	they cite our possible government change
22	upcoming as another reason not to be quick to

	Page 193
1	make agreements. But they are willing to talk
2	which is a huge step forward from where we've
3	been through my time with the Port Access
4	Route Study.
5	So this is the sticky point, the
6	joint Russia proposal to negotiations. And
7	then we would move forward to the
8	International Maritime Organization. Up until
9	yesterday I was considering the options of
10	going forward to the International Maritime
11	Organization without Russian concurrence,
12	knowing that this would delay any outcome by
13	at least 4 years.
14	But leveraging the International
15	Maritime Organization and their power to lean
16	on our Russian counterparts to at least
17	respond and ostensibly forcing them into if
18	nothing else coming up with their own
19	recommendation and then maneuvering from
20	there. Up until yesterday that seemed to be
21	our only option and I'm really looking forward
22	to working with my colleagues in Russia in at

	Page 194
1	least shaping what we would do for the Port
2	Access Route Study and the joint proposal.
3	This is our outreach at a glance,
4	many of the things that we've done. Myself
5	and my number three in the office are the
6	principal authors of the Port Access Route
7	Study so far. And she transfers this summer
8	so we've done as much as we could in
9	background while there were two of us knowing
10	that there would be less effort available come
11	June or July.
12	So we've met with these 7
13	communities, spoke at these 16 forums and
14	today makes 17. Letters and responses and the
15	news outlets have garnered us some pretty
16	excellent results. Lots of people with
17	opinions. The most headway that I made is,
18	you know, here in Alaska we have many who see
19	the sea and the Bering Strait as their it
20	is their grocery store, their food source.
21	Their subsistence relies on keeping the ships
22	a certain distance from shore affecting how

Page 195

1 the ships operate in ice so that they don't 2 figuratively pull the plug and let all the 3 whales and walruses run out into the south 4 Bering before they've had an opportunity to 5 make their harvest.

I was able to speak to 11 of the 6 7 hunting and subsistence groups in this hotel 8 about 2 months ago and convinced them that 9 they needed to caucus and come up with one recommendation from one voice rather than 11 10 or 12 different recommendations and that has 11 12 helped immensely and is helping us move 13 forward. 14 Next up, the 27 submissions during 15 the first public comment period have all been 16 gone through, collated and each has shaped the 17 proposal going forward in its own way. Many 18 of the people in this room have submitted 19 comments thanks specifically to you and you're 20 all welcome. 21 The comment period is not closed.

> Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

The last slide will give the contact

22

Page 196

1 information of Lieutenant Faith Reynolds and 2 if you think that you might someday have some 3 input towards shaping it please write it down 4 and don't be shy about getting into contact 5 with us.

6 This is an example of a discussion 7 and ideas brought up at a PARS workshop here 8 in Anchorage on 15 August. You can see here 9 is the narrowest point with Big Diomede and Little Diomede in the middle. And these are 10 11 the different suggestions that were put 12 forward by many of the people who were in 13 attendance at the August 15th meeting. The Alaska Marine Pilots have also 14 15 submitted a great deal of data on the ships that they've taken up through the Bering 16 Strait specifically to the Red Dog Mine and up 17 18 around to Barrow documenting some of the 19 shallowest areas that they routinely transit. 20 And I will tell you that we need to get a 21 handle on this. There are multiple transits 22 of ships that are carrying 40 feet over areas

	Page 197
1	that I know for sure are at most 41 feet and
2	they're only 41 feet because the last ship
3	went through, dredged the way for the current
4	one. As I said before, it's only a matter of
5	time until one of these goes bad and misjudges
6	his exact line and leaves a bottom on the
7	bottom.
8	This is the contact information
9	for Lieutenant Faith Reynolds. This site
10	right here you can look at the comments of
11	other people and use them to either shape your
12	own comments or refute some of the comments
13	that have been made already.
14	I appreciate your time and I thank
15	you for having me. Mr. Wellslager, I thank
16	you very much for allowing me to come and
17	present. I will be on a panel after lunch and
18	right now I'd like to open it up to comments
19	or questions. Captain Glang.
20	CAPT. GLANG: Gerd Glang.
21	Commander, can you talk a little bit about
22	what kind of analysis you did of the AIS data?

	Page 198
1	It would seem that there's probably certain
2	categories of vessels that are cutting it
3	close around the cape and that they may have
4	particular reasons why they're doing that and
5	how you de-conflict that with the other
б	stakeholders' interests to create areas to be
7	avoided. If there's smaller coastal traffic
8	you're going to be pushing them further
9	offshore so can you just talk about how you
10	did that analysis? Thanks.
11	COMMANDER HOUCK: Yes, sir. I'd
12	like to break that down into two parts. First
13	of all, through the Marine Exchange we were
14	able to break out the smaller data, the tugs
15	and local coastal traffic, from the larger
16	vessels that were using the Northwest Passage
17	and the Northern Sea Route.
18	And I will tell you from my
19	experience working on the Port Access Route
20	Study down in the Strait of Juan de Fuca that
21	special exceptions can be made for vessels
22	moving through marine sanctuaries and areas to

	Page 199
1	be avoided that would I won't way minimize
2	but I'll say reduce the cost of doing business
3	as far as fuel spent to increase the distance
4	from shore. And we are looking at that.
5	And there are, just like there are
6	different carriage requirements for different
7	tonnages and types of ships there could also
8	be different routing plans and procedures to
9	be put in place.
10	The beauty of the beauty of the
11	recommended routes and the areas to be avoided
12	is that they could be tailored to certain
13	times of year and changed. There are certain
14	sections of the Coast Pilot that deal with
15	different ports with approaches in winter.
16	There are sections that talk about approaches
17	with predominant winds from one direction or
18	another.
19	We could shape the routing
20	measures to deal with situations where the ice
21	comes in early or the ice comes in late or
22	there is an exceptionally large population of

	Page 200
1	eiders one year and we want to change the
2	routing to ensure that no detriment comes to
3	them.
4	These I say that and I realize
5	that I have contradicted myself with respect
6	to the IMO and its shaping, but one of the
7	other measures that we're looking at is a
8	scaled-down version of a Vessel Traffic
9	Service that would not have to be local, maybe
10	staffed out of another Vessel Traffic Service.
11	We're not sure how it would work.
12	We're not sure how the funding would work for
13	it but we have shown in every case where a
14	Vessel Traffic Service has been put in place
15	that risk is reduced, not eliminated, but
16	reduced by all the things that Captain Page
17	outlined earlier. Just people behave
18	differently when they know they're being
19	watched. They behave differently when they
20	know that their track lines can be
21	reconstructed from computer data. Yes, sir.
22	MEMBER JEFFRESS: If there was a

1	major vessel incident today, either say a
2	cruise ship or an oil tanker, what sort of
3	assets are in place to respond to that right
4	now and how long would it take? And could you
5	angwar that if it was aithor the Bussian side
С	answer that if it was either the Russian side
6	or the American side?
7	COMMANDER HOUCK: If an accident
8	occurred right now response would be at the
9	very least 10 days out if you were relying on
10	the U.S. Coast Guard. Our closest asset that
11	could respond with anything more than removing
12	passengers and crew members would be the Coast
13	Guard cutter SPAR out of Kodiak, Alaska. I
14	assume you're talking about oil response?
15	MEMBER JEFFRESS: Oil or people,
16	if it was a cruise ship or a tanker.
17	COMMANDER HOUCK: Yes, sir. But
18	there are many other out-of-the-box ways to
19	get people off of cruise ships and ships by
20	rerouting other large ships to the area. But
21	for oil spill response this time of the year,
22	if it happened right now there is very little

	Page 202
1	that we could get on scene within 10 days.
2	For this summer's operations we will have no
3	fewer than two Coast Guard cutters in the
4	Arctic present for the duration of this
5	summer's explorative drilling operations and
6	transit season for the ice-free season. And
7	they will be very nearby.
8	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Lawson.
9	MEMBER BRIGHAM: Back to the
10	question that Dr. Jeffress asked which was
11	insightful because it's like IMO asking the
12	question. When you go to IMO presumably NOAA
13	ROSHYDROMET would provide with a very
14	comprehensive analysis of the environment
15	here, ice, weather, winds and the question
16	that Dr. Jeffress asked. How much of the time
17	in the strait is low visibility? Who knows,
18	11 percent, 15 percent, whatever it is. I see
19	a role for NOAA in providing backup analysis
20	of data that the Coast Guard wouldn't have but
21	you all have at NOAA, whatever sparse
22	observations there are to define the

Page 203 1 environment which you must do at IMO I mean I 2 would think to get a handle on risk and why the need for this. Not just because there's 3 shallow water around a few points or a couple 4 5 of islands or even the level of traffic. It's remoteness, cold, low vis, et cetera, right? 6 7 So is that part of your package, part of your 8 effort? 9 COMMANDER HOUCK: It is, yes sir. 10 Concurrently with the digesting of the data we have just begun working on an Environmental 11 12 Impact Statement which will take into account the weather, the response assets and 13 14 predominant currents, predominant ice patterns, that kind of thing as best we can 15 16 figure them at this point. 17 MEMBER BRIGHAM: Who's the we? 18 COMMANDER HOUCK: We the Coast 19 Guard. 20 MEMBER BRIGHAM: But NOAA's the 21 support federal agency to provide all of that 22 analysis. Satellite data, historical record

	Page 204
1	for 30 years, passive microwave, I mean you
2	name it. I don't know, it just seems like a
3	support effort that NOAA could would gladly
4	provide the Coast Guard in this particular
5	effort.
6	COMMANDER HOUCK: We are certainly
7	taking every bit of data that they have and
8	they're very willing to help us out with it.
9	It's still not perfect, it's still as Mark
10	Smith of Vitus said the wild, wild west.
11	It is one of the there are many
12	sub-oceanic bathymetric buoys and studies that
13	are going on to try and catch up. Every week
14	when I sign the Notice to Mariners there are
15	three pages of buoys and beneath-the-surface
16	study items that have to be documented and
17	signed off on. It's just amazing to me how
18	much work we're doing in this area to learn
19	how the environment works and to learn the
20	predominant weather patterns.
21	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Admiral Barbor.
22	MEMBER BARBOR: Yes, I at least

1	Page 205
+	
2	like this to IMO would then be farmed out to
3	the IHB which would ask for member state
4	comments. And obviously NOAA would be
5	expected to comment substantively on the
б	hydrographic ramifications of a particular
7	routing proposal before IMO. And then, you
8	know, the IHO would respond and support it.
9	I would assume WMO would probably do about the
10	same thing on the weather side.
11	But clearly to make that proposal
12	you've got to do your homework before it gets
13	sent out to the international forum.
14	COMMANDER HOUCK: Yes, sir. My
15	goal is that in order to move this we're
16	already behind the power curve. My goal is
17	that instead of farming it out for initial
18	assessment of the hydrographic data and the
19	weather data, that they are just re-contacting
20	people that I've already worked through for
21	confirmation of the data that's presented at
22	the time of proposal.

	Page 206
1	CAPT. LOWELL: I just want to add
2	a couple of comments on what both Lawson said
3	and what Admiral Barbor mentioned. Number one
4	is NOAA is heavily involved, we're always
5	assisting the Coast Guard in these PARS. I
6	believe a couple of months ago there's also a
7	PARS occurring on the east coast and we
8	actually housed a group of Coast Guard folks
9	over in our office where we provided direct,
10	you know, GIS hydrographic review, all the
11	things we typically do from my office
12	perspective to help on Agency PARS. We can
13	certainly do that over here with the Bering
14	Strait PARS study.
15	And to get Admiral Barbor's
16	comment is any routing measure that goes to
17	the IMO for discussion, there is a requirement
18	for hydrographic review because that's
19	actually where you're telling vessels to go as
20	opposed to a big ocean, go wherever you want.
21	And so there's a certain level of
22	responsibility that is incumbent on the

	Page 207
1	hydrographic offices, in this case both the
2	U.S. and Russia to conduct that review. Thank
3	you.
4	VICE CHAIR PERKINS: With the AIS
5	data are you able to track like we do in
6	aviation the near-misses? And are you
7	building that into either your response or
8	your report in the Federal Register to try and
9	help the public understand the necessity for
10	this from a safety of navigation?
11	COMMANDER HOUCK: It's not
12	something we're actively looking at right now.
13	VICE CHAIR PERKINS: Am I correct
14	in assuming that you could analyze the AIS
15	data and actually do proximity interference
16	and see how close these ships are coming to
17	each other in bad weather condition?
18	COMMANDER HOUCK: It would be an
19	assumption on my part and it would be a
20	historical re-creation of the near-miss data.
21	The beauty of having a Vessel Traffic Service
22	is that all that is captured realtime. I

	Page 208
1	would have to rely on Mr. Page and his limited
2	staff to go back and analyze that data right
3	now. And he's shaking his head yes as
4	vigorously as he can.
5	CAPT. PAGE: I won't do it.
б	COMMANDER HOUCK: No.
7	(Laughter.)
8	COMMANDER HOUCK: I can assume
9	Mr. Page, tell me if I'm wrong. If we knew of
10	a near-miss we could go back and it would be
11	much easier to recreate the AIS track lines
12	instead of looking at a year's worth of data
13	and trying to figure out where the near-misses
14	were.
15	VICE CHAIR PERKINS: Will the
16	proposed traffic separation include spacing?
17	CAPT. PAGE: To answer your
18	question we do that routinely now. The Coast
19	Guard has referred to us on past collisions or
20	near-misses or incidents that have happened.
21	So we can play it back. And it's a lot easier
22	if you know what happened than to stare at the

Pag 1 screen like you said, like a year of data and 2 try to see if there's a near-miss situation 3 developing. 4 I'm sure there's ways you could 5 see if two vessels come within 50 yards or 10 9 yards or whatever you want, you could probabl	e 209 0 Y
<pre>1 screen like you said, like a year of data and 2 try to see if there's a near-miss situation 3 developing. 4 I'm sure there's ways you could 5 see if two vessels come within 50 yards or 10 6 yards or whatever you want, you could probabl</pre>	0 Y
<pre>2 try to see if there's a near-miss situation 3 developing. 4 I'm sure there's ways you could 5 see if two vessels come within 50 yards or 10 6 yards or whatever you want, you could probabl</pre>	0 Y
3 developing. 4 I'm sure there's ways you could 5 see if two vessels come within 50 yards or 10 6 yards or whatever you want, you could probabl	0 Y
<ul> <li>I'm sure there's ways you could</li> <li>see if two vessels come within 50 yards or 10</li> <li>yards or whatever you want, you could probabl</li> </ul>	0 У
<ul><li>5 see if two vessels come within 50 yards or 10</li><li>6 yards or whatever you want, you could probabl</li></ul>	0 Y
6 yards or whatever you want, you could probabl	У
7 determine that, but we never really looked at	
8 that yet.	
9 COMMANDER HOUCK: Yes, sir.	
10 MEMBER DEMPSEY: You know, there	
11 was a request of us to report near-misses. I	
12 don't know of any ship or sailor that's going	
13 to report a near-miss.	
14 DR. SULLIVAN: In the aviation	
15 community by contrast there's a long-	
16 established volunteer reporting effort	
17 shepherded by NASA, the Aviation Safety	
18 Reporting System. And by pilots and to a	
19 degree air traffic controllers do report near	_
20 misses there.	
21 And it's for just this reason.	
22 You need some cuing to direct you to which	

	Page 210
1	bits of data, what points in time are
2	pertinent to examine and extract some lessons
3	learned. So it's been a no harm, no foul,
4	anonymous if you wish, teach the system
5	lessons by letting it examine how did two
б	things come too close, come uncomfortably
7	close.
8	MEMBER DEMPSEY: I don't think
9	that's with the maritime yet.
10	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Larry.
11	MR. MAYER: Thank you. I'm
12	wondering if you have any indication of what
13	the response of the Russians might be. And I
14	ask because, in recent discussions with them
15	about the sea issues, we were quite taken
16	aback that there seems to be some
17	reconsideration of the respect for the
18	maritime boundary. That seems to be driven by
19	a very strong fishing lobby in that part of
20	the world that's calling into question the
21	maritime boundary itself.
22	COMMANDER HOUCK: Yes, sir. The

1	
	Page 211
1	maritime boundary that you speak of of course
2	has not been adopted by either nation at this
3	point. So it is constantly in dispute and
4	I've intentionally drawn the parallel lines
5	for the proposed traffic separation scheme not
6	to align with that boundary so that they
7	wouldn't deny it just on the face of that
8	I was trying to confirm that line. I mean
9	that's how international law is formed is by
10	adherence and adoption.
11	So I don't know. I've only been -
12	- I've tried to be in contact ever since I
13	took over in this job but only recently have
14	I gotten anything other than a handshake and
15	a "We'll get in touch with you." So.
16	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Anything else?
17	Commander Houck, thank you very much. This
18	was very informative and it's an interesting
19	study. I hope everything works out for the
20	best. Thank you.
21	COMMANDER HOUCK: Thank you and I
22	hope to be in contact with some of you if you

	Page 212
1	choose to submit recommendations. I welcome
2	them.
3	(Applause.)
4	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: All right.
5	We're running a little bit ahead of schedule
6	but if at all possible and if the panel
7	members are here and would like to go ahead
8	and start I think that would be a good idea.
9	So if we could, please.
10	MS. WATSON: We need a few minutes
11	to load a few more presentations. We need
12	about 5-10 minutes.
13	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Five to ten
14	minutes, please.
15	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
16	matter went off the record at 12:43 p.m. and
17	resumed at 12:55 p.m.)
18	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Well, thank
19	you. It's time for our second panel
20	discussion and we have five people plus one
21	coming onboard again. So what we're going to
22	talk about today is the Alaska multi-mission

Page 213 1 application of NOAA's geospatial Tides & 2 Currents and Hydrographic Services. Michael O'Hare with the Alaska Division of Homeland 3 4 Security and Emergency Management. Commander 5 James Houck once again. He will follow up 6 with some waterways management. Aimee Fish 7 with the National Weather Service. Molly 8 McCammon with the Alaska Ocean Observing Tom Heinrichs as the director of the 9 System. GIS Network of Alaska at University of Alaska 10 Fairbanks, and he's representing the Executive 11 12 Committee for Statewide Digital Mapping Initiative. And then Dr. Bill Hazelton, 13 geomatics, University of Alaska Anchorage. 14 Thank you all for attending and Mr. O'Hare if 15 16 you would like to start, please? 17 MR. O'HARE: Well, thank you very 18 much, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to say 19 thank you. It's an honor to be here in front 20 of such a distinguished panel. I'm going to 21 give you a brief overview of how emergency 22 management in the state of Alaska utilizes

	Page 2
1	coastal mapping data and who our partners are.
2	Because it was originally requested how we
3	directly utilize this, NOAA's maritime data
4	and to be honest we don't.
5	We have great partnerships with
б	the university Geospatial Institute. We also
7	have we have great relationships with the
8	UAF/DNR which produces our maps based upon
9	your data for tsunami inundation analysis and
10	provide communities with opportunities to
11	better prepare themselves for evacuation and
12	all-around emergency preparedness. I'll get
13	into that in a minute.
14	Also, FEMA uses the geodetic
15	survey data sets for their HAZUS runs as well
16	which provides us a visual perspective for
17	emergency and disaster preparedness, primarily
18	tsunami and flood planning. And as you can
19	see and as I'm sure you guys have talked about
20	some of the data sets are ship track lines
21	obtained 1850 to the year 2000. So you know,
22	we're using a full spectrum of data sets to

## 14

	Page 21
1	get information. So essentially we're winging
2	it.
3	But again, you know, it's all
4	about partnerships. The state, our division
5	relies on the sciences and the scientists to
6	provide us with good mapping and good modeling
7	for coastal erosion, for tsunami, for wind
8	damage and like I said, and coastal erosion.
9	Pretty much that is FEMA's cross-section and
10	their how they use the data sets and their
11	calculations for NFIP mapping in their HAZUS
12	models.
13	I believe this is an inundation
14	map of Seward again from the Geophysical
15	Institute in the University of Alaska
16	Fairbanks. Just to give you an idea of what
17	kind of stuff we use. The community uses this
18	to provide to critical information for
19	evacuation routes, good evacuation routes for
20	storm surge and tsunamis. As well as here's
21	a tsunami inundation map for Homer. I'm sure
22	you've probably all seen this thing but it

5

	Page 216
1	sure gives you a good perspective. It sure
2	gives the local community leadership's
3	perspective and the community planners a
4	perspective on what's going to be affected
5	during a tsunami event and where potential
6	best evacuation routes are.
7	And these are communities that are
8	trying to evolve themselves into the Tsunami
9	Ready program. I'm not sure if you're
10	familiar with that but it is a certification
11	from the National Weather Service. They've
12	been providing resources to get tsunami-
13	vulnerable communities better prepared for a
14	tsunami. Public outreach information,
15	awareness to local communities, to local
16	communities, to visitors and residents on
17	evacuation routes and the like.
18	And there's also some really good
19	modeling. And I had a great model set up but
20	you know how these things work with
21	PowerPoint, it really stinks sometimes. So
22	they also provide us with some very good wave
	Page 217
----	---
1	runup modeling based upon that metric
2	information and data sets that they get. They
3	can run some good models for us. I tried it,
4	didn't work, but I just wanted to show that
5	out for it. You can get to their website.
б	They've got some good runup models.
7	So one more item. As you know,
8	increasing coastal and riverine erosion. Some
9	of the communities that are what I call the
10	no-brainers. The communities in peril we've
11	called them in the past for coastal erosion.
12	With the lessening and lateness of the
13	shorefast ice along the coast and the west
14	coast and north we are seeing very, very
15	drastic coastal erosion of the communities.
16	I'll show you a couple of examples of that.
17	One more thing I want to put on
18	the slide is we've involved ourselves with
19	mitigation projects, I'll show you one or two
20	of those, with the communities and the Army
21	Corps of Engineers. They've been a great
22	partner. I love that slide though, it's a

Page 218 1 great perspective. 2 And so yes, this is Newtok If you can -- and Kathy, you've 3 shoreline. 4 got the slide presentation. I'm sure you'll 5 have a copy of this thing. It provides some 6 good information. They're looking at --7 they're basing their average on the model of 8 this thing and on a coastal erosion of 110 9 feet per year based upon previous data from I think it was 1996. Yes, thanks. 10 And aerial photo was taken in 2002. 11 12 But as you can see in about 20 13 years it's going to be -- the community is 14 going to be pretty much eroded out. And so, 15 and the same thing for Kivalina and the other 16 communities that we saw on the previous map. Again, with 110-foot erosion, shoreline 17 18 erosion per year the community of Newtok has 19 decided to relocate with the help of our 20 federal partners to their new location in 21 Mertarvik. And I think they're looking at 22 about 6 to 10 years out to have that

	Page 219
1	completed, but hopefully they'll do that.
2	So these are the options to the
3	community. Either we can help mitigate,
4	physically mitigate the shoreline community
5	from there, or the other option is to move
6	them and it's very costly.
7	Some of the mitigation techniques
8	that we've put forth. Of course those barrier
9	baskets. If you fill them with the right
10	material they work better. If you fill them
11	with sand they tend to not work very well so
12	you live and learn. But these are some of the
13	high-tech designs and getting beat up by low-
14	tech damage and stuff.
15	Some of the mitigation projects
16	that we've worked on again with the Army Corps
17	of Engineers and federal resources have been,
18	you know, seawall abutment, enforcement and it
19	is a continuous ongoing and expensive project.
20	Let's see. Going back to the map.
21	As far as the Arctic goes we don't have a lot
22	of population in there so the focus has not

	Page 2	220
1	been in the past unless there's been a call	
2	for distress we will respond of course	
3	immediately. But those west coast, northwest	
4	coast communities due to coastal erosion have	
5	been the biggest focus for the state of Alaska	
6	with regards to coastal erosion issues.	
7	Again, the lack of shorefast ice	
8	and the lateness of the shorefast ice. And as	
9	we all know we have hurricane storms up here,	
10	they just don't call them pretty names. So	
11	they are, they are vulnerable. And let me go	
12	back. And so that concludes just to give	
13	you an overview and a quick rundown of how we	
14	utilize the data through our partners for	
15	preparedness, mitigation, response and	
16	recovery for the local communities of Alaska.	
17	And thank you very much.	
18	(Applause.)	
19	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. I think	
20	what we'll try to do is go through the	
21	discussions and then ask for questions at the	
22	end. Commander Houck, if you would, please.	

Page 221 1 COMMANDER HOUCK: T didn't 2 introduce myself before. I wanted to save it My name is James Houck. 3 for now. I'm a commander with the U.S. Coast Guard. 4 I have 5 10 years sea time on 5 different ships. Next 6 month I'm heading off to take over another 7 ship out of Homer as interim captain for about 8 6 weeks. I've operated here in Alaska out of 9 Cordova, Alaska with the Coast Guard cutter 10 Sycamore for 3 of the past 4 years. I have six of the most capable, 11 12 flexible and easy-to-deal-with captains on the 13 six ships that I use to manage the waterways 14 of Alaska. My office is responsible for all 15 of the navigable water in Alaska for marking it, sometimes for not marking it, for deciding 16 17 whether to mark it and to do the cost-benefit analysis, sometimes after the fact, for not 18 19 marking a waterway. 20 I was asked to come and speak to 21 how we use hydrographic data in the Coast 22 Guard and how we use NOAA's hydrographic data

	Page 222
1	specifically. I'll tell you that the
2	placement of the aids to navigation, the
3	buoys, the towers, the lights to mark the
4	shoal water is critical to the safe navigation
5	and the safe movement of commerce to and
6	through Alaskan waters.
7	And we there are certain areas
8	where we use and need the data more than
9	others. For instance, the Kuskokwim River,
10	Bechevin Bay, Port Moller, we in recent
11	history, and we've always done this in the
12	Coast Guard. You know, in times past we'd
13	send a small boat in with a piece of line and
14	a weight on the bottom to determine how close
15	we could get to the shore because ever year it
16	changed.
17	In recent times we have the
18	ability to do our own single-band surveys of
19	the different ports which has saved a lot of
20	time. Now, I want you to keep in mind I have
21	four 225-foot ships with an allotment between
22	the 4 of them of 8,400 hours a year. Now, I

	Page 223
1	have to divide that 8,400 hours up into
2	training and many other things as well as
3	marking, visiting, reinspecting the aids to
4	navigation that lie along a coast that is
5	larger than the entire coast of the lower 48.
6	You've heard that said many times. To spend
7	2 to 3 days each time I want to go up into
8	Bechevin Bay or up the Kuskokwim River
9	surveying is not time I have but it's time I
10	must use.
11	I will tell you that in my office
12	and in the Coast Guard there are fewer than
13	five areas where in order to allow the captain
14	of a ship to head up into an area to look at
15	it they are given letters of indemnification.
16	In the Coast Guard in general if you touch the
17	bottom with your ship you can pack your bag,
18	you're done. You'll never go to sea again.
19	In areas like the Kuskokwim, in
20	areas like Bechevin Bay I personally write a
21	letter of indemnification to each one of my
22	COs that I'm asking to go up there explaining

	Page 224
1	to them that I understand the difficulties and
2	that I expect them to operate with the utmost
3	of care as they navigate up and down these
4	waterways, but that I understand that the
5	waterway changes constantly and that I'm
6	asking them to go up into an area that we
7	don't have good charts for.
8	It's pretty tough to ask a
9	commanding officer to create their own chart,
10	monitor the tide cycles, develop their own
11	tide tables and then use those to go and risk
12	everything to mark a waterway to help out with
13	commerce. So letters of indemnification are
14	given in all of those cases.
15	And I will tell you that in my 10
16	years of sea time before coming to Alaska and
17	taking command of the Coast Guard cutter
18	Sycamore I kind of got a chuckle out of how
19	people treated navigation in Alaska, the
20	weather, how fast things could change.
21	My first time getting underway out
22	of Prince William Sound and being slapped in

	Page 225
1	the face with one of these 145-knot winds that
2	the gentleman described earlier wiped that
3	smile right off my face. There's a lot to be
4	said for the need here.
5	And I realize there are other
6	places in the United States. I was captain of
7	a ship out of North Carolina that marked the
8	Outer Banks where things change constantly.
9	But we have methods and ships where if
10	something goes wrong down there, a major storm
11	comes through, within 7 days it's been re-
12	surveyed, okay? So I use that as a segue way
13	to my next item on the agenda.
14	First I want to tell you that
15	throughout my career in the Coast Guard, and
16	I've been in 22 years, I've been from the very
17	beginning the person that they turned to when
18	they think a job just can't be done or is
19	going to be incredibly difficult, go and
20	figure out a way to do it.
21	In that light I was sent to Nome.
22	I was the second person in and the last person

out for this refueling operation. And my
 title changed several times. It wound up
 being the ice boss because I had the
 experience that Captain Brigham, Dr. Brigham
 had given me.

Page 226

6 There was a huge storm in November 7 that came through, hurricane force winds, did 8 damage to the brick wall. I knew that going 9 in and I knew that I was asking the captain of 10 the Healy to come into waters that may not be So I spent several days walking 11 as charted. 12 much further out on the ice than I needed to or probably should have drilling holes, taking 13 14 soundings, verifying depths just to ensure 15 that we could get both the Renda and the Healy in close enough to shore so that we could 16 refuel the city of Nome. 17 I wanted to make that for my own 18 19 benefit, my own selfish reasons because I was

also responsible for surveying and helping lay
in the hose road. I wanted to make that as
short as possible.

	Page 227
1	And luckily Captain Havlik on the
2	Healy I won't call her a risk-taker but she
3	came a lot closer than she had to in order to
4	make it easier and to make that refueling able
5	to take place in under 2 days. If we had had
б	to run the mile and a half of hose that I
7	suspected we would and that I refused to
8	promise less than a mile and a half of hose to
9	Mark Smith of Vitus and the other folks there.
10	It would have taken significantly longer just
11	by the sheer fact that each one of those
12	fittings, each one of those breaks in the hose
13	had to be staffed around the clock as we
14	started up the operation and through the night
15	to accommodate the refueling.
16	These are the ways we use NOAA's
17	surveys. The surveys are inherent to
18	everything that we do from PARS to port access
19	to the marking of the shoals to how we fuel
20	our ships. If one of my ship's captains is
21	heading into one of these areas where I've
22	issued a letter of indemnification they won't

	Page 228
1	even fuel up completely. They'll fuel up just
2	enough to get through the operation so that
3	they're as light as possible and bow down to
4	protect their screws. It, like I say it
5	affects everything that we do.
6	And it is sobering to look at a
7	chart and see that you're basing your career
8	on, at the earliest, or the latest a 1939
9	survey. And I was telling folks earlier, you
10	know, many of the places that we go up in the
11	Arctic over the top, I started going up there
12	in 1994 and I'm sure Captain Brigham was
13	heading up there in 1974. There were many
14	tracks. We were on the track and taking that
15	route solely because that's where the line of
16	pencil soundings, penciled-in soundings was.
17	And there were no soundings on either side of
18	it.
19	That's improved some. It's not
20	100 percent coverage, it's not like steaming
21	into Chesapeake Bay or the Strait of Juan de
22	Fuca where you know within 3 feet of how deep

1       the water is without even a fathometer. But         2       I thank you for your time and Mr. Chairman,         3       thanks for having me.         4       (Applause.)         5       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you.         6       next speaker is Aimee Fish of the National         7       Weather Service.         8       MS. FISH: Thank you very much is         9       letting me come here today and speak to this         10       distinguished panel. There were a few topic		
1 the water is without even a fathometer. But 2 I thank you for your time and Mr. Chairman, 3 thanks for having me. 4 (Applause.) 5 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you. ( 6 next speaker is Aimee Fish of the National 7 Weather Service. 8 MS. FISH: Thank you very much the 9 letting me come here today and speak to this 10 distinguished panel. There were a few topic	age	229
I thank you for your time and Mr. Chairman, thanks for having me. (Applause.) CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you. ( next speaker is Aimee Fish of the National Weather Service. MS. FISH: Thank you very much is letting me come here today and speak to this distinguished panel. There were a few topic	-	
3 thanks for having me. 4 (Applause.) 5 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you. 6 next speaker is Aimee Fish of the National 7 Weather Service. 8 MS. FISH: Thank you very much is 9 letting me come here today and speak to this 10 distinguished panel. There were a few topic		
<ul> <li>4 (Applause.)</li> <li>5 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you.</li> <li>6 next speaker is Aimee Fish of the National</li> <li>7 Weather Service.</li> <li>8 MS. FISH: Thank you very much is</li> <li>9 letting me come here today and speak to this</li> <li>10 distinguished panel. There were a few topic</li> </ul>		
5 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you. 6 next speaker is Aimee Fish of the National 7 Weather Service. 8 MS. FISH: Thank you very much is 9 letting me come here today and speak to this 10 distinguished panel. There were a few topic		
6 next speaker is Aimee Fish of the National 7 Weather Service. 8 MS. FISH: Thank you very much is 9 letting me come here today and speak to this 10 distinguished panel. There were a few topic	Dur	
7 Weather Service. 8 MS. FISH: Thank you very much a 9 letting me come here today and speak to this 10 distinguished panel. There were a few topic		
8 MS. FISH: Thank you very much : 9 letting me come here today and speak to this 10 distinguished panel. There were a few topic		
9 letting me come here today and speak to this 10 distinguished panel. There were a few topic	Eor	
10 distinguished panel. There were a few topic	3	
	cs	
11 that I could have chosen to speak about toda	ay	
12 when it comes to hydrographic surveying and		
13 coastal surveying along Alaska's coast.		
14 As has been mentioned, bathymet	ry	
15 obviously is critically important. And while	le	
16 I'm not focusing on that topic today I will		
17 just say without accurate bathymetry we can	not	
18 provide accurate near-shore wave modeling		
19 which is critically important not just for		
20 boaters, for folks who are subsistence hunte	ers	
21 and gatherers, but also for our coastal		
22 communities which are, as has already been		

	Page 230
1	pointed out by Mr. O'Hare, very vulnerable to
2	the ocean.
3	Instead I'm going to focus on
4	water level measurements and tides. And I
5	think the best way to do that is to focus on
6	the storm that has been brought up by
7	Commander Houck and that was the very storm
8	that brought the Renda and Healy into Nome
9	because their fuel ship had to turn away. And
10	that was our big November storm of this last
11	fall.
12	What you're seeing on this screen
13	is a satellite image of the storm. And I like
14	your map better, Mr. O'Hare, than mine, but I
15	guess it gives similar perspective.
16	So obviously this is our big
17	storm. If you were to map that out, the
18	extent would be really about one-third of the
19	CONUS. What I've also put on this map here is
20	in red. This is where we have water level
21	observation points in the area that was
22	impacted. We have more than that in Alaska

	Page 231
1	but not in the western area that was impacted
2	by the storm. And really mostly these
3	locations were not much impacted, certainly
4	not in the way of communities being threatened
5	by damage. And this observation point here is
6	for tsunami water level monitoring. It's not
7	an NWLON.
8	Just a quick recap on what this
9	storm did. More than 35 communities were
10	damaged, received damage. It impacted about
11	1,000 miles of coastline. It prompted a
12	Presidential disaster declaration and damage
13	estimates are still coming in. Because this
14	happened in November we had a very cold, hard
15	winter that especially in the western part of
16	our state does not quite seem to want to leave
17	yet. The water that came in and inundated
18	these communities froze. So we still don't
19	really know what the total of our damages will
20	be.
21	And here's a few of the things
22	that we had to combat in our forecasts.

	Page 232
1	Forty-foot seas were measured by our one buoy
2	that was actually working which would be
3	approximately out in this area. Wind gusts to
4	about 93 miles an hour. That was measured at
5	Little Diomede Island. And blizzard
6	conditions as well as storm surge.
7	And again, just to put this into
8	perspective, we obviously do get hurricane
9	force winds and hurricane strength storms
10	here. This particular storm was only 1
11	millibar of central pressure different from
12	Hurricane Irene and the wind speeds were quite
13	comparable to those that were experienced in
14	the mid-Atlantic states as that hurricane made
15	landfall.
16	So as I mentioned, this is the
17	area outlined in red approximately that was
18	impacted as far as the communities in there.
19	And it's a little wide to the west so I could
20	include the Pribilof Islands which did receive
21	damage. But as you'll note there are two
22	water level observation points that are

encompassed by this, again, nearly 1,000 miles 1 2 of coastline. And as has already been mentioned 3 this coastline in particular is extremely 4 5 vulnerable to coastal erosion and storm surge The photograph in the middle, it's a 6 damage. 7 little dark, but this is actually ice that has 8 inundated a home. This was a child's bedroom. So the sea can often be relentless and in this 9 10 case we did have ice present so it brought a whole new challenge. 11 I think to best illustrate our 12 13 need for water level observing and tidal 14 predictions I'm going to focus on the story of one community. This is the community of 15 16 Golovin which is on Norton Sound, it's just 17 east of Nome. You can see on the map. And 18 this is a map that our forecast office in 19 Fairbanks, which is responsible for making the 20 forecasts for this area, generated based on 21 historical knowledge, 30 years of trying to 22 forecast for this area what kind of weather

> Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

## Page 233

Page 234 1 makes them most vulnerable. 2 The picture in the upper is mean low low water. So essentially, the 3 perspective of what the community looks like. 4 5 In the lower corner that's what the community 6 looks like inundated with water during a storm 7 of September 2005. I believe the storm of 8 September 2004 one year prior was a bit worse 9 in that community. But yes, okay good, you're 10 shaking your head. My memory could be mistaken but sounds like not. 11 12 So this is a quick look at 13 Golovin. So the area that you saw 14 photographs, this is about that area right 15 here. This includes their school, the majority of where the residents live, their 16 17 power plant and their water facilities as well. This area closer to their airport is 18 19 about where they would evacuate if they needed 20 to evacuate their community. 21 So these were some of the 22 decisions that community leaders were having

	Page 235
1	to make and asking us for decision support.
2	Should we evacuate due to storm surge, and if
3	so when? Now it's not just like a regular
4	evacuation like you would have with a
5	hurricane. Typically those occur in warm
6	months. In this situation we had a blizzard
7	that was occurring. It was very cold and very
8	windy, and there was one private generator
9	that was available in the area for evacuation
10	and it had limited fuel. If my recollection
11	is correct I think they had about 72 hours'
12	worth of fuel to keep that generator going.
13	So certainly not something that they were
14	eagerly going to be doing if they could have
15	avoided.
16	Some of the other issues, their
17	power and their water. Power of course is
18	critical if you're talking about cold, windy
19	blizzard conditions and a community which has
20	elderly people and young children because it's
21	cold and you need a heat source.
22	There aren't very many trees that

Page 236 1 live there so certainly you wouldn't have much 2 in the way of wood to burn so you needed power and you needed fuel. So will the plants be 3 inundated? Should we shut down the water or 4 5 the power and when? And these were decisions 6 where they were trying to essentially save 7 their power plant. 8 If the water came in it would have 9 destroyed their power plant if they had it up 10 and running. So they needed to make a decision of when to turn it down, but also at 11 12 the same time not put their population at risk 13 because of the elements. 14 And of course this was the 15 ultimate question. We heard this over and over again. How bad will it be and will it be 16 17 comparable to 2004 or 2005? Something of a historical record that they could put into 18 19 perspective. 20 Well, for us that's a little bit 21 difficult to answer because we don't have a 22 record of how bad it got in 2004-2005. We

	Page 237
1	don't have a water-level measuring capability
2	there.
3	These are two different charts
4	which our forecasters will use for
5	extratropical storm surge. So this is just
б	pure surge from the storm, pressure-driven
7	surge, with tidal predictions. Now, in
8	Golovin we actually did have tidal predictions
9	and that's this lower green line on this bar.
10	Compared to Nome which you saw on the map is
11	relatively by Alaska standards pretty close
12	by, quite a different tidal pattern.
13	Also on the Nome chart you'll see
14	a different color in these X'd marks. This
15	line, the big bold line, that is essentially
16	the total water level prediction based on the
17	surge in the tide. The red hash marks are
18	what was observed. So that's essentially our
19	benchmark, you know, how is the model
20	performing, how bad is this compared to years
21	past. If we have that data we can look at
22	that. We don't have that data for Golovin

	Page 238
1	because we don't have a way of measuring
2	what's going on.
3	And as you can see the same part
4	of this storm, quite a difference between
5	what's going on in Golovin and what's going on
6	in Nome. So we can't take one community and
7	make it fit with another, even if it's close
8	by. And as I already mentioned Golovin was
9	lucky because they had tidal predictions. And
10	the key word is "had" because they're no
11	longer available on tide and currents.
12	Luckily we still have them in our model and
13	we're still running our model with the old
14	predictions.
15	So the questions that we got from
16	many villages, and we had conference calls
17	with the state and FEMA, and there would be
18	dozens of villages that were in the line of
19	this storm that would be asking very specific
20	questions much like questions we received from
21	Golovin. And they all asked the same things
22	in one form or another, how bad will it be,

	Page 239
1	how high will the water get. And frankly it's
2	impossible to answer these questions when
3	there's no tidal prediction. Storm surge at
4	low tide is a much different thing than storm
5	surge at high tide.
6	So then of course the question is
7	when will high tide be. And on our conference
8	calls this is when we had silence on the phone
9	because there in many cases was no answer.
10	So here's a quick look at where we
11	do have tidal predictions. And I will point
12	out a lot of these are new in the area of
13	concern. So we're very pleased to see
14	Unalakleet come on this winter, North Bay,
15	Shaktoolik, Shishmaref. I mean, these as has
16	been mentioned are some really critical areas.
17	So these are brand new. Not all of these were
18	available to us for our November storm so
19	progress is great and much appreciated but
20	certainly we have some work to do. And so the
21	question is what can we do.
22	Well of course in the perfect

	Page 240
1	world we would have National Water Level
2	Observation Network sites everywhere. We know
3	that's not realistic. So in Alaska we have a
4	saying where, you know, you bring the paper
5	clips, I'll bring the duct tape, we'll make
б	something work. That's kind of our grassroots
7	effort and way of managing things.
8	Certainly there's a need for a
9	grassroots effort here, but there's also a
10	need for less expensive platforms. We don't
11	always need a Cadillac. It's pretty amazing
12	what an Alaskan can do with a four-wheeler.
13	We also desperately need more
14	tidal predictions. What's interesting is
15	there's actually a lot of historical data but
16	those are not necessarily available in the
17	form of predictions. And I'll use Golovin as
18	an example, again, a site that's no longer
19	available in Tides & Currents.
20	And I look back at the historical
21	data and it looks like that was from 1899.
22	Now, I totally understand the deal with

	Page 241
1	bathymetric surveys that are old, I get that,
2	but in our mind even though it's old it's
3	still better than nothing because we were able
4	to provide a service to Golovin that frankly
5	we were not able to provide with some of the
б	other villages.
7	And of course we would request
8	that some of the criteria be relaxed for
9	Alaska so we can still get, even though it's
10	about 2 weeks' worth of data and it is
11	extremely old, it's still very valuable.
12	So of course the question is is no
13	data worse than bad data, or is bad data worse
14	than no data. And I guess the question is are
15	predictions based on short observations better
16	than no predictions at all.
17	Well, let's think of what data is.
18	Data essentially is nourishment for a
19	forecast. So if we use an analogy about
20	nourishment the question then becomes is bad
21	food worse than no food, and in some cases
22	absolutely yes. You're not going to eat a

	Page 242
1	rotten egg, it's going to make you sick.
2	You'd be better off not eating anything at
3	all.
4	But what if you have some
5	strawberries that are just not quite ripe?
6	That's not good, it's not pristine, it's not
7	perfect, but is it bad? And our feeling is
8	it's definitely better than no food at all.
9	And I guess that analogy really works for us
10	here.
11	It's not we're not asking for
12	perfection, we're not asking for the Cadillac
13	of services but we are asking for something
14	that we can work with. Because quite frankly,
15	in Alaska we're kind of used to strawberries
16	that aren't perfect on our store shelves when
17	we can get them, and it's pretty amazing what
18	we can make with them when we're through at
19	the end of the day. So thank you very much.
20	(Applause.)
21	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Our next
22	speaker will be Molly McCammon with the Alaska

	Page 243
1	Ocean Observing System. Sorry about that.
2	MS. MCCAMMON: That's okay. It's
3	McCammon.
4	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you.
5	MS. MCCAMMON: Thanks very much,
6	it's great to be here. I want to acknowledge
7	a couple of people in the room. The chair of
8	my board is Captain Ed Page. So I think he
9	has a better job than I do because I go to
10	D.C. a lot and he goes kayaking a lot.
11	And the person who hired me for
12	this job originally is Lawson Brigham in 2003.
13	He was one of the first people who got the
14	funding together to get the Alaska Ocean
15	Observing System started so thank you, Lawson.
16	So what and who is AOOS, the
17	Alaska Ocean Observing System? We're a
18	regional program that's actually part of a
19	national integrated ocean observing system
20	that's been codified in law by Congress. So
21	we're part of a congressional act.
22	Our mission is kind of multifold.

	Page 244
1	We provide easy access to physical, chemical
2	and biological data. We develop a network of
3	ocean and coastal observations. And our
4	policy is if we can't do it, if we can't
5	provide it, who can and we do a lot of
6	facilitation. We do a lot of bringing folks
7	together, trying to make things happen.
8	And then thirdly we develop
9	information products and tools for informed
10	decision-making. Because so often what we
11	find is that people don't really want data,
12	they want the information that data is giving
13	them.
14	As I mentioned we're part of this
15	national program and there are programs like
16	us all around the country. When I first
17	started in 2003 with Lawson at that time it
18	was mostly a set of earmarked programs that
19	have been developed. About half of the states
20	were covered with this. We had a total of
21	about \$47 million in annual earmarks. We even
22	received several from Senator Stevens to get

	Page 245
1	started.
2	Now we have complete coverage of
3	the entire coastal U.S. and we're operating at
4	about half the money. So times have changed
5	and we're trying to do as everyone else, more
6	with less.
7	So our founding board members are
8	primarily state agencies, federal agencies,
9	the research community and then we have the
10	Marine Exchange of Alaska. So we do have
11	basically most of the decisionmakers in Alaska
12	at the table who set our priorities.
13	But we do partnerships with all
14	kinds of folks. I mean, we're Alaska is
15	we're the queens and kings of leveraging up
16	here because that's the only way we can get
17	anything done. And I appreciate Larry Mayer's
18	comment about the frailty of programs that are
19	supported by these leveraged resources. But
20	I don't see any other way of being able to do
21	things and accomplish things in Alaska. And
22	at least we're getting things done that way.

	Page 246
1	So if you can come up with a better solution
2	we'll take it.
3	Our program is stakeholder-driven.
4	So a typical research program asks questions,
5	science questions, and then they decide what
б	kind of observations and models they need. We
7	start with who are the users of the
8	environment, what are the decisions they have
9	to make, what are the issues they're facing,
10	what kind of observations and forecasts do
11	they need. And then from there what kinds of
12	things do we need in the water, what kinds of
13	information products.
14	So we have a whole diverse group
15	of decisionmakers and stakeholders ranging
16	from resource managers to industry to local
17	communities to mariners, fishermen, just kind
18	of that wide swath.
19	Because we do have a limited
20	program and we try to be very strategic our
21	focus has been on a couple of thematic areas:
22	marine operations, coastal hazards, water

	Page 247
1	quality and ecosystems fisheries and climate
2	trends. And we try to pick the kind of key
3	areas within those segments of how we can make
4	a difference. Whether it's operating weather
5	stations in Prince William Sound which we've
6	done, whether it's partnering with Ed Page and
7	developing ways to push weather out through
8	the AIS sites, whether it's funding a historic
9	sea ice atlas that Lawson begged for for years
10	and we're now funding it with the University
11	of Alaska Fairbanks so we will have a
12	historical sea ice record for Alaska
13	electronically within another year. Whether
14	it's funding high-frequency radars in the
15	Chukchi Sea so that we can monitor currents,
16	surface currents, or monitor ocean
17	acidification at three buoys around the state
18	and along the Seward Line which is our longest
19	time series. So we do those kinds of things
20	in terms of modeling, forecasting, and
21	observations.
22	Part of our one of the things

	Page 248
1	we did this past year was develop kind of an
2	optimum 10-year build-out. If we didn't look
3	at money what are really the needs again
4	looking at these thematic areas and how would
5	we develop a program for the state. And we
б	looked it from kind of the large marine
7	ecosystem perspective and then developing it
8	into seven subregions and areas.
9	And we used our experiment in
10	Prince William Sound. We started this in 2003
11	as kind of a if we were to do an ideal
12	observing system what would it look like. And
13	we started in the sound because we built on
14	the legacy of the Exxon Valdez oil spill which
15	has a huge amount of research and a lot of
16	different facilities that were there that we
17	could leverage.
18	And we showed this is 100
19	kilometers square. So it's pretty small, it's
20	pretty tractable, it worked. We were able to
21	do in one field experiment we did lots of
22	drifters in there. We were able to show how

	Page 249
1	our models worked, how the models worked
2	better when you had realtime observations that
3	you could assimilate into the models. It
4	worked. It's just not cost-effective.
5	And then you try to replicate
6	something like this in other areas such as the
7	Bering Strait. And Lawson will recognize this
8	because this one kind of a conceptual
9	observing system that we developed as part of
10	the Arctic Marine Shipping Assessment a few
11	years back.
12	When you try to replicate it up
13	there the cost is just astronomical. And this
14	is the map that I like to use. I mean,
15	everyone else was showing the other map, but
16	this is the map I use, especially with my
17	California compatriots because this is in
18	some ways we do ourselves a disservice because
19	we put the entire map of Alaska on one slide.
20	And it looks like we have a lot of stuff going
21	on, and boy, you guys are lucky. Look at all
22	this stuff happening.

	Page 250
1	But you have to really put it in
2	perspective in terms of the scale of what
3	we're trying to deal with and the remoteness,
4	and the fact that most of these regions have
5	no roads, have limited power, are iced in a
6	good portion of the year, extreme weather
7	conditions, et cetera, et cetera. So I always
8	like to and the little purple area down
9	here, this area down here, that's our southern
10	California ocean observing system. So I
11	always like to say.
12	Of course then they'll tell me the
13	value of, you know, 1 inch of shoreline of
14	California coast compared to ours. Then we
15	start arguing about resources and whales and
16	fish and everything. But anyway.
17	So when we're trying to do this
18	and this has actually been a really good
19	exercise for us because we're looking at what
20	do we really need at kind of these subregional
21	scales. And again, it's kind of course
22	resolution everywhere. We're going to use a

	Page 251
1	lot of satellites, remote sensing models, not
2	a lot of observations, just to give us that
3	big picture.
4	But then everybody wants more
5	information about their back yard. So they
б	want more information on the Bering Strait,
7	Norton Sound, the Chukchi right off Prudhoe
8	Bay. You're going to need more point
9	observations, more sentinel monitoring.
10	You're going to need finer scale models and
11	forecasts. You're going to need ShoreZone
12	detailed GIS data layers. So at those little
13	scales like that.
14	And we've actually used this
15	build-out plan in a lot of different venues to
16	start talking about how do we collectively as
17	a community build something like this. We
18	don't see this as us, Alaska Ocean Observing
19	System, we see this as the collective
20	community trying to develop this.
21	So when you don't have a lot of
22	observations to begin with of course kind of

	Page 252
1	the key is making sure that whatever you do
2	have people have access to it. And when we
3	started in 2003 the only real regional data
4	portal was GINA which Tom is going to talk
5	about which really focused a lot on satellite
6	data and on terrestrial data.
7	So we really have put since that
8	time a lot of effort into our Alaska Ocean
9	Observing System ocean portal. And just this
10	year we are now able to integrate and
11	aggregate multiple data layers, from sensors
12	to models to remote sensing to anything with
13	GIS, with a lat-long to project-level point
14	data and integrate these into products that
15	hopefully will be of use to various
16	stakeholders.
17	We started this 2 years ago with
18	what was called the Arctic Research Assets
19	Map. And again you see all these little dots
20	up here and it looks like there's a lot going
21	on and there is. And this is all due in the
22	last 5 years to work from MMS/BOEM and the
	Page 253
----	---
1	industry, Statoil, Shell and ConocoPhillips
2	and then on the Beaufort side some from BP.
3	The industry and agencies asked us
4	to put this map together because all of a
5	sudden there was a lot of things going on up
6	there. There were buoys in the water. They
7	wanted to make sure that everyone knew where
8	everything was so that you wouldn't see your
9	mooring heading towards Canada.
10	But it's been incredibly useful
11	over the last 2 years. It's reduced
12	duplication of effort. People have looked at
13	this map and said I was going to put an
14	acoustic recorder there but I see you already
15	have one. I'm going to put mine over here.
16	It's allowed us to identify gaps,
17	where are the big holes in monitoring and in
18	research. It's allowed people to avoid
19	collisions. It's given incredible
20	opportunities for collaboration because we
21	also include ship tracks, planned ship tracks
22	and also air tracks. And it gives you a much

Page 254 more holistic view of the research effort. 1 2 And we're now taking this and 3 we're expanding it to western Alaska, the Bering Strait south and then our goal is to 4 5 have this statewide. Ultimately our goal then is also to link directly to the data from 6 7 these various sources. 8 So we started 2 years ago with 9 first of all making sure that we had access to 10 every realtime sensor in the state. And we have continued to do that and hopefully we'll 11 12 be getting the industry met/ocean data on here as soon as that comes online through National 13 14 Data Buoy Center. 15 We provide things like winds, 16 tidal predictions, water temperature wherever 17 they have it. We can overlay all these various pieces of information. 18 19 Our most popular page has always 20 been the webcam page and we've put these 21 together for Prince William Sound and Cook 22 Inlet where they're on one page so they don't

	Page 255
1	have to go to multiple sites.
2	These webcams come from a lot of
3	different sources. Pilots love them because
4	they can see on one page what the weather is
5	like across the inlet or across the sound.
6	This is an example of where you do
7	multiple sensors and multiple information,
8	whether it's water level or the webcam and the
9	winds. And you can see it all integrated on
10	one page.
11	We have a model explorer which
12	uses remote sensing data and any models. So
13	we have sea ice, winds, snow depth, water
14	level. Any model that we can get our hands on
15	we're putting that in. And again, you can
16	start layering those as well.
17	This includes things like currents
18	which gives you realtime currents in some
19	places plus 4 hours into the future. We have
20	a capacity where in a model like this you can
21	drop a virtual sensor and you can get the data
22	set for that point. It gives you some idea of

	Page 256
1	what it might be at that particular location.
2	Our latest tool that we've been
3	developing is for Cook Inlet and this was at
4	the request of the Cook Inlet Regional
5	Citizens Advisory Council. And it
6	incorporates all those various layers. So
7	we've got models, satellite data, all the
8	sensor data and then we also have the
9	ShoreZone high-definition videography and
10	videos.
11	You can now fly the coastline,
12	stop at a point, grab a picture of it and see
13	what data is available at that point. And
14	this is something that will be our
15	intention is to do this for the entire coast
16	of Alaska at some point. So this has been
17	something we're just in the process of
18	releasing right now.
19	And this is Augustine Volcano here
20	and these are the ShoreZone tracks here that
21	you can fly all around here and see the actual
22	coastline. So this is an incredible tool for

	Page 257
1	oil spill response, for any kind of planning,
2	for coastal zone permitting, for planning
3	where you're going to camp on your kayak trip,
4	to see if there's a beach available for
5	anything. And the entire state will be mapped
б	with ShoreZone probably within the next 2 to
7	3 years. So we're getting very close to doing
8	that.
9	So I do want to highlight a little
10	bit the industry-NOAA data-sharing MOA that
11	Dr. Sullivan mentioned yesterday and that was
12	signed by NOAA last year. And the three
13	companies, Shell, Statoil and ConocoPhillips.
14	And you know, you always say the
15	devil is in the details, and the detailed
16	annexes have taken way more time than we
17	thought they would. But the Annex Number 1,
18	the top priority for the met/ocean data in
19	realtime and the sea ice images, that's been
20	signed at least on the NOAA side and is
21	expected by the industry momentarily I
22	anticipate.

	Page 258
1	Annex 2, historic met/ocean data
2	and non-realtime environmental studies data.
3	That's in the process and is very close to
4	being signed. That's a \$40 million
5	environmental studies data set for the Chukchi
6	Sea. You combine that with the \$40 to \$50
7	million that MMS/BOEM has funded over the last
8	5 to 7 years, you have a \$100 million data set
9	of environmental studies in the Chukchi. That
10	is a huge resource that is going to become
11	available to the scientific community and the
12	public as of July 1st. So that's huge.
13	Annex Number 3, we hope to get
14	this in too is the hydrographic and
15	bathymetric surveys for charting and mapping.
16	That will be on its way and we hope to get
17	that accomplished by the end of the summer.
18	And I know Matt's really chomping at the bit
19	to get that.
20	Our data portal is going to be the
21	access point for the industry data, for the
22	non-realtime industry data. And all the NOAA

	Page 259
1	data centers are going to be in archive and
2	also provide public access. So this is a huge
3	thing that we hope to replicate elsewhere.
4	Another project that we've been
5	working on is funded by NOAA. We call it the
б	STAMP project, Spatial Tools for Arctic
7	Mapping and Planning. This was part of the
8	regional ocean planning funds that NOAA had,
9	coastal marine spatial planning, that kind of
10	pot of money.
11	We worked with the state of Alaska
12	who has expressed a lot of concerns about
13	CMSP. But they've always been very supportive
14	of data integration and data visualization.
15	They were right there with us on that. So we
16	crafted our project to really focus on those
17	pieces of it.
18	So we're focusing and we were
19	successful. We reviewed well and we received
20	\$760,000 for a year and a half project.
21	And instead of focusing on what do
22	you need for the PARS study for shipping or

	Page 260
1	for oil and gas development, we're looking at
2	hypothetically. Right now there's a
3	moratorium on commercial fisheries development
4	in the Arctic.
5	So what would you need though if
6	you were to have commercial fisheries? What
7	kind of baseline data? What kinds of
8	decisions would need to be made? How do you
9	start developing those information layers?
10	And oh by the way, they can be used for the
11	Bering Strait shipping issues. They can be
12	used for decisions on oil and gas now because
13	it really is the same kind of information.
14	So this project, right now our
15	project manager is in Kotzebue with the Arctic
16	ERMA folks. They're meeting with folks there
17	jointly to make sure that everyone knows how
18	we're working closely together on that. But
19	this I think will be of a lot of value to the
20	Coast Guard and to other decisionmakers.
21	And this is as part of that we
22	have a new polar projection that is going to

	Page 261
1	come out on our ocean portal. So the Arctic,
2	typically you just see this little band of
3	Arctic and then it gets cut off. So for the
4	Arctic piece of it we're going to have the
5	polar projection so we can really see with
6	Alaska where it should be kind of prominent at
7	the bottom where your eye is usually.
8	And this is the sea ice
9	concentration from yesterday. So this is the
10	kind of thing layers will start showing there.
11	So just in conclusion I just want
12	to emphasize that all of the stakeholders that
13	we have depend greatly on Hydrographic
14	Services for lots of different purposes and
15	not just navigation. I think Aimee mentioned
16	a few of them, just the need for bathymetry
17	for storm surge inundation models, for ocean
18	circulation models. We and it doesn't have
19	to be perfect but we just need to have some
20	and we need to have whatever we have
21	integrated.
22	Fish and Game uses winds,

Page 262

bathymetry and sea surface temperatures to
 gauge the run timing of salmon into the Yukon
 and Kuskokwim Rivers and that run timing is
 changing. It has huge impacts on commercial
 fishermen.

6 So we have a really broad mandate 7 and mission, lots of diverse stakeholders but 8 our kind of motto is measure once, use many 9 times. So you get -- if you get lots of hits 10 from multiple stakeholders, you know, you're 11 golden in terms of an observation platform.

12 We also have the capacity and are serving now as kind of a key data assembly 13 14 center and integrator. We look at things as 15 trying to present the ocean in 4D. A lot of mapping services are two-dimensional, they're 16 kind of lat-long. We're looking at the ocean 17 18 with depth and with time, over time. 19 Our system is one that also meets 20 national standards and global standards so we

21 feed directly into a national program and a

global program.

22

1	
	Page 263
1	And just lastly I want to say
2	there's lots of interest in the Arctic now and
3	every time you go to a meeting it's a changing
4	landscape of players who are at the table.
5	We're now working with the landscape
6	conservation cooperatives that are Department
7	of Interior. We're working with USGS, their
8	climate science centers. So there's lots of
9	new partners and players. Industry is really
10	at the table.
11	And it's something we all have to
12	keep on our toes about because, you know, you
13	just go on vacation and you come back and
14	everything's all changed. So it really is a
15	changing landscape and we appreciate that
16	interest, especially if you come with money.
17	(Laughter.)
18	MS. MCCAMMON: And with that I'll
19	conclude. Thanks.
20	(Applause.)
21	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you,
22	Molly. That was very, very interesting. Our

	Page 264
1	next speaker will be Tom Heinrichs, the
2	director of Geographic Information Network of
3	Alaska and the University of Alaska Fairbanks.
4	MR. HEINRICHS: Well, thanks. I'm
5	here pinch-hitting for Ann Johnson of the DNR,
б	Division of Mining, Land & Water who's back in
7	D.C. representing at another meeting.
8	So as I mentioned I'm also
9	representing the Statewide Digital Mapping
10	Initiative. Michael O'Hare is one of my co-
11	chairs on that board members on that.
12	And all right. I'll give you an
13	update on what we're doing in terms of mapping
14	Alaska, both orthoimagery and digital
15	elevation model mapping. So, I'm going to
16	frame it first of all what the goal of the
17	program is.
18	Our focus is on three data layers
19	primarily, the first being orthoimagery, the
20	second being DEMs and third being control, to
21	produce those two layers. And we focus on a
22	statewide basis.

Page 265 There's a number of projects that 1 2 are done, you know, say the communities are mapped by census or a given transportation 3 corridor is mapped by DOT to serve a project. 4 5 The SDMI looks at these broader statewide So we're mapping, you know, 1.7 6 issues. 7 million square kilometers of orthoimagery and 8 a comparable amount of digital elevation 9 models. 10 We also seek to make this data 11 more easily accessible through open standards. 12 I'll show you some of that here. So I'll make a brief digression about my group which is the 13 14 project managers for the orthoimagery side of We're at UAF part of the International 15 this. Arctic Research Center, and GINA, Geographic 16 Information Network of Alaska is our group. 17 We do a lot of direct reception of 18 19 satellite data. A few of these slides in here 20 just show our deep and long ties with NOAA. 21 We work with the Weather Service all the time. 22 One of the main reasons I'm down in Anchorage

Page 266 1 this week is to go over to the Weather Service 2 forecast office over on Raspberry Road to work with the forecasters in the Bering Strait WFO, 3 the Alaska Aviation Weather Unit and the 4 5 Volcano Observatory and the River Forecast 6 Center to introduce some new data products 7 from the Suomi NPP satellite which was 8 recently launched. It's doing fantastic work 9 with the High Latitude Proving Ground which is being scored by the JPSS and the GOES-R 10 programs. So we have a long history that's 11 12 literally more than two decades we've worked with the Weather Service and others in NOAA. 13 14 We also have a strong partnership with NOAA's primary ground receiving station 15 16 in Fairbanks which is their primary polar ground receiving station. This station is 17 18 busier than all of the NASA ground receiving 19 networks combined. So what I'm saying is they 20 receive more satellite passes on these six 21 antennas here in Fairbanks than NASA does 22 qlobally. But it's a fantastic shop. And we

	Page 267
1	have a high-speed line so we can pretty much
2	tap into that and receive any kind of realtime
3	satellite imagery. I'm not going to focus a
4	lot on that because we do realtime stuff.
5	Wildfires, a big thing this summer, volcanic
6	ash, a huge hazard to aviation obviously.
7	This is going to be the only time
8	you're ever going to see Golovin, Alaska used
9	twice as an example.
10	(Laughter.)
11	MR. HEINRICHS: I had to laugh
12	when Aimee showed this. So I'm going to jump
13	right into a real world example of the
14	problems we're solving.
15	So, I'm going to go into this in a
16	little more detail in a second, but we produce
17	what's called the best data layer we call it
18	which is basically a mosaic of the best
19	available imagery. You know, down in the
20	lower 48 you have the entire country is
21	mapped every 3 years using high-resolution
22	airborne photos. The stuff you're seeing here

	Page 268
1	in terms of these blocks here is the high-res
2	data we have. And I'll talking about some of
3	that here in a second.
4	So we'll be looking at Golovin
5	down there. This is one of the product lines
6	that's come out of our SDMI Ortho program.
7	Here's a color infrared image. And I'm going
8	to zoom in on Golovin here.
9	This is what we had in terms of
10	what's available in the public sector. You
11	can do better than this in, say, Google Earth
12	or Bing Maps but in terms of if you're an
13	agency you want to be able to print a map
14	using public sector. This is what we had,
15	landsat data, 15 meter pixels for Golovin.
16	Through our statewide mapping
17	program we got to this. This is 2 and a half
18	meter pixels. And that shift you saw there is
19	actually not that's not an error in terms
20	of image registration, that's actually a
21	problem in the horizontal accuracy of the
22	landsat data. This imagery is more accurate

Page 269
horizontally and of much higher resolution.
We also have a color infrared product useful
for doing vegetation mapping type stuff. We
have a black and white product all derived
from the same data set that's used for can
be used if you want to overlay colored
polygons. It's great to have a black and
white layer behind that to not interfere with
your color scheme.
What we had before, again this is
the USGS topo map for Golovin. That's the
best we got from USGS as of recently. Here's
the chart.
So again, that's where we are in
terms of natural color. And then we also have
through the State Department of Commerce and
Economic Development we have 1-foot imagery as
well too. And that's all incorporated into
this web service that I'll talk about more
later.
So, wherever you go in the state
of Alaska you hook up to this particular

Page 270 service and you'll now have 1-foot data or 2 1 2 and a half meter data or landsat data, whatever is best available for that area. 3 So 4 these are all enabled through the SDMI program 5 that I'm describing. So again, that's the mission statement again. 6 7 So, about SDMI in general, it's a 8 state-run outfit. These are the agencies that 9 are -- the usual suspects. The land managers, 10 resource managers, university as well. In terms of our funding partners 11 12 for this work I'll show you the extent of the work here shortly. The orthoimagery side is 13 14 being funded by the BOEM and also the state 15 SDMI through capital appropriation. And on the digital elevation model side the funders 16 are in order of contribution NGA, SDMI, USGS, 17 NRCS and BLM and Park Service. We thank our 18 19 funders. 20 The contracting teams. Aero-21 Metric is the lead on the orthoimagery side, 22 Dewberry on the IfSAR side.

271	Page	
	And then this map here shows all	1
	the new data that's been generated through the	2
	program to date. So what we're seeing here is	3
	sorry. All this area here is going to have	4
	new 2 and a half meter spatial resolution,	5
	12.2 meter CE90 accuracy orthoimagery. The	6
	entire state's going to be covered wall to	7
	wall by the end of the program.	8
	These are all the new acquisitions	9
	we flew in 2010 of 20-foot contour accuracy	10
	DEM. And I'll show you there's going to be a	11
	bunch of work in western Alaska this summer.	12
	I'll show you here in a second. But we've	13
	made good progress. This is the past several	14
	years of work for us. It went from having	15
	much poorer products to this state now. So,	16
	again, like I say we'll be covered wall to	17
	wall with imagery. We're going to have about	18
	one-third of the state done with high-quality	19
	DEMs.	20
	On the ortho side the product line	21
	is a 2 and a half meter product that's from	22

	Page 272
1	the SPOT-5 satellite produced to 12.2 meters
2	CE90 and that's this slide is probably
3	the horizontal is actually very important for
4	a product like this. We don't have a good
5	the base maps for Alaska particularly can be
б	off by several hundred meters in places so
7	having a common reference layer that is good
8	to within better than 12 meters is a fantastic
9	improvement that would enable all kinds of
10	good research.
11	We're actually doing much better.
12	We're actually doing about three times as good
13	in our spec. I'll show that table here in a
14	second.
15	Again, wall to wall coverage. We
16	started in 2010. We'll be done by June of
17	2014. We have a broad use license, state,
18	federal, local, tribal government. And anyone
19	can have access to data via our web services
20	including the commercial sector and NGOs.
21	Three and a half million dollars total about
22	split from the state and the BOEM. And we add

	Page 273
1	some additional products to the line as well.
2	Here's example products here.
3	Again, from this you can see houses, sheds,
4	trails, power lines, all the good stuff you'd
5	want to be able to see in a product anywhere
6	in the state.
7	We've done a huge amount of
8	stakeholder engagement both on the ortho side
9	and the DEM side. We spent probably close to
10	half a million dollars on contractors and
11	various things and surveying people and
12	talking to them, and going to meetings, all
13	kinds of stuff. Here's just one map we had
14	and we're trying to set our priorities for
15	collection a few years or 2 years ago.
16	And again, here's what we've
17	collected in terms of source data. We can't
18	produce all this to ortho images immediately
19	because we have to assemble enough scenes to
20	produce a good block for the ortho processing.
21	But you can see we've got about half the
22	states covered at this point. We've got a

	Page 274
1	couple more seasons ahead of us so we're in
2	good shape. And the smaller squares, the blue
3	and yellow squares are what will be produced
4	in ortho tiles. We'll have that wrapped up
5	here in the next couple of months, the final
6	deliveries on this year's batch.
7	And again I'll just show this
8	chart here which shows the accuracy. I
9	mentioned the spec is 12.2 meters CE90 which
10	means that it's 90 percent of the points have
11	to be within 12.2 meters of their actual
12	location on Earth. That's the specification.
13	But we're actually exceeding that. We're
14	getting more and this column here is the
15	the worst is here, 7.78 meters. We're getting
16	4.3 meters. That means every pixel is
17	basically within 2 or 3 pixels of its actual
18	location on Earth which again is just a
19	fantastic improvement over what we've got.
20	And if you've ever been out in the field
21	working with this data be it a coastline, be
22	it a site anywhere, it's really frustrating to

	Page 275
1	not have good, accurate maps to start from.
2	We're going to improve that with higher.
3	We offer the data for download via
4	this web interface. And I'm going to talk a
5	little bit about I'm going to talk about
6	the DEMs next. So we're also producing in
7	addition to the ortho products statewide we're
8	doing digital elevation models. The product
9	line here is a 2 meter RMSE product, which is
10	24 contour equivalents. In 2010 we acquired
11	28 new 1 degree boundary cells. It's about 10
12	percent of the state. Six million dollars we
13	spent on that. NGA was the main contributor,
14	\$2 and a half million. State put a couple
15	million in, USGS a million and so forth.
16	We had this is our collection
17	season for 2010. We flew all this. We
18	uplifted some of this that they flew to
19	Fugro flew this entire Intermap is one
20	company. They flew this area, purchased all
21	that. Fugro flew this area here. We
22	collected they collected some of this area

	Page 276
1	on spec which was later uplifted, later
2	purchased by some of the agencies. This area
3	hasn't been purchased yet.
4	And this area up here is going to
5	be the collection. This is the definitely
6	funded area for this coming, for 2012. This
7	will definitely be flown. We're probably
8	going to fly some more. I'll show you that
9	here in a second.
10	This is the current map of what's
11	probably going to happen this year. So here's
12	the area that's certainly funded. We've
13	actually received another \$3.7 million in the
14	state of Alaska in this year's capital cycle.
15	The USGS is going to has committed another
16	million and a half or so and then also the
17	Fish & Wildlife Service and Forest Service and
18	Park Service have some funding as well too.
19	So we're going to be able to fly and process
20	this area, this peninsula, plus this whole
21	area to the north here. So we're going to get
22	a good chunk of the Bering Strait and Chukchi

	Page 277
1	Sea coast there which is going to be good in
2	terms of because right now we don't have
3	especially like DEMs for things like storm
4	surge modeling, that kind of thing. Not that
5	our DEM is necessarily best for that, but
6	having the larger context is very useful.
7	We also have done some other
8	projects like this is this is bought from
9	the SDMI contract. The Park Service purchased
10	this other DEM, lower accuracy but much less
11	expensive for the Katmai National Park. This
12	is the Alaska Peninsula right here. So
13	there's other options out there as well too.
14	And then finally, the last few
15	slides here. We're working with the USGS.
16	This is really quite exciting. When we say
17	when I tell people we are mapping the state of
18	Alaska what they think is they think topo
19	maps, you know. We're not making topo maps.
20	We aren't. We're making digital elevation
21	models and orthoimagery.
22	But the USGS has stepped up and

	Page 278
1	they are producing a new line of topographic
2	maps for the entire country but for Alaska
3	they're going to be using the DEMs we produced
4	through the SDMI program and also
5	orthoimagery. So it's really quite exciting.
6	We're going to have new topo maps that will be
7	completely updated for the first time since
8	the nineteen fifties. We had some minor
9	done in the late seventies but in general they
10	haven't maps for more than 50 years. So
11	this is a fantastic collaboration.
12	Again, these are the contours come
13	off the new DEMs we flew. Here's some of the
14	imagery. This is Harding Lake near Fairbanks.
15	And then finally what I'll talk
16	about is the I mentioned the Open Standards
17	Web Services. So that's what we're really all
18	about in a lot of ways. We provide all these
19	services, all these imagery services out
20	through what are called Open Geospatial
21	Consortium web standards. They work in things
22	like ESRI Arc map, they work in all kinds of -

	Page 279
1	- we work with the Arctic ERMA folks, we work
2	with the Alaska Ocean Observing System as
3	well. We work closely with Axiom, who's model
4	leads the contract on the data side so we can
5	exchange data freely. We were on the same
6	page in terms of that whole approach.
7	We do imagery. We do the
8	elevation models as XYZ data point, actual
9	data you can do analysis of them via web
10	services. And then again we have a really
11	strong support for the different web-mapping
12	engines. Like you know, if you want to make
13	a map with open layers or Google Maps or Bing
14	Maps or whatever online, ESRI tools, we
15	support all that stuff in terms of the way you
16	actually make those maps using tiles. I won't
17	get into the details of that but the point is
18	we really know that stuff and we really
19	support all the end users including all the
20	programming environments.
21	We have a huge number of data sets
22	in addition to the imagery I showed you, high

	Page 280
1	res, low res, charts, USGS topos, grayscale
2	stuff, landsat, LiDAR data, all kinds of stuff
3	is available. And the best spot to find it is
4	we have a data gallery on the SDMI's website
5	which described all this and shows pictures of
6	it.
7	A couple of examples. We have
8	done NOAA charts as WMS. So this is actually
9	done on behalf of the for the state DNR on
10	behalf of the state Department of
11	Environmental Conservation who wanted some of
12	this information readily available in their
13	web maps for their planning purposes.
14	Our high-res layer has, again,
15	these are air photos of Homer Spit here for
16	example. The beauty of this is say you were
17	using a tool like Arc map, you can just
18	basically connect to this web service and then
19	you can pan anywhere in the state.
20	So you could be in Homer right now
21	and say what's it look like over in Golovin.
22	And go over there and then the best imagery is

	Page 283
1	pulled in automatically over the web into your
2	map as opposed to you having to go to whomever
3	and download it and re-project it and so
4	forth. So it's really a huge time-saver.
5	Very popular services. Again, the
6	trends over the past 5 years have been upwards
7	continuously. Thousands of users. One week,
8	we pulled these stats for one week. That's a
9	lot of use, it really is, in terms of these
10	things.
11	My last thing, I had a couple of -
12	- I talked to my colleague Ann Johnson of DNR.
13	She had a couple of I said what are DNR's
14	concerns in terms of this audience here. And
15	one is she says she'd love to have more of the
16	NOAA digital coast product, Homer being the
17	sole example for Alaska I believe.
18	Another thing that my initial
19	was the ShoreZone. ShoreZone is really
20	important. Again, it's really a heavily used
21	data set in Alaska in terms of the oblique
22	photos and also the habitat mapping in the

	Page 282
1	inner tidal zone. It's really important.
2	Also, she mentioned for both
3	verifying permits, coastal zone permits, and
4	also looking for trespasses, that kind of
5	thing. It's a good historical record for
6	identifying those situations. And of course
7	we're all interested in doing shoreline
8	updates because the DNR of course is the land
9	manager for Alaska and those 3-mile limits and
10	so forth are of critical importance for how we
11	tax things as you are well aware I'm sure. So
12	those are three issues that came up in terms
13	of what the DNR is concerned with, what the
14	DNR would hope to have addressed for Alaska as
15	regards hydrographic work.
16	So I guess my last slide would be
17	thank you and if there are any questions you
18	can catch up with me at the break and I'd be
19	glad to answer them. We're excited to work
20	with NOAA in any way possible. They've been
21	a great partner over the years, all parts of
22	NOAA, including NGS who we're working with on

1	
	Page 283
1	geodetic stuff and GRAV-D as well. So thank
2	you.
3	(Applause.)
4	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you, Tom.
5	And our next speaker, Dr. Hazelton, will speak
6	to us. He's from the University of Alaska
7	Anchorage in the geomatics program. And we'll
8	go through a little technical change.
9	DR. HAZELTON: My name's Bill
10	Hazelton. As was mentioned I'm from UAA and
11	I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to
12	talk to you this afternoon.
13	Principally what I'd like to talk
14	about is the geodetic measurement and product
15	side that comes from NOAA and perhaps NGS in
16	particular. There have been quite a few
17	things that have come up over the last couple
18	of days here that I thought were interesting
19	that I want to just mention first.
20	And the first was the big picture.
21	Predominantly NOAA's all about decision
22	support tools and products. And that's really

	Page 284
1	a critical part of where the national economy
2	or the world economy is going to a post-
3	industrial thing. So NOAA really should
4	recognize that it's a central part of that,
5	and that for what's left in terms of the
6	transportation of material goods NOAA has a
7	significant part to play in that as well
8	through its transportation part. So I haven't
9	seen anybody sort of making a big song and
10	dance about this but I think it may be
11	something that you want to sort of just
12	highlight a little bit.
13	To move into perhaps more details,
14	looking at some of the responsibilities that
15	were sort of listed there's lots of ways of
16	thinking about them. And at the risk of sort
17	of dragging everybody into my own
18	specialization here we can look at them, at
19	practically all of the things that were listed
20	out on one of the pieces of paper that came
21	around had to do with stuff that required a
22	location. And you could look at it in terms

Pag 1 of requiring a good horizontal location or a 2 good vertical location.	e 285
<ol> <li>of requiring a good horizontal location or a</li> <li>good vertical location.</li> </ol>	
2 good vertical location.	
3 And all of these things tended to	
4 come back to the geodetic control that	
5 underpinned all of this in order to get the	
6 location. So, fundamentally then an awful lo	t
7 of what NOAA does depends upon the geodetic	
8 side of things.	
9 It's also the foundation for	
10 trying to link all of this stuff together.	
11 Integration is critical so you've got to have	
12 stuff that links together. You can think if	
13 you like that a lot of the data that's	
14 collected not only has some meaning attached	
15 to it but also has some degree of	
16 intelligence, and the intelligence being how	
17 you link the stuff together to actually form	
18 larger data sets that you can work with.	
19 So the intelligence part is	
20 critical. And what underpins that to a large	
21 extent is datums. So the fundamental geodet	С
22 measurements and the products are what link	

	Page 286
1	together everything else that happens. That's
2	a fairly important point I felt.
3	So, when you go out collecting
4	spatial data the you've got a number of
5	datums like NID83 and geoids and the whole
6	series of tidal datums and various other
7	things to link it together. They're all
8	rather abstract entities. Yes, you can go out
9	and determine sea level approximately but you
10	can't just go out and say well, right over
11	there is the ellipsoid.
12	You actually need to realize them
13	in some way which means that you've got to
14	ultimately have something on the ground that
15	you can connect back to. And what this tends
16	to mean is that you've got to have what
17	ultimately comes down to continually operating
18	reference stations.
19	Unlike the lower 48, Alaska
20	doesn't have a lot of traditional survey
21	control. One of the main reasons for that is
22	that survey control tended to follow the roads

i i	
	Page 287
1	or reasonably close to it and we haven't got
2	a lot of them. So we just simply never got
3	the infrastructure on the ground.
4	So we're way behind where the
5	lower 48 is as a lot of people have brought
6	up. So we need to make a significant jump to
7	move ahead which gives us the opportunity to
8	move into something that's more modern. And
9	for us that comes down to these CORS.
10	Elsewhere in the country such as
11	Ohio, Michigan, I think bits of Kentucky and
12	a few other places the density of CORS has
13	been enough to allow additional groups,
14	particularly departments of transport, to
15	build more advanced systems such as virtual
16	reference networks and various other products
17	that allow users to use the fundamental system
18	at levels that are not possible without
19	putting in an awful lot of your own personal
20	infrastructure.
21	In Ohio, for example, you can get
22	a subscription into the Department of

Page 288
Transport's system. You simply go through
your cell phone, connect it into your
receivers in the field and it's the same as
having your own system sitting over on a high-
precision control point connecting in so that
all of your work is now substantially better
than it would be if you were trying to even
connect into the CORS because you're now
working with a whole network of CORS
simultaneously.
This wasn't done by NOAA or NGS,
it was done by other players who've built on
top of the infrastructure. Now, we're not in
a situation where we can do that in Alaska
yet. Maybe at some stage around somewhere
like Anchorage. But we still need the
infrastructure in place to even get there.
Once the infrastructure is in place then other
people can add to it and we can build on it.
And if you I think the NGS has
done some preliminary work on cost-benefits of
the CORS. I think something like a 7 to 1
----
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

	Page 290
1	to 2006 between the different GEOID models.
2	That's noticeable. In Ohio during the same
3	period I think which I was also working in
4	some years ago, I think it moved a matter of
5	a centimeter or two, if that. So the change
6	in the data, rather the change that's been
7	brought about by the additional data has been
8	huge.
9	In the shift between GEOID06 and
10	GEOID09 at my place it sort of shifted back by
11	less than an inch. But across the entire
12	region the shift in the geoid has been
13	somewhere on the order of 0.6 of a meter on
14	average. This is just an indication of what
15	happens when you start putting better data in.
16	Now, what does this mean for us in
17	Alaska? As has been mentioned, SDMI is
18	dealing with the a new digital elevation
19	model, basically a surface for Alaska as a
20	whole. At the moment because that is being
21	done by interferometric SAR that's ultimately
22	tied back to the ellipsoid.

	Page 291
1	We don't have a way of connecting
2	that readily back to how water flows, sea
3	level, or anything at all like that because we
4	don't yet have a really tight geoid model.
5	That's one of the things we're waiting on.
6	So, that data has a disconnect if you like
7	between how we can apply it because we've got
8	this difficulty of converting from ellipsoid
9	to geoid.
10	Even when we do that we're going
11	to have some difficulties because we don't
12	have any benchmarks anywhere. If you'll
13	notice there's a road goes from here to
14	Prudhoe Bay and that basically divides the
15	state in half. And west of that road there
16	isn't anything in the way of a connecting
17	road. We may have bridges to nowhere but we
18	don't have roads to nowhere.
19	(Laughter.)
20	DR. HAZELTON: Not even so we can
21	go and see Russia.
22	Now, okay, everybody says great,

	Page 292
1	we'll get GPS, GNSS, et cetera, and this will
2	fix up our positions. We can wander around
3	here and it's going to be wonderful. Well,
4	that's fine. If you're using a single
5	receiver by itself you'll get it to perhaps a
6	couple of meters horizontally and maybe 15
7	meters vertically. It's not good on vertical.
8	It's not a lot we can do about it.
9	And here in the north as was
10	mentioned the satellites tend to be slightly
11	differently configured because of where we
12	are. We do have the advantage we can see the
13	ones over the other side to some extent but
14	it's still, the configuration isn't quite
15	perfect. But we still get by.
16	Now, with this level of precision
17	it's good enough for general use. I mean, you
18	want to figure out how do you get to the local
19	McDonald's. You want to get to the other side
20	of the lake. You want to figure out where the
21	trail is. Plenty good enough.
22	However, you want to sort out

	Dage 293
1	water levels, you want to do some bathymetric
2	surveys, you want to run a LiDAR, et cetera,
3	this isn't going to work. You've got to do
4	much better work so you ultimately have to
5	connect back to a ground system which is
6	ultimately CORS. You need these things
7	scattered around at a reasonable density.
8	Now, what this sort of comes down
9	to is that you can have datums and things, but
10	you need to actually realize them. There has
11	to be some way for people to connect into them
12	to make them useful.
13	Now, traditionally this was done -
14	- we ran along mountaintops and we
15	triangulated in all directions and we put
16	stations up there for people to connect into.
17	And we came down and put in tighter and
18	tighter control. We don't do that anymore but
19	we still have to have some means for people to
20	connect into real points that mean something.
21	And ultimately that comes back to the CORS.
22	And for us without any of the

	Page 294
1	prior existing sort of infrastructure we're
2	going to be totally dependent on GNSS in
3	various forms to provide us with the bulk of
4	our spatial location and measurement type
5	data.
6	So, for the surveying geomatics
7	community in Alaska we're going to be very
8	dependent on GPS type stuff. Our total
9	station type work is going to be fine when
10	we're working within a couple of kilometers.
11	Outside of a couple of kilometers range it's
12	going to be totally GNSS. There's just no way
13	around that.
14	This is going to be even more
15	interesting when we start looking at having to
16	transfer vertical locations around. There's
17	a certain amount of development going on to
18	the north of Anchorage, for example, up into
19	the valley. If you push on a bit further up
20	into the valley you'll end up heading towards
21	a little town called Talkeetna which is
22	getting towards Denali.

	Page 295
1	Somewhere around about Talkeetna
2	there is a beautiful great big gravity
3	anomaly. So GPS data is going to run into all
4	sorts of problems when you try and translate
5	what I get from the GPS results to what
6	happens when I get water moving around. So I
7	may well achieve the civil engineer's dream of
8	making water run uphill, at least as far as
9	the GPS is concerned. But that's going to
10	leave me in some difficulties.
11	Now, NGS at the minute is working
12	hard. There's lots of gravity coming.
13	There's stuff from Grace. There's lots of
14	other things that people are doing, basically
15	trying to refine the geoid.
16	In the lower 48 the name of the
17	game is get it from about 2 centimeters down
18	to 1 centimeter depending upon frequency. Up
19	here it was sort of hey, let's get it under a
20	meter if we can. And again, we're going to
21	need lots and lots of CORS to actually put
22	this stuff down on the ground.

Г

	Page 296
1	So, what's sort of happening up
2	here? I think Joel Cusick back there has
3	mentioned that he's got a national park
4	floating around there is the size of West
5	Virginia and he doesn't have so much as a
6	single control point effectively in it, and
7	yet he's sort of got to do survey work and
8	measurement within it. This makes life a
9	little bit tricky.
10	As I was looking at one of the
11	slides this morning with the Vessel Traffic
12	Systems there are more of those around the
13	north and west coast of Alaska than there are
14	CORS. So we can figure out where vessels are
15	going around, shippings going around the coast
16	better than we can actually put control in to
17	develop the hydrographic charting that they
18	need to actually get there.
19	The critical areas in this that
20	we're looking at here are the northern and
21	western coasts. I mean, everybody's talking
22	about hey, we've got to get to the Arctic,

Г

	Page 297
1	it's the next frontier. And I wish Lieutenant
2	Governor Treadwell was here at the time
3	because we could have mentioned that perhaps
4	we should have changed the Alaska license tags
5	to the Penultimate Frontier since the Arctic
6	is now the ultimate one.
7	There's another issue that's
8	floating around as well. Perhaps there was
9	some mention I think of the SDMI surface model
10	running out to about 5 petabytes I think by
11	the time it's actually completed with all of
12	the IfSAR data. That's one layer. By the
13	time we get the rest of the data in we're
14	probably looking at Alaska representing
15	somewhere about an exabyte of data. How do we
16	serve that up to people? What happens when we
17	start bringing in all the historic NOAA data?
18	This is going to be a challenge for all of us
19	and for NOAA in particular to deal with these
20	sort of volumes of data that we're going to
21	have to provide to people. It's all very well
22	to say hey, let's gather lots and lots of

	Page 298
1	data, but at some stage it has to go out.
2	And you know, if you're somewhere
3	in a village in Alaska and you've got what
4	amounts to a 128k line it's going to take a
5	long time to download something that you, some
6	information that you're wanting. So we've got
7	to look at how that sort of stuff works as
8	well.
9	So, some things that would be nice
10	to have from the sort of surveying mapping
11	geomatics sort of community. It would be nice
12	to have a nice stable modern geoid for Alaska.
13	This would sort of tighten out the vertical
14	across the whole region. Alaska will actually
15	make this interesting because being in a
16	tectonically active area we're likely to
17	actually have a dynamic geoid. We'll actually
18	see changes because of the earth movement and
19	various effects around here. So this gives us
20	sort of an interesting bleeding edge of
21	geodesy type of thing.
22	We need a dense network of CORS as

	Page 299
1	well and this will allow us to actually
2	realize the horizontal and vertical datums.
3	And there's been a lot of talk about
4	increasing partnerships. I would like to just
5	emphasize that.
6	Also, the idea of crowdsourcing
7	data. We're now in a time when a lot of
8	people are producing an awful lot of data.
9	Can we work out ways to suck it into how we're
10	processing it to include it in what's going
11	on? Maybe not so easy at the geodetic end of
12	things, but in the more general data there's
13	lots more possibilities.
14	So, just to sort of finish up
15	here, in the surveying, mapping, geospatial
16	community we realize just how far we've come
17	and how much NOAA has put into making it
18	successful here. And we're really very
19	appreciative of this.
20	We'd like to help NOAA figure out
21	how to operate more efficiently. We know that
22	the budgets are going to be tight. How can we

	Page 300
1	actually work towards helping you people do
2	this better from what we can do locally. And
3	also it's important that we all help get the
4	message across to the people that are funding
5	you about why what you do is important not
б	only for us but for the nation as a whole. So
7	thank you.
8	(Applause.)
9	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Thank you,
10	Bill. We've heard some compelling,
11	interesting, very interesting and compelling
12	and thought-provoking presentations. Are
13	there any questions from the panel that we
14	would like to address? Yes, Deborah.
15	MEMBER DEMPSEY: Molly, do AOOS
16	and NOAA compete for the same funds?
17	MS. MCCAMMON: I should mention
18	that our program is an interagency program but
19	it's NOAA is the lead federal agency. And
20	all of our main source of funding comes
21	through NOAA. So we don't compete. We're
22	within National Ocean Services. Dr. Bamford's

	Page 301
1	division, line division, is where we're
2	housed. But at the regional level we get a
3	broader suite of funding sources. But really
4	NOAA's the only federal agency that's really
5	stepped up to fund the Ocean Observing System.
6	So no.
7	MEMBER DEMPSEY: Thank you.
8	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Yes, Larry.
9	MR. MAYER: Also a question for
10	Molly. You shared some very nifty tools, the
11	Arctic Research Assets Map and the STAMP I
12	think that was called. Has there been any
13	kind of cross-pollination between what you're
14	doing and with the ERMA effort?
15	MS. MCCAMMON: Yes, we've actually
16	worked really closely on that. When the
17	Arctic ERMA folks were here for their workshop
18	last year we participated in that. We've
19	in fact we've had a lot of discussions on it
20	because what's happened is and we want to
21	avoid this is that a lot of our
22	stakeholders and communities are being asked

	Page 302
1	for the same data sets. It just gets kind of
2	annoying when you're asked by three or four
3	different data portals to provide your data
4	for it.
5	And so we're working on a whole
6	letter of agreement on how we're working
7	together and who's kind of taking the lead and
8	which kinds of data and how we're putting it
9	together.
10	They're clearly the tool for
11	hazard response in the field and for planning.
12	I think our system is a bit broader. We have
13	a bit broader group of stakeholders but they
14	definitely dovetail with each other. But yes.
15	MEMBER JEFFRESS: This is a
16	question for Bill. Bill, I know Alaska has
17	got a lot of federal lands and the Bureau of
18	Land Management does a lot of surveying up
19	here. Do they show any interest in supporting
20	CORS networks up here?
21	DR. HAZELTON: They haven't sort
22	of been leaping out to sort of throw money at

	Page 303
1	us about it yet, no.
2	(Laughter.)
3	DR. HAZELTON: They have had a
4	significant era of budget-cutting themselves
5	which seems to go through cycles. They laid
6	off a whole lot of people and now they're
7	putting a whole lot of people back on again.
8	But they don't seem to have been hugely
9	enthusiastic at this point yet.
10	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Dr. Sullivan?
11	DR. SULLIVAN: Yes, I'd like to
12	come back to Molly. A point of wisdom in all
13	aviation communities is you're going to fight
14	like you trained. So I guess back to the
15	question of Arctic ERMA as a planning and
16	response tool but Alaska OOS as a more
17	commonly used perhaps tool among multiple
18	stakeholders.
19	Have you thought about that level
20	of human reality, that habit patterns and
21	experiential comfort that people build up
22	through the day to day use or the consistent

	Page 304
1	use of a set of tools will pattern the tools
2	they most naturally go to when there's an
3	event? ERMA arose in the heat of battle out
4	of need because there wasn't such a thing, but
5	if you had a significant event somewhere in
6	coastal Alaska I think one really needs to
7	think through the people who would come
8	together to respond to that, the affected
9	folks in the communities, the operators.
10	Are they very familiar with AOOS
11	tools and that would be their natural default
12	set of places to go and you'll have another
13	common operating picture challenge between
14	some cadre of folks who come in from the
15	federal side, for example, with Arctic ERMA
16	presumed as a default but everyone locally is
17	intuitively and with a good base of experience
18	working fairly fluently in a different tool
19	set. How do you prevent that tool set split
20	just at the moment that you most need to be on
21	the same page?
22	MS. MCCAMMON: That's a good

	Page 305
1	question and it's a challenging one. I think
2	that's I mean, we like to think that an
3	agency or whomever in an emergency would use
4	the best tool and the best information out
5	there. So from an emergency responder like
6	the Coast Guard use, if they're used to a
7	certain set of tools like ERMA which I think
8	is very familiar to them, especially after
9	Deepwater Horizon, then we want to make sure
10	all of the data and the information that we
11	have can filter into that tool to be
12	accessible to it. For other purposes maybe
13	the AOOS tools are more useful.
14	And sometimes it's good to have
15	more than one tool, to have two just for
16	comparisons. When we had our field experiment
17	in Prince William Sound we tested our
18	circulation model, the ROMS model we had. We
19	had NOAA come and test the GNOME model. We
20	had Alyeska test their model, their ATOM
21	model. And then we did comparisons of and
22	they all used the drifters and they all tested

	Page 306
1	their own models in comparison there. Of
2	course we thought our model performed better,
3	you know.
4	It's a question of this is a
5	challenge actually all across the country
6	because often kind of the smaller systems, the
7	academics are doing things maybe a little
8	better, maybe a little more innovative. But
9	then the federal agencies have to deal with
10	doing things operationally that is more
11	standard across the country and it's always
12	this challenge of do you go with kind of that
13	cutting edge a little bit better versus
14	something that's familiar and standardized
15	across the country. So I don't have a good
16	answer for that but I think there's a role for
17	both.
18	And certainly the Cook Inlet tool
19	that we developed, there wasn't an ERMA for
20	Cook Inlet. We wanted to see, especially
21	and Arctic ERMA is being developed. There's
22	not a lot of, you know, people want a lot of

	Page 307
1	stuff in Arctic ERMA and there's not a lot of
2	data that's all, you know, aggregated and put
3	together there. I think they're doing a great
4	job of pulling bits and pieces and putting
5	into it.
6	But Cook Inlet had a lot more data
7	and so we wanted to see what could we do with
8	something that had a lot more data and do
9	something for the Regional Citizens Advisory
10	Council. Now, whether it would be used by the
11	Coast Guard in the event of a spill, we hope
12	it would, but.
13	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: David.
14	MEMBER JAY: My microphone, I
15	always forget my microphone. David Jay.
16	We've heard repeatedly today about how people
17	in Alaska are doing for themselves because the
18	Federal Government simply isn't big enough and
19	doesn't have enough reach out here.
20	I can't help in the, you know,
21	this illustration of well, there's no tide
22	data. Are you collecting tide data? And if

Page 308 not, why not? 1 2 MS. MCCAMMON: We're not directly collecting tide data now. We've done a lot of 3 stakeholder surveys about it and what it comes 4 5 down to is it gets into a little bit what 6 Aimee was talking about. 7 For predictions you want a certain 8 level of precision and then for kind of 9 planning you can accept a different level of 10 precision. And so how do you balance those two needs out when you have kind of two very 11 different stakeholder needs there and how do 12 you balance out the costs and benefits of it. 13 14 So, we haven't done tide gauges. It hasn't risen to the top of ours yet. 15 We've been working with Western 16 17 Alaska Landscape Conservation Co-op and some 18 others to get some more water level 19 observations in different places, but we've 20 focused more on waves and currents. 21 MEMBER JAY: I guess I have to say 22 that, you know, there are various useful

Page 309 1 intermediate places and I've worked with a lot 2 of historical data. They aren't perfect but they're better than no data. And there are 3 things that can be done and you can transition 4 5 to a higher level and eventually sell it to 6 NOAA kind of thing. It seems like in the 7 complete absence of data that would be a good 8 way to do it. If you could make a compelling 9 case to the state that there is a need based on these disastrous storm incidents. 10 MS. MCCAMMON: We actually put in 11 12 a capital budget request this year through the 13 Northern Waters Task Force and it didn't get 14 funded this year but we'll try again next 15 year. 16 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Joyce? 17 MEMBER MILLER: Yes, just another 18 question for Molly. Is ERMA and your database 19 on common platforms or have they gone in 20 different directions? 21 MS. MCCAMMON: They're both open 22 I'm not a techie so my level of source.

Page 310

	5
1	understanding is about to here.
2	They're both open source so in
3	that sense they're a common platform. Our
4	platform uses Flash which apparently is a
5	little bit different and so we can do things
6	like incorporate the ShoreZone videography and
7	high-definition video which ERMA can't at this
8	point. So there are some things that we can
9	do that the ERMA platform isn't able to do.
10	But we're both open source, yes.
11	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. Bill,
12	actually I've got a question for you. In
13	South Carolina we're slowly developing a CORS
14	network. We have realtime reference stations
15	also. But we've investigated and have created
16	memorandums of understanding and agreements
17	with the DOT and with the railroad, and have
18	been able to work with them and they've been
19	able to actually fund the installation of CORS
20	stations at facilities they have.
21	Now, granted we don't have roads
22	to nowhere because we're a very small state.

	Page 311
1	You could probably fit about 1,000 South
2	Carolinas in Alaska. But the idea would be
3	where you did have roads or the DOT had
4	facilities there's a possibility that perhaps
5	they could help work with something like that.
6	And the same would hold true for the railroads
7	I would assume. Have you thought about
8	possibly approaching either of those two for,
9	you know, help in trying to establish a
10	network of CORS stations?
11	DR. HAZELTON: Well, actually the
12	DOT is one of our strongest supporters. I'm
13	hoping to actually work with them in the
14	latter part of this summer to prioritize where
15	we should be placing CORS as we can fund them.
16	They're keen to try and work them into the
17	budget. We tried putting something into the
18	capital budget last year which didn't make it
19	through. But yes, they're definitely keen.
20	The railroad doesn't really go
21	anywhere that there isn't a road nearby by and
22	large, so to some extent that's a duplication.

	Page 312
1	The big advantage with the DOT however is that
2	they also have a big involvement in air fields
3	and airports right across the state. And
4	that's another area we can use.
5	Another one is actually on
6	telecommunication towers because they've got
7	an internet connection and power, and that's
8	actually one useful place we can look at
9	connecting. So there's lots of different
10	possibilities here. School buildings in
11	villages where there's generally somebody
12	there right around the year. There's lots of
13	different ways that we're looking at how we
14	can sort of spread the load and the cost and
15	particularly the maintenance across a wider
16	community.
17	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: The idea of the
18	school buildings is probably actually very
19	good in that you're going to have a solid
20	foundation to work with. Telecommunication
21	towers move.
22	DR. HAZELTON: Just a bit.

	Page 313
1	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Yes. They have
2	a tendency to kind of sway a little bit. And
3	afterwards I can give you a card. We have
4	actually manufactured or I could give you
5	some specifications on brackets that you could
6	permanently mount to sides of buildings that
7	have been accepted by the National Geodetic
8	Survey for CORS installations. And we've had
9	good fortunate with them.
10	DR. HAZELTON: Thank you.
11	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Juliana.
12	MS. BLACKWELL: Juliana Blackwell,
13	the director of the National Geodetic Survey.
14	I just wanted to follow up on a
15	few things that Bill said and that Tom alluded
16	to for the benefit of some of the panel
17	members and the audience here related to CORS
18	and some of the work that's gone on with
19	Gravity for the Redefinition of the American
20	Vertical Datum or GRAV-D as was in some of the
21	slides.
22	Just to give you guys a little bit

	Page 314
1	of an update on CORS to start. The
2	Continuously Operating Reference Station
3	network has grown to about 1,900 stations.
4	Less than 5 percent of those stations are
5	owned by NOAA. It really is a collaborative
6	effort in which NOAA is, NGS in particular
7	taking in the data and processing it on a
8	regular basis, on a daily basis, providing
9	coordinates, providing information about those
10	stations that individuals can then use free of
11	charge for positioning purposes, for
12	monitoring purposes, for whatever their
13	applications are.
14	The primary use for the National
15	Geodetic Survey is as the backbone of the
16	National Spatial Reference System, the
17	starting points, the foundational points that
18	provide latitude, longitude and elevation as
19	well as other information about the reference
20	system that we use for all mapping and
21	surveying and geospatial information.
22	So I know it is esoteric in some

	Page 315
1	senses but it really is, as Bill pointed out,
2	the starting point for, you know, what we do
3	as far as positioning things. We just like to
4	do it very accurately and precisely to start
5	with and then everybody else can take it as
6	they need it and do what they need for their
7	purposes.
8	But realizing that it is a
9	difficult concept to talk about geodesy and
10	geoids and things that, you know, don't quite
11	can't really draw a good picture of without
12	using mathematical symbols and lots of funny
13	things up there on the screen to try to
14	explain.
15	It is important to be able to talk
16	about the benefits of CORS and the National
17	Spatial Reference System. And back in 2008
18	the National Geodetic Survey did a scoping
19	study, a socioeconomic scoping study on the
20	National Spatial Reference System and CORS in
21	particular.
22	And the information is available

	Page 316
1	on the NGS website but just for the benefit of
2	the group here the study found that the NOAA
3	CORS network alone provides an estimated \$758
4	million per year in benefits to the nation.
5	So, even if it was off a little bit, I mean
б	that's still a really good return on
7	investment as far as potential, the benefits
8	that the CORS network provides.
9	So things like having these
10	studies, being able to talk about the
11	applications is important to our programs to
12	be able to communicate that to our users and
13	to those who are making decisions as far as
14	where resources go.
15	And looking at how we can partner
16	because this network wouldn't be possible if
17	it wasn't for all the investments made by all
18	the other groups, universities, state, local,
19	other federal entities who are putting these
20	resources out there and then giving us the
21	data so that we can manage it and provide it
22	back out to the public. So, the CORS network

	Page 317
1	is extremely vital but it really is a group
2	effort. And we all want to share in the
3	benefits that it's giving to the nation.
4	Another socioeconomic study that
5	was done and this doesn't necessarily fit into
б	one of the presentations that was given, but
7	the Coastal Mapping Program, and we've heard
8	all about the shoreline and how it's important
9	to have updated shoreline for charts, et
10	cetera.
11	Recently we provided another
12	scoping study through the National Geodetic
13	Survey that showed that there is a \$100
14	million direct economic benefits per year for
15	our Coastal Mapping Program. And that is a
16	\$35 per dollar spent by return on investment
17	there.
18	Again, that's also just a very
19	high-level scoping study that was done but
20	even so the idea is that being able to provide
21	this type of information, you're really
22	getting a lot more benefit out of it than it's

	Page 318
1	costing. And there are many more applications
2	that could be utilized and developed in the
3	future that will even improve those figures
4	I'm certain.
5	As far as partnerships and the
6	work that's being done here in Alaska, the
7	National Geodetic Survey is very pleased to be
8	able to say that groups such as NGIA, National
9	Geospatial Information Agency, the Bureau of
10	Land Management, USGS, Naval Research Lab, we
11	have had a variety of federal partners who
12	have come to the table offering platforms and
13	resources to be able to co-collect data on
14	airborne platforms.
15	And it's really made a huge
16	difference of how we've been able to do our
17	program GRAV-D and try to stay within budget
18	and on time for doing that. And we welcome
19	future collaborations too because it's still
20	going to be 7 to 8 years out before we
21	actually get all of the information collected.
22	So, we've done a lot of work in Alaska, still

	Page 319
1	a lot more to go, and a lot more work to go in
2	the lower 48 as well. So I just wanted to
3	mention those ongoing opportunities.
4	And the fact that the work that's
5	being done by the State Digital Mapping
6	Initiative to create the digital, the train
7	models is critical for us to be able to
8	utilize in making our geoid validating the
9	geoid models that we'll be producing. So, we
10	want to continue to build that relationship
11	and feed off one another into the future about
12	how our collections are going and how they
13	benefit each other.
14	So thanks for speaking to that
15	today, Tom, and appreciate everything that you
16	guys are doing too. Thank you.
17	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Joyce?
18	MEMBER MILLER: Yes, a question
19	for Juliana. How many CORS stations are
20	currently in Alaska and/or planned in the
21	future?
22	MS. BLACKWELL: I would say there

Page 320 are probably about 50. I don't know if the 1 2 folks that are here have any better recent There are about 50 CORS stations? 3 counts. Anybody? Bill, do you have any idea? 4 5 DR. HAZELTON: It's around about that figure. There are a very large number of 6 7 stations around the south coast that are there 8 with the Plate Boundary Observatory. But not 9 all of those are able to be converted to CORS. 10 Where we're sort of very thin on the ground is around the west and north coasts 11 12 and a fair bit of the interior. MS. BLACKWELL: 13 The National 14 Geodetic Survey doesn't have any planned at this point in time but we're certainly happy 15 16 to take the data from any other group that 17 would like to establish CORS. Especially --I mean there's -- it's a difficult place to 18 19 put CORS, especially in the -- anywhere north 20 of Anchorage, right? 21 So, there certainly is a need and 22 certainly being able to put CORS where there's

	Page 321
1	a lot of change going on is important to be
2	able to see what's actually happening at those
3	locations. So, if there were other folks who
4	are interested we'd certainly want to work
5	with them and be able to incorporate those
6	stations into the network.
7	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Gary.
8	MEMBER JEFFRESS: I have a
9	question for Juliana. Juliana, is NGS working
10	with the FAA towards aircraft using GPS to
11	navigate and for air traffic control so that
12	they can better utilize air space and reduce
13	their fuel costs?
14	MS. BLACKWELL: We work closely
15	with the FAA as far as airport surveys and
16	knowing or trying to keep in touch with what
17	their vision is for WAAS and LAAS
18	implementation for their aircraft navigation.
19	There are a lot of concerns with
20	the FAA related to datums and the fact that,
21	you know, they have to think internationally
22	and work internationally. And we know that,

	Page 322
1	you know, 10 years from now we'll be in a much
2	better place when we have our new datums
3	established to be able to have something that
4	works better in an international reference
5	frame.
6	But in the meantime, you know,
7	they're going down their path for WAAS and
8	LAAS implementation, Wide Area Augmentation
9	System and Local Area Augmentation System, for
10	their control of their aircraft. We work
11	primarily with them on datum issues and
12	quality assurance/quality control of airport
13	survey data.
14	MEMBER JEFFRESS: The reason I ask
15	is our campuses are responding to a request by
16	the FAA to fund six centers of excellence
17	throughout the United States to help come up
18	with the policies of innovating unmanned
19	aerial systems with commercial aircraft
20	throughout the United States. They want these
21	policies in place by 2020 and a lot of hinges
22	on collision avoidance which is precise

	Page 32
1	positioning in three and four dimensions which
2	is going to be based on precise GPS which has
3	got to use the CORS network. So I was just
4	wondering if any discussions have started
5	along those lines.
6	MS. BLACKWELL: While we have the
7	CORS network available we are not really in
8	the a realtime positioning service hat. We
9	don't wear that hat, we don't want to wear
10	that hat, that's not what we're about. All
11	disclaimers here. So we wouldn't be talking
12	to them regarding the actual positioning of
13	aircraft in realtime.
14	I will say, however, that the CORS
15	network is beneficial in post-processing
16	aircraft positions. So that when data is
17	being collected on airborne platforms, after
18	that data is collected post-processing can be
19	done so that that where that aircraft is is
20	very well known which only improves the
21	information about the data that's being
22	collected on the ground. So, after the fact

3

	Page 324
1	positioning of aircraft I would say is
2	something that we're involved with and
3	supported as far as CORS what the CORS
4	capabilities are, but not the realtime
5	positioning of aircraft.
6	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Anybody else?
7	Yes.
8	DR. BAMFORD: So a question for
9	Molly. And I'm just thinking with the CORS
10	station how getting to that network you've got
11	to have some kind of baseline standards and
12	you have to make sure everybody's connected.
13	You were talking about up in
14	Alaska I think the number was 3,000 or so
15	parameters you want to try to get into the AU
16	system and all those measurements. How do you
17	verify the QA/QC and if you have two tide
18	gauges or two similar parameters really close
19	to each other and you're getting variations in
20	measurements, how do you control that or
21	ensure that the quality and the reliability is
22	there when you're inputting all different
	Page 325
----	--
1	information? Or do you have some kind of
2	baseline standard you're requiring everybody
3	as part of the system adhere to to ensure that
4	consistency?
5	MS. MCCAMMON: I think ideally you
6	would have those kind of baseline standards.
7	But it's one of those sometimes even not
8	necessarily the most robust data is better
9	than no data.
10	But we do look at things like that
11	and we do look for outliers and anomalies.
12	And we would call whoever the data provider is
13	and say there's a problem with your sensor.
14	You know, it's winter and it's showing 70
15	degrees temperature or something odd or
16	something. We would let them know that it's
17	off.
18	But we leave it to the provider,
19	the data provider, to do that QA/QC. But we
20	do some level of overseeing it. But that's,
21	you know, we've got 3,000 sensors coming in
22	and they're from all different kinds of

Page 3 1 providers, but it's they're for the most 2 part pretty reliable folks. And we have the 3 metadata that they use, we have that source so 4 we can look at that too. 5 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Well, okay. 6 Once again thank you very much for your 7 participation.	26
<pre>1 providers, but it's they're for the most 2 part pretty reliable folks. And we have the 3 metadata that they use, we have that source so 4 we can look at that too. 5 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Well, okay. 6 Once again thank you very much for your 7 participation.</pre>	
2 part pretty reliable folks. And we have the 3 metadata that they use, we have that source so 4 we can look at that too. 5 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Well, okay. 6 Once again thank you very much for your 7 participation.	
<ul> <li>3 metadata that they use, we have that source so</li> <li>4 we can look at that too.</li> <li>5 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Well, okay.</li> <li>6 Once again thank you very much for your</li> <li>7 participation.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>4 we can look at that too.</li> <li>5 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Well, okay.</li> <li>6 Once again thank you very much for your</li> <li>7 participation.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>5 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Well, okay.</li> <li>6 Once again thank you very much for your</li> <li>7 participation.</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>6 Once again thank you very much for your</li><li>7 participation.</li></ul>	
7 participation.	
8 And again, you have a standing	
9 invitation to come back tomorrow and sit in on	
10 our stakeholder breakout sessions. We'll have	
11 the baseline data collection requirements for	
12 NOAA's navigation data, emerging Arctic	
13 priorities, Alaska geospatial framework and	
14 Alaska tides and currents. I think your	
15 information and input would be invaluable to	
16 help us come up with some recommendations for	
17 our letters to administration. So thank you	
18 very much. This has been very, very	
19 informative.	
20 (Applause.)	
21 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: And for the	
22 panel we have 5 minutes before our break. I	

Page 327 1 think we might be able to break now then. And 2 again, for those interested Kathy has arranged a site view of Mount McKinley for the HSRP and 3 We can meet in the hotel lobby for the 4 NOAA. 5 escort up to the observation deck here. And if you have a camera, bring it. 6 7 MS. WATSON: Right. And Matt, 8 could you please let all the speakers know 9 that when we return to Silver Spring all the 10 presentations will be posted on the website? Did you hear 11 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: 12 All the presentations, if we could have that? those from you, will be posted on the website. 13 And we'll meet back here at 3:30. 14 Thank you. 15 (Whereupon, the above-entitled 16 matter went off the record at 2:54 p.m. and 17 resumed at 3:34 p.m.) 18 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay, we have 19 an hour and a half to discuss the stakeholders 20 presentations, come up with some 21 recommendations for NOAA and talk about the 22 agenda for tomorrow.

	Page 328
1	A couple of things. I have one
2	request, and I was asked if I could bend
3	protocol a little bit for the public comment
4	period. Joel Reynolds would like to address
5	the HSRP and he's going to have to leave very
6	shortly. So he has about a 5-minute
7	presentation that he would like to ask to
8	present right now to the panel. So, Dr.
9	Reynolds.
10	DR. REYNOLDS: Thank you for the
11	opportunity. Sorry I have to leave early but
12	I appreciate your flexibility doing this.
13	I'm the science coordinator for
14	the Western Alaska Landscape Conservation
15	Cooperative. For those of you who don't know,
16	the LCCs are a DOI-funded program to try and
17	address more efficiently address shared
18	science needs among land and resource
19	management agencies. Basically the mission
20	for western Alaska is to promote coordination,
21	dissemination and development of applied
22	science to inform landscape-level conservation

Page 329

1 including terrestrial and marine linkages in 2 the face of landscape-scale stressors with a 3 focus on climate change. I had to actually 4 look up what my mission was so that I'd get 5 that right.

6 And I just wanted to make a couple 7 of real brief comments to emphasize the 8 importance of some of the data gaps that you heard about this afternoon. Just from the 9 10 perspective of some stakeholder groups that you maybe don't think about in your day-to-day 11 life which are the wildlife and habitat 12 13 resource management agencies and user groups 14 like subsistence hunters in the villages in western Alaska along with recreational and 15 16 sport users.

17And so the LCC is pretty new.18About a year ago we had as part of our19strategic planning process we had a science20workshop here in Anchorage to identify sort of21pressing science needs that are held in common22across these management agencies and user

	Page 330
1	groups with a focus on climate change impacts.
2	The two biggest landscape-level
3	process changes that came out as the greatest
4	sources of uncertainty had to do with changes
5	in coastal processes and changes in
6	hydrological processes which both have links
7	to NOAA and NWS.
8	Out of that we decided that this
9	year the LCC would take its vast \$600,000 of
10	DOI funding for projects and apply it as
11	this is year 1 of a 2-year pilot program
12	focusing on changes in coastal processes,
13	specifically coastal storms and their impacts
14	on biological resources more or less.
15	Almost all of that money we had an
16	RFP. We got a number of about 20 or 30
17	proposals. We funded 11 of them. Just to
18	point out where we're putting our money
19	because these end up being the greatest needs
20	for our user groups we funded two ShoreZone
21	proposals that we're leveraging with things
22	that the Park Service and Fish and Wildlife

Page 331 1 funded and the Arctic LCC. 2 We've put money into three different proposals that have to do with storm 3 surge modeling that basically effectively want 4 5 to do a lot more finer scale storm surge. You 6 may or may not know that the Yukon-Kuskokwim 7 delta is the world's most important breeding 8 area for migratory waterfowl. This is a 9 worldwide important resource. If you want to 10 investigate historic impacts let alone begin to project climate change impacts on migratory 11 12 waterfowl in that very low elevation gradient area then you need to be able to do storm 13 surge on the spatial resolution of 10 and 20 14 15 meters which the Army Corps, the existing Army 16 Corps work is way beyond that. And so we actually gave funding to a project that Robert 17 Grumbine with NOAA is leading as a very small 18 19 chunk to just try and push some of that work. 20 From delving, beginning to 21 understand storm surge modeling and stuff it's 22 really driven home the complete -- the huge

	Page 332
1	limitations on near-shore bathymetry data,
2	tidal data, DEMs, coastline location maps,
3	things like that in western Alaska. We have
4	the technology to begin investigating how
5	climate change projections will impact these
6	important habitat areas in terms of inundation
7	and salinization and deposition but we realize
8	that the baseline data you need to drive those
9	models and do those investigations doesn't
10	currently exist.
11	So I just wanted to take the
12	minute to sort of let you know that this is
13	important enough to us, all the work you guys
14	do is important enough to us that we're taking
15	what, you know, relative to what your budget
16	is a very tiny amount and putting all of it
17	into this for the next 2 years. So I
18	appreciate your time and I will be here
19	tomorrow for the breakout session so I'll give
20	you more detail then. Thank you.
21	(Applause.)
22	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Well, I guess

	Page 333
1	we had a chain reaction. The ball's rolling
2	right now, so Bob, would you like to address
3	the committee as well?
4	MR. PAWLOWSKI: Sure. Yes, thank
5	you. For the record, I'm Captain Bob
6	Pawlowski, NOAA retired. I'm talking on
7	behalf of the University of Alaska as an
8	adjunct professor.
9	I'll give you a slightly different
10	perspective on users because in with the
11	original Hydrographic Services Improvement Act
12	that was the navigation advisor up here. And
13	John Rayfield was up talking with Bill
14	Sherron, Don Young's chief of staff, who
15	promptly said so what are you guys going to do
16	to hire Alaskans? We're going to put money up
17	here to address the survey backlog in Alaska.
18	What are you going to do to hire Alaskans?
19	John Oswald who has JOA
20	Associates, Tom Newman with TerraSond, myself
21	and Orson Smith at the university said we'd
22	create a one-semester 400-level hydrographic

	Page 334
1	surveying class. And in that semester we
2	would fully introduce the students to enough
3	information that they could go to work in the
4	industry.
5	It was taught every fall until 2
6	years ago and now it's every other year. This
7	last class had 20 students in it. But we
8	start off introducing to charts. Let me step
9	back one.
10	In 2005 we finally got a textbook,
11	the IHO Manual on Hydrography. Prior to that
12	the texts were totally inappropriate because
13	GPS and multibeam were dominating everything
14	and there was nothing out there other than on
15	side-scan and on single-beam. It was in the
16	Corps of Engineers Engineering Manuals and
17	then we were able to bring in NOAA's specs and
18	deliverables.
19	So we put this curriculum
20	together. In the process we would start off
21	looking at what is the uses, what are the
22	sources. The chart got chart one, all of

	Page 335
1	the navigation stuff, teaching the
2	fundamentals of working on a chart and
3	planning.
4	And then we would step into the
5	whole process of acoustics in the ocean, the
6	sonar equation and how the sonar equation
7	works. What is the role of thermoclines, et
8	cetera. And then step into tides and currents
9	and establishing vertical datums, not just in
10	the coastal zone but because this is co-joined
11	with Corps of Engineers we have to deal with
12	rivers, locks and how it goes up in the inlet.
13	It's still hydrographic survey.
14	So once we got them into
15	understanding the datums then for datums they
16	would often go over to John Oswald's shop and
17	look at the tide gauges, look at the
18	instruments, get the presentations over there.
19	We would then go into single-beam,
20	multibeam, side-scanned and LiDAR and run
21	through all those with practical examples, et
22	cetera, and assignments on total propagated

	Page 336
1	error, on pulse repetition rates, et cetera,
2	et cetera, et cetera. Enough to give them
3	this is 400-level. Enough to give them the
4	response to it.
5	Mid-term they would have to take
б	all their notes, all their information and
7	fundamentally work through a dozen questions.
8	And I guarantee, I don't know why it takes 3
9	hours but graduate and undergraduate students
10	can use 3 hours to try to answer 12 questions.
11	Be that as it may the second half
12	of the curriculum, because we are looking at
13	people going to work. We want them in these
14	companies. Was to actually give them survey
15	planning and survey practices standards, what
16	goes on with all of your patch tests, what
17	goes on in length of duration of establishing
18	tidal datums, just run them through all the
19	practical stuff so that by the time we got to
20	the end of the class they would understand
21	contracting language, what is Brooks Act and
22	IDIQ.

	Page 337
1	They would be able to look at
2	what's the responsibility of meeting the
3	standards. What are the statistics of errors
4	that allow for a 95 percent confidence level
5	in your data, all of these details. And they
6	would also be introduced to a statement of
7	work and a cost estimating sheet.
8	And the final exam, because I want
9	them to go to work and be successful, they
10	were given 3 hours with a statement of work
11	and the responsibility to say was it more
12	effective to charter and outfit the boat, or
13	was it more effective to use your own
14	integrated launch. And in 3 hours it was give
15	me a plan and give me a price. There is no
16	right answer, it's only the answer that you
17	can justify.
18	But what we found was we can teach
19	an entire semester introduction to hydro
20	enough so they can go and work with they
21	know what CARIS has brought in. Matt did a
22	great job bringing the NOAA data sets in and

Page 338 1 showing all the access to all of this. 2 We found that we can get in one 3 semester a complete overview of hydrography, give them 500 megs of public information in 4 5 the hydro manuals and the other things that are online, the NOAA tides documents, great 6 7 complex documents, and send them on their way 8 and the companies can grow them. 9 And we've got company, we've got 10 them in the university. We've got them at PND, the architect engineering firm. They're 11 12 in John's shop, they're in Tom's shop. And they're also in other companies around the 13 14 country. So thank you. 15 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Before you qo 16 that sounds like a very productive program. 17 Not knowing the application process, but have 18 any of the students gone on to apply for and 19 possibly receive the ACSM inshore and offshore 20 hydrographic certifications? 21 MR. PAWLOWSKI: I don't know. Ι 22 know that Nathan in John's shop went back to

	Page 339
1	UNH. What? IHO. Yes. Okay. And I don't
2	know how many I don't know if Andy did it
3	out of Fugro and went on.
4	I know that they're all introduced
5	to the certification processes there. But we
6	teach them a class. They've got to get the 5
7	years of field experience and happily we met
8	the responsibility of hiring Alaskans. Other
9	questions?
10	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Did you want to
11	say something, John? Or Dr. Sullivan?
12	MEMBER CAROTHERS: Jeff. Yes,
13	Jeff Carothers. You said the program is not
14	still running?
15	MR. PAWLOWSKI: No, it is still
16	running, it's just every other year. We also
17	teach a coastal measurement and analysis class
18	that fundamentally would be using the portal
19	that Molly had and bringing all that up.
20	Because I can walk into a classroom and I can
21	say okay, turn on your computers, we're going
22	to Adak. I'm going to need to see what

Г

	Page 340
1	technology you're going to give me for landing
2	a fiberoptic cable based on the Unified Soil
3	Classification System and hydro. Other
4	questions?
5	MR. DASLER: Jon Dasler. I'm glad
6	you brought that up, Bob, because I think
7	that's probably the only undergraduate program
8	in the U.S. I mean, there's geomatics
9	programs and most of them are graduate
10	programs.
11	MR. PAWLOWSKI: It's the only one-
12	semester to my knowledge it's the only one-
13	semester hydro class in the nation.
14	MR. DASLER: But that brings up I
15	guess in the 2010 HSRP document one of the
16	recommendations is developing a lifetime
17	career program that fosters the hydrographic
18	profession and continues the NOAA tradition of
19	national and international leadership in
20	hydrography. And really trying to push that
21	forward, how do we get kids excited about that
22	and get people into the programs.

	Page 341
1	I know recently at the Canadian
2	Hydrographic Conference there was a huge
3	discussion on the need for training. And so
4	I guess I would just urge the HSRP to continue
5	pushing for that and NOAA moving forward on
6	that.
7	There was a point I think when
8	NOAA was talking about what they call NOAA
9	certified. You know, it doesn't really
10	necessarily need to go to that but I think
11	there's a real need that a lot of
12	hydrographers are getting a little more gray
13	hair, or less hair I guess.
14	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Or both.
15	MR. DASLER: For that effort. I
16	think that's really important. I'm glad to
17	see that program is moving forward.
18	MR. PAWLOWSKI: During the 1998
19	hydro conference when Hydrographic Services
20	Improvement Act was going we did an 8-hour
21	short course specifically to give the industry
22	the choice of did they want to buy into the

1	
	Page 342
1	technology and bring it into the company or
2	did they want to look at contracting for it.
3	We still do a 3- to 4-hour short
4	course because surveying to maintain your land
5	surveyor status you need and your
6	engineering status you need continuing
7	education units. And so we can do a 3- or 4-
8	hour short course that just hits the
9	highlights of what's in the manuals and what's
10	the emerging technology. So we keep current
11	on that. But it's a very important subject up
12	here because we have so much to do and we want
13	Alaskans to be doing it.
14	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Well, thank you
15	very much. And I'm very happy to hear that.
16	(Applause.)
17	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Bill, did you
18	have a question that you wanted to address the
19	committee with?
20	MEMBER HANSON: Actually I've got
21	a comment and a question. And the comment
22	first thing is I mentioned this to Matt

Page 343 1 earlier. 2 We talk a lot in these panel meetings about things that we need to do and 3 there's a little bit of grousing, a little bit 4 5 of complaining that goes with it and that's part of the program. But once in awhile we 6 7 actually do something and do it well. And our 8 last session in Norfolk you remember we had a gentleman from NOAA come and talk to us about 9 10 LightSquared. Well, I think you guys, mostly you 11 12 know that LightSquared declared bankruptcy 13 last week. So congratulations to not only this panel but the rest of the industry that 14 15 kind of got behind that and showed some strength in being able to defend what we do. 16 17 It's one of those things that may 18 never go away. Somebody else may take up the 19 banner but we have to understand what it is we 20 do and be able to explain to others what we do 21 and do it well. So first off, congratulations 22 to the group for that.

	Page 344
1	Secondly, there was a House
2	appropriations bill that had some language,
3	committee language in it that called for NOAA
4	or called for GAO to do a study on NOAA
5	products, data collection products
б	particularly. And we're wondering, first off
7	I understand it's just in the House bill. I
8	don't know if it's in the Senate, don't know
9	if it'll eventually pass and be required, but
10	can you give us any sense of the background on
11	that and what who's pushing that? And
12	maybe how NOAA would respond to GAO.
13	DR. SULLIVAN: This is Kathy
14	Sullivan. We actually are asking ourselves
15	some of those same questions and working
16	through our staff contacts to see, to find our
17	more conclusively if we can what the back
18	story is and whether there are particular
19	motivations or just a broad sense of things.
20	You know, as a general rule if GAO
21	is being tasked the underlying surmise is that
22	there's a view that there's redundancy and

	Page 345
1	duplication that ought to be identified and
2	removed. But whether that's, you know,
3	broadly across the efficiency of the observing
4	systems or it's particular in some domain is
5	not yet clear from the intelligence that we've
6	been able to gather.
7	And if I could loop back to your
8	LightSquared comment, I'll add my applause to
9	the committee's efforts to make the voices of
10	these industries heard. But the driver behind
11	LightSquared is the competitive pressures on
12	spectrum and in particular a variety of the
13	space-based segments in and around L-band that
14	are used for not only GPS but a number of NOAA
15	applications.
16	Those pressures are not going to
17	go away. The desire for commercializing
18	portions of those spectrum and deriving the
19	revenues, both government revenues from the
20	auctions and then commercial revenues from
21	ongoing services are not likely to fade.
22	They're likely to persist. So I think it's

Page 346 1 important to keep an eye on that space in the 2 interests of this industry. And the other thing that the 3 industry might well do, I think there probably 4 5 is a point of validity in one of the arguments that LightSquared made about the way in which 6 7 receivers to date largely have been built. It 8 has really not been necessary to be as effective in the receiver designs or the 9 10 general public common user receiver design as one can be at different price points. 11 But that's the other side of the coin to look at 12 is how our receiver technologies in the 13 14 commercially available sets for both precise 15 surveying purposes and general applications, how are they being built and can they be more 16 17 resistant to bleedover from nearby frequency 18 usage. 19 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Joyce? 20 MEMBER MILLER: A related question 21 from the document that was in our packages, 22 the House -- is this the House mark? Ιt

	Page 347
1	specifically restores the navigation response
2	teams in this document. We discussed this
3	last, I believe in Norfolk. During that
4	meeting the navigation response team after the
5	hurricane there in Norfolk had significantly
6	enhanced NOAA's image in there and there was
7	a lot of praise for the navigation response
8	team. And in the discussion of the panel we
9	thought that was important.
10	We had seen that it was not in the
11	budget. Can anybody address what the status
12	of that is, of the navigation response teams?
13	Because if it's not in the budget I think it's
14	something that the panel may possibly want to,
15	you know, address.
16	DR. SULLIVAN: So, the President's
17	budget submittal for `13 did propose to
18	terminate funding for the nav response teams
19	in response to the set of pressures that we
20	were under to get it to certain caps and other
21	ground rules that came along with the
22	budgeting process.

	Page 348
1	The Senate mark did not reverse
2	that proposal. The House mark does reverse
3	it. I think this is the House mark, not the
4	bill that was passed last week. The House did
5	take their bill to the floor but have yet to
6	get to conference. The Senate has yet to take
7	their bill to the floor. So the status is we
8	are in that stage of competing in varied
9	proposals from the White House, from the
10	Senate and the House, and not yet moved into
11	a reconciliation phase. So limbo basically.
12	MEMBER MILLER: But I mean what is
13	NOAA's kind of position on it? Is NOAA
14	pushing restoring the navigation response
15	teams to the budget or not?
16	DR. SULLIVAN: Well, we like all
17	executive branch agencies once our discussions
18	within the administration are complete and a
19	budget goes forward are obliged to support and
20	defend the President's budget.
21	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay, well,
22	thank you very much and I appreciate your

Page 349 1 bringing that up, Bill. 2 The next thing on our list of agendas is the discussion of stakeholder 3 presentations. And I'm not really sure how to 4 5 launch into this so if anybody wants to step up to the plate by all means feel free. 6 7 We had two different groups, the 8 regional needs for NOAA nav services that was 9 this morning and then this afternoon more of a session for the geospatial tides, currents 10 and hydrographic services. 11 12 Going back and thinking about 13 this, is there anything that stands out collectively within the panel that you think 14 should be a recommendation to NOAA? And while 15 you think about that Lawson had to leave so he 16 17 could get ready for things tonight, but he gave me a letter and I'll read this to the 18 19 panel because this is something that he 20 strongly believes in. 21 And it states, "I believe in our 22 letter to the administration we have a comment

	Page 350
1	regarding the Port of Anchorage to access
2	navigation issues. I was not impressed with
3	the responses regarding current depth and the
4	need for improvement. I brought up the
5	national security aspect for Anchorage. This
6	issue is a high-profile one for economic
7	security reasons. HSRP should highlight this
8	critical need."
9	I think I can understand a little
10	bit of what he's talking about in the fact
11	that there is shoaling and there is a concern
12	for water underkeel as ships are coming into
13	the harbor. But I also think that what we're
14	looking at for nav services, especially
15	hydrographic services, we're just the
16	shipping industry is going to have to pay a
17	little bit more attention to the tides.
18	They still have water under the
19	keel that they can use to navigate through the
20	shoaling areas with. The window of
21	opportunity might be a little bit less but at
22	the same time I think the point was also noted

Page 351 and correct me if I'm wrong, but there was a -1 2 - the shoaling was actually receding somewhat along MacKenzie Point. So I think if some 3 4 type of a study were done we might see that as 5 with every other thing with Mother Nature there's ebb in the flow. 6 7 And is this necessarily a matter 8 of national security? I'm not sure but far be 9 it from me to say yes or no of something like 10 that. Does anybody have any opinions on that and could you at least clarify thing for me? 11 12 Is there that study and was there some indication that the shoaling was receding? 13 14 LT. FORNEY: Yes. So currently --15 this is Matt Forney, by the way. Yes, 16 currently there's actually two shoals that are There's the Knik Arm Shoal which 17 in question. 18 is where the navigable channel is and then 19 also further down that range line there's the 20 Point MacKenzie shoal. That shoal indeed is 21 from I do believe it's last year's, or excuse 22 me, 2010 data to 2011 it has receded. And

Page 352 1 there's going to start kicking off this year 2 a 5-year planned study by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers which by the way they have 3 actually signed on and said that they will 4 5 collect that data to a NOAA standard so that we can ingest it and put out a navigation 6 7 product from that data. So yes that study is 8 underway. 9 And then the other area is and I 10 do believe Steve did say this earlier this morning, is that there is a plan and actually 11 12 I think he said it was out for proposal, a dredging plan for the Knik Arm Shoal. 13 14 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: So I read this to you and I want you all to chew on this a 15 little bit. This is one of 15 panel members 16 17 and has a vested interest in what's being said 18 here. And I don't know. I'm coming at it 19 with mixed feelings myself. 20 Beyond that it was interesting and 21 at least in the last panel session I've had to 22 deal with mapping issues myself and I've had

	Page 353
1	to deal with geodetic control issues. And one
2	thing that I think Mike and Aimee and Tom, I
3	brought to their attentions was everyone pays
4	an enhanced 911 tax on their cell bill,
5	cellular service, and that money could be used
6	to help fund possibly a tide gauge or the
7	mapping initiative that Tom was working on, or
8	Mike could use for emergency 911 practice in
9	mapping or possibly monitoring the shoreline
10	because of homeland security. And it has been
11	successfully used in the states of North
12	Carolina, Virginia and Indiana.
13	Things like this need to be
14	brought to their attention but I think things
15	like this in work with recommendations that we
16	might be able to make could open up avenues
17	for them because with Aimee and the need for
18	predicted tides, if she were able to use
19	monies made available to her with something
20	like this, the installation of an NWLON
21	station or a tide gauge and use with expertise
22	from local kids to help do other forms of

	Page 354
1	innovative measurements perhaps. They could
2	do some constructive things. So I think
3	outreach plans might be beneficial.
4	The money's there in some ways. I
5	don't know how the services are collected or
6	how the taxes are collected here but it's a
7	pot of money that we have tried to use in
8	South Carolina unsuccessfully because of
9	legislation that was written, but have know
10	of other states that have been able to do
11	something like this. So I think that would be
12	something that we could address possibly
13	tomorrow in the breakout sessions as food for
14	thought to see where things might go with that
15	if they had the monies to work with.
16	Other than that, Michele?
17	MEMBER DIONNE: Well, the reserve
18	system has been through working around the
19	edges of developing what they call sentinel
20	sites for patterns of inundation in the
21	wetlands that they characterize most of the
22	reserves. And they have fairly well developed

Page 355 1 education program. At the woman at Estuarine 2 Reserves Division in Silver Spring might well be interested in talking to somebody from HSRP 3 about that. Her name is Laurie McGilvray and 4 5 she's, as far as I can tell, she's excellent. 6 So she might be interested in developing a 7 collaboration of some kind or at least 8 discussing what one would look like. 9 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. Larry, 10 did you have something? MR. MAYER: Yes and I'm almost 11 12 hesitant to bring this up. And I guess I 13 speak as a taxpayer. You're talking about 14 potential sources of revenue. I always look 15 for ways to gain efficiency and try to be more efficient at what we do so we can get more 16 17 done with less money. 18 And I have to admit, I was very, 19 very concerned at the, at least in my mind 20 apparent redundancy between much of the Corps 21 of Engineers effort and the NOAA -- and Coast 22 Guard needs too. I'm not sure what this panel

	Page 356
1	can do because I'm not sure it's a NOAA issue
2	but there seemed very much to be an attitude
3	of treating NOAA as a stakeholder.
4	And that is so funny to me. Yes,
5	NOAA is a stakeholder but they're also an
6	Agency that's charged with collecting very
7	much of the same data in the same places. And
8	yet there wasn't that apparent recognition of
9	that. That's very concerning. I think as
10	taxpayers we should be always on the lookout
11	for that kind of redundancy and trying to
12	address that.
13	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: So if I
14	understand what you're saying correctly then,
15	we have a mutual interest from various sources
16	and we should all try to work together towards
17	a common goal?
18	MR. MAYER: Yes and I think there
19	are great efficiencies to be gained in doing
20	that. But I haven't seen let me just leave
21	it at that.
22	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. Okay,

Page 357 1 very good. Scott? 2 VICE CHAIR PERKINS: On that note, 3 Larry, there is a draft piece of legislation, "Map it Once, Use it Many" that was taken to 4 5 the Hill for the 112th Congress. So maybe we 6 should put that on the legislative affairs 7 action list for this group. 8 MR. MAYER: I know that 9 legislation very well. Yes, that's exactly 10 right. 11 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay Scott, 12 thank you. Frank. MEMBER KUDRNA: I want to follow 13 14 up on that and I have a specific recommended 15 And when Steve Boardman discussed all action. 16 the Corps projects for potential new harbors 17 and expansion of existing ports and those type of things, and then talked about the lack of 18 19 a link to NOAA charting that is going to be 20 necessary as soon as every one of those things 21 is finished, the question I had asked him was 22 is there an opportunity instead of having a

Page 358 1 joint cooperative agreement to have a three-2 party cooperative agreement with NOAA and the Corps of Engineers and the local sponsors so 3 there would be some resources so that when 4 5 these new capital improvements took place the charting and mapping would be in place. 6 7 And his comment during the open 8 session was it was an intriguing concept. And I talked with him afterwards and he thought 9 that could be very helpful. I said well, how 10 do we do this and he suggested that there's a 11 12 brand new Chief of Engineers in Washington just installed right now and it really is an 13 14 appropriate time for a high-level NOAA discussion with the Corps of Engineers on how 15 16 the charting and mapping portion of NOAA could 17 be integrated into the Corps of Engineers 18 project and cooperative agreement. 19 And that could also provide some 20 potential resources to supplement NOAA to do 21 this. Because right now when they build these 22 projects they're creating hazards out there

	Page 359
1	because of the lack of adequate charting
2	that's available for the approach to these new
3	facilities. So I would make the
4	recommendation or suggest that one of our
5	recommendations be to encourage NOAA to have
б	this high-level meeting with the new Chief of
7	Engineers to discuss the possibility of begin
8	entering into three-party cost-sharing
9	agreements for future projects with the Corps
10	of Engineers.
11	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Yes, Rich.
12	MR. EDWING: So just following up
13	on that. Following Hurricanes Katrina and
14	Rita, and everybody remembers the failure of
15	levies down there and there was lots of
16	studies done as to why that occurred. One of
17	the findings was because the Corps was not
18	using proper datums for design.
19	And there was a policy that came
20	out, issued after that that said told
21	districts thou shalt use NOAA tidal datums and
22	NOAA geodetic datums for all of your coastal

Page 360 1 projects. 2 And you heard Mr. Boardman's assistant, I don't recall her name. 3 She said the CEPD project. Well, that's their project 4 5 to try and get all of their coastal projects 6 onto NOAA datums. It's not made a lot of 7 progress since then and a lot of it's kind of 8 funding and that's the way the Corps' funded 9 by a project by project basis. So I think try 10 not to encourage the --I understand. 11 MEMBER KUDRNA: And 12 I served on the peer review for all the standards for the Corps on Katrina's 13 14 reconstruction. But I'm saying I think there's an opportunity with a new chief at a 15 high level to have that discussion to try and 16 17 integrate that process. 18 And I don't mean just using NOAA 19 data, I mean incorporating the updating of the 20 appropriate NOAA charts into a project so that 21 when there's a new major facility or 22 construction there would be the appropriate
	Page 361
1	charts available and it would be cost-sharing.
2	MR. EDWING: And I agree but I'm
3	just pointing out the policy is in place to
4	kind of start.
5	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Right. Okay,
6	Joyce, then David, then Gary.
7	MEMBER MILLER: Yes and I think
8	Evelyn had a comment too.
9	What I wondered was this whole
10	the Army Corps and so forth. And it's kind of
11	a follow-up on what I brought up right before
12	lunch. I mean, to redo most of these places
13	that people are talking about including the
14	Port of Anchorage you don't have to have a
15	ship up here. All you need is a couple of
16	launches or a contractor. I mean, it's just -
17	- there, you know, Anchorage is a big project,
18	there's no doubt about that.
19	But I mean, and the other question
20	I have in terms of Army Corps is don't they do
21	post-dredge surveys and why isn't that getting
22	on the charts? I mean, doesn't Army Corps do

Page 362 1 post-dredge surveys? 2 CAPT. LOWELL: I do show my fingernails a lot during these meetings for 3 Number one, let me assure 4 many reasons. 5 everybody that we do coordinate fairly closely 6 with all the Coast Guard districts that we 7 deal with, and we typically deal with 21 of 8 the 40. There's quite a few Army Corps 9 districts. 10 We are challenged in several ways in doing that because of the way they're 11 12 funded. I think there was some inference of -- by Mr. Boardman they get money for specific 13 14 projects. This is just the way they run. 15 Surveying is attached to it but maybe not directly funded. 16 17 I've even heard stories of some 18 districts saying we never survey outside of a 19 channel because the money is meant to be spent 20 in that channel. And in fact even if there's 21 a spur off to the side of another associated 22 channel that isn't attached to the funding

	Page 363
1	mechanism they have that they don't even feel
2	they have the authority to redirect survey
3	assets. So, it's not really clear.
4	Actually perhaps Mr. Miles could
5	comment on some of these things coming from
6	the Army Corps. By the way, everybody does
7	recognize Mr. Miles is a past district
8	commander for the Army Corps.
9	But the key here is all of the
10	data that's provided to NOAA from Army Corps
11	we do process and process as quickly as we can
12	and we get it out to the end users as fast as
13	we can.
14	I think there are a number of
15	different flavors of the data, how we can get
16	it out. Do you get out higher resolution
17	point data or do we just do quarters as we
18	were discussing in the past? But all of that
19	is in place.
20	We get condition surveys, we get -
21	- we typically don't apply pre-dredge surveys
22	because it's going to change, but we do apply

	Page 364
1	post-dredge surveys pretty much post haste.
2	So it's not like it's falling apart at this
3	point, it's just 21 different moving parts
4	that we have to deal with taking a very
5	distributed work effort and trying to pull it
6	into a national program of charting. So it's
7	just challenging.
8	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay, Dave.
9	MEMBER JAY: David Jay. I was
10	going to follow up on what Joyce had said.
11	It's been my impression watching Corps
12	district operations over the years that, you
13	know, as you just said, Captain Lowell, that
14	the mandate for survey is interpreted very
15	tightly. They've got the assets and a lot of
16	times the operation doesn't look like it's
17	very efficient or working very hard. And a
18	lot more could be done if there was a mandate
19	for them to do it and if what they're doing it
20	is up to standards. And I'm not sure, you
21	know, whether it always meets your standard is
22	something I wouldn't be able to wouldn't

	Page 365
1	know.
2	CAPT. LOWELL: Just one more
3	clarification is, and maybe this gets a little
4	bit to what Larry was talking about, but the
5	way we deal with Army Corps data is Army Corps
б	is responsible for federally authorized
7	channels. Typically they would dredge it,
8	they would survey it and they would provide
9	the information to us.
10	We do not put our own standards
11	onto that. We actually view the Army Corps as
12	the owner of that channel and if they tell me
13	it's 48 feet I put 48 feet on the chart. The
14	only time we get a little hitch in our giddy-
15	up there is if it comes in on different datum.
16	Then we actually have to figure out what datum
17	was it collected to. We have a charting
18	standard which is mean lower level water.
19	What is the relationship between those datums.
20	And then we might actually make a correction
21	if we can, especially of a broad area that
22	get's a little more challenging. But they own

	Page 366
1	the channel and we let them tell us what that
2	depth is.
3	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Gary?
4	MEMBER JEFFRESS: On this same
5	subject about following up from what Frank
6	said about agencies getting together and being
7	more efficient. The same applies with coastal
8	mapping and FEMA flood inundation mapping
9	along the coast.
10	I don't know if you noticed today
11	or this afternoon. Michael O'Hare from
12	Homeland Security had a slide up there when he
13	talked about HAZUS software and also he had a
14	line there that FEMA does their mapping to
15	National Geodetic Survey vertical datum of
16	1929. Did you all notice that?
17	In Texas, in Corpus Christi, Texas
18	the 1929 mean sea level datum is now a foot
19	and a half below mean sea level that it is
20	now. It's like 2 feet in Galveston. So
21	they're way behind the times when it comes to
22	modern sea level and they're basing millions

	Page 367
1	and millions of dollars' worth of flood
2	insurance on these erroneous elevations. That
3	also needs a higher level communication
4	between NOAA and now Homeland Security which
5	FEMA comes under.
6	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Steve, please.
7	I agree with you.
8	MR. MILES: Thank you. So Steve
9	Miles. I did 27 years with the Army Corps.
10	I finished up as the Portland District
11	Engineer. And so what I would just add to is
12	I wouldn't read too much into Steve Boardman's
13	language of how he maybe addressed a sister
14	federal agency. Because I would say where I
15	left the Army Corps of Engineers NOAA is a
16	great partner just like the Bureau of
17	Reclamation. I put a lot of pride in being,
18	you know, interagency, smart government like
19	our President wants us to do. Let's work as
20	hard as we can for our taxpayers given the
21	rules that we operate.
22	And so until you're in the Army

	Page 368
1	Corps of Engineers to the panel member down
2	here to the right is it's a project-funded
3	organization. And it can be a great
4	organization to hate but we're given
5	authorization and appropriation. So we work
6	within the federal channel and we survey that
7	survey.
8	Once we survey that area for
9	dredge surveys which may not be good for
10	navigating large vessels we'll share that
11	information with anybody that would like to
12	use it. And like what Captain Lowell said, a
13	lot of times that's not great survey data to
14	be navigating on but it is shared with as many
15	agencies as we can.
16	And so I'd just but
17	unfortunately if the district engineer could
18	have been here for the Alaska District, I know
19	he's packing out this week with his family,
20	you would have gotten a whole different
21	perspective on how Colonel Koenig works with
22	other agencies. And I think it would be

	Page 369
1	great, I applaud the recommendation over here
2	with General Bostick who just took over as our
3	next Chief of Engineers for our nation is for
4	NOAA to engage and talk about the Arctic with
5	General Bostick. And say let's go up there
6	and let's collaborate together. NOAA, have
7	the Commandant of the Coast Guard. I think it
8	would be a great, you know, tri-agency
9	recommendation coming from the panel to get
10	General Bostick, the Commandant of the Coast
11	Guard and the Administrator for NOAA together
12	and say let's craft a policy for how we can
13	work together in the Arctic here in Alaska.
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Evelyn, did you
16	have something?
17	MEMBER FIELDS: Yes. This is
18	Evelyn Fields. I was just going to agree with
19	what Frank said. If the Corps of Engineers at
20	this point here in the Alaska area is looking
21	at priorities for new areas, up and coming
22	ports or whatever, it would seem to me that

Page 370 NOAA's priorities or should be involved in 1 2 knowing what those priorities are so that if they start work you should know that so that 3 your surveying priorities could integrate with 4 5 whatever they come up with. 6 And I'm not saying that you have 7 to follow them, but it would seem to me that 8 as soon as they come up with a laundry list or 9 a priority list the next thing that's going to 10 happen is somebody's going to say well, we need surveys in those areas. And it would 11 12 seem that those two things should go together as opposed to each doing their own thing. 13 And 14 I think that's what Frank was -- I think 15 that's what he was saying. Okay. 16 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay, just a 17 Bill, did you have something you second. 18 wanted to say? 19 MEMBER HANSON: Yes, I just wanted 20 to follow up with Joyce's question about the 21 after-dredge surveys. And we do -- 75 percent 22 of our work is for the Corps of Engineers and

	Page 371
1	we work all over the country for them. And
2	every project has an after-dredge survey.
3	Sometimes they have both their contractor
4	surveys and the government surveys for
5	contractual purposes, but also for acceptance.
6	A lot of those surveys are on the
7	web for most of the districts. I can't find
8	it here on Anchorage's but it's, other
9	districts it pops up very quickly.
10	The pilots. We've been talking
11	about Portland which is one of the better
12	districts in the country has an excellent
13	relationship with their pilots. Most of the
14	districts do. There are some that struggle a
15	little bit but it's a very big country with a
16	lot of needs and districts do things a little
17	differently. But for the most part they do a
18	really good job of providing that. So I think
19	as Frank's alluded to, getting together with
20	headquarters and talking about some bigger
21	issues.
22	I have talked to Corps

Page 372 1 headquarters, we talk to them frequently as 2 well, and they think they have a very good relationship with NOAA. So we might want to 3 explore that a little bit and define what that 4 5 Because at the end of the day as users means. of the channels and uses of this data we just 6 7 want the data. We don't care who it comes 8 from we just want it out there. And if it's 9 floating around in somebody's pocket or 10 website let's get access to it. 11 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Frank. 12 I would just add MEMBER KUDRNA: 13 that the point I'm trying here is if an 14 authorization takes place between the Corps and a local sponsor, and it has the narrow 15 parameters of the navigable channel that's in 16 17 the authorization and there isn't a discussion 18 and a third-party presence of NOAA that has to 19 deal with the approach channel that might be 20 considerably larger and have hazards and very 21 important elements in it, a major element is 22 missing in that project. And the collective

	Page 373
1	project could be much better, there would be
2	an opportunity for some cost-sharing for
3	mapping and charting by NOAA and be a
4	participant.
5	And the place for that the time
б	for that to take place is before there's a
7	project agreement with a very narrow scope.
8	To have NOAA and the Corps of Engineers
9	discuss how there might be three parties to
10	achieving a successful project rather than two
11	and NOAA fitting in on the back side.
12	MEMBER JAY: I'll remember the
13	microphone this time. In response to what Mr.
14	Miles has said, you know, I understand the
15	Corps has limitations, but on the other hand
16	if you're in charge of maintaining a channel
17	one of your major issues is where are you
18	going to put the material which implies you
19	should be learning from where you put it last
20	time which means you need to be surveying
21	outside the channel. Otherwise you have no
22	idea where all the material goes.

	Page 374
1	I mean, I think there is scope and
2	I think there's also hope. What I'm hearing
3	from my colleagues at the Corps is that they
4	now have a directive from headquarters to
5	think about things in much more holistic
6	system-oriented ways rather than fragmented.
7	The headquarters is trying to get them to stop
8	thinking about I've got this project, it ends
9	here, this is the next project downstream, it
10	starts there and the two never speak to each
11	other. So I think there is room for dialogue
12	and progress in this area.
13	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay, good.
14	Not to cut you off, Gary, but getting back to
15	that did not FEMA, weren't they regulated
16	to switch to NAVD88 instead of using `29?
17	MEMBER JEFFRESS: FEMA, no. The
18	Corps has, yes. The Corps is finally working
19	up after Katrina that they need to adopt the
20	National Spatial Reference System datums. But
21	FEMA has not come to that realization yet.
22	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Jeff?

Page 375 1 MEMBER CAROTHERS: Yes, we just 2 worked on a large flood plain mapping project mapping the San Joaquin Valley in California, 3 Sacramento area and the Sacramento River. 4 A]] 5 of that and it was funded mostly by the state 6 of California. However, all of the results 7 were going to FEMA to draw the new flood maps. 8 And they were very specific about 88 being 9 used and even hired special people to come in 10 and make sure that everybody used the same datum and it was all up to 88. So, different 11 12 states I guess different things but I know in 13 California it was very specific on that one 14 project. 15 CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Matt? 16 LT. FORNEY: So Matt Forney, nav 17 manager of Alaska. I think we can clear up --18 hopefully, I don't want the panel to think 19 that Army Corps of Engineers and NOAA only 20 talk at this. We don't. 21 There has been a survey request 22 submitted to NOAA's Hydrographic Services

	Page 376
1	Division within Office of Coast Survey. That
2	survey request actually came from a joint
3	effort between myself and Army Corps of
4	Engineers.
5	Cook Inlet is actually a re-survey
6	area. It's generally surveyed right around
7	every 4 or 5 years. We're right now on our
8	fifth year. Next year will be six. It's not
9	an emergency priority because by definition
10	emergency priority states that no traffic can
11	move through the area. And that's not the
12	case here in Cook Inlet. There is traffic
13	moving, there is commerce moving around.
14	So it is I did assign it the
15	highest priority I could which is the number
16	one priority non-emergency. With that said
17	we'll see what FY `13 brings for us. And you
18	know, I'll be working very closely with the
19	folks who do assign these surveys projects to
20	push for that being a main priority for 2013.
21	Also on that same scale with the
22	cutting areas and the disposal of the dredge

	Page 377
1	areas, those were actually one of the main
2	areas that Army Corps of Engineers said hey,
3	if you can get to it first can you go ahead
4	and survey those areas. And it's been put
5	into the survey requests.
6	Also, I'll I guess change gears
7	here and go to a datum type of discussion for
8	the inundation because that's actually a
9	question that has come up around here is that
10	really the local datum is what is still used.
11	If you go to most areas, and actually Mr.
12	Hazelton over there along the wall might be
13	able to provide a little bit more information
14	about this. But really the local datum is
15	what is still used, especially for inundation.
16	Recently I do believe
17	approximately 8 months ago they actually went
18	and redid some of the FEMA maps in Homer and
19	it caused quite an uproar because they
20	actually tried to take it to NAVD88 and all of
21	a sudden a lot of the local communities saw
22	their insurance premiums shoot through the

	Page 378
1	roof. And of course it caused a huge uproar.
2	So they actually had to take it back to a
3	local datum based on water levels. Which I
4	guess leads me to say that we can't wait to
5	have some GRAV-D new G08 brought to the area.
6	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Bob?
7	MR. PAWLOWSKI: This time I'll put
8	on my legislative staff hat. I worked in the
9	Alaska State Senate. And I want to follow up
10	on first off the importance of introducing the
11	Kotzebue chart because we have been working
12	with Corps of Engineers, the state, DOT and
13	NOAA in a previous life trying to find ways to
14	develop Kotzebue Sound. But without the
15	survey to indicate loss of area there was no
16	way to move any farther forward because we
17	didn't know how long the causeways had to be.
18	That was one of those classic
19	situations where the survey had to there
20	was a lot of dialogue in the process. 2008-
21	2010 we've had more and more work on the
22	Arctic ports, but the important one is and the

	Page 379
1	state has mentioned that is three-part the
2	federal. The state's put a million bucks into
3	an Arctic port this last appropriation to
4	continue to work with.
5	We're looking at getting those
б	site-specific surveys to come into places.
7	The survey that was completed on the transect
8	across Port Clarence to Teller made the Nome
9	Port Clarence a complex that we could look at
10	for charting. Now we can discuss are we
11	putting \$10 million into the causeway, \$50
12	million into the causeway at Nome? Is Nome
13	going to be these are all dialogues going
14	on in the legislature.
15	But the important one is wherever
16	they need to come forward the Corps of
17	Engineers is involved very much but they
18	really need the fundamental survey and the
19	tidal datum and the Corps of Engineers storm
20	data all to be brought together so it can
21	actually be proven along with the ice. But
22	thank you.

Page 380         1       CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Interesting.         2       What would be the possibilities of to build         3       on some of the things Joyce had said, if the         4       Corps of Engineers said I want to have a port         5       here, infrastructure was in place and the         6       facility looked like it was a go. And keeping         7       in mind my knowledge of the Alaskan shoreline         8       is poor at best what would be the         9       possibilities of bringing up a navigation         10       response team or possibly two teams to come in         11       and do the survey since the cost factor to try         12       to get a ship up here to do something like         13       that and the time involved would be         14       prohibitively expensive. And that's a         15       question I guess that would come to either you         16       or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that         17       actually happen?         18       I mean, we're looking at another         19       way of getting or possibly getting it out to         20       a contractor.         21       CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's         22       probably more likely that we would go the		
1CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Interesting.2What would be the possibilities of to build3on some of the things Joyce had said, if the4Corps of Engineers said I want to have a port5here, infrastructure was in place and the6facility looked like it was a go. And keeping7in mind my knowledge of the Alaskan shoreline8is poor at best what would be the9possibilities of bringing up a navigation10response team or possibly two teams to come in11and do the survey since the cost factor to try12to get a ship up here to do something like13that and the time involved would be14prohibitively expensive. And that's a15question I guess that would come to either you16or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that17actually happen?18I mean, we're looking at another19way of getting or possibly getting it out to20a contractor.21CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's22probably more likely that we would go the		Page 380
2What would be the possibilities of to build3on some of the things Joyce had said, if the4Corps of Engineers said I want to have a port5here, infrastructure was in place and the6facility looked like it was a go. And keeping7in mind my knowledge of the Alaskan shoreline8is poor at best what would be the9possibilities of bringing up a navigation10response team or possibly two teams to come in11and do the survey since the cost factor to try12to get a ship up here to do something like13that and the time involved would be14prohibitively expensive. And that's a15question I guess that would come to either you16or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that17actually happen?18I mean, we're looking at another19way of getting or possibly getting it out to20a contractor.21CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's22probably more likely that we would go the	1	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Interesting.
3on some of the things Joyce had said, if the4Corps of Engineers said I want to have a port5here, infrastructure was in place and the6facility looked like it was a go. And keeping7in mind my knowledge of the Alaskan shoreline8is poor at best what would be the9possibilities of bringing up a navigation10response team or possibly two teams to come in11and do the survey since the cost factor to try12to get a ship up here to do something like13that and the time involved would be14prohibitively expensive. And that's a15question I guess that would come to either you16or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that17actually happen?18I mean, we're looking at another19way of getting or possibly getting it out to20a contractor.21CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's22probably more likely that we would go the	2	What would be the possibilities of to build
4Corps of Engineers said I want to have a port5here, infrastructure was in place and the6facility looked like it was a go. And keeping7in mind my knowledge of the Alaskan shoreline8is poor at best what would be the9possibilities of bringing up a navigation10response team or possibly two teams to come in11and do the survey since the cost factor to try12to get a ship up here to do something like13that and the time involved would be14prohibitively expensive. And that's a15question I guess that would come to either you16or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that17actually happen?18I mean, we're looking at another19way of getting or possibly getting it out to20a contractor.21CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's22probably more likely that we would go the	3	on some of the things Joyce had said, if the
5here, infrastructure was in place and the facility looked like it was a go. And keeping in mind my knowledge of the Alaskan shoreline is poor at best what would be the possibilities of bringing up a navigation response team or possibly two teams to come in and do the survey since the cost factor to try to get a ship up here to do something like that and the time involved would be prohibitively expensive. And that's a question I guess that would come to either you or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that actually happen?18I mean, we're looking at another way of getting or possibly getting it out to a contractor.21CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's probably more likely that we would go the	4	Corps of Engineers said I want to have a port
<ul> <li>facility looked like it was a go. And keeping</li> <li>in mind my knowledge of the Alaskan shoreline</li> <li>is poor at best what would be the</li> <li>possibilities of bringing up a navigation</li> <li>response team or possibly two teams to come in</li> <li>and do the survey since the cost factor to try</li> <li>to get a ship up here to do something like</li> <li>that and the time involved would be</li> <li>prohibitively expensive. And that's a</li> <li>question I guess that would come to either you</li> <li>or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that</li> <li>actually happen?</li> <li>I mean, we're looking at another</li> <li>way of getting or possibly getting it out to</li> <li>a contractor.</li> <li>CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's</li> <li>probably more likely that we would go the</li> </ul>	5	here, infrastructure was in place and the
<ul> <li>in mind my knowledge of the Alaskan shoreline</li> <li>is poor at best what would be the</li> <li>possibilities of bringing up a navigation</li> <li>response team or possibly two teams to come in</li> <li>and do the survey since the cost factor to try</li> <li>to get a ship up here to do something like</li> <li>that and the time involved would be</li> <li>prohibitively expensive. And that's a</li> <li>question I guess that would come to either you</li> <li>or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that</li> <li>actually happen?</li> <li>I mean, we're looking at another</li> <li>way of getting or possibly getting it out to</li> <li>a contractor.</li> <li>CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's</li> <li>probably more likely that we would go the</li> </ul>	6	facility looked like it was a go. And keeping
<ul> <li>8 is poor at best what would be the</li> <li>9 possibilities of bringing up a navigation</li> <li>10 response team or possibly two teams to come in</li> <li>11 and do the survey since the cost factor to try</li> <li>12 to get a ship up here to do something like</li> <li>13 that and the time involved would be</li> <li>14 prohibitively expensive. And that's a</li> <li>15 question I guess that would come to either you</li> <li>16 or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that</li> <li>17 actually happen?</li> <li>18 I mean, we're looking at another</li> <li>19 way of getting or possibly getting it out to</li> <li>20 a contractor.</li> <li>21 CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's</li> <li>22 probably more likely that we would go the</li> </ul>	7	in mind my knowledge of the Alaskan shoreline
<ul> <li>9 possibilities of bringing up a navigation</li> <li>10 response team or possibly two teams to come in</li> <li>11 and do the survey since the cost factor to try</li> <li>12 to get a ship up here to do something like</li> <li>13 that and the time involved would be</li> <li>14 prohibitively expensive. And that's a</li> <li>15 question I guess that would come to either you</li> <li>16 or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that</li> <li>17 actually happen?</li> <li>18 I mean, we're looking at another</li> <li>19 way of getting or possibly getting it out to</li> <li>20 a contractor.</li> <li>21 CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's</li> <li>22 probably more likely that we would go the</li> </ul>	8	is poor at best what would be the
response team or possibly two teams to come in and do the survey since the cost factor to try to get a ship up here to do something like that and the time involved would be prohibitively expensive. And that's a question I guess that would come to either you or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that actually happen? IN I mean, we're looking at another way of getting or possibly getting it out to a contractor. IN CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's probably more likely that we would go the	9	possibilities of bringing up a navigation
11and do the survey since the cost factor to try12to get a ship up here to do something like13that and the time involved would be14prohibitively expensive. And that's a15question I guess that would come to either you16or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that17actually happen?18I mean, we're looking at another19way of getting or possibly getting it out to20a contractor.21CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's22probably more likely that we would go the	10	response team or possibly two teams to come in
12to get a ship up here to do something like13that and the time involved would be14prohibitively expensive. And that's a15question I guess that would come to either you16or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that17actually happen?18I mean, we're looking at another19way of getting or possibly getting it out to20a contractor.21CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's22probably more likely that we would go the	11	and do the survey since the cost factor to try
13 that and the time involved would be 14 prohibitively expensive. And that's a 15 question I guess that would come to either you 16 or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that 17 actually happen? 18 I mean, we're looking at another 19 way of getting or possibly getting it out to 20 a contractor. 21 CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's 22 probably more likely that we would go the	12	to get a ship up here to do something like
14 prohibitively expensive. And that's a 15 question I guess that would come to either you 16 or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that 17 actually happen? 18 I mean, we're looking at another 19 way of getting or possibly getting it out to 20 a contractor. 21 CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's 22 probably more likely that we would go the	13	that and the time involved would be
15 question I guess that would come to either you or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that actually happen? 18 I mean, we're looking at another way of getting or possibly getting it out to a contractor. 20 a contractor. 21 CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's probably more likely that we would go the	14	prohibitively expensive. And that's a
<pre>16 or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that 17 actually happen? 18 I mean, we're looking at another 19 way of getting or possibly getting it out to 20 a contractor. 21 CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's 22 probably more likely that we would go the</pre>	15	question I guess that would come to either you
<pre>17 actually happen? 18 I mean, we're looking at another 19 way of getting or possibly getting it out to 20 a contractor. 21 CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's 22 probably more likely that we would go the</pre>	16	or Dr. Sullivan. Could something like that
I mean, we're looking at another way of getting or possibly getting it out to a contractor. CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's probably more likely that we would go the	17	actually happen?
<pre>19 way of getting or possibly getting it out to 20 a contractor. 21 CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's 22 probably more likely that we would go the</pre>	18	I mean, we're looking at another
<pre>20 a contractor. 21 CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's 22 probably more likely that we would go the</pre>	19	way of getting or possibly getting it out to
21 CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's 22 probably more likely that we would go the	20	a contractor.
22 probably more likely that we would go the	21	CAPT. LOWELL: I would say it's
	22	probably more likely that we would go the

	Page 381
1	contract route in this area. I mean, we've
2	had good success in this area. The NRTs are
3	fully occupied down south at this point.
4	Basically when something arises high enough on
5	the priority list then we do our best to act
б	on it based on our resources.
7	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. Michele?
8	MEMBER DIONNE: Is there any
9	are there any examples of using drones to
10	collect this kind of data? Coastal, you know.
11	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Drones to
12	collect this kind of data?
13	MEMBER DIONNE: Yes. Elevation
14	data.
15	VICE CHAIR PERKINS: Autonomous
16	underwater vehicles are available in the
17	contracting community right now. If the MOU
18	is in place between NOAA and the Corps, and
19	the Corps has a private sector already in
20	place under contract it's simply a task order
21	requesting a funding issue. Private sector
22	could respond to this need to get this inlet

	Page 382
1	out here surveyed in rapid fashion. The
2	private sector capability is there in place.
3	Contracting vehicles are there in place.
4	MEMBER DIONNE: What about from
5	above the surface?
6	VICE CHAIR PERKINS: I would say
7	the turbidity of the water out there would
8	preclude the use of bathymetric LiDAR. Just
9	that's an unprofessional observation. Yes,
10	the Secchi depth looks to be very insufficient
11	for airborne.
12	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Admiral Barbor,
13	you wanted to say something?
14	MEMBER BARBOR: I've been involved
15	for the last 6 years in the next generation
16	LiDAR. It looks like it's going to be a very
17	good turbidity LiDAR. So, yes. We just flew
18	validation flights in the Mississippi Sound
19	which is about as turbid as you could get.
20	And we're getting, you know, I think we were
21	starting to see 5 meters, 6 meters with it
22	which, you know, does a pretty interesting

	Page 383
1	job.
2	MR. MAYER: I was going to
3	reiterate that. And one of our speakers today
4	said that their real problem is less than 12
5	feet, zero to 12 feet. And when we're talking
6	about that and with the new generation of
7	LiDAR, I think there is potential there.
8	MEMBER BARBOR: I'd like to
9	yes, I was kind of waiting for the cooperative
10	Army Corps-NOAA thing to die down and pick up
11	one other thing. It really kind of stems from
12	the chairman's comments.
13	You know, I look at this job as
14	two fundamental enablers. One is water
15	levels, the other is positioning. And in both
16	cases this seems to be an extremely poor area
17	for. And what sort of innovative things
18	you were tugging on the tide gauge sort of
19	innovations and I think whatever we can come
20	up with innovative ways of helping the
21	establishment of additional CORS.
22	Gary's got a good network, you're

	Page 384
1	working a good network, Mississippi has a
2	great network. A lot of that's earmarks which
3	aren't going to happen now, but to that extent
4	what sort of innovative ways can they find
5	funding for establishment of the start of a
6	coordinated CORS network. And I think along
7	with a water level network that would go
8	which ought to be, you know, the sentinel
9	buoys or the sentinel stations are the ideal
10	situation but obviously an expensive solution.
11	In this I think we might need a less expensive
12	solution.
13	MEMBER MILLER: And something
14	struck me when both Aimee spoke and I think
15	Molly. Both of them said some phrase that we
16	don't need it to be perfect, we just need
17	data. And that sort of, you know, the GPS
18	isn't the greatest, et cetera, but you know,
19	that doesn't fit well with what you need to do
20	nautical charting. You know, you need it to
21	be as close to perfect as you can get it and
22	yet isn't some data better that nothing. I

	Page 385
1	mean, that's essentially the question they
2	were asking. And so I just that had just
3	struck me when two people said we just need
4	data, we don't have to be perfect.
5	MEMBER JAY: This thing always
б	gets me. I'm looking at you, not at the
7	microphone. David Jay. Along the lines of
8	innovative things to do, the gentleman from
9	the Alaska State ferry brings up the
10	situation. The BC ferries, I think it's the
11	one from Tsawwassen over to on the Victoria
12	side on Vancouver Island that has been fitted
13	out with an ADCP. These guys, you know, they
14	cover large areas in Alaska where there are no
15	current data and it's not the main effort
16	is in processing the data. I mean, it's not -
17	- it's a pretty simple thing getting that
18	Doppler profiler in there. Once it's in there
19	it really is not an effort for the crew at all
20	and that would be a way for them to get quite
21	a bit of current data which they certainly
22	lack.

	Page 386
1	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Yes, sir.
2	MR. CUSICK: Joel Cusick, National
3	Park Service. I'm a GIS specialist.
4	Keep doing what you're doing.
5	NOAA and NGS are the pins in our maps and the
6	GIS professional community understands scale
7	and we understand a 60,000 scale chart is
8	going to meet the needs and we'll wait. Just
9	pick up the rate a little faster and to
10	leverage it is lean on us feds.
11	Fish and Wildlife, Park Service,
12	we're in some really remote spots but we've
13	got a cabin you might not know about. You
14	might not like it but we'll put you in an
15	aircraft and you'll land on a beach like this,
16	but we'll get you there and we're OAS-
17	certified. That helps. And all our skippers
18	have at least got a six-pack, okay? But we
19	can save you money on the logistical side big-
20	time. So liaisons like Matt Forney and Kris
21	Holderied. We were almost pulled off a tidal
22	gauge station on the west side of Cook Inlet.

	Page 387
1	The only one that we would have
2	had in the Park Service shoreline. We almost
3	got there and we were coordinating it but it
4	just takes talking to us. We've got some very
5	willing people out there and just keep us
б	informed.
7	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Don't sit down.
8	So what happened? Why didn't you get it?
9	MR. CUSICK: It was the Tide &
10	Current group that's coming up here. I think
11	are they here now?
12	MR. EDWING: We were looking at
13	modifying the project that was being done with
14	the Alaska Energy Authority which was to put
15	out a bunch of tidal current meters and create
16	a model to assess the energy budget in the
17	area. And there was a recommendation that
18	came up maybe to do a current meter or two
19	less and pull it into this tide gauge. When
20	we looked at the benefit to the model and the
21	project that we were involved in, the benefit
22	wasn't there to the AEA in that project. So

	Page 388
1	you know, we couldn't justify using the
2	partner funds to also help out the Park
3	Service in this case.
4	We looked for the collaborative
5	opportunity there but when we looked at the
6	numbers it didn't really we couldn't
7	justify, you know, the benefit to the project.
8	DR. SULLIVAN: Was that because
9	the geography this is Kathy Sullivan the
10	geography or the ADCP or the tide gauge would
11	have been helpful didn't fit within the
12	MR. EDWING: We had some historic
13	data that really could be used to, you know,
14	help improve the model. Putting a tide gauge
15	in wasn't going to buy us enough improvement
16	to the model to justify the cost.
17	CAPT. LOWELL: I don't know if I'm
18	applying the wrong logic here, but if we were
19	to think about the IOCM concept of simply
20	understanding what the differential is could
21	that be applicable to that? Obviously the
22	cost associated with a new tide gauge to the

	Page 389
1	single project you were working with was not
2	cost-effective but perhaps if other users
3	weighed in on other requirements could be met
4	based on that.
5	Is there a coordinated way to do
6	that or do we corporately simply look at
7	things project by project and you know, if we
8	were to look wider to apply IOCM to tides how
9	would we do that?
10	MR. EDWING: Well, I know on a
11	national level we are engaged with the Park
12	Service. Anywhere now where we're going to be
13	doing a project we inform the Park Service
14	ahead of time to give enough lead time to both
15	sides to be able to make it in fact, we did
16	this in North Carolina where we were doing a
17	VDatum project and we adjusted the locations
18	of some of the VDatum gauges to also meet the
19	Park Service needs for I'm not sure what
20	they were using it for.
21	But you know I think in this case
22	there wasn't quite enough time. We just kind

1	
	Page 390
1	of came up it was kind of a last-minute
2	thing. And putting a tide gauge in in the
3	west side of Cook Inlet is no trivial matter.
4	And again, this wasn't our funds that were
5	being expended, it was also partner funds.
6	And when we looked at those partner funds were
7	to do the energy budget we couldn't, you know,
8	putting the tide gauge wasn't going to make a
9	big difference to that project.
10	MR. MAYER: The National Academy
11	study, National Needs of Coastal and Ocean
12	Mapping that led to the IOCM legislation had
13	another recommendation in it that I don't
14	think made it to the legislation. And that
15	was for a national registry, a registry of
16	surveys that are going to be done that are
17	funded but also a database of desired survey
18	areas. And I think it didn't make it into the
19	legislation because it's hard to formulate how
20	you actually legislate that.
21	But it seems to me that this is
22	something that can address all these different

	Page 391
1	issues. If you can look ahead a year or two
2	or three years, you know, we really want to
3	survey here. And the other agencies say well,
4	gee, the Corps is surveying over there, we
5	have some needs over here. And that's what
6	sets up the mechanism for doing this
7	leveraging, for what we call the incremental
8	surveys. We pay 10 percent more to collect
9	the appropriate tide data on a Fisheries
10	survey and we have a Hydrographic survey.
11	And so I don't know if this maybe
12	is a group that can try to push back for that
13	concept of a registry.
14	MEMBER JAY: The state of Alaska
15	has a reduced but still substantial revenue
16	stream from gas and oil revenues and they
17	distribute money to the taxpayer each year I
18	guess. So, maybe they could, if they saw a
19	benefit to their citizens of supplying the
20	state with tide gauges maybe they could be
21	persuaded to buy the equipment and pay the
22	maintenance. It's not a it's a substantial

	Page 392
1	but it's not an enormous cost.
2	MR. EDWING: Rich Edwing. So
3	there's lots of different ways to partner and
4	we're, you know, I guess we've got all sorts
5	of different partnerships out there where
6	sometimes people buy the equipment and we
7	provide expertise and do, you know, do data-
8	processing.
9	The work we've done with TCOON and
10	Gary's group is kind of one end of the
11	spectrum where there's 26 tide gauges
12	operating in Texas using the same technology
13	we use and they process the data and compute
14	tidal data using the same methodologies we do.
15	And they're really interchangeable with us,
16	you know.
17	To the other end of the spectrum
18	where there's somebody who just needs one tide
19	gauge in in an area and doesn't have any
20	expertise. And at that point it comes down to
21	really resources. But there's we're pretty
22	flexible in the ways we can work with people.

	Page 393
1	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Joyce.
2	MEMBER MILLER: One thing that
3	would have helped me understand better what
4	was happening was if we had had maybe just a
5	10-minute presentation from the three of you
6	about what projects are active in Alaska right
7	now. Because when you get all these
8	stakeholders saying we need this, we need
9	this, we need this and if the panel doesn't
10	know what's actually happening, what's active,
11	you know, what surveys are planned, what
12	you're doing with National Park Service or
13	something we're kind of so what is NOAA
14	doing I guess would be my question.
15	So, just maybe for future meetings
16	just a brief summary of what the current NOAA
17	projects, current and planned NOAA projects
18	are. It's just a suggestion for better
19	understanding of the panel.
20	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Juliana.
21	MS. BLACKWELL: In many of the
22	past meetings we had provided updates and

Page 394 appreciate that with the new members certainly 1 2 at this meeting and the past few meetings those would be extremely helpful. 3 We felt like we were in a -- I'm 4 5 not guite sure when all the feedback came, but we were in a pattern of sort of doing these 6 7 updates and maybe they weren't really the most 8 efficient use of time. But certainly we'll 9 take that as a note for the next meeting to 10 make sure that we do highlight those things early on in the meeting so that you do get 11 12 that update and sense of what's happening with 13 the four offices that are involved in, you 14 know, the navigation services. So you get an 15 initial perspective. MEMBER MILLER: Well, specifically 16 17 when you're in a region something very focused 18 and targeted about what's happening in this 19 region, not sort of what's happening in a 20 global sense I quess would be what I would be 21 looking for. Joyce, this is 22 DR. SULLIVAN:

	Page 395
1	Kathy Sullivan. Would that be most useful to
2	you as a pre-read to paint a background
3	picture before you arrive in the region, or is
4	it something and maybe have a small unit of
5	time in the opening session if there are
б	particular questions about what you read
7	rather than using agenda time to work through
8	a presentation?
9	MEMBER MILLER: Possibly, but I
10	think it might be useful to the stakeholders
11	who were here as well to get some idea of the
12	scope.
13	For instance, when we met in
14	Honolulu I had an expectation that I'd hear
15	what was happening in the Pacific region, you
16	know, partly as a panel member but partly as
17	a stakeholder. And you know, and I can
18	understand that a long presentation, yes. We
19	got a couple in the previous meetings. But
20	just, yes, something pre and maybe a
21	handout for the stakeholders and then a brief,
22	you know, 10-15 minute session just to discuss

	Page 396						
1	it.						
2	DR. SULLIVAN: Okay.						
3	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Deborah.						
4	MEMBER DEMPSEY: Just to comment.						
5	And I appreciate that, Joyce. As a brand new						
6	member I just got the sense today that and						
7	yesterday that Alaska is doing their own						
8	thing, you know, and that's not the case. So						
9	it would be most helpful. And it was,						
10	Juliana, for you to update us on some of that.						
11	So thank you.						
12	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.						
13	Interesting discussion. Tomorrow we have the						
14	breakout panel sessions and there was a						
15	spreadsheet that was sent out by Kathy for all						
16	of us to sign up and decide which of the four						
17	we wanted to participate in. And I'll make an						
18	assumption that everybody did that, but that's						
19	an assumption. You know what that means. So						
20	please make sure that you are going to						
21	participate on one of those and is the sign-up						
22	sheet in the back. So if you haven't done						
	Page 397						
----	---	--	--	--	--	--	--
1	that yet please sign up on the sheet so we						
2	have an idea of who all is going to						
3	participate in this.						
4	One other thought that I had. Has						
5	everybody filled out and signed your blue						
6	folders and gotten them back to Kathy? If you						
7	haven't, that needs to be done as well.						
8	The other thing is I need we						
9	need a head count of who's going to Lawson's						
10	House tonight because no, no, it's going to						
11	be driving. We need to know just how many						
12	vehicles we're going to need to have. So if						
13	you're going to how do you want to do this?						
14	MS. WATSON: Excuse me, can I						
15	before we jump to that?						
16	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Please.						
17	MS. WATSON: Just going back for						
18	the stakeholder breakouts tomorrow, some						
19	logistics. After we come in here at 8:30 in						
20	the morning we're going to hear is it						
21	Christian? I'm sorry, what is her Michele.						
22	Michele is going to do a demo tomorrow						

	Page 398							
1	morning.							
2	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Right.							
3	MS. WATSON: Okay. And the Alaska							
4	Baseline Stakeholder will be meeting here in							
5	the Aleutian Room. The Arctic Emerging							
6	Priorities will be in the Cook Inlet Room.							
7	And the Alaska Geospatial will be in the							
8	Prince William Room. And the Tides & Currents							
9	will be in the Lupine Room.							
10	And they're going to have it's							
11	on the first level. As you go down, you go							
12	back down this way and they'll have on the							
13	header boards for those rooms. Okay?							
14	And Lawson wanted us to get to his							
15	home by 6:30 so we should be leaving by 6							
16	o'clock.							
17	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. So could							
18	we please have a show of hands of those on the							
19	panel that are planning to go?							
20	MS. WATSON: So there's about 22							
21	of us. Okay. I have a van that carries six							
22	people. Aimee has she can take seven. And							

	Page 399
1	Matt?
2	LT. FORNEY: I have two vehicles.
3	I'm going to take my vehicle and hopefully we
4	can ask
5	MS. WATSON: So I would recommend
6	we all meet in the lobby at 6 o'clock like we
7	did for the site visit. We'll divide up into
8	the vehicles.
9	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay.
10	MS. WATSON: Okay?
11	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: We can do that.
12	MS. WATSON: Thank you.
13	MEMBER DIONNE: What time does he
14	want us there?
15	MS. WATSON: Six o'clock.
16	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Six o'clock.
17	MS. WATSON: And I have driving
18	directions.
19	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. So the
20	last little bit of work we have left is we had
21	a public comment period. Some people spoke.
22	Is there anyone else that would like to

	Page 400
1	address the panel for public comment? Once,
2	twice. I'll say that's a negative. No.
3	That being said, unless there's
4	any other thing yes, Frank.
5	MEMBER KUDRNA: I have a request
6	for the FACA from the Science Advisory Board.
7	And the Administrator has asked the Science
8	Advisory Board to have a working committee to
9	deal with the subject of the research
10	enterprise of NOAA.
11	And there's a working committee.
12	I happen to sit on that working committee.
13	That's why I got the charge to carry it to
14	you. And that committee has been asked to
15	approach all the other FACAs requesting no
16	more than two pages' comments and input
17	concerning their views on the subject of
18	research. And a short questionnaire with I
19	think four or five questions has gone to
20	Captain Lowell and Kathy.
21	So they're on a fairly short
22	timetable and I would ask that maybe for

	Page 401
1	tomorrow morning we could have a copy of that
2	distributed to the panel. And whatever your
3	preference, Mr. Chairman, whether we maybe
4	have a little discussion somewhere in tomorrow
5	or have a subcommittee respond to that. I
6	think that would be appreciated.
7	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Okay. That
8	will be done. Yes, thank you.
9	MEMBER KUDRNA: And I might add,
10	there's two direct references to that in the
11	appropriations budget, one in the House report
12	and one in the Senate report. And the Science
13	Advisory Board is asked to brief the Congress
14	on this within 30 days of completion of the
15	report by the House. And there's also
16	specific direction by the Senate. So it's an
17	important issue.
18	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: So you need the
19	reply yesterday.
20	MEMBER KUDRNA: Well, no. They're
21	going to meet in another month so getting
22	replies from all the FACA committees is

1						
	Page 402					
1	important.					
2	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Yes, that will					
3	be an item of business then on Thursday. Very					
4	good. Anything else?					
5	DR. SULLIVAN: Mr. Chairman?					
6	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Yes.					
7	DR. SULLIVAN: I've also been					
8	asked by the Administrator to shepherd					
9	innovation efforts within NOAA. Government					
10	agencies are not notoriously good at					
11	innovation, but you've seen good evidence I					
12	think in a number of the people who have					
13	spoken here today out in the field, 1 over R-					
14	squared, far away from headquarters. You					
15	know, lots of good things do get done just					
16	because lots of smart people do find ways to					
17	get good things done.					
18	So I've had that percolating in					
19	the back of my mind through our discussions					
20	yesterday and today. And I would like to					
21	float a very broad thought to this group. And					
22	I would be interested in your comments back					

	Page 403					
1	and whether it enters any of your own thinking					
2	or recommendations or not.					
3	Joyce, I'm really starting from					
4	one of the places that you came from. We					
5	heard from a number of folks, we heard from					
б	everybody how data-sparse the region is.					
7	We heard implicitly from a number					
8	of folks and explicitly from others in so many					
9	cases some data is better than the no data					
10	that I have. Not always, don't need the					
11	rotten eggs, but in a lot of cases it is. And					
12	a number of us had a big discussion last night					
13	over dinner about crowdsourcing. It's also					
14	come up in the session discussions here.					
15	And I keep wondering whether					
16	there's a more profound way that we should be					
17	thinking about the kinds of opportunities that					
18	Alaska offers, not just the challenges. It's					
19	a big state, data-sparse, long list of things					
20	to get done in tight budget times. That's the					
21	challenge list.					
22	What's the opportunity list?					

Page 404 1 Should we be finding some way together to be 2 turning the telescope around and thinking of the state in collaboration with state partners 3 of course, as a very unique and important test 4 5 bed or innovation sandbox almost where we could make some progress by finding out what 6 7 it means to adopt pragmatic evolutionary 8 standards, and move in a progressive fashion 9 towards the preferred and optimum standard. 10 What is crowdsourcing actually and how might it work? Challenges to be sure, but 11 12 there's a big opportunity space here as well. 13 Talent development. We've qot a 14 one-semester course. We have a talent development model that centers on the NOAA 15 White Ships hydrographically and survey 16 17 launches, professional science masters, short 18 What can this place teach us about courses. 19 more innovative ways to develop the kind of 20 talent that we need which may go a different 21 pathway or even to a different endpoint than 22 we have traditionally thought of?

	Page 405					
1	And finally, in a really wild					
2	metaphorical leap, you know, back in the					
3	sixties and seventies a lot of us were					
4	watching the development of communications					
5	across the continent of Africa evolving in					
6	concert with the evolving space age and					
7	telesat communication age.					
8	Africa never pulled copper fiber					
9	to establish continent-wide comms. They					
10	skipped over that step. They didn't follow					
11	the path that we followed in the continental					
12	United States. They just did a leapfrog to an					
13	entirely different technology basis for					
14	establishing that communication.					
15	And I keep thinking of that as a					
16	metaphorical analogy to the scale of					
17	opportunity and challenge in Alaska. So it's					
18	just been too persistent a thought all day					
19	long. I wanted to offer that to the panel for					
20	thoughtful consideration. Maybe there's a					
21	pony in that stall.					
22	MEMBER DIONNE: So can we pursue					

	Page 406						
1	that tomorrow?						
2	DR. SULLIVAN: You may do that						
3	whenever you wish starting from not at all to						
4	anytime you desire, madam.						
5	(Laughter.)						
6	MEMBER DIONNE: Thank you. I was						
7	going to make one comment about the survey						
8	that was just mentioned and was I received						
9	it somehow.						
10	And one of the first things you do						
11	is a pulldown list of what part of NOAA you						
12	work for or do science within. NOS isn't on						
13	the list at this point, so. I mentioned that						
14	I work for the Reserves but internally NOAA						
15	doesn't really necessarily know that we exist.						
16	So, anyway.						
17	DR. SULLIVAN: We'll be sure the						
18	Deputy Administrator Assistant						
19	Administrator knows that.						
20	(Laughter.)						
21	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: David?						
22	MEMBER JAY: Innovation is funny.						

	Page 407						
1	You know where crowdsourcing started in the						
2	tides world? The very first tide surveys in						
3	the world by Britain in the eighteen thirties						
4	came from a scientist, William Whewell,						
5	writing to all the missionary societies, you						
6	know, everybody he could think of in the far-						
7	flung British Empire with instructions about						
8	collecting how to collect tide data.						
9	That's how the first global tide surveys were						
10	done.						
11	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Anything else?						
12	I think we're supposed to meet in the lobby						
13	what time? Six a.m.? Eighteen hundred hours.						
14	MS. WATSON: I'm back on east						
15	coast time.						
16	CHAIR WELLSLAGER: Meeting						
17	adjourned.						
18	(Whereupon, the above-entitled						
19	matter went off the record at 4:56 p.m.)						
20							
21							
22							

Α	308:9	acoustic 253:14	90:9 141:10	adventurous 54:17
aback 210:16	acceptable 151:16	acoustics 335:5	189:20 300:14	adversely 167:22
abated 78:15	acceptance 371:5	acquired 275:10	328:4,17,17 333:2	advice 105:22
<b>ability</b> 70:20 127:2	accepted 183:3	acquisition 146:2	333:17 342:18	advising 86:8
157:15 184:22	313:7	acquisitions 271:9	347:11,15 354:12	<b>advisor</b> 333:12
222:18	access 4:12 72:17	ACSM 338:19	356:12 390:22	advisory 10:5
<b>able</b> 21:14 23:16	73:2 107:9,11	act 101:19 155:7,9	400:1	256:5 307:9 400:6
27:20 29:16 30:20	132:8 171:19	243:21 333:11	addressed 10:8	400:8 401:13
31:9 32:8,10 54:9	182:13 183:5,16	336:21 341:20	90:3 282:14	Advocate 3:18
82:6 85:10 89:9	184:4 192:2,7	381:5	367:13	<b>AEA</b> 387:22
90:8 91:12 95:21	193:3 194:2,6	Acting 3:7	addressing 25:2	<b>aerial</b> 162:15
102:19 107:18	198:19 227:18	action 65:10 357:7	182:13	218:10 322:19
108:2,6 109:1	244:1 252:2 254:9	357:15	<b>adds</b> 78:5	<b>Aero</b> 270:20
131:9 138:2 141:3	258:21 259:2	actions 124:7	<b>ADEC</b> 100:19	affairs 357:6
151:19 161:4	272:19 338:1	active 41:18 298:16	106:13	<b>affect</b> 16:3
180:7 183:8 184:7	350:1 372:10	393:6,10	adequate 121:13	<b>Africa</b> 405:5,8
184:12 195:6	accesses 73:9	actively 207:12	359:1	<b>afternoon</b> 8:6 93:9
198:14 207:5	accessible 265:11	activities 28:15	<b>adhere</b> 325:3	283:12 329:9
227:4 241:3,5	305:12	activity 49:9 91:10	adherence 211:10	349:9 366:11
245:20 248:20,22	accident 188:17	118:19 150:10	adjacent 124:20	after-dredge
252:10 268:13	201:7	289:19	adjourn 8:15	370:21 371:2
273:5 276:19	accommodate	acts 164:21	adjourned 407:17	<b>age</b> 91:2 405:6,7
310:9,18,19	158:17 227:15	actual 47:4 92:20	adjunct 333:8	agencies 28:1 29:22
315:15 316:10,12	accompanying	108:13 110:9	<b>adjust</b> 161:22	33:21 40:6 50:22
317:20 318:8,13	106:4	148:1 164:5,6	adjusted 389:17	55:9 70:1 129:16
318:16 319:7	accomplish 245:21	171:21 256:21	adjusting 93:6	129:16,22 131:22
320:9,22 321:2,5	accomplished	274:11,17 279:8	administration 1:4	155:17 158:2
322:3 327:1	258:17	323:12	7:9 326:17 348:18	245:8,8 253:3
331:13 334:17	accomplishments	Adak 55:1 339:22	349:22	270:8 276:2 306:9
337:1 343:16,20	9:22	ADCP 385:13	Administrator 3:3	328:19 329:13,22
345:6 353:16,18	accord 192:19	388:10	3:7 369:11 400:7	348:17 366:6
354:10 364:22	<b>account</b> 203:12	add 67:22 133:1	402:8 406:18,19	368:15,22 391:3
377:13 389:15	accuracy 116:13	154:8 159:9	Administrator's	402:10
above-entitled	268:21 271:6,10	179:15,21 182:3	10:1	agency 31:15 136:5
182:21 212:15	274:8 277:10	206:1 272:22	Admiral 160:7	136:10 150:13
327:15 407:18	accurate 19:8	288:19 345:8	204:21 206:3,15	151:1 152:8
<b>absence</b> 309:7	120:4 142:5 148:1	367:11 372:12	382:12	158:21 192:11
absolutely 126:22	229:17,18 268:22	401:9	admit 87:11 355:18	203:21 206:12
132:16 138:5	275:1	added 112:17,19,21	adopt 374:19 404:7	268:13 300:19
146:3 241:22	accurately 315:4	113:15 114:4,4,10	adopted 53:16	301:4 305:3 318:9
abstract 286:8	achieve 84:17	addition 275:7	211:2	356:6 367:14
abutment 219:18	101:7 295:7	279:22	adoption 211:10	agenda 8:14 11:16
academic 10:7	achieving 373:10	additional 150:18	ADOT 127:8	225:13 327:22
academics 306:7	acidification	151:5 174:8 273:1	ADS-B 3:10	395:7
Academy 138:9	247:17	287:13 290:7	advanced 287:15	agendas 349:3
390:10	acknowledge 11:15	383:21	advantage 144:3	aggregate 146:5
accept 137:18	243:6	address 7:12 8:13	292:12 312:1	181:9 252:11

Г

	1		I	I
aggregated 307:2	98:13 103:13	52:5,10 54:7,11	302:16 303:16	Alyeska 305:20
aggregation 146:3	321:10,18 322:10	55:1,10 58:4,7,12	304:6 307:17	amazing 49:9
ago 27:14 48:17	322:19 323:13,16	59:14,16 70:1,18	308:17 311:2	204:17 240:11
67:12 69:2 71:18	323:19 324:1,5	71:14 72:1,10	318:6,22 319:20	242:17
98:11 147:6 152:1	386:15	75:15,17,21 76:2	324:14 326:13,14	amend 100:20
192:16 195:8	airport 118:13	77:11 81:4 82:5	328:14,20 329:15	<b>America</b> 10:11
206:6 252:17	234:18 321:15	84:1,8 86:14,18	332:3 333:7,17	14:21 89:22
254:8 273:15	322:12	88:6 90:7,17,20	368:18 369:13,20	American 3:10
289:10 290:4	airports 312:3	92:2 95:21 98:2	375:17 378:9	201:6 313:19
329:18 334:6	<b>AIS</b> 53:9 54:21	111:4,6,11,12,16	385:9,14 387:14	America's 145:14
377:17	58:3 59:14 60:21	111:18,21 112:3	391:14 393:6	AMHS 112:14
<b>agree</b> 136:16	60:22 61:20 70:12	112:14 113:6,12	396:7 398:3,7	117:9
153:19,21 155:18	71:1,2 72:8 73:6	116:1 118:5	403:18 405:17	amortize 131:9
361:2 367:7	127:14,17 128:5	121:10 126:16	Alaskan 77:1 84:2	138:14 177:11
369:18	128:18 131:18	130:10 135:11	84:6 222:6 240:12	amount 17:8 20:1
<b>agreed</b> 6:11	133:8,10 134:9,12	137:9 139:6 140:6	380:7	54:3 55:19 99:7
agreement 34:3	134:19 137:12	140:15 141:22	Alaskans 333:16	109:11 141:2
122:19,20 125:14	159:4 174:16	144:13,16 145:13	333:18 339:8	145:15 167:7
167:15,16 169:21	176:11,13,15	145:17 149:21	342:13	170:22 248:15
302:6 358:1,2,18	177:20 184:5,17	153:15 167:15	Alaska's 96:9	265:8 273:7
373:7	184:20 197:22	172:10 177:1	229:13	294:17 332:16
agreements 193:1	207:4,14 208:11	194:18 196:14	Alaska-based 20:9	<b>amounts</b> 85:18
310:16 359:9	247:8	201:13 212:22	Aleknagik 90:7	298:4
aground 65:7	AIS-B 178:14	213:3,8,10,10,14	alert 32:19 59:2	analogy 65:15
76:10,17 83:20	akin 53:19	213:22 215:15	72:8	241:19 242:9
99:10 149:14,16	Akutan 28:7	220:5,16 221:8,9	Aleut 100:9	405:16
ahead 39:17 54:17	120:19,20	221:14,15 224:16	Aleutian 1:12	analysis 33:14 34:4
65:19 158:13	Akutan's 123:22	224:19 230:22	113:13 398:5	34:4 38:20 66:16
179:4 212:5,7	<b>alarm</b> 60:8	237:11 240:3	Aleutians 23:20	156:14,14 177:9
274:1 287:7 377:3	alarmed 76:8	241:9 242:15,22	37:2 59:19 90:19	197:22 198:10
389:14 391:1	alarms 57:10 59:3	243:14,17 245:10	111:22	202:14,19 203:22
<b>aid</b> 51:11	66:5,6	245:11,14,21	Alexander 113:7	214:9 221:18
aiding 133:15	Alaska 1:13 2:5,8,9	247:11,12 249:19	<b>align</b> 211:6	279:9 339:17
<b>aids</b> 98:1,4,10	2:10,12,14,18,18	251:18 252:8	allotment 222:21	analytical 69:20
222:2 223:3	2:19,21 3:4,11,22	254:3 256:16	<b>allow</b> 223:13	<b>analyze</b> 207:14
Aimee 2:17 4:19	3:23 4:6,9,10,14	259:11 261:6	287:13,17 299:1	208:2
213:6 229:6	4:16,20,22 6:20	264:3,3,14 265:17	337:4	anchor 18:12 87:18
261:15 267:12	6:22 11:17 13:6	266:4 267:8	allowed 110:11	149:3
308:6 353:2,17	16:20 17:6 18:20	269:22 271:12	253:16,18	anchorage 1:13,13
384:14 398:22	19:9,15 20:6,20	272:5 276:14	allowing 12:11	2:21 3:17,22 4:22
air 209:19 253:22	23:10,18 25:2,7,9	277:12,18 278:2	174:13 197:16	6:5 41:17,19 42:3
280:15 312:2	25:12 26:19 28:4	279:2 281:17,21	<b>allows</b> 44:16 63:16	42:6,9,13,13 45:9
321:11,12	28:9,20 29:5 31:1	282:9,14 283:6	alluded 313:15	148:15 165:9
airborne 23:16	32:6,22,22 33:2	286:19 288:14	371:19	166:11 167:2,9
267:22 318:14	35:1 39:19 40:16	290:17,19 294:7	all-around 214:12	169:17 170:9
323:17 382:11	48:3,4,7,10,11,14	296:13 297:4,14	alternative 103:9	196:8 213:14
aircraft 53:19	40.16 10 40.5	200.2 12 14	175.5	265.22 282.7
	48:16,18 49:5	298:3,12,14	173.3	203.22 203.7

	1	l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1	1
288:16 289:16,17	351:10 368:11	346:15	<b>April</b> 85:14	204:18 223:14
294:18 320:20	<b>anymore</b> 34:10	applied 159:18	<b>Aputco</b> 76:20	224:6 230:21
329:20 350:1,5	129:22 147:1	328:21	Arc 278:22 280:17	231:1 232:3,17
361:14,17	293:18	<b>applies</b> 366:7	archipelago 30:22	233:20,22 234:13
anchorages 87:3	anytime 188:13	apply 291:7 330:10	113:7	234:14,18 235:9
Anchorage's 42:1	406:4	338:18 363:21,22	architect 338:11	239:12 250:8,9
371:8	<b>anyway</b> 11:6 55:16	389:8	archive 259:1	270:3 271:4
ancillary 57:18	67:19 121:19	applying 388:18	archived 156:16	275:20,21,22
Anderson 3:10	134:13 250:16	appreciate 75:11	158:5	276:2,4,6,12,20
84:5	406:16	90:1 98:22 126:11	archiving 158:4	276:21 298:16
Andy 339:2	AOOS 19:19 29:7	142:17 171:12	<b>Arctic</b> 4:4 6:22	312:4 322:8,9
and/or 319:20	132:17,17,18	197:14 245:17	7:14 14:7 16:5,9	331:8,13 352:9
Angeles/Long 49:2	133:13 243:16	263:15 319:15	17:18 18:21 19:8	365:21 368:8
angle 54:1 178:11	300:15 304:10	328:12 332:18	22:10,16 24:8	369:20 374:12
anglicized 89:17	305:13	348:22 394:1	35:13,14,15,18	375:4 376:6,11
animals 186:4,5	apart 136:14 364:2	396:5	36:18,19,19 59:20	378:5,15 381:1,2
<b>Ann</b> 264:5 281:12	<b>APC</b> 175:17	appreciated 182:6	60:12,21 61:1	383:16 387:17
Anne 3:14 125:10	apologies 183:7	239:19 401:6	70:17 76:3,21	392:19
125:11 162:9	apologize 135:18	appreciation 110:3	77:6 101:6 126:14	areas 14:6,6 16:10
Annex 257:17	163:14	appreciative 84:14	147:22 202:4	16:20,22 17:1,13
258:1,13	<b>app</b> 172:13	109:22 299:19	219:21 228:11	33:13 46:16 60:20
annexes 257:16	<b>apparent</b> 355:20	approach 27:3	249:10 252:18	66:10 90:19 94:9
annotated 160:12	356:8	153:1 156:5 279:6	259:6 260:4,15	106:14 111:10
annoying 302:2	apparently 310:4	359:2 372:19	261:1,3,4 263:2	118:1 121:16
<b>annual</b> 21:13	appear 119:8	400:15	265:16 279:1	139:12,14 172:19
162:13 244:21	120:14 142:19	approaches 34:18	296:22 297:5	173:4 174:1,6
annually 160:21	appears 120:4	39:2 91:8 164:1	301:11,17 303:15	175:8,19 180:11
anomalies 325:11	applaud 369:1	199:15,16	304:15 306:21	181:7 186:3,11,13
<b>anomaly</b> 64:11	applause 10:14	approaching 311:8	307:1 326:12	187:1,4 196:19,22
295:3	22:19 74:20 89:2	appropriate 101:7	331:1 369:4,13	198:6,22 199:11
anonymous 210:4	110:22 121:22	101:9,16 104:10	378:22 379:3	222:7 223:13,19
<b>answer</b> 42:18 45:20	182:20 212:3	104:11 106:15	398:5	223:20 227:21
47:17 103:8	220:18 229:4	127:7 172:12	<b>area</b> 6:5 12:15 16:5	239:16 246:21
110:20 125:3	242:20 263:20	358:14 360:20,22	17:8,20 18:10,14	247:3 248:4,8
132:6 136:15	283:3 300:8	391:9	18:18 19:8 20:18	249:6 296:19
179:20 191:15	326:20 332:21	appropriately	21:12 23:9 31:13	332:6 350:20
201:5 208:17	342:16 345:8	135:19	43:5 66:6 70:9	369:21 370:11
236:21 239:2,9	<b>apple</b> 37:1	appropriation	71:8 74:5 81:7	376:22 377:1,2,4
282:19 306:16	applicable 84:10	270:15 368:5	94:3 95:1 96:4	377:11 385:14
336:10 337:16,16	388:21	379:3	97:17 98:10,15,19	390:18
answers 24:17	application 91:19	appropriations	113:19 119:3	area-specific
antenna 63:5	190:5 213:1	344:2 401:11	120:11,17 121:5,7	143:11
antennas 266:21	338:17	approval 70:21	126:14 135:13	arena 127:17
anticipate 257:22	applications 2:13	approximately	143:5 148:5,14	<b>argue</b> 166:4
anybody 166:13	4:14 29:11 172:18	45:7 81:1 232:3	149:2 153:2 162:9	arguing 250:15
284:9 320:4 324:6	314:13 316:11	232:17 286:9	163:4,21 164:19	arguments 165:13
347:11 349:5	318:1 345:15	377:17	169:12 201:20	165:20 346:5

<b>arises</b> 381:4	103:16	1:3	182:5 184:11	<b>baby</b> 183:10
Arm 45:6 351:17	assemble 273:19	ATOM 305:20	194:10 235:9	<b>back</b> 5:8,16 7:10
352:13	assembly 262:13	attached 285:14	238:11 239:18	13:9 32:21 42:7
Army 2:8 3:14 4:7	assess 21:1 387:16	362:15,22	240:16,19 256:13	42:10 48:13 49:12
25:7 41:16 42:7	assessment 73:19	attend 5:19 182:3,5	257:4 258:11	51:16 56:10 60:10
43:3 168:22	73:20 157:10	attendance 196:13	267:19 268:10	62:19 66:19 68:8
217:20 219:16	205:18 249:10	attendees 5:7,18	270:3 280:3,12	88:7 95:9 98:2
331:15,15 352:2	assessments 56:7	attending 5:12	315:22 323:7	102:8 106:18
361:10,20,22	asset 98:21 161:21	11:14 213:15	346:14 353:19	116:20 117:21
362:8 363:6,8,10	201:10	attention 99:8,9	359:2 361:1	133:5 137:3
365:5,5,11 367:9	assets 168:22 169:1	350:17 353:14	381:16	144:22 147:2
367:15,22 375:19	169:1,14 173:15	attentions 26:14	<b>Avenue</b> 1:13	149:12 152:5,16
376:3 377:2	201:3 203:13	123:8 353:3	avenues 353:16	155:13,16 156:1
383:10	252:18 301:11	attest 52:14	average 115:4	157:17 158:22
<b>arose</b> 304:3	363:3 364:15	attitude 170:15	218:7 290:14	159:10 165:5
ARRA 30:19	assign 376:14,19	356:2	aviation 207:6	166:19 168:6
125:13 161:1	assignments 48:14	AU 324:15	209:14,17 266:4	177:18 182:9
arranged 327:2	335:22	auctions 345:20	267:6 303:13	185:7 186:17
arrival 108:11	assimilate 249:3	audience 22:22	avoid 188:19 190:9	202:9 208:2,10,21
<b>arrive</b> 395:3	assist 44:17 64:20	90:2 281:14	253:18 301:21	219:20 220:12
<b>arrows</b> 189:3	assistance 28:1	313:17	avoidance 322:22	240:20 249:11
<b>art</b> 116:7	assistant 3:2,5	Augmentation	<b>avoided</b> 66:11	251:5 263:13
<b>ash</b> 267:6	360:3 406:18	322:8,9	186:4,11,14 187:2	264:6 285:4
<b>ashore</b> 106:13	assisting 27:11	August 90:3 196:8	198:7 199:1,11	286:15 290:10,22
<b>aside</b> 11:6	206:5	196:13	235:15	291:2 293:5,21
<b>asked</b> 29:10 39:16	associated 6:19	Augustine 256:19	<b>Award</b> 10:1	296:2 303:7,12,14
41:14 93:4 145:8	124:13 362:21	<b>Aurora</b> 114:2	<b>aware</b> 26:18 28:16	315:17 316:22
164:17,19 172:22	388:22	authorities 72:15	29:19 33:3 42:22	326:9 327:14
202:10,16 221:20	Associates 3:13,14	authority 20:20	87:20 88:18	334:9 338:22
238:21 253:3	3:17,21 333:20	42:11 44:15,16	185:21 282:11	344:17 345:7
301:22 302:2	association 63:1	45:2 363:2 387:14	awareness 84:3	349:12 373:11
328:2 357:21	associations 55:8	authorization	153:8 159:13	374:14 378:2
400:7,14 401:13	61:19	368:5 372:14,17	161:21 216:15	391:12 396:22
402:8	<b>assume</b> 201:14	authorized 43:18	<b>awesome</b> 107:6	397:6,17 398:12
asking 37:9 125:20	205:9 208:8 311:7	365:6	awful 285:6 287:19	402:19,22 405:2
157:7 165:8	assuming 35:20	<b>authors</b> 194:6	299:8	407:14
192:15 202:11	207:14	Automatic 53:8,18	<b>awhile</b> 6:8 37:16	<b>backbone</b> 314:15
223:22 224:6	assumption 117:5	59:9	78:5 343:6	background 26:7
226:9 235:1	207:19 396:18,19	automatically	<b>Axiom</b> 279:3	141:15 194:9
238:19 242:11,12	assurance/quality	281:1	<b>Ayu</b> 55:21 64:3	344:10 395:2
242:13 344:14	322:12	Autonomous	<b>a.m</b> 1:14 5:2	backlog 333:17
385:2	<b>assure</b> 362:4	381:15	182:22 407:13	backup 202:19
<b>asks</b> 86:21 246:4	astronomical	available 16:6 22:2	<b>A1</b> 100:5	backups 157:5
aspect 13:22 31:12	249:13	70:16 84:16 120:1	P	backwards 64:12
83:7 125:9 146:13	Atlantic 48:6	121:21 123:2	$\frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{D} \ 176.15 \ 10 \ 177 \ 00}$	95:12
146:19 350:5	atlas 247:9	129:19 132:11	<b>D</b> 1/0:13,18 1//:20	backyard 63:17
aspects 57:22	ATMOSPHERIC	157:22 161:22	1/8:2	<b>bad</b> 31:12 57:6

Г

60.5 80.14 107.5	101.15 272.5	bothymotric	221.20	182.12 182.4 16
207.17 236.16 22	304.17	2014.12 211.1	bogrudgingly	182.13 183.4,10
207.17 230.10,22	based 01.1 100.21	204.12 241.1	67.20	185.22 104.0
237.20 238.22	117.12 127.2	230.15 275.1	begun 203.11	105./ 106.16
241.13,13,20	140.22 148.20	bethymotry 12.11	hehalf /7.6 280.9	206.13 240.7
2+2.7	162.7 214.8 217.1	17.12 18.7 220.14	280.10 233.7	200.13 249.7
bage 62:13	218.0 233.20	220.17 261.16	200.10 333.7 hohovo 200.17 10	251.0 254.4
balance 308.10 13	210.9 255.20	229.17 201.10	behavior 56:17	200.11 200.3
ball's 333.1	237.10 241.13	202.1 332.1 battorios 62:1/	boliovo 42:10 85:12	borth 37.15 170.6
Bamford 3.2 1.5	309.9 323.2 340.2	battle $30/1.3$	0/1.7 100.11	170.11
7.12 0.0 20 10.4	hasalina 6.20 260.7	<b>Bay 68.21 77.7</b>	118.16 120.7	horthing 13.1
10.13 15 20.16	324.11 325.2 6	78.13 83.4 16 17	183.13 206.6	beset 105.5 16
22.21 23.13 20.10	324.11 323.2,0	85·2 91·1 / 7 92·7	215.13 234.7	hest 9.16 16.6
22.21 23.3 24.4	308·A	$03.2 \ 91.1, +, 7 \ 92.7$ $03.1 \ 8 \ 94.3 \ 97.3$	213.13 234.7	21.15 22.2 46.12
<b>Bamford's</b> 7.14	<b>basic</b> 27:21 29:10	137.14 222.10	349.21 351.21	61.11 69.8 98.10
300.22	76.15 88.7 94.5	223.8 20 228.21	352.10 377.16	203.15 211.20
hand 85.2 261.2	94.18	229.0,20 220.21	believed 151.16	205.15 211.20
hank 80.1 2 4 95.7	hasically 50.1 3	291.14 251.0	believes 349.20	233.12 267.17 18
95·7	51.6 13 17 53.6	havs 51.22	<b>Bellingham</b> 111.19	269.12 207.17,10
hankruntev 343-12	53.20 56.3 61.14	<b>BC</b> 385.10	112.2 113.21	202.12 270.3
hanks 157.3 225.8	63.5 67.4 71.17	beach 34.18 49.2	henchmark 237.19	305.4 4 380.8
hanner 115:10 20	71.22.72.22.79.11	49.21 75.1 76.22	benchmarks	381.5
343.19	84.12.20.92.4.16	93.12 107.16	291.12	<b>Bethel</b> 88:5 140:19
bar 118:9 237:9	98.4 99:18 101:15	121:14 138:10	bend 328.2	141:5.6
<b>Barbor</b> 1:17 160:7	101:17 102:2	149:18 257:4	beneath-the-surf	<b>better</b> 18:17 48:7
160:8.8 161:18	104:20.22 105:18	386:15	204:15	70:2 92:11 105:8
163:8 204:21.22	108:16 109:4	bear 22:1 150:22	beneficial 5:18	117:19 120:19
206:3 382:12.14	117:1 119:20	<b>beast</b> 153:9	21:15 323:15	121:5 134:20.20
383:8	129:11,16 131:8	beat 219:13	354:3	135:4 138:14
Barbor's 206:15	136:21 151:10	Beaufort 55:2	<b>benefit</b> 46:4 71:6	140:2 183:20
barge 76:20 77:16	154:1,16 157:21	253:2	126:8 127:1	214:11 216:13
77:17 82:18 83:6	177:12 178:6,12	beautiful 289:15	132:19 138:8	219:10 230:14
83:9,11,13 90:22	179:12 245:11	295:2	187:15 226:19	241:3,15 242:2,8
91:13,13 95:8	267:18 274:17	<b>beauty</b> 188:6	313:16 316:1	243:9 246:1 249:2
99:18 118:7,7	280:18 289:21	199:10,10 207:21	317:22 319:13	268:11 272:8,11
141:6,7 142:10	290:19 291:14	280:16	387:20,21 388:7	288:6 290:15
barges 52:22 80:21	295:14 328:19	Bechevin 222:10	391:19	293:4 296:16
88:8 96:8 185:5	331:4 348:11	223:8,20	benefits 15:19	300:2 306:2,8,13
barge-length 82:11	381:4	becoming 157:2	57:18 72:12 138:6	309:3 320:2
<b>barrier</b> 174:11	basing 218:7 228:7	<b>bed</b> 404:5	138:7 308:13	321:12 322:2,4
175:1 219:8	366:22	<b>bedroom</b> 233:8	315:16 316:4,7	325:8 371:11
Barrow 20:6 69:14	<b>basis</b> 72:14 84:13	befriend 62:6	317:3,14	373:1 384:22
69:15 76:22	140:2 264:22	begged 247:9	benefitting 131:11	393:3,18 403:9
147:22 148:5	314:8,8 360:9	Begich 3:25 155:21	138:15	beyond 15:7 56:22
196:18	405:13	165:22	Bering 4:12 67:8	138:2 168:10
Barrow's 77:2			(7.17.00.15	221 16 252 20
	baskets 219:9	<b>Begich's</b> 164:18	6/:1/ 89:15	331:16 352:20
<b>base</b> 126:18 132:18	<b>baskets</b> 219:9 <b>batch</b> 274:6	<b>Begich's</b> 164:18 <b>beginning</b> 225:17	67:17 89:15 109:10 159:21	331:16 352:20 <b>bicker</b> 8:8

<b>big</b> 13.6 34.16	284.12 294.19	170.5 357.15	<b>BP</b> 253·2	240.4 5 327.6
36:22 58:14 61:17	296:9 302:12.13	362:13	brackets 313:5	334:17 342:1
87:14.15.102:9	306:13 308:5	Boardman's 360:2	brakes 105:4	355:12
124:12 128:22	310:5 312:22	367:12	branch 348:17	bringing 86:17
144:11 166:17	313:2.22 316:5	boards 398:13	branches 98:7	244:6 297:17
169:1.7.17 196:9	320:12 328:3	<b>boat</b> 30:10 79:10	brand 28:7 135:17	337:22 339:19
206:20 230:10.16	343:4.4 350:10.17	86:1.1.2.2 101:14	239:17 358:12	349:1 380:9
237:15 251:3	350:21 352:16	105:19 118:9	396:5	<b>brings</b> 9:13 13:22
253:17 267:5	365:4 371:15	121:3 180:13	bread 13:4	15:11 177:2
283:20 284:9	372:4 377:13	222:13 337:12	break 8:6 24:3	340:14 376:17
295:2 307:18	385:21 399:20	boaters 20:2	103:4 185:2 191:4	385:9
312:1.2 361:17	<b>bits</b> 210:1 287:11	229:20	191:5 198:12.14	Bristol 77:7 83:4
371:15 386:19	307:4	<b>boats</b> 68:8 154:20	282:18 326:22	85:2 91:1.3.7 92:7
390:9 403:12,19	<b>black</b> 137:16 269:4	178:17,18 180:6	327:1	97:3
404:12	269:7	boat-width 82:10	breakout 5:13,14	<b>Britain</b> 407:3
<b>bigger</b> 187:21	Blackwell 2:2 23:7	<b>Bob</b> 3:21 333:2.5	6:19 22:8 182:4	British 111:20
371:20	23:7 313:12,12	340:6 378:6	326:10 332:19	112:3 146:12
<b>biggest</b> 38:21 49:22	319:22 320:13	<b>body</b> 31:7	354:13 396:14	407:7
60:16,17 84:17	321:14 323:6	<b>BOEM</b> 270:14	breakouts 397:18	BRITTON 3:11
101:2 103:14,14	393:21	272:22	breaks 227:12	broad 145:5 262:6
104:13 129:12	bleeding 298:20	<b>bold</b> 57:6 237:15	breakwater 107:22	272:17 344:19
185:4 187:16	<b>bleedover</b> 346:17	<b>book</b> 92:10 93:6	breeding 331:7	365:21 402:21
220:5 330:2	<b>blew</b> 103:2 109:10	bookcase 116:17	<b>BRET</b> 3:12	broadcast 71:4
<b>bill</b> 3:13 73:7 139:2	blizzard 232:5	bookkeeping 5:5	brick 226:8	134:9,13 135:2
171:3 213:13	235:6,19	<b>books</b> 53:10	bridge 56:19	broadcasting 53:21
283:9 300:10	<b>BLM</b> 270:18	<b>border</b> 62:18 71:13	105:14 106:3	broader 132:18
302:16,16 310:11	block 82:9 106:9	bordering 136:7	bridges 291:17	265:5 301:3
313:15 315:1	273:20	<b>boss</b> 226:3	brief 24:7 90:21	302:12,13
320:4 333:13	blocks 161:3 268:1	Bostick 369:2,5,10	103:6 111:7	broadly 345:3
342:17 344:2,7	blowing 78:3	Boston 48:9	213:21 265:13	broken 33:12
348:4,5,7 349:1	108:19	<b>bottom</b> 30:14 72:5	329:7 393:16	brokers 51:19
353:4 370:17	<b>blows</b> 88:11	161:13 168:10	395:21 401:13	Brooks 336:21
Bing 268:12 279:13	<b>blue</b> 274:2 397:5	197:6,7 222:14	briefly 129:8	brought 9:20 10:20
biological 244:2	board 48:4 86:20	223:17 261:7	brigades 165:18	48:8 135:21
330:14	100:19 132:18	bottomed 115:15	Brigham 1:18 24:6	152:16 167:10
<b>biology</b> 9:11	243:8 245:7	<b>bought</b> 277:8	127:11 152:15	196:7 230:6,8
BISCHOFF 3:10	264:11 400:6,8	bouncing 84:20	159:9 165:12	233:10 287:5
bisects 45:14	401:13	<b>boundary</b> 210:18	189:21,22 190:4	290:7 337:21
<b>bit</b> 8:9 10:19 19:20	Boardman 2:7 4:7	210:21 211:1,6	191:3,7 202:9	340:6 350:4 353:3
23:17 41:18 67:19	25:5,14,16 34:13	275:11 320:8	203:17,20 226:4,4	353:14 361:11
68:1 82:22 85:3	40:1,13 41:20	bow 95:12 191:21	228:12 243:12	378:5 379:20
95:22 105:20	57:20 122:11	228:3	Brigham's 8:17	<b>Bs</b> 177:16
110:5 145:1 154:9	123:3 124:15	<b>box</b> 15:1 49:1	bring 13:13 18:15	<b>bucks</b> 379:2
170:7 197:21	125:3,17 126:11	153:14	22:1,5,8 71:15	<b>budget</b> 14:10,12
204:7 212:5 234:8	160:18 162:5	<b>boxes</b> 137:16	72:6 86:11,19	160:21 162:13,13
236:20 257:10	163:5,12,17	<b>boy</b> 249:21	88:13 118:2 121:8	165:7 166:5 173:7
258:18 275:5	164:12 166:3	<b>Boyer</b> 118:7	121:20 176:22	309:12 311:17,18
		-		

Г

	1		1	
318:17 332:15	<b>burden</b> 131:13	344:3,4	206:1 208:5,17	<b>carry</b> 400:13
347:11,13,17	138:16 151:17	calling 70:14 133:7	362:2 365:2	carrying 149:11
348:15,19,20	177:11	210:20	380:21 388:17	196:22
387:16 390:7	Bureau 302:17	calls 62:4 67:14	captain 9:2 12:7	case 35:17 36:20
401:11 403:20	318:9 367:16	96:17 133:20	25:8.11 41:14	65:6 69:7 86:17
budgeting 160:20	bureaucratic 45:16	159:20 179:10	47:22 49:3 65:14	108:7 131:12
347:22	burn 236:2	238:16 239:8	68:13 91:2 93:3	138:18 153:12
budgets 299:22	burning 8:1	calories 109:21	104.11 111.3	161:6 200:13
budget-cutting	<b>busier</b> 266:18	camera 327:6	116.2.127:12	207:1 233:10
303.4	business 9.16 29.13	$\operatorname{camp} 257.3$	129.6 135.21	309.9 376.12
build 20.22 34.21	57.21 140.6 199.2	camping 74.13	141.21 144.7 19	388.3 389.21
35.8 49.20 54.9	402.3	camps 79.18	150.4 156.12	396.8
54.18 62.3 16	hutton 135.1 143.6	campuses 322.15	189.21 191.3	cases 54.1 56.12
117.11 124.2	<b>buy</b> 3/1.22 388.15	Canada 253.9	107.19 200.16	82.10 124.18
130.17 21 131.2 3	301.21 302.6	Canadian 62:18	221.7 223.13	$1/3 \cdot 1/1 22/1 \cdot 1/1$
130.17,21 151.2,5	<b>buy-in</b> $180.16$	$68.20\ 71.14\ 341.1$	221.7 225.15	230.0 2/1.21
150.77 251.17	<b>B_AIS</b> 178.6	Canal 112.10	223.0 220.4,3	237.7 2+1.21 383.16 /02.0 11
287.15 288.10	$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} $	<b>Callal</b> 113.17	227.1 220.12	303.10 403.9,11
207.13 200.19	<b>D</b> / <b>C</b> 40.4	canner y 120.20	243.0 333.3	casually 05.5
303.21 319.10	<u> </u>	cance 57.14	304.13 300.12	204.12 292.19
530.21 500.2 building 26.11	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ 149.18	22.1 70.15 224.4	400.20	$204.15\ 202.10$
20.1424.654.10	<b>cahin</b> 386.13	$22.1 \ / 0.15 \ 524.4$	75.14 76.6 96.5 7	catching 151:22
29:14 54:0 54:19	cable 3/0.2	<b>capability</b> 55:15	/3:14 /0:0 80:3,/	categories 198.2
39.14,17 79.19	Cadillac $240.11$	122.22 122.9 12	92:17 134:12	caucus 193.9
183:13 207:7	242.12	132:22 133:8,13	221:12 227:20	caught 11:5 47:10
<b>buildings</b> 312:10	242.12 codro 30/1.1/	184:5 237:1 382:2	captured 207:22	08:13
312:18 313:6	calculate 27.20	capable 221:11	<b>card</b> 313:3	cause /2:21 /3:21
<b>build-out</b> 248:2	calculations 215.11	capacity 35:7	care 94:20 96:1	caused 58:/ 3//:19
251:15	calculations 213.11	255:20 262:12	224:3 372:7	3/8:1
<b>built</b> 26:20 28:4	California 50.4	cape 62:22 179:19	career 48:19 142:6	causeway 3/9:11
59:13 62:21 63:1	$240.17\ 250.10\ 14$	186:13 198:3	191:7 225:15	3/9:12
63:2,4 83:9 113:9	249.17 230.10,14	capital 130:15	228:/ 340:17	causeways 3/8:1/
114:22 123:20	5/5.5,0,15	2/0:15 2/6:14	cargo 189:13	caveat 129:18
161:9177:13	<b>Call</b> 5.22 0.9 45.15	309:12 311:18	CARIS 337:21	<b>CDs</b> 289:6
248:13 288:12	43.21 34.2 70.0	358:5	CAROL 3:20	<b>cell</b> 106:2 288:2
346:/,16	09.22 90.10 102.21 104.2	caps 347:20	CAROLE 3:10	353:4
bulk 294:3	105:21 104:5	<b>Capt</b> 1:19 2:9,10	<b>Carolina</b> 225:7	cells 2/5:11
bump 78:16	155:0 145:10	3:1,5,25 4:8,10	310:13 353:12	cellular 353:5
bumping 68:1	1/9:2,1/21/:9	9:5 48:2 62:9	354:8 389:16	census 265:3
<b>bunch</b> 132:4	220:1,10 227:2	63:13 68:11 74:18	Carolinas 311:2	<b>center</b> 2:3 56:4
271:12 387:15	259:5 267:17	75:2,5 111:5	Carothers 1:18	58:1,2 60:7 62:19
<b>buoy</b> 19:20 119:21	325:12 341:8	127:18 129:11	23:2,3 339:12,13	66:7 84:6 131:21
174:11 232:1	554:19 391:7	132:16 133:22	375:1	152:20 160:1
254:14	called 64:14 65:8	136:15 138:5	carriage 199:6	254:14 262:14
<b>buoys</b> 63:11 149:6	97:4 172:1 180:5	151:9 154:8	carried 58:21	265:16 266:6
149:9 204:12,15	21/:11 252:18	156:22 158:11	<b>carrier</b> 43:19 44:2	<b>centers</b> 57:16 61:22
222:3 247:17	26/:1/ 2/8:20	159:6 176:17	<b>carriers</b> 170:14	259:1 263:8
253:6 384:9	294:21 301:12	178:1 197:20	carries 398:21	322:16 404:15
	1		1	

٦

-				
centimeter 290:5	CE90 271:6 272:2	382:12 386:1	21:13 29:3 69:6	<b>charted</b> 149:15
295:18	274:9	387:7 393:1,20	143:20 163:6	226:11
centimeters 21:11	Chachon 179:19	396:3,12 397:16	224:5 298:18	<b>charter</b> 337:12
295:17	<b>chain</b> 333:1	398:2,17 399:9,11	330:3,4,5,12	chartered 100:22
central 232:11	<b>chair</b> 1:14,16,17	399:16,19 401:7	changing 37:16	charting 16:18,19
284:4	4:2 5:3 8:20 9:7	401:18 402:2,6	262:4 263:3,15	73:15 76:15
centrally 156:17	22:20 24:5,15	406:21 407:11,16	channel 45:4,8,10	122:22 148:20
centric 34:20	39:14 41:11 47:19	<b>Chairman</b> 213:18	45:17 46:11,20	151:4 258:15
century 92:12	74:21 75:3,7 89:3	229:2 401:3 402:5	56:21 57:2 77:21	296:17 357:19
146:8 147:2	89:16,20 111:1	chairman's 383:12	78:13,17 82:9,21	358:6,16 359:1
<b>CEPD</b> 125:14	122:1 124:8	<b>chairs</b> 264:11	83:12 88:10 149:7	364:6 365:17
360:4	127:10 129:4	challenge 34:16	160:12 161:7	373:3 379:10
certain 16:20 29:2	135:15 137:4	101:2 103:14.15	162:1 163:10.11	384:20
31:11 50:21	139:2 146:6 147:4	104:13 126:16	163:13.15.19	charts 12:15 17:7
117:15 124:18	150:3.4.5.152:14	160:18.20.233:11	164:1.2.166:20.22	17:14 18:6.6 19:3
141.4 194.22	156.10 159.7	297.18 304.13	174.21 351.18	21.16 67.14 86.14
198:1 199:12 13	160:7 165.11	306.5 12 403.21	362:19 20 22	86.17 88.19 98.20
206.21 222.13	168.3 19 169.19	405.17	365.12 366.1	116.9 20 21 117.5
200.21 222.7	171.7 175.9 176.2	challenged 166.11	368.6 372.16 19	117.19 20 121.13
204.17 303.7 308·7 318·4	177.21 179.22	362·10	373.16.21	122.14 123.21
347.20	181.22 182.17	challenges 14.10	channels 26.21	1/2.14 125.21
<b>cortainly</b> /10.10	183.2 202.17	1/1.11 15 15.10 10	78.7 11 70.13	22.4 100.10
55.14 21 71.11	204.21 202.8	1+.11,15,15.10,17 15.22,54.12,78.10	121.15 162.17	224.7 237.3 200.1
72.20 02.11 122.5	204.21 207.4,13	104.6 120.7	165.2 166.0 265.7	260.0 517.9 554.0
122.9 125.0 21	200.15 210.10	104.0 120.7	105.2 100.9 505.7	$300.20\ 301.1,22$
152.8 155.9,21	211:10 212:4,15	405:18 404:11	5/2:0 shannalla 92:10	chashig 158.22
138:1 141:18	212:18 220:19	<b>chanenging</b> 50:19	channel \$ 82:10	checking 84:15
14/:1 100:3 108:9	229:5 242:21	52:15 55:5 505:1	characterize	chemical 244:1
1/3:9 204:6	243:4,7 263:21	364:7 365:22	354:21	chemist 9:9
206:13 231:3	283:4 300:9 301:8	<b>chance</b> 102:21	charge 41:16 174:4	chemistry 9:11
235:13 236:1	303:10 307:13	176:5	181:2 314:11	Chenega 114:11,17
239:20 240:8	309:16 310:11	<b>change</b> 12:14 16:1	373:16 400:13	Chesapeake 228:21
276:12 306:18	312:17 313:1,11	16:1 21:11 78:7	charged 356:6	<b>chew</b> 352:15
320:15,21,22	319:17 321:7	78:11 121:1	charging 150:14	<b>chief</b> 2:7,15 3:7
321:4 385:21	324:6 326:5,21	143:20 189:5	<b>charrette</b> 36:1 41:1	10:4 25:6 26:4,5
394:1,8	327:11,18 332:22	192:20,21 200:1	<b>chart</b> 18:17 84:15	48:21 110:3
certification	338:15 339:10	224:20 225:8	91:6 94:3,6 96:19	333:14 358:12
216:10 339:5	341:14 342:14,17	283:8 290:5,6	96:19 116:11,19	359:6 360:15
certifications	346:19 348:21	321:1 329:3 330:1	119:18 120:1,4,9	369:3
142:14 338:20	352:14 355:9	331:11 332:5	120:15,22 121:6	Chignik 28:5
certified 341:9	356:13,22 357:2	363:22 377:6	123:22 142:2,4	<b>children</b> 235:20
386:17	357:11 359:11	changed 69:3	147:8 160:16	child's 233:8
<b>cetera</b> 84:21	361:5 364:8 366:3	121:16,18 137:17	161:22 224:9	<b>chilling</b> 106:6
147:22 181:3	367:6 369:15	156:20 199:13	228:7 237:13	<b>choice</b> 341:22
203:6 250:7,7	370:16 372:11	222:16 226:2	269:13 274:8	<b>choir</b> 168:1
292:1 293:2	374:13,22 375:15	245:4 263:14	334:22,22 335:2	chomping 258:18
317:10 335:8,22	378:6 380:1 381:7	297:4	365:13 378:11	choose 212:1
336:1,2,2 384:18	381:11,15 382:6	changes 17:11	386:7	<b>chosen</b> 229:11
· · ·	, -	0		

Г

٦

Chouest 3:25	<b>click</b> 106:1 143:6	93:14,17,19,22	259:9 282:3 304:6	308:3 356:6 407:8
CHRISTENSEN	clients 139:17	100:18 101:16	317:7,15 330:5,12	collection 6:21
3:12	<b>CLIFTON</b> 3:17	102:18 104:9	330:13 335:10	23:10 273:15
Christi 366:17	<b>climate</b> 247:1	105:11 107:15	339:17 359:22	275:16 276:5
Christian 397:21	263:8 329:3 330:1	110:3 111:20,21	360:5 366:7	326:11 344:5
Christmas 103:4	331:11 332:5	116:3 120:12,16	381:10 390:11	collections 319:12
chuckle 224:18	<b>clips</b> 240:5	129:12 130:5,19	coastline 17:10	collective 251:19
Chukchi 247:15	clock 18:5 227:13	131:12,15,17	33:4 76:16 121:1	372:22
251:7 258:5,9	close 47:3 69:18	132:5,9,12 136:18	121:16 231:11	collectively 251:16
276:22	75:21 80:20 102:8	137:11,21 138:7	233:2,4 256:11,22	349:14
<b>chunk</b> 276:22	104:19 134:6	138:16 146:9	274:21 332:2	<b>COLLEEN 3:18</b>
331:19	184:10,14 186:22	148:8 149:18	coasts 296:21	collision 322:22
<b>Circle</b> 36:20	198:3 207:16	152:19 154:10,16	320:11	collisions 208:19
circulation 261:18	210:6,7 222:14	155:6 157:12,14	codified 243:20	253:19
305:18	226:16 237:11	157:17,21 158:6	coin 82:11 346:12	Colonel 368:21
circumstance	238:7 257:7 258:3	158:12,16,20	cold 10:18 11:5	color 237:14 268:7
95:16	273:9 287:1	159:16 171:3.17	99:9 103:1 109:10	269:2,9,15
<b>cite</b> 192:21	324:18 384:21	171:19 172:12	203:6 231:14	colored 189:3
<b>cities</b> 113:5	closed 195:21	173:6 175:2.16.21	235:7.18.21	269:6
citizens 256:5	closely 133:12	176:9.18 177:3.3	collaborate 369:6	color-code 69:15
307:9 391:19	260:18 279:3	184:14 199:14	collaborating	color-coded 66:21
<b>city</b> 112:20.22	301:16 321:14	201:10.12 202:3	137:10	<b>Columbia</b> 111:20
120:21 152:7	362:5 376:18	202:20 203:18	collaboration	112:3 113:19
185:17 226:17	<b>closer</b> 43:7 92:7.7.8	204:4 206:5.7.8	32:13 33:20 39:22	137:14
<b>civil</b> 2:7 26:6 295:7	94:2.21 107:16	208:18 217:13.14	253:20 278:11	<b>column</b> 274:14
<b>Clarence</b> 86:10.12	110:12 227:3	220:3.4 221:4.9	355:7 404:3	<b>combat</b> 165:16.16
379:8.9	234:18	221:21 222:12	collaborations	231:22
clarification 365:3	closest 64:22	223:4.5.12.16	318:19	<b>combine</b> 258:6
<b>clarify</b> 351:11	201:10	224:17 225:15	collaborative 19.5	combined 266:19
<b>clarity</b> 134:21	closing 179.13	229:13 250:14	314.5 388:4	come 5:10 6:2 7:5
class 48:11 100:5.6	closure 174:14	256:15 260:20	collated 195:16	8:12 19:1 22:14
176.10.13.15	Cmdr 4.13 18	277.1 281.16	colleague 281.12	$27.4 \ 41.4 \ 7 \ 43.20$
177.20 178.2	CMSP 259.13	296.13 15 305.6	colleagues 52.11	61.19 62.2 66.19
334:1.7 336:20	<b>CNN</b> 10:9	307:11 320:7	135:20 193:22	99:1 105:3 106:15
339:6.17 340:13	coast 2:15 4.13.18	355:21 362:6	374.3	116.18 148:16
classic 64.3 378.18	17.16 20.19 23.19	366.9 369.7 10	<b>collect</b> 13.17 33.22	149.16 158.15 18
Classification	23.20 29.1 33.2	376.1 407.15	154.15 352.5	158.22 159.14
340.3	37.4 10 18 19	coastal 2.3 14.21	381.10 12 391.8	165.4 17 192.19
classroom 339.20	41.2 48.15 19	15.11 16.1 18.14	407.8	194.10 195.9
cleaning 85.13	49.2 11 19 50.9	27.15 19 96.9	collected 23.22	197.16 209.5
clear 39.18 191.11	50.16 51.13 14	111.16 148.21	32.1 273.17	210.6.6.221.20
345.5 363.3	54.9 56.3 22 59.6	198.7 15 214.1	275.22 27 285.14	226.10 229.9
375.17	59.11 62.20 63.4	215.7 8 217.8 11	318.21 323.17 18	220.10 225.5
clearance $148.19$	63.8 64.13 65.8	217.15 218.8	373.77 354.5 6	255.2 261.1
clearly 22.11	66.14 69.77 70.71	220.4 6 220.13 21	365.17	263.12 201.1
172.22.11	71.11 73.13 82.6	220. 1,0 222.13,21	collecting 21.8	203.13,10 200.0
205.11 302.10	82.8 85.20 86.3	255.5 244.5 245.5	286.3 307.22	285.4 200.16
202.11 202.10	02.0 05.20 00.5		200.3 301.22	200.7 277.10

Г

303:12 304:7,14	183:6 184:20	commitments	245:9 251:17,20	complicated 131:8
305:19 318:12	190:3,16 191:14	171:5	258:11 294:7	132:8
322:17 326:9,16	197:21 198:11	committed 276:15	298:11 299:16	comply 189:14
327:20 343:9	201:7,17 203:9,18	committee 2:18	312:16 381:17	component 73:20
370:5,8 374:21	204:6 205:14	150:6 213:12	386:6	comprehensive
375:9 377:9 379:6	207:11,18 208:6,8	333:3 342:19	companies 55:4,8	202:14
379:16 380:10,15	209:9 210:22	344:3 400:8,11,12	85:17 129:21	compute 392:13
383:19 397:19	211:17,21 213:4	400:14	257:13 336:14	computer 200:21
403:14	220:22 221:1,4	committees 10:6	338:8,13	computers 157:18
comes 12:20 78:14	230:7 363:8	165:3,3 401:22	company 84:4 91:1	339:21
177:4 199:21,21	commanding 224:9	<b>committee's</b> 150:10	149:22 189:12	concentration
200:2 225:11	commencement	345:9	275:20 338:9	261:9
229:12 254:13	90:9	<b>common</b> 77:10	342:1	concept 315:9
283:15 286:17	<b>comment</b> 4:23 8:15	272:7 304:13	comparable 232:13	358:8 388:19
287:9 293:8,21	127:12 141:9	309:19 310:3	236:17 265:8	391:13
300:20 308:4	146:8 168:7 169:3	329:21 346:10	compare 32:9	conceptual 249:8
365:15 366:21	192:10 195:15,21	356:17	compared 116:6	<b>concern</b> 71:10
367:5 372:7	205:5 206:16	commonly 85:19	237:10,20 250:14	118:1 121:8
392:20	245:18 328:3	303:17	comparison 140:17	147:21 186:1,6
comfort 12:5	342:21,21 345:8	<b>comms</b> 405:9	306:1	239:13 350:11
108:13 110:10	349:22 358:7	communicate	comparisons	concerned 12:14
303:21	361:8 363:5 396:4	316:12	305:16,21	96:5 153:22
comfortable 124:3	399:21 400:1	communication	compatriots 249:17	282:13 295:9
coming 14:10,14	406:7	51:10 367:3 405:7	compelling 300:10	355:19
15:20 21:2 39:1	comments 195:19	405:14	300:11 309:8	concerning 17:12
52:8 58:3 63:8	197:10,12,12,18	communications	<b>compete</b> 37:11	356:9 400:17
64:20 68:19 92:6	205:4 206:2 329:7	405:4	300:16,21	concerns 15:13
121:11 124:4	383:12 400:16	communities 27:15	competing 146:12	41:14 60:17 96:3
142:18 149:5	402:22	61:4 73:14 77:11	348:8	259:12 281:14
160:15 170:14	<b>commerce</b> 1:1 3:6	111:16 113:18,22	competitive 345:11	321:19
189:3 193:18	55:12 222:5	114:19 126:15,18	complaining 46:10	<b>concert</b> 405:6
207:16 212:21	224:13 269:16	194:13 214:10	46:11 343:5	<b>conclude</b> 263:19
224:16 231:13	376:13	216:7,13,15,16	complaints 77:10	concludes 220:12
276:6 295:12	commercial 17:9	217:9,10,15,20	complete 30:21	conclusion 261:11
325:21 350:12	53:17 91:2,10	218:16 220:4,16	61:10 245:2 309:7	conclusively
352:18 363:5	153:17 166:1	229:22 231:4,9,18	331:22 338:3	344:17
369:9,21 387:10	168:15 260:3,6	232:18 246:17	348:18	Concordia 56:12
<b>comm</b> 134:18	262:4 272:20	265:2 301:22	<b>completed</b> 8:3 23:9	57:4 99:10
<b>command</b> 152:20	322:19 345:20	303:13 304:9	47:13 219:1	<b>concrete</b> 62:13
153:7 159:22	commercializing	377:21	297:11 379:7	concurrence
165:17 183:11	345:17	community 11:22	completely 81:22	193:11
224:17	commercially	27:11 72:18 79:20	228:1 278:7	Concurrently
Commandant 49:1	346:14	209:15 215:17	completion 401:14	203:10
369:7,10	commingle 135:7	216:2,3 218:13,18	<b>complex</b> 338:7	condition 207:17
commander 2:15	commitment 50:11	219:3,4 233:15,15	379:9	363:20
49:4 106:18	58:17 154:4	234:4,5,9,20,22	compliance 56:8	conditions 27:22
182:11,15 183:2,5	155:18,19	235:19 238:6	66:4,9,12,15	29:2 30:2,2 35:21

37:12 47:1,7 101:15 117:15,16 124:5 129:15 174:14 191:17 232:6 235:19 250:7 <b>conduct</b> 20:21 87:4 207:2 <b>conducting</b> 21:18 <b>conference</b> 1:13 6:9 103:21 104:3	ConocoPhillips 253:1 257:13 consequences 57:6 58:14 60:17 conservation 3:24 55:11 263:6 280:11 308:17 328:14,22 considerably 151:18 372:20 consideration 41:6	55:5 71:16,20 contains 85:21 contaminated 85:13 CONTENTS 4:1 context 277:6 continent 405:5 continental 405:11 continent-wide 405:9 contingency 172:11	<b>contributing</b> 129:10 130:6 <b>contribution</b> 270:17 <b>contributor</b> 275:13 <b>control</b> 26:12,12 27:7 29:14 264:20 285:4 286:21,22 288:5 293:18 296:6,16 321:11 322:10,12 324:20	<b>copper</b> 405:8 <b>copy</b> 218:5 401:1 <b>Cordova</b> 114:18 221:9 <b>corked</b> 82:12 <b>corner</b> 234:5 <b>cornerstone</b> 53:6 <b>corporately</b> 389:6 <b>corporation</b> 168:16 <b>corporations</b> 185:18
238:16 239:7	176:4 405:20	continual 142:12	353:1	Corps 2:8 3:14 4:7
341:2,19 348:6	considering 38:12	continually 59:21	controllers 209:19	25:7 26:8,18
confidence 102:18	193:9 consistency 225:4	280:17	CONUS 230:19	29:12 32:16 34:19
337·A	consistent 115.18	319·10 3/1·/		41.10 42.3,8,20
configuration	303.22	379·4	conversation	45.10,20 47.10 66·18 79·1 122·14
292:14	consolidate 44.11	continued 51:16	123.16	122:21 123:20
configured 292:11	44:12	65:8 254:11	convert 45:7	126:5 139:21
confirm 211:8	consolidated 107:2	continues 114:9	converted 320:9	163:1,18 165:1
confirmation	consortium 180:20	340:18	converting 291:8	166:21 168:22
205:21	278:21	continuing 342:6	convinced 195:8	170:7 217:21
confused 166:16	constantly 44:7	continuous 44:5	convoluted 131:8	219:16 331:15,16
congratulations	61:8,10 105:5	219:19	Cook 19:21 20:3	334:16 335:11
343:13,21	211:3 224:5 225:8	continuously 281:7	21:1 45:5 66:22	352:2 355:20
<b>Congress</b> 136:19	constellation	314:2	81:19 163:11,12	357:16 358:3,15
146:21 156:1	147:16	<b>contour</b> 271:10	163:14,19 164:20	358:17 359:9,17
161:3 164:18,19	constrained 49:18	275:10	165:9 167:3	360:8,13 361:10
164:21 165:8	160:22 166:5	<b>contours</b> 30:14	254:21 256:3,4	361:20,22 362:8
243:20 357:5	constraints 15:2	38:9 278:12	306:18,20 307:6	363:6,8,10 364:11
401:13	54:8 89:4	<b>contract</b> 162:11	3/6:5,12 386:22	365:5,5,11 367:9
25.11 156.1 2	122.1 172.5	104:2 277:9 279:4	390:3 398:0	307:15 308:1
55.11 150.1,5 242.21	123.1 172.3	501.1,20	187.22	309.19 370.22
$\frac{243.21}{\text{conjunction } 46.15}$	28.7 AA.17 A7.5 8	336.21 342.2	$\frac{107.22}{\text{cooperative } 3.24}$	373.8 15 374.3 18
connect 280.18	20.7 44.17 47.5,0 115·9 124·1	381.17 382.3	328.15 358.1 2 18	374.18 375.19
286:15 288:2.8	360.22	contractor 20.9	383.9	376:3 377:2
293:5.11.16.20	constructive 354:2	361:16 371:3	cooperatives 263:6	378:12 379:16.19
connected 114:1	<b>Consultants</b> 3:15	380:20	coordinate 362:5	380:4 381:18,19
324:12	3:15	contractors 273:10	coordinated 384:6	391:4
connecting 113:5	consultation 39:21	contracts 161:17	389:5	Corps-NOAA
114:13 288:5	<b>consumer</b> 129:10	contractual 371:5	coordinates 314:9	383:10
291:1,16 312:9	<b>contact</b> 192:16	contradicted 200:5	coordinating 387:3	Corpus 366:17
connection 312:7	195:22 196:4	<b>contrast</b> 209:15	coordination 31:5	<b>correct</b> 17:15 140:8
connections 33:10	197:8 211:12,22	contribute 73:10	328:20	207:13 235:11
connects 114:6	contacts 344:16	131:1 145:22	coordinator 3:8 6:1	351:1
115:1	container 52:22	contributed 50:13	328:13	correction 26:3

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

02.20 265.20	102.16	court 24.21 162.20	220.16 282.10	aurronts 2.12 1.15
92.20 303.20	195.10	Court 24.21 102.20	239.10 202.10	7.1 12.0 16.2
corridon 265.4	160.5 177.4 9	cour mey 143.10	204.1 203.11,20	7.1 12.9 10.2
CODS 297.0 12	100.3 177.4,0	295.14	250.19 519.7	27.21 103.17
2000 207.9,12	160.6 244.10	303.14	550.0 aritically 220.15 10	109.10 117.12
200:0,9,22 295:0	207:20 278:2	coverage 39:22	critically 229:13,19	119:7 203:14
295:21 295:21	287.10 500.5,11	00.5 01.10 127.14	crossed 51:22	215:2 258:11
290:14 298:22	300:13 338:14	147:19 191:20	crossing 141.4	240:19 247:13,10
302:20 310:13,19	3/1:1,12,15	228:20 245:2	crossings /8:/	255:17,18 508:20
311:10,15 313:8	<b>counts</b> 115:15	272:15	88:14	326:14 335:8
313:17 314:1	320:3	<b>covered</b> 9:5 24:1	cross-Gulf 114:7	349:10 398:8
315:16,20 316:3,8	<b>couple</b> 5:4 16:12	244:20 271:7,17	cross-pollination	<b>curriculum</b> 334:19
316:22 319:19	22:15 50:15,18	273:22	301:13	336:12
320:3,9,17,19,22	51:7 53:6,20	covering 7:2	cross-section 215:9	curve 148:17
323:3,7,14 324:3	62:13 64:12 65:17	183:11	crow 95:3	205:16
324:3,9 383:21	67:12 68:6,18	<b>co-collect</b> 318:13	crowdsourcing	Cusick 3:13 296:2
384:6	69:2 71:18 92:1	<b>co-joined</b> 335:10	299:6 403:13	386:2,2 387:9
COs 223:22	118:1 128:21	<b>co-located</b> 134:5,6	404:10 407:1	customers 129:12
<b>cost</b> 46:4 78:6	130:2 138:2	Со-ор 308:17	<b>Crowley</b> 2:9 4:9	140:5 150:2
103:13 122:14	161:13 169:6	<b>CO-OPS</b> 20:5,19	25:10 75:9,21	<b>cut</b> 63:10,21 65:20
126:7,18 131:6	178:4,8 181:19	137:7	76:21 86:13 96:11	80:1,2,2,4 135:9
151:15,18 169:13	183:13 203:4	craft 62:11 179:10	174:17 175:3,17	261:3 374:14
178:13 199:2	206:2,6 217:16	369:12	185:9	<b>cuts</b> 107:18 108:2
249:13 312:14	243:7 246:21	crafted 259:16	<b>cruise</b> 52:21 55:5	108:16
337:7 380:11	274:1,5 275:14	crawl 105:19	60:18 65:6,11	<b>cutter</b> 201:13 221:9
388:16,22 392:1	280:7 281:11,13	<b>crazy</b> 54:15	178:19 179:2,11	224:17
Costa 56:12 57:4	283:17 292:6	create 35:19 198:6	201:2,16,19	<b>cutters</b> 202:3
costing 318:1	294:10,11 328:1	224:9 319:6	crushed 106:8	<b>cutting</b> 152:5 198:2
costly 157:7 219:6	329:6 361:15	333:22 387:15	cuing 209:22	306:13 376:22
costs 131:9 135:9	395:19	created 175:1	cull 157:19	<b>cycle</b> 83:2 276:14
150:7 151:13	<b>Couric</b> 63:21	310:15	cultural 84:2,7	<b>cycles</b> 224:10 303:5
152:7 177:11	course 29:3 33:21	creating 46:19	curate 158:9	
308:13 321:13	36:3 42:3 49:10	125:22 171:3	curiosity 289:12	D
cost-benefit 123:13	52:2 53:2,22 57:9	358:22	current 20:21 31:2	<b>D</b> 3:5
221:17	57:12 64:1 72:12	creative 89:6	36:10 77:5 107:1	<b>dads</b> 98:3
cost-benefits	98:19 109:21	<b>credit</b> 86:4	107:8 117:8,16	<b>daily</b> 57:16 143:20
288:21	117:7 125:9 127:7	<b>CREGER</b> 3:13	118:11,18,22	314:8
cost-effective 249:4	132:6 146:11,21	crew 63:4 201:12	119:4 120:9	<b>damage</b> 215:8
389:2	166:11 178:10	385:19	133:19 171:16	219:14 226:8
cost-shared 46:6	189:5 211:1 219:8	crews 37:16 86:6	190:18 197:3	231:5,10,12
cost-sharing 34:3	220:2 235:17	162:12	276:10 342:10	232:21 233:6
122:12 123:9	236:14 239:6,22	criteria 35:4 40:3	350:3 385:15,21	damaged 231:10
126:15 167:10.11	241:7.12 250:12	175:5 241:8	387:10.15.18	damages 231:19
359:8 361:1 373:2	250:21 251:22	critical 21:12.15	393:16.17	<b>Dana</b> 2:10 4:10
Council 256:5	282:6.8 306:2	49:13 91:11	currently 23:5	25:11 111:3 139:5
307:10	341:21 342:4.8	102:16 110:1	77:20 85:4 121:3	dance 284:10
<b>count</b> 397:9	378:1 404:4.14	136:1.7 215:18	192:9 319:20	dangerous 95:19
counterparts	<b>courses</b> 404:18	222:4 235:18	332:10 351:14.16	Danish 89:18
L				
1	1		1	1

Г

dark 96:15 154:19	244:12 251:12	data-poor 29:19	227:5 283:18	260:12 316:13
233:7	252:3,6,6,11,14	data-record 157:16	401:14	<b>decision-making</b>
darkness 178:21	254:6,12,14	data-sharing	<b>day-to-day</b> 329:11	129:2,3 244:10
Dasler 3:14 176:6	255:12,21 256:7,8	257:10	<b>de</b> 176:8 198:20	<b>deck</b> 327:5
177:19 340:5,5,14	256:13 257:18	data-sparse 403:6	228:21	<b>declaration</b> 231:12
341:15	258:1,2,5,8,20,21	403:19	<b>deadman</b> 34:15	<b>declared</b> 343:12
data 6:21,22 13:17	258:22 259:1,14	date 65:10 120:13	<b>deadman</b> 34:15	<b>dedicated</b> 103:20
16:18,19 18:7,8,8	259:14 260:7	271:3 346:7	<b>deadmen</b> 79:4	173:15
19:5,12 21:8	262:13 264:18	datum 30:13,21	<b>deal</b> 27:16 42:14	<b>deep</b> 30:15,16
22:22 27:10 10 20	265:10 10 266:6	21:1 22:2 77:2	93:10 196:15	25:12 14 15 17 18
23:22 27:19,19,20 28:17,21 29:12,16 29:18,22 30:4,5 31:4,17 32:8,9,20 33:22 34:1 39:11 40:18 47:12 58:3 66:19 70:22,22,22 71:1,1 72:14,17	263:10,19 266:6 267:17 268:2,15 268:22 269:5 270:1,2,2 271:2 272:19 273:17 274:21 275:3 279:4,5,8,9,21 280:2,4 281:21	31:1 32:2 77:3   88:17 125:21,22   140:18 313:20   322:11 365:15,16   366:15,18 375:11   377:7,10,14 378:3   379:19   datums 92:10	250:3 297:19 306:9 335:11 352:22 353:1 362:7,7 364:4 365:5 372:19 400:9 <b>dealing</b> 37:20	33:13,14,13,17,18 37:8,8,11 87:3 109:9 228:22 265:20 deepen 45:18 deeper 170:11 Deepwater 305:9 default 304:11,16
73:17 84:11 88:16	285:13,18 286:4	125:15,18 285:21	123:5 290:18	defend 343:16
93:9 97:18 98:20	289:8 290:6,7,15	286:5,6 293:9	dealt 29:4	348:20
107:3,7,21 124:20	291:6 294:5 295:3	299:2 321:20	Deborah 1:19	defense 146:11
127:14,22 128:1	297:12,13,15,17	322:2 335:9,15,15	13:20 141:8	169:4 170:11,12
129:10 132:11,19	297:20 298:1	336:18 359:18,21	300:14 396:3	defer 39:12 125:7
134:7 137:15,18	299:7,8,12 302:1	359:22 360:6	debris 9:19,21 10:3	define 36:6 37:5,8
137:19 146:2,2,4	302:3,3,8 305:10	365:19 374:20	decades 120:21	202:22 372:4
152:20 154:15	307:2 6 8 22 22	doughter 57:13 17	266:12	defined 35:15 37:1
152:20 154:15 156:16 157:7 158:3,9,10,14,22 159:4 176:15 177:2,9,17 181:7 181:8,14,17,18 184:2,5,9,12,16 185:2 196:15	307:2,6,8,22,22 308:3 309:2,3,7 314:7 316:21 318:13 320:16 322:13 323:16,18 323:21 325:8,9,12 325:19 326:11,12 329:8 332:1,2,8	<b>Dave</b> 364:8 <b>David</b> 1:21 3:13,14 3:21 146:6 156:10 156:12 307:13,15 361:6 364:9 385:7 406:21 <b>day</b> 4:1,1 5:4 81:13 81:21 82:22	<b>December</b> 100:14 102:22 <b>decide</b> 246:5 396:16 <b>decided</b> 35:12 79:19 130:7 218:19 330:8	definitely 133:22 134:1 135:14 138:21 156:2 173:19 242:8 276:5,7 302:14 311:19 definition 36:21
197:22 198:14	337:5,22 344:5	81:21 83:22	deciding 107:14	376:9
200:21 202:20	351:22 352:5,7	103:21 108:16	221:16	degree 9:11 81:21
203:10,22 204:7	356:7 360:19	109:4 147:16	decision 38:20	209:19 275:11
205:18,19,21	363:10,15,17	154:17 180:1	45:21 46:5 146:22	285:15
207:5,15,20 208:2	365:5 368:13	242:19 303:22,22	235:1 236:11	degrees 325:15
208:12 209:1	372:6,7 379:20	372:5 405:18	283:21	delay 193:12
210:1 214:1,3,9	381:10,12,14	daylight 108:20,21	decisionmakers	delegation 35:11
214:15,20,22	384:17,22 385:4	days 12:22 27:14	245:11 246:15	156:3
215:10 217:2	385:15,16,21	60:278:4,581:8	260:20	deliberated 53:10
218:9 220:14	388:13 391:9	91:11104:1,2	decisions 16:7	deliberately 68:2
221:21,22 222:8	392:7,13,14 403:9	108:12109:14	18:17 22:3 47:15	delighted 94:4
237:21,22 240:15	403:9 407:8	140:20143:15	51:5,5 56:6 69:4	110:20
240:21 241:10,13	<b>database</b> 309:18	146:11151:13	128:10 155:10,12	deliver 82:6 90:9
241:13,13,14,17	390:17	201:9202:1223:7	168:17 234:22	94:17 149:22
241:18 244:2,11	<b>data-mine</b> 157:16	225:11226:11	236:5 246:8 260:8	deliverables 334:18

٦

delivered 108:8	deployed 19:21	279:17 284:13	139:5 140:13	<b>dilutes</b> 147:14
deliveries 85:4	180:7	337:5	147:7 169:22	diluting 176:22
274:6	deployments	determine 46:22	188:10,15 190:14	dimensions 53:22
delivering 63:11	170:15	47:7 148:19 209:7	195:11 196:11	323:1
68:21 107:19	deposition 332:7	222:14 286:9	199:6,6,8,15	dinner 403:13
142:7	depressing 14:13	determined 47:17	221:5 222:19	<b>Diomede</b> 67:18
delivery 99:19	depth 30:10 31:3	detriment 200:2	232:11 237:3,12	80:9,16 189:6
<b>delta</b> 79:7 96:11	35:5,16 39:1	develop 35:3	237:14 239:4	196:9,10 232:5
331:7	42:19 43:8,9,18	125:20 132:22	248:16 251:15	Diomedes 184:9
deltas 78:9	46:16 98:20	145:17 168:14	255:3 261:14	185:10 186:13
delving 331:20	148:18 170:8	224:10 244:2,8	279:11 290:1	187:19,21 189:5
<b>DEM</b> 271:11 273:9	181:8 255:13	248:1,5 251:20	302:3 304:18	<b>Dionne</b> 1:19 79:9
277:5,10	262:18 350:3	296:17 378:14	308:9,12,19	79:12 168:5,6
<b>demo</b> 397:22	366:2 382:10	404:19	309:20 310:5	354:17 381:8,13
demonstrate 66:12	depths 226:14	developed 119:22	312:9,13 324:22	382:4 399:13
Dempsey 1:19	<b>Deputy</b> 3:6 406:18	244:19 249:9	325:22 331:3	405:22 406:6
13:20 141:8,8	derived 269:4	306:19,21 318:2	333:9 346:11	direct 168:11 206:9
143:17 144:4	deriving 345:18	354:22	349:7 363:15	209:22 265:18
209:10 210:8	describe 129:8	developing 209:3	364:3 365:15	317:14 401:10
300:15 301:7	described 122:13	247:7 248:7 256:3	368:20 375:11,12	direction 21:6
396:4	123:19 135:22	260:9 310:13	390:22 392:3,5	105:8 199:17
<b>DEMs</b> 264:20	225:2 280:5	340:16 354:19	404:20,21 405:13	401:16
271:20 275:6	describing 150:20	355:6	differential 388:20	directions 43:14
277:3 278:3,13	270:5	development 44:18	differently 200:18	188:8,10,15
332:2	design 47:9 122:22	123:6 145:13	200:19 292:11	293:15 309:20
<b>Denali</b> 294:22	346:10 359:18	146:16 167:3	371:17	399:18
dense 298:22	designated 3:1	260:1,3 269:17	difficult 148:19	directive 374:4
density 66:20 68:5	172:15	294:17 328:21	225:19 236:21	directly 108:19
69:17 287:12	designed 124:22	404:13,15 405:4	315:9 320:18	214:3 254:6
293:7	132:4 134:13	devil 257:15	difficulties 224:1	262:21 308:2
deny 211:7	178:12	<b>Dewberry</b> 270:22	291:11 295:10	362:16
depart 109:2	designing 173:2	<b>Dezhnev</b> 186:13	difficulty 166:4,15	director 2:2,2,17
Department 1:1	<b>designs</b> 219:13	de-conflict 198:5	291:8	9:18 10:4 23:8
55:10 111:17	346:9	dialogue 374:11	<b>dig</b> 71:4	137:7 213:9 264:2
170:10,12 263:6	<b>desire</b> 345:17 406:4	378:20	digesting 203:10	313:13
269:16 280:10	desired 390:17	dialogues 123:5	digging 38:8	directors 6:9,16
287:22	desperately 150:20	379:13	digital 2:19 70:13	dirt 85:13
departments	240:13	<b>die</b> 383:10	133:7 134:19	disaster 214:17
169:22 287:14	destination 53:22	diesel 108:9	213:12 264:9,14	231:12
depend 136:11	54:2 67:5 77:15	difference 88:13	265:8 270:16	disastrous 309:10
261:13	destroyed 236:9	140:19 141:5	275:8 277:20	disclaimers 323:11
dependent 294:2,8	detail 67:1 128:4	143:15 178:5	281:16 290:18	disconnect 291:6
depending 147:15	129:1 267:16	238:4 247:4	319:5,6	discuss 5:5 7:5
163:3 178:9	332:20	318:16 390:9	digitally 71:2 134:9	126:13 327:19
295:18	detailed 120:9	different 16:10	digression 265:13	359:7 373:9
depends 285:7	251:12 257:15	18:22 67:7 92:3	Dillingham 96:21	379:10 395:22
deploy 175:8	details 257:15	112:1 128:17	98:13	discussed 32:4 71:9

٦

126:20 347:2	362:6.9.18 371:7	257:7 264:13	dozens 238:18	305:22
357:15	371:9.12.14.16	266:8 269:3	<b>Dr</b> 4:5.22 7:12.14	drifting 108:22
discussing 122:11	diverse 52:17	272:11,12 275:8	8:17 9:8,20 10:4	<b>drill</b> 14:6 37:21,22
355:8 363:18	246:14 262:7	282:7 295:14	10:13,15 11:1,7	183:12
discussion 4:25 8:7	diversity 13:10	301:14 306:7,10	14:19 16:7 20:16	drilling 202:5
8:9,22 21:4 24:18	<b>diverted</b> 102:12	307:3,17 318:18	22:20 23:3 24:4	226:13
50:14 60:11 103:7	divide 223:1 399:7	319:16 328:12	24:12 51:1 124:8	drink 10:20
154:9 165:13	divides 291:14	342:13 356:19	191:7,8 202:10,16	drive 179:9 190:22
180:4 196:6	division 2:14,15	362:11 364:19	209:14 213:13	332:8
206:17 212:20	4:16 10:4 25:6	370:13 386:4,4	226:4 257:11	driven 210:18
341:3 347:8 349:3	26:4 111:17 213:3	389:13,16 391:6	283:5,9 291:20	331:22
358:15 360:16	215:4 264:6 301:1	393:12,14 394:6	300:22 302:21	driver 345:10
372:17 377:7	301:1 355:2 376:1	396:7	303:3,10,11	drivers 122:5
396:13 401:4	<b>Dixon</b> 55:3 62:17	DOI-funded	311:11 312:22	driveway 167:13
403:12	71:7	328:16	313:10 320:5	driving 89:7
discussions 4:2 8:3	<b>DNR</b> 264:5 280:9	dollar 151:11 152:9	324:8 328:8,10	397:11 399:17
24:16 181:8	281:12 282:8,13	317:16	339:11 344:13	drones 381:9,11
210:14 220:21	282:14	Dollard 3:14	347:16 348:16	<b>drop</b> 255:21
301:19 323:4	<b>DNR's</b> 281:13	125:11,13 162:19	380:16 388:8	dropped 115:12
348:17 402:19	<b>dock</b> 42:7 43:1,4	162:22 164:6	394:22 396:2	<b>drunken</b> 70:5
403:14	83:3,4 120:6	<b>dollars</b> 167:7	402:5,7 406:2,17	Drydock 118:6
disparity 174:15	document 34:9	272:21 273:10	draft 35:13,18 54:3	<b>duct</b> 240:5
dispatched 64:15	36:5 45:21 46:6	275:12 367:1	80:21 87:3 96:5	<b>due</b> 15:20 76:15
disposal 376:22	340:15 346:21	<b>domain</b> 153:8	140:20 165:19	89:4 117:2 120:8
dispute 211:3	347:2	159:13 345:4	357:3	161:15 187:9
disseminate 54:11	documented 86:3	domestic 99:21	dragging 284:17	189:6 220:4 235:2
72:13 135:4 177:5	204:16	dominating 334:13	<b>drama</b> 101:1	252:21
disseminated 132:4	documenting	<b>Don</b> 333:14	dramatic 21:11	<b>dues</b> 174:4
133:9	196:18	<b>door</b> 43:10,10	106:3	duplication 253:12
dissemination	<b>documents</b> 338:6,7	51:15 124:3 127:6	dramatically	311:22 345:1
328:21	<b>DoD</b> 72:15 129:17	<b>Doppler</b> 385:18	152:11	duration 202:4
disservice 249:18	doddering 154:12	<b>DOT</b> 265:4 310:17	drastic 217:15	336:17
distance 54:12	<b>Dog</b> 76:4 148:14	311:3,12 312:1	draw 315:11 375:7	dusting 13:3
194:22 199:3	185:7 196:17	378:12	drawn 211:4	Dutch 93:15
distinguished	<b>DOI</b> 330:10	dots 252:19	dream 295:7	101:18,20 102:13
213:20 229:10	doing 13:19 16:15	double 100:4	dredge 43:1,16	111:22
distress 56:2 58:22	20:6 22:9 23:13	double-hulled	44:7,8 160:20	dwell 25:19
64:21 72:7 220:2	23:17 24:9 26:19	100:4	163:22 365:7	dynamic 20:22
distribute 391:17	32:1 37:14 38:6	doubt 55:21 361:18	368:9 376:22	298:17
distributed 364:5	47:6 54:19 58:16	<b>Douglas</b> 28:4,8	dredged 43:3 44:1	<b>Dynamics</b> 61:17
401:2	60:9,14 70:19	dovetail 302:14	44:14 197:3	<b>D.C</b> 13:3,6 28:20
distribution /5:18	94:4 101:2 136:6	dovetails 42:12	<b>dredging</b> 42:6,21	44:20 /4:5 165:1
district 2:8,16 25:7	145:3,12 15/:14	download 2/5:3	43:5,12,15 44:17	192:14 243:10
90:18 97:5 127:5	104:3,10 100:8	281:3 298:5	44:21 101:16	264:7
303:7 304:12 267.10 269.17 19	1/0:8 184:21	downstairs 0:13	104:5,/,101/3:18	E
30/:10.308:1/,18	190:4 199:2	dozon 280.10 226.7	332:13 driftors 249.22	$\overline{\mathbf{E} 3.1}$
uisti ilis 337.21	204.10 233.14	uozen 207.10 330.7	ui iiitti 5 240.22	

eagerly 235:14	84:18 140:15	203:8 204:3,5	214:12,17 305:3,5	358:15,17 359:7
<b>EARL</b> 3:15	145:20	209:16 240:7,9	353:8 376:9,10	359:10 367:15
earlier 51:2 99:17	economy 15:11,20	252:8 253:12	<b>emerging</b> 4:4 6:22	368:1 369:3,19
122:13 167:11	85:9 284:1,2	254:1 301:14	7:13 52:7 71:8	370:22 373:8
185:8 200:17	ecosystem 15:12	314:6 317:2	326:12 342:10	375:19 376:4
225:2 228:9 343:1	16:1 17:9 248:7	341:15 355:21	398:5	377:2 378:12
352:10	ecosystems 247:1	364:5 376:3	emphasize 261:12	379:17,19 380:4
earliest 228:8	Ed 36:5 48:2 62:4	385:15,19	299:5 329:7	engineer's 295:7
early 49:8 100:14	63:19 67:15 137:7	efforts 21:17,18	<b>Empire</b> 407:7	engines 279:12
115:11 199:21	141:10 153:4	69:9 70:4 183:17	<b>enable</b> 272:9	English 45:8
328:11 394:11	175:5 184:3 185:1	345:9 402:9	enabled 270:4	enhanced 347:6
earmarked 244:18	243:8 247:6	<b>egg</b> 242:1	enablers 383:14	353:4
<b>earmarks</b> 244:21	edge 298:20 306:13	<b>eggs</b> 403:11	<b>enamored</b> 48:15,16	enhancing 49:14
384:2	edges 95:20 354:19	<b>eiders</b> 200:1	encompassed 233:1	enjoyed 99:7
earth 91:17 94:9	Edison 3:25	<b>eighteen</b> 407:3,13	encountering	enormous 392:1
268:11 274:12,18	educate 75:13 76:6	<b>eighth</b> 90:9	118:15	<b>ensure</b> 64:6 107:22
298:18	educated 16:7 22:3	either 29:20 39:3	encourage 359:5	156:7 200:2
earthquake 117:3	education 342:7	46:20 144:8	360:10	226:14 324:21
161:12	355:1	161:11 197:11	ended 91:14	325:3
easier 24:20 134:21	<b>Edward</b> 2:9 4:8	201:1,5 207:7	<b>endpoint</b> 404:21	enter 92:19
208:11,21 227:4	25:8	211:2 219:3	<b>ends</b> 374:8	entering 359:8
easily 265:11	Edwing 2:2 20:14	228:17 311:8	energy 3:19 20:20	enterprise 400:10
east 68:20 101:15	137:6,6 359:12	380:15	21:2 387:14,16	Enterprises 100:10
145:9 174:19	361:2 387:12	elderly 235:20	390:7	<b>enters</b> 403:1
107.10 100.4	200.12 200.10	1.4.1.117.10	P 4	
18/:19 189:4	388:12 389:10	electronic 11/:19	enforcement	enthusiastic 303:9
206:7 233:17	388:12 389:10 392:2,2	electronic 117:19 electronically	219:18	entire 33:1,3 34:22
206:7 233:17 407:14	388:12 389:10 392:2,2 <b>Ed's</b> 152:17	electronically 247:13	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18	392:2,2 Ed's 152:17 effect 106:6	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12	392:2,2 Ed's 152:17 effect 106:6 effective 19:13	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17	388:12 389:10 392:2,2 Ed's 152:17 effect 106:6 effective 19:13 337:12,13 346:9	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11	<b>588</b> :12 <b>589</b> :10 392:2,2 <b>Ed's</b> 152:17 <b>effect</b> 106:6 <b>effective</b> 19:13 337:12,13 346:9 <b>effectively</b> 186:14	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with	388:12 389:10 392:2,2 Ed's 152:17 effect 106:6 effective 19:13 337:12,13 346:9 effectively 186:14 187:2 296:6 331:4	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12	388:12 389:10 392:2,2 Ed's 152:17 effect 106:6 effective 19:13 337:12,13 346:9 effectively 186:14 187:2 296:6 331:4 effects 298:19	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22	388:12 389:10 392:2,2 Ed's 152:17 effect 106:6 effective 19:13 337:12,13 346:9 effectively 186:14 187:2 296:6 331:4 effects 298:19 efficiencies 356:19	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22 eating 242:2	388:12 389:10 392:2,2 Ed's 152:17 effect 106:6 effective 19:13 337:12,13 346:9 effectively 186:14 187:2 296:6 331:4 effects 298:19 efficiencies 356:19 efficiency 55:18	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20 279:8 290:18	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17 engineering 26:4	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13 entities 23:14 126:7
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22 eating 242:2 ebb 351:6	388:12 389:10 392:2,2 Ed's 152:17 effect 106:6 effective 19:13 337:12,13 346:9 effectively 186:14 187:2 296:6 331:4 effects 298:19 efficiencies 356:19 efficiency 55:18 56:9 74:2 345:3	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20 279:8 290:18 314:18 331:12	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17 engineering 26:4 27:19 28:17 33:22	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13 entities 23:14 126:7 132:5 138:15
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22 eating 242:2 ebb 351:6 EBRAHIM 3:15	388:12 389:10 392:2,2 Ed's 152:17 effect 106:6 effective 19:13 337:12,13 346:9 effectively 186:14 187:2 296:6 331:4 effects 298:19 efficiencies 356:19 efficiency 55:18 56:9 74:2 345:3 355:15	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20 279:8 290:18 314:18 331:12 381:13	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17 engineering 26:4 27:19 28:17 33:22 34:1 45:22 89:6	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13 entities 23:14 126:7 132:5 138:15 286:8 316:19
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22 eating 242:2 ebb 351:6 EBRAHIM 3:15 ECDIS 148:12	388:12 389:10   392:2,2 Ed's 152:17   effect 106:6 effective 19:13   337:12,13 346:9   effectively 186:14 187:2   187:2 296:6 331:4   effects 298:19 efficiencies 356:19   efficiency 55:18 56:9 74:2 345:3   355:15 efficient 51:6,11	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20 279:8 290:18 314:18 331:12 381:13 elevations 19:8	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17 engineering 26:4 27:19 28:17 33:22 34:1 45:22 89:6 334:16 338:11	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13 entities 23:14 126:7 132:5 138:15 286:8 316:19 entrance 55:3
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22 eating 242:2 ebb 351:6 EBRAHIM 3:15 ECDIS 148:12 ecologically 52:16	388:12 389:10 392:2,2 Ed's 152:17 effect 106:6 effective 19:13 337:12,13 346:9 effectively 186:14 187:2 296:6 331:4 effects 298:19 efficiencies 356:19 efficiency 55:18 56:9 74:2 345:3 355:15 efficient 51:6,11 70:3 77:9 139:19	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20 279:8 290:18 314:18 331:12 381:13 elevations 19:8 21:9 367:2	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17 engineering 26:4 27:19 28:17 33:22 34:1 45:22 89:6 334:16 338:11 342:6	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13 entities 23:14 126:7 132:5 138:15 286:8 316:19 entrance 55:3 62:17 71:7,12
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22 eating 242:2 ebb 351:6 EBRAHIM 3:15 ECDIS 148:12 ecologically 52:16 economic 77:6	388:12 389:10   392:2,2 Ed's 152:17   effect 106:6 effective 19:13   337:12,13 346:9   effectively 186:14 187:2   187:2 296:6 331:4   effects 298:19 efficiencies   efficiency 55:18 56:9 74:2 345:3   355:15 efficient 51:6,11 70:3 77:9 139:19   155:8 355:16	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20 279:8 290:18 314:18 331:12 381:13 elevations 19:8 21:9 367:2 Elias 62:22	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17 engineering 26:4 27:19 28:17 33:22 34:1 45:22 89:6 334:16 338:11 342:6 engineers 2:8 3:14	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13 entities 23:14 126:7 132:5 138:15 286:8 316:19 entrance 55:3 62:17 71:7,12 174:10,14,18
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22 eating 242:2 ebb 351:6 EBRAHIM 3:15 ECDIS 148:12 ecologically 52:16 economic 77:6 146:15,16 151:7	388:12 389:10   392:2,2 Ed's 152:17   effect 106:6 effective 19:13   337:12,13 346:9   effectively 186:14 187:2   187:2 296:6 331:4   effects 298:19 efficiencies 356:19   efficiency 55:18 56:9   56:9 74:2 345:3   355:15 efficient 51:6,11   70:3 77:9 139:19   155:8 355:16 364:17   364:17 366:7	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20 279:8 290:18 314:18 331:12 381:13 elevations 19:8 21:9 367:2 Elias 62:22 eliminated 200:15	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17 engineering 26:4 27:19 28:17 33:22 34:1 45:22 89:6 334:16 338:11 342:6 engineers 2:8 3:14 4:8 25:7 26:9,18	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13 entities 23:14 126:7 132:5 138:15 286:8 316:19 entrance 55:3 62:17 71:7,12 174:10,14,18 entrances 61:7
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22 eating 242:2 ebb 351:6 EBRAHIM 3:15 ECDIS 148:12 ecologically 52:16 economic 77:6 146:15,16 151:7 269:17 317:14	388:12 389:10 392:2,2 Ed's 152:17 effect 106:6 effective 19:13 337:12,13 346:9 effectively 186:14 187:2 296:6 331:4 effects 298:19 efficiencies 356:19 efficiency 55:18 56:9 74:2 345:3 355:15 efficient 51:6,11 70:3 77:9 139:19 155:8 355:16 364:17 366:7 394:8	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20 279:8 290:18 314:18 331:12 381:13 elevations 19:8 21:9 367:2 Elias 62:22 eliminated 200:15 ellipsoid 286:11	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17 engineering 26:4 27:19 28:17 33:22 34:1 45:22 89:6 334:16 338:11 342:6 engineers 2:8 3:14 4:8 25:7 26:9,18 29:12 34:19 66:18	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13 entities 23:14 126:7 132:5 138:15 286:8 316:19 entrance 55:3 62:17 71:7,12 174:10,14,18 entrances 61:7 94:10 96:20
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22 eating 242:2 ebb 351:6 EBRAHIM 3:15 ECDIS 148:12 ecologically 52:16 economic 77:6 146:15,16 151:7 269:17 317:14 350:6	388:12 389:10   392:2,2 Ed's 152:17   effect 106:6 effective 19:13   337:12,13 346:9   effectively 186:14 187:2   187:2 296:6   331:4 effects 298:19   efficiencies 356:19   efficiency 55:18   56:9 74:2   355:15 efficient   51:6 364:17   364:17 366:7   394:8 efficiently	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20 279:8 290:18 314:18 331:12 381:13 elevations 19:8 21:9 367:2 Elias 62:22 eliminated 200:15 ellipsoid 286:11 290:22 291:8	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17 engineering 26:4 27:19 28:17 33:22 34:1 45:22 89:6 334:16 338:11 342:6 engineers 2:8 3:14 4:8 25:7 26:9,18 29:12 34:19 66:18 79:2 126:5 134:12	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13 entities 23:14 126:7 132:5 138:15 286:8 316:19 entrance 55:3 62:17 71:7,12 174:10,14,18 entrances 61:7 94:10 96:20 environment 3:18
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22 eating 242:2 ebb 351:6 EBRAHIM 3:15 ECDIS 148:12 ecologically 52:16 economic 77:6 146:15,16 151:7 269:17 317:14 350:6 economics 45:22	388:12 389:10   392:2,2 Ed's 152:17   effect 106:6 effective 19:13   337:12,13 346:9   effectively 186:14 187:2   187:2 296:6   331:4 effects 298:19   efficiencies 356:19   efficiency 55:18   56:9 74:2   355:15 efficient   51:6,11 70:3   77:9 139:19   155:8 355:16   364:17 366:7   394:8 efficiently   82:6 299:21	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20 279:8 290:18 314:18 331:12 381:13 elevations 19:8 21:9 367:2 Elias 62:22 eliminated 200:15 ellipsoid 286:11 290:22 291:8 emergencies 83:22	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17 engineering 26:4 27:19 28:17 33:22 34:1 45:22 89:6 334:16 338:11 342:6 engineers 2:8 3:14 4:8 25:7 26:9,18 29:12 34:19 66:18 79:2 126:5 134:12 163:18 217:21	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13 entities 23:14 126:7 132:5 138:15 286:8 316:19 entrance 55:3 62:17 71:7,12 174:10,14,18 entrances 61:7 94:10 96:20 environment 3:18 49:19 50:20 52:15
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22 eating 242:2 ebb 351:6 EBRAHIM 3:15 ECDIS 148:12 ecologically 52:16 economic 77:6 146:15,16 151:7 269:17 317:14 350:6 economics 45:22 46:1,2 103:11	388:12 389:10   392:2,2 Ed's 152:17   effect 106:6 effective 19:13   337:12,13 346:9   effectively 186:14 187:2   187:2 296:6   337:12,13 346:9   effectively 186:14 187:2   187:2 296:6   331:4 effects 298:19   efficiencies 356:19   efficiency 55:18 56:9   56:9 74:2 345:3   355:15 efficient 51:6,11   70:3 77:9 139:19   155:8 355:16 364:17 366:7   394:8 efficiently 82:6 299:21 328:17   effort 103:18 104:4	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20 279:8 290:18 314:18 331:12 381:13 elevations 19:8 21:9 367:2 Elias 62:22 eliminated 200:15 ellipsoid 286:11 290:22 291:8 emergencies 83:22 emergency 2:14	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17 engineering 26:4 27:19 28:17 33:22 34:1 45:22 89:6 334:16 338:11 342:6 engineers 2:8 3:14 4:8 25:7 26:9,18 29:12 34:19 66:18 79:2 126:5 134:12 163:18 217:21 219:17 334:16	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13 entities 23:14 126:7 132:5 138:15 286:8 316:19 entrance 55:3 62:17 71:7,12 174:10,14,18 entrances 61:7 94:10 96:20 environment 3:18 49:19 50:20 52:15 52:16,17 53:3
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22 eating 242:2 ebb 351:6 EBRAHIM 3:15 ECDIS 148:12 ecologically 52:16 economic 77:6 146:15,16 151:7 269:17 317:14 350:6 economics 45:22 46:1,2 103:11 190:18	388:12 389:10   392:2,2 Ed's 152:17   effect 106:6 effective 19:13   337:12,13 346:9   effectively 186:14 187:2   187:2 296:6   337:12,13 346:9   effectively 186:14 187:2   187:2 296:6   337:12,13 346:9   effectively 186:14 187:2   187:2 296:6   331:4 effects 298:19   efficiencies 356:19   efficiency 55:18   56:9 74:2   355:15 efficient   51:6,11 70:3   70:3 77:9   139:19 155:8   155:8 355:16   364:17 366:7   394:8 efficiently   efficiently 82:6   299:21 328:17   effort 103:18   141:19 164:13	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20 279:8 290:18 314:18 331:12 381:13 elevations 19:8 21:9 367:2 Elias 62:22 eliminated 200:15 ellipsoid 286:11 290:22 291:8 emergencies 83:22 emergency 2:14 4:17 56:8 64:2	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17 engineering 26:4 27:19 28:17 33:22 34:1 45:22 89:6 334:16 338:11 342:6 engineers 2:8 3:14 4:8 25:7 26:9,18 29:12 34:19 66:18 79:2 126:5 134:12 163:18 217:21 219:17 334:16 335:11 352:3	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13 entities 23:14 126:7 132:5 138:15 286:8 316:19 entrance 55:3 62:17 71:7,12 174:10,14,18 entrances 61:7 94:10 96:20 environment 3:18 49:19 50:20 52:15 52:16,17 53:3 55:17 91:21 93:14
206:7 233:17 407:14 eastern 23:18 111:22 113:12 easy 133:6 154:17 244:1 299:11 easy-to-deal-with 221:12 eat 241:22 eating 242:2 ebb 351:6 EBRAHIM 3:15 ECDIS 148:12 ecologically 52:16 economic 77:6 146:15,16 151:7 269:17 317:14 350:6 economics 45:22 46:1,2 103:11 190:18 economies 14:21	388:12 389:10   392:2,2 Ed's 152:17   effect 106:6 effective 19:13   337:12,13 346:9   effectively 186:14   187:2 296:6   337:12,13 346:9   effectively 186:14   187:2 296:6   337:12,13 346:9   effects 298:19   effects 298:19   efficiencies 356:19   efficiency 55:18   56:9 74:2   355:15 efficient   51:6,11 70:3   77:9 139:19   155:8 355:16   364:17 366:7   394:8 efficiently   efficiently 82:6   299:21 328:17   effort 103:18   168:8 194:10	electronic 117:19 electronically 247:13 element 31:10 372:21 elements 141:1 236:13 372:21 elevation 264:15 265:8 270:16 275:8 277:20 279:8 290:18 314:18 331:12 381:13 elevations 19:8 21:9 367:2 Elias 62:22 eliminated 200:15 ellipsoid 286:11 290:22 291:8 emergencies 83:22 emergency 2:14 4:17 56:8 64:2 65:3 213:4,21	enforcement 219:18 engage 40:17 124:6 369:4 engaged 167:12 389:11 engagement 273:8 engaging 126:17 engineer 25:6 367:11 368:17 engineering 26:4 27:19 28:17 33:22 34:1 45:22 89:6 334:16 338:11 342:6 engineers 2:8 3:14 4:8 25:7 26:9,18 29:12 34:19 66:18 79:2 126:5 134:12 163:18 217:21 219:17 334:16 335:11 352:3 355:21 358:3,12	enthusiastic 303:9 entire 33:1,3 34:22 45:11 126:8 131:16 223:5 245:3 249:19 256:15 257:5 267:20 271:7 275:19 278:2 290:11 337:19 entirely 405:13 entities 23:14 126:7 132:5 138:15 286:8 316:19 entrance 55:3 62:17 71:7,12 174:10,14,18 entrances 61:7 94:10 96:20 environment 3:18 49:19 50:20 52:15 52:16,17 53:3 55:17 91:21 93:14 202:14 203:1

204:19 246:8	320:17,19 350:14	344:9	exceptionally	expectation 395:14
environmental 3:6	365:21 377:15	everybody 34:20	199:22	expectations 104:8
46:1 48:21 55:11	<b>ESRI</b> 278:22	73:8 75:11 100:18	exceptions 198:21	expected 15:22
56:7 65:11 66:4	279:14	129:6 131:14	exchange 2:9 4:8	205:5 257:21
70:1 133:15 164:4	essence 166:21	251:4 284:17	25:9 48:3,18 50:4	expended 175:22
164:14 203:11	essential 136:2	291:22 315:5	50:6,7,16 51:8,17	390:5
258:2,5,9 280:11	154:6	325:2 359:14	52:5,13 53:7	expensive 61:17
environmentally	essentially 50:7	362:5 363:6	58:16 152:18,22	150:2 151:12
51:12	72:16 105:4	375:10 396:18	155:13,22 159:3	219:19 240:10
environments	108:20,21 109:9	397:5 403:6 407:6	175:17 176:7,14	277:11 380:14
279:20	110:15 215:1	everybody's 108:13	177:1 198:13	384:10,11
envisioned 40:8	234:3 236:6	296:21 324:12	245:10 279:5	experience 20:10
envisioning 37:8	237:15,18 241:18	everything's	exchanged 179:8,8	30:7 90:6 93:18
envisions 16:5	385:1	263:14	exchanges 155:15	95:21 97:20
equation 335:6,6	establish 166:21	evidence 99:14	177:9,14	141:20,21 158:1
equipment 59:10	171:20 311:9	402:11	excited 14:3 282:19	176:6 191:15
60:4 70:16 78:19	320:17 405:9	evolutionary 404:7	340:21	198:19 226:4
96:11 115:9 116:4	established 14:4	<b>evolve</b> 216:8	exciting 98:19 99:3	304:17 339:7
116:7 142:16	51:9 209:16 322:3	evolving 405:5,6	277:16 278:5	experienced 119:7
171:21 175:8	establishing 335:9	exabyte 297:15	excuse 133:9 148:7	119:10 232:13
176:21 391:21	336:17 405:14	exact 163:1 197:6	351:21 397:14	experiential 303:21
392:6	establishment	exactly 152:22	executive 2:18	experiment 248:9
equivalents 275:10	383:21 384:5	157:13 357:9	213:11 348:17	248:21 305:16
<b>era</b> 303:4	estimated 316:3	<b>exam</b> 337:8	exercise 109:8	expert 32:12,13
<b>ERMA</b> 19:9,13	estimates 231:13	<b>examine</b> 210:2,5	250:19	151:21
260:16 279:1	estimating 337:7	<b>example</b> 17:6 20:4	exist 30:1 67:11	expertise 9:14
301:14,17 303:15	estimation 187:17	62:10 63:2 64:3	77:4 81:3 88:2	13:12 92:14
304:3,15 305:7	Estuarine 355:1	68:17 69:10 76:19	332:10 406:15	353:21 392:7,20
306:19,21 307:1	et 84:21 147:22	77:1 80:18 81:6	existed 49:16 50:5	<b>explain</b> 315:14
309:18 310:7,9	181:3 203:6 250:7	82:16 83:3,16	68:16	343:20
eroded 218:14	250:7 292:1 293:2	85:1 149:4 174:8	existence 111:13	explaining 223:22
erosion 16:2 26:12	317:9 335:7.21	196:6 240:18	existent 79:1	explicitly 403:8
27:7.17 29:14	336:1.2.2 384:18	255:6 267:9.13	existing 35:20 42:2	exploration 36:8
121:14 215:7.8	<b>ETA</b> 54:2	273:2 280:16	42:21 43:22 44:6	52:20 76:4
217:8.11.15 218:8	evacuate 234:19.20	281:17 287:21	45:3.4 125:19.21	<b>Explorations</b> 3:16
218:17.18 220:4.6	235:2	294:18 304:15	294:1 331:15	explorative 202:5
233:5	evacuation 214:11	examples 68:18	357:17	explore 372:4
erroneous 367:2	215:19.19 216:6	83:17 148:11	exists 34:17 92:16	explorer 255:11
error 268:19 336:1	216:17 235:4.9	217:16 280:7	107:21	exportation 36:14
errors 337:3	evaluate 59:22	335:21 381:9	expand 28:13	expressed 259:12
escort 75:20 104:10	Evans 3:13.14.21	exceed 117:21	45:10.17	expression 63:18
104:12 327:5	<b>Evelvn</b> 1:20 361:8	exceeding 274:13	expanded 28:6	extend 165:12
esoteric 314:22	369:15.18	<b>Excel</b> 67:2	expanding 254:3	166:20
especially 188.9	event 27:7 90.14	excellence 322.16	expands 43:16	extends 183.7
231:15 249.16	216:5 304.3 5	excellent 194.16	expansion $42.114$	extensive 120.18
263:16 277:3	307:11	355:5 371.12	122:17 357.17	121:1
305:8 306.20	eventually 309.5	exception 192.5	expect 224.2	extent 155.20
202.0200.20				
	1	1		1

٦

	200.15			<b>25</b> 0.0
172:3 173:18	389:15	316:7,13 318:5	teeling 242:7	2/8:8
174:18 230:18	<b>factor</b> 102:16	321:15 324:3	feelings 137:1	<b>fight</b> 303:13
270:12 285:21	380:11	351:8 355:5	352:19	figuratively 195:2
292:13 311:22	<b>fade</b> 345:21	402:14 407:6	feels 43:7	figure 17:4 29:8,22
384:3	<b>failure</b> 359:14	farmed 205:2	<b>fees</b> 136:11 150:11	30:5 38:21 92:19
extract 210:2	fair 55:19 320:12	<b>farming</b> 205:17	150:18 180:15	144:2 203:16
extratropical 237:5	Fairbanks 2:18	<b>farther</b> 378:16	<b>feet</b> 30:15,16,17,17	208:13 225:20
<b>extreme</b> 250:6	213:11 215:16	fascinated 48:16	37:9,11 38:5	289:2 292:18,20
extremely 103:3	233:19 247:11	fashion 382:1	43:17 45:8,19	296:14 299:20
233:4 241:11	264:3 266:16,21	404:8	81:1 95:7 96:4,7,7	320:6 365:16
317:1 383:16	278:14	<b>fast</b> 66:6 114:10	96:13 97:13 98:17	<b>figures</b> 318:3
394:3	fairly 286:2 304:18	224:20 363:12	104:17 113:20	<b>files</b> 32:16
Exxon 48:20 55:21	354:22 362:5	faster 114:15	114:12,22 117:2	<b>fill</b> 16:16 17:4 18:2
56:11 58:10	400:21	138:20 139:1	140:21 161:13	22:10 44:10 121:2
248:14	Fairweather 18:4	386:9	162:2 170:16,17	219:9,10
ex-astronaut 11:4	67:15 68:7 96:2,3	fathometer 229:1	170:18,19,19,20	filled 397:5
<b>eye</b> 261:7 346:1	114:11,12	favorite 10:11	170:22 179:4,5	<b>fills</b> 160:6
	Faith 182:10 196:1	faxes 87:7	196:22 197:1,2	filter 305:11
F	197:9	feasible 143:21	218:9 228:22	final 77:14 99:18
<b>FAA</b> 321:10,15,20	fall 93:18 136:13	features 148:4	365:13,13 366:20	111:3 187:8 274:5
322:16	143:16 230:11	149:2	383:5,5	337:8
<b>FAC</b> 13:11	334:5	federal 3:1 23:14	felt 36:22 106:8	finally 83:14 101:8
<b>FACA</b> 400:6	falling 364:2	33:5 36:3,7	286:2 394:4	277:14 278:15
401:22	False 28:5 120:3,3	129:16 138:17	FEMA 214:14	334:10 374:18
FACAs 400:15	120:8,14 124:1	146:18 153:2,9	238:17 366:8,14	405:1
face 43:1,3 44:1	familiar 100:12	155:3 158:21	367:5 374:15,17	financial 40:15
143:18 211:7	216:10 304:10	159:11 160:1	374:21 375:7	financially 126:20
225:1,3 329:2	305:8 306:14	166:22 172:6,7,10	377:18	financing 101:4
facilitate 52:1	family 98:3 368:19	187:10 192:9	FEMA's 215:9	<b>find</b> 6:4 31:3 33:4
facilitation 244:6	famous 97:3	203:21 207:8	ferries 52:21 60:19	34:6 54:14 56:2
facilities 34:21	fantastic 91:17	218:20 219:17	113:2 114:11	62:6 65:1 95:13
78:22 175:7	136:1 266:8.22	245:8 272:18	122:6 180:16	98:4 131:5 137:2
234:17 248:16	272:8 274:19	300:19 301:4	385:10	158:18 190:20
310:20 311:4	278:11	302:17 304:15	<b>ferry</b> 175:4 385:9	244:11 280:3
359:3	far 23:10 26:20	306:9 307:18	fewer 202:3 223:12	344:16 371:7
facility 77:1 80:11	48:12 49:13 50:19	316:19 318:11	fiber 405:8	378:13 384:4
118:8 123:1	64:16 72:17 73:21	367:14 368:6	fiberoptic 340:2	402:16
360:21 380:6	90:2 101:14	379:2	fickle 88:11	<b>finding</b> 101:5 404:1
facing 14:9 246:9	103:15 105:3	federally 174:3	<b>field</b> 70:19 190:9	404:6
fact 33:21 40:18	111:21 115:11	365:6	248:21 274:20	findings 359.17
52:15 116:21	122:13 128:11	<b>feds</b> 386.10	288.3 302.11	fine 292:4 294:9
136:12 164:22	141:12 155:18	fee 150:15	305:16 339:7	<b>finer</b> 251:10 331:5
179:15 221:18	164.6 177.9 192.5	feed 73.5 159.4	402.13	fine-grained 44.11
227:11 250:4	194.7 199.3	262:21 319.11	fields 1:20 312:2	fingernails 362.3
301:19 319:4	219.21 232.18	feedback 394.5	369.17.18	finish 63.22 126.2
321:20 323:22	289.9 295.8	feel 189.18 349.6	<b>fifth</b> 376.8	299.14
350:10 362:20	209.16 315.3	363.1	fifties 42.7 94.7	finished 161.8
		505.1		
	1			

Г

٦

		-		
357:21 367:10	141:6 160:20	<b>focused</b> 16:13	133:3	forward 14:5 15:15
finishing 28:8	166:7 179:20	35:13 123:8	force 38:15 226:7	22:12 52:2 61:15
<b>finite</b> 140:5	212:13,20 223:13	178:15 252:5	232:9 309:13	89:1 93:21 104:21
<b>Fire</b> 45:9	400:19	308:20 394:17	forced 174:18	105:1 126:10
<b>firm</b> 338:11	fix 116:11 292:2	<b>focusing</b> 229:16	forcing 186:15	183:17 186:9
<b>firmware</b> 137:17	<b>flag</b> 100:17	259:18,21 330:12	193:17	193:2,7,10,21
<b>first</b> 7:12 9:8,15	flagship 113:20	<b>fog</b> 191:20	forecast 141:3	195:13,17 196:12
16:17 25:1,5 30:5	<b>Flash</b> 310:4	<b>foggy</b> 80:16	143:12 233:18,22	340:21 341:5,17
40:2 48:4 60:20	flat 83:19	<b>folders</b> 397:6	241:19 266:2,5	348:19 378:16
116:1 162:8	flavors 363:15	<b>folk</b> 95:2	forecasters 237:4	379:16
195:15 198:12	<b>fleet</b> 111:10 113:2,3	folks 18:1 28:16	266:3	forward-thinking
224:21 225:14	113:16,20 114:16	29:18 32:4,10,14	forecasting 140:22	19:1
243:13 244:16	114:22	33:2 37:12 54:15	247:20	<b>fosters</b> 340:17
254:9 264:16,19	<b>flew</b> 271:10 275:17	93:16 95:18 99:2	forecasts 87:8	<b>foul</b> 210:3
278:7 283:19,20	275:18,19,20,21	100:1 106:6,13	143:5 231:22	<b>found</b> 13:4 50:1,12
342:22 343:21	278:13 382:17	107:6 109:18,20	233:20 246:10	54:15 90:12 93:18
344:6 377:3	flexibility 328:12	139:15 157:2	251:11	101:8 143:2 316:2
378:10 398:11	<b>flexible</b> 221:12	167:21 177:6	foreign 192:19	337:18 338:2
406:10 407:2,9	392:22	180:16 181:11	Forest 276:17	foundation 285:9
fiscal 15:2 54:8	<b>flies</b> 95:3	206:8 227:9 228:9	forget 307:15	312:20
fiscally 49:18 50:19	flights 149:19	229:20 244:6	<b>forgot</b> 27:6	foundational
<b>fish</b> 2:17 3:12 4:19	382:18	245:14 260:16,16	form 238:22	314:17
55:11 61:22 63:10	float 59:16 80:12	279:1 301:17	240:17 285:17	founding 245:7
63:15 79:18 96:17	402:21	304:9,14 320:2	formal 39:20	four 29:10 91:4
213:6 229:6,8	floating 296:4	321:3 326:2	format 6:10 137:12	222:21 302:2
250:16 261:22	297:8 372:9	376:19 403:5,8	formed 79:13,14	323:1 394:13
276:17 330:22	<b>flood</b> 26:12 214:18	<b>follow</b> 126:10	103:3 211:9	396:16 400:19
386:11	366:8 367:1 375:2	159:15 161:18	<b>forms</b> 294:3 353:22	four-wheeler
fisheries 247:1	375:7	170:5 213:5	formulate 390:19	240:12
260:3,6 391:9	<b>floor</b> 348:5,7	286:22 313:14	Forney 3:4 99:1	<b>fragile</b> 154:3
fishermen 97:9	<b>flow</b> 8:4 351:6	357:13 364:10	351:14,15 375:16	fragmented 374:6
246:17 262:5	<b>flown</b> 276:7	370:7,20 378:9	375:16 386:20	frailty 154:1
fishing 17:10 53:1	flows 291:2	405:10	399:2	156:14 245:18
55:7 58:22 71:19	fluently 304:18	followed 25:8	forth 48:13 68:8	frame 17:21 108:9
72:9 78:18 79:17	<b>flung</b> 407:7	405:11	88:8 169:8 180:9	264:16 322:5
79:17 82:12 91:2	<b>fly</b> 23:16 36:1	following 8:6 192:3	185:7 219:8	framework 7:1
91:10,11 98:14	103:9 256:11,21	359:12,13 366:5	275:15 281:4	326:13
101:14 136:12	276:8,19	<b>follow-up</b> 160:9	282:10 361:10	Francisco 68:19
178:16,18,22	flying 23:4 103:13	361:11	forthcoming	155:16
179:3 210:19	flyovers 105:12	<b>foment</b> 180:3	136:19	Frank 1:22 11:19
fit 123:11 238:7	focus 14:6 16:10	<b>font</b> 34:11	fortunate 24:22	122:8 357:12
311:1 317:5	26:14 69:8 70:3	<b>food</b> 194:20 241:21	160:22 313:9	366:5 369:19
384:19 388:11	73:18 219:22	241:21 242:8	fortunately 64:15	370:14 372:11
<b>fitted</b> 385:12	220:5 230:3,5	354:13	fortune 131:1	400:4
fitting 373:11	233:14 246:21	<b>foods</b> 13:1	Forty-foot 232:1	frankly 239:1
fittings 227:12	259:16 264:18,21	foot 179:10 366:18	<b>forum</b> 12:2 205:13	241:4 242:14
<b>five</b> 33:13 116:15	267:3 329:3 330:1	footprint 103:5	forums 194:13	Frank's 371:19

Г

<b>f</b> waa 191. <b>2</b> 100.15	270.10 202.14	202.15	124.12 16 209.14	205.15 209.12 17
<b>1ree</b> 181:2 190:15	5/9:18 585:14	393:13 EV 22:12 10 276:17	124:15,10 508:14	295:15 298:12,17
514:10 549:0		<b>FY</b> 25:15,19 570:17	324:18 333:17	519:8,9
<b>Ireely</b> 2/9:5	285:0 550:7	G	389:18 391:20	<b>geolas</b> 280:5
<b>Ireeze</b> 109:9	339:18 from do on 40 lo	gain 355.15	392:11	315:10 CEOID0(200.0
freeze-up 81:5	iundamentais	gained 356.10	gears 377:0	GEOID06 290:9
<b>Trequencies</b> 53:21	335:2 f = 1 126 4	gallory 280.19	gee 49:9 391:4	GEOID09 290:10
/1:1 133:10	<b>Tunded</b> 126:4	gallon 135.6	general 61:17	GEOID99 289:11
<b>irequency</b> 128:1	158:13 258:7	gallong 81:10 12	163:2 223:16	geomatics 2:20
162:7,10,18 163:2	259:5 270:14	<b>ganons</b> 01.10,12	270:7 278:9	213:14 283:7
295:18 346:17	276:6,12 309:14	130.1 Colvector 127.5	292:17 299:12	294:6 298:11
frequent 112:15	330:17,20 331:1	Galveston 127:5	344:20 346:10,15	340:8
frequently 372:1	360:8 362:12,16	500:20 Comb all 90:20	369:2,5,10	Geophysical
<b>friends</b> 39:5,6 62:4	375:5 390:17	Gambell 80:20	generally 152:6	215:14
62:4	<b>funders</b> 270:16,19	game 55:11 58:12	185:15 312:11	geospatial 2:13
<b>front</b> 7:19 43:16	<b>funding</b> 24:10	96:1/16/:21	376:6	4:15 7:1 213:1
44:14 82:20	131:15 132:21	261:22 295:17	<b>generate</b> 27:2 28:2	214:6 278:20
149:10 185:20	137:21 154:1	gamut 7:2	29:20 31:6,8 39:4	299:15 314:21
213:19	163:22 169:10	<b>GAO</b> 344:4,12,20	39:5	318:9 326:13
frontier 145:14	171:15 172:2	<b>gap</b> 17:5 18:2 160:6	generated 31:19	349:10 398:7
297:1,5	173:8,11 200:12	gaps 16:14,16	233:20 271:2	geotech 47:9
<b>froze</b> 231:18	243:14 247:8,10	22:11 31:4 60:3	generating 38:8	164:14
frustrating 274:22	247:14 270:11	128:2 253:16	158:14	Gerd 3:5 197:20
Fuca 176:9 198:20	276:18 300:4,20	329:8	generation 90:22	getting 7:10 12:3
228:22	301:3 330:10	garnered 194:15	382:15 383:6	12:15 28:18,21
fuel 79:20 81:10,15	331:17 347:18	Gary 1:22 147:4	generator 235:8,12	52:6 58:8 81:16
81:17 85:8,10	360:8 362:22	321:7 361:6 366:3	generators 62:15	82:12 94:2 99:21
99:21 103:10,13	381:21 384:5	374:14	133:5	102:5 104:13
140:7 150:1 199:3	<b>funds</b> 130:18,21	<b>Gary's</b> 383:22	gentleman 100:8	114:1,20 120:7
227:19 228:1,1	138:13 175:20,20	392:10	225:2 343:9 385:8	133:14 138:20
230:9 235:10,12	175:21,21 259:8	gas 36:8,15 260:1	gentlemen 182:1	142:7 155:5,8
236:3 321:13	300:16 388:2	260:12 391:16	geodesy 298:21	156:9 157:11,21
<b>Fugro</b> 3:15,15	390:4,5,6	gasoline 101:6,20	315:9	166:16 179:10
275:19,21 339:3	<b>funny</b> 315:12 356:4	102:5,12 108:8	geodetic 23:8	181:7,21 196:4
full 45:17 83:6	406:22	gather 84:21	214:14 283:1,14	219:13 224:21
116:17 214:22	further 23:21 71:5	184:12 297:22	285:4,7,21 299:11	245:22 254:12
fully 174:5 334:2	80:6,6,7 135:12	345:6	313:7,13 314:15	257:7 274:14,15
381:3	138:21 147:17,21	gathered 173:1	315:18 317:12	289:4 294:22
<b>fun</b> 49:5	156:7 186:15	gatherers 229:21	318:7 320:14	317:22 324:10,19
<b>function</b> 82:2 158:4	187:3,3 198:8	gathering 20:17	353:1 359:22	341:12 361:21
<b>fund</b> 150:18 171:20	226:12 294:19	41:2	366:15	366:6 371:19
172:1,1,14,15	351:19	gauge 20:7,11	geographic 36:18	374:14 379:5
173:12 174:5	<b>future</b> 12:20 14:22	126:3 262:2 353:6	149:2 264:2	380:19,19 382:20
175:3,3,4,6 301:5	22:17 47:17 94:1	353:21 383:18	265:16	385:17 401:21
310:19 311:15	124:7 160:3 167:1	386:22 387:19	geography 388:9	get's 365:22
322:16 353:6	167:18 255:19	388:10,14,22	388:10	<b>giddy</b> 365:14
fundamental	318:3,19 319:11	390:2,8 392:19	geoid 289:13.22	gillnet 97:13 98:18
285:21 287:17	319:21 359:9	gauges 31:16	290:1,12 291:4.9	<b>GINA</b> 4:21 252:4
		_		

г

				I
265:16	GNSS 292:1 294:2	398:11,11,19	135:14 138:4	369:18 370:9,10
GIS 2:17 206:10	294:12	404:20	139:14,15 146:7	373:18 375:7
213:10 251:12	go 22:7 24:16 30:9	goal 134:4 205:15	147:21 157:12,17	379:13,13 382:16
252:13 386:3,6	32:18 33:13 38:12	205:16 254:4,5	161:12 165:3	383:2 384:3 386:8
give 24:19 57:16	39:17 45:17 47:16	264:16 356:17	166:19 167:6	388:15 389:12
65:21 67:20 79:3	51:16 54:17 59:3	goes 23:11 37:7	168:6 170:1,21	390:8,16 396:20
86:4 91:21 96:14	65:19 66:5,6	45:12 54:22 57:14	171:2,9 180:17	397:2,9,10,12,13
110:7 111:7	73:18 78:1 81:21	60:7,8,8 61:3	183:12 184:13,15	397:17,20,22
128:21 129:1	86:21 87:1 92:18	62:20 82:20 83:19	191:12 192:14	398:10 399:3
131:4 166:7	95:5,8 97:2 98:6	96:2,3 113:12	193:10 195:17	401:21 406:7
170:18,19 174:7	105:22 116:13,14	118:21 164:7	198:8 204:13	golden 262:11
179:6 183:15	116:16,17 117:13	181:16 197:5	209:12 212:21	<b>Golovin</b> 233:16
195:22 213:21	130:19,21 136:12	206:16 219:21	213:20 216:4	234:13 237:8,22
215:16 220:12	137:3 142:1	225:10 243:10	218:13,14 219:20	238:5,8,21 240:17
251:2 264:12	147:17 154:17	291:13 335:12	225:19 226:8	241:4 267:8 268:4
313:3,4,22 332:19	155:13,16 158:13	336:16,17 343:5	228:11 230:3	268:8,15 269:11
333:9 336:2,3,14	158:15,20,21	348:19 373:22	233:14 235:12,14	280:21
337:14,15 338:4	161:16 162:14	GOES-R 266:10	238:2,5,5 241:22	good 5:3 9:8 10:10
340:1 341:21	164:9 165:4	<b>GOFORTH</b> 3:16	242:1 249:20	10:22 12:2,17
344:10 389:14	166:12 167:22	going 5:13,17 6:12	250:22 251:8,10	19:11 31:11 47:20
given 130:15	168:10 170:17,19	6:18 7:4 8:4	251:11 252:4,20	56:5 58:10 69:4,5
185:14,16 223:15	170:20 174:10	11:18 14:12 15:8	253:5,13,15 257:3	73:15,15 75:10
224:14 226:5	176:14 180:10	15:12 16:3,11	258:10,20 259:1	76:19 83:16 86:19
253:19 265:3	186:17 187:6	17:3,18 18:17	260:22 261:4	98:19,20 107:9
317:6 337:10	189:16 190:8	21:12 23:5,21	264:15 267:3,7,8	111:5 127:20
367:20 368:4	202:12 206:19,20	26:13 27:9 28:15	267:12,15 268:7	128:11 132:10
gives 98:14 128:19	208:2,10 212:7	29:3,8,21 30:14	271:4,7,11,18	136:21,22 146:1
184:21 216:1,2	220:11,20 223:7	32:18,20 34:21	273:12 275:2,4,5	149:13 153:16
230:15 253:22	223:18,22 224:6	35:18 36:1 38:12	276:4,8,11,15,19	154:14,17 159:6
255:18,22 287:7	224:11 225:19	38:18 39:3,4,6,10	276:21 277:1	170:3 182:18
298:19	228:10 243:9	40:4,5,17,20	278:3,6 284:2	212:8 215:6,6,19
giving 25:17	255:1 263:3,13	41:15,17,22 42:15	291:10 292:3	216:1,18,22 217:3
179:14 192:14	266:1 267:15	43:9 44:20 46:22	293:3 294:2,7,9	217:6 218:6 224:7
244:12 316:20	269:21 280:22	47:15 50:15 51:6	294:12,14,17	234:9 242:6 250:6
317:3	281:2 283:8 286:3	61:18 64:12 65:12	295:3,9,20 296:15	250:18 271:14
glacial 30:7,12	286:8,10 288:1	65:22,22 66:2,6	296:15 297:18,20	272:4,7,10,12
glad 282:19 340:5	291:21 298:1	66:21,22 67:13,13	298:4 299:10,22	273:4,20 274:2
341:16	303:5 304:2,12	68:4,8,20,22	303:13 312:19	275:1 276:22
gladly 204:3	306:12 311:20	69:12,16,18 70:20	318:20 319:12	277:1 282:5 285:1
glance 194:3	316:14 319:1,1	71:21 74:13 82:20	321:1 322:7 323:2	285:2 292:7,17,21
Glang 3:5 197:19	334:3 335:16,19	83:1,2 85:12	328:5 333:15,16	304:17,22 305:14
197:20,20	337:9,20 338:15	87:17 90:16 97:6	333:18 336:13	306:15 309:7
<b>global</b> 58:22 72:7	341:10 343:18	97:8,12 102:4,5	339:21,22 340:1	312:19 313:9
262:20,22 394:20	345:17 354:14	102:10 105:8	341:20 345:16	315:11 316:6
407:9	369:5 370:12	109:12 118:19,22	349:12 350:16	357:1 368:9
globally 266:22	377:3,7,11 380:6	119:16 122:5	352:1 357:19	371:18 372:2
<b>GNOME</b> 305:19	380:22 384:7	128:13 131:9	363:22 364:10	374:13 381:2

Г

382:17 383:22 384:1 402:4,10,11 402:15,17	grandfather 98:3 granted 310:21 grants 130:11,16	<b>grounded</b> 148:8 <b>grounding</b> 149:5 149:21 187:4	138:16 148:8 149:18 152:19 154:11,16 155:6	313:22 319:16 332:13 333:15 343:11 385:13
Goodnews 83:1/	granularity 127:22	groundings /6:13	157:12,14,17,21	GU8 3/8:5
93:1,8	129:1	group 25:2 32:7	158:6,13,16,20	
goods 13:1,1 18:16	grassroots 240:6,9	37:141:949:3	159:17 171:4,17	habit 202.20
76:1 79:21 94:18	gravity 21:8 23:16	150:9 152:21	171:19 172:13	habit 303:20
140:7 284:6	295:2,12 313:19	175:5,17 181:13	173:6 175:3,16,21	<b>nabilal</b> 281:22
Google 91:17 94:9	<b>GRAV-D</b> 21:8 23:4	206:8 246:14	176:9,18 184:14	329:12 332:0
268:11 279:13	23:10 283:1	265:13,17 302:13	201:10,13 202:3	Haines 28:11,12
<b>gotten</b> 88:12	313:20 318:17	316:2 317:1	202:20 203:19	<b>nair</b> 341:13,13
211:14 368:20	378:5	320:16 343:22	204:4 206:5,8	nali 23:9 96:7
397:6	<b>gray</b> 94:10 341:12	357:7 387:10	208:19 221:4,9,22	103:12 107:12
government 36:3,4	grayscale 280:1	391:12 392:10	222:12 223:12,16	119:6 178:7
55:9 58:18 72:16	great 19:10 24:12	402:21	224:17 225:15	191:16 227:6,8
126:4 130:12	24:13 30:11 93:15	groups 14:4 32:6	260:20 305:6	244:19 245:4
136:5,10 138:17	93:17 98:21 99:5	122:12 185:17	307:11 355:22	259:20 268:17
153:2,9,20 154:5	103:19 105:11	192:12 195:7	362:6 369:7,11	270:2 271:5,22
155:3 158:2	110:2 127:19	287:13 316:18	Guard's 56:22	272:21 273:10,21
159:11 170:1	196:15 214:5,7	318:8 329:10,13	guess 91:21 110:6	275:14 276:16
172:7 173:13,14	216:19 217:21	330:1,20 349:7	122:18 136:3	291:15 327:19
192:18,20,21	218:1 239:19	grousing 343:4	139:22 140:4	336:11 366:19
272:18 307:18	243:6 269:7	grow 338:8	144:1 148:3,10	Hampshire 2:4
345:19 367:18	282:21 291:22	growing 45:15	150:12 161:16	hand 132:3 182:18
371:4 402:9	295:2 307:3	115:13,19	230:15 241:14	187:15 373:15
governmental	337:22 338:6	grown 314:3	242:9 282:16	handful 41:5
155:1	356:19 367:16	growth 17:8	303:14 308:21	handheld 149:11
governments 172:6	368:3,13 369:1,8	<b>Grumbine</b> 331:18	332:22 340:15	handle 153:15
government-fun	384:2	guarantee 336:8	341:4,13 355:12	190:13 196:21
168:7	greater 31:7 33:3	<b>Guard</b> 2:15 4:13,18	375:12 377:6	203:2
governor 11:11	33:20 42:19	37:18,19 41:3	378:4 380:15	handout 395:21
15:17 35:12 36:13	145:22 191:18	48:15,19 49:2,11	391:18 392:4	hands 155:6 255:14
130:12 297:2	greatest 73:22	49:19 50:9.17	393:14 394:20	398:18
GPS 142:2 147:6,8	330:3,19 384:18	51:13,14 54:9	guessed 190:1	handshake 211:14
147:15 148:1,22	greatly 117:21	56:4 59:6,11	guide 22:15	hang 70:12 133:6
149:9 292:1 294:8	182:6 261:13	62:20 64:13 65:8	<b>gulf</b> 177:3	167:5
295:3.5.9 321:10	green 28:19 237:9	66:14 69:22 70:21	<b>gun</b> 56:16	hanging 98:18
323:2 334:13	grew 90:8	71:11 73:13 82:8	gusting 109:7	<b>HANSON</b> 1:21
345:14 384:17	GREYDANUS	93:14.17.19.22	gusts 232:3	139:4 184:17
grab 256:12	3:17	100:19 101:16	guv 70:6 82:20	342:20 370:19
<b>Grace</b> 295:13	grocery 194:20	102:18 104:9	guys 13:6 14:5	happen 29:1 64:9
gracious 8:21	ground 83:10	105:11 107:15	63:11 75:12 83:13	81:20 92:1 96:6
graciously 183:3	266:9.15.17.18	110:3 116:3	85:20 87:5 92:2	171:10 188:12
grade 90:9	286:14 287:3	129:12 130:5.19	130:14 139:5	244:7 276:11
gradient 331:12	293:5 295:22	131:12.15.17	142:18 143:3.12	370:10 380:17
graduate 48:10	320:11 323:22	132:5.9.12 136:18	144:1 181:1	384:3 400:12
336:9 340:9	347:21	137:11.21 138:7	214:19 249:21	happened 47:8,8
		10,111,21 100.7		· · · · ·

57:7 99:11 102:22	harshness 48:12	109:1,16 110:11	299:20 300:3	high-frequency
120:13 201:22	harvest 96:18 97:5	226:10,15 227:2	307:20 311:5,9	247:14
208:20,22 231:14	195:5	230:8	322:17 326:16	high-level 22:9
301:20 387:8	hash 237:17	hear 7:16 14:3	353:6,22 388:2,14	317:19 358:14
happening 41:19	haste 364:1	19:18 24:11	helped 195:12	359:6
66:12 102:21	hat 323:8,9,10	327:11 342:15	393:3	high-profile 350:6
164:8 249:22	378:8	395:14 397:20	helpful 358:10	high-quality
289:7.9.13.20	hatcheries 61:22	heard 8:8 17:22	388:11 394:3	271:19
296:1 321:2 393:4	hate 368:4	18:3 19:9.20	396:9	high-res 268:1
393:10 394:12.18	hat's 122:4	21:21 41:13 55:20	helping 155:11	280:14
394:19 395:15	haul 133:5	88:15 95:18 134:2	195:12 226:20	high-resolution
hannens 37:22	Havlik 227:1	223:6 236:15	300:1 383:20	267:21
63·14 81·14 286·1	hazard 267.6	300.10 307.16	helps 386.17	high-speed 267.1
290.15 295.6	302.11	317.7 329.9	Heritage 84.6	high-stakes 58.11
290:15 295:0	hazards 81.3 97.22	345.10 360.2	123.21	high-tech 219.13
hannily 339.7	246.22 358.22	362.17 403.5 5 7	Herman's 161.8	hiking 49.6
happing 339.7 happy 10.16 13.5	372.20	<b>hearing</b> 12.5 6 12	hesitant $355.12$	Hill 357.5
24.2 104.17 117.9	Hazelton $2.20$	12.18 21 22.13	hev 67.15 179.12	Hilton 1.13
24.2 104.17 117.5	213.13 283.5 0 10	74.5 374.2	205.10 206.22	Hinchinbrook
207.5 520.15	213.13 203.3,7,10	hoorings 155.21	2)3.1) 2)0.22	174.0 175.1
542.15 horossing 7/1.12	291.20 302.21	156.1	<b>bo'll</b> 25.8 1/(3.11	1/4.9 1/3.1 Hindcost 28.20
harbor 28.6 7 11	212.22 212.10	130.1 hoot 71.12 225.21	123.0 143.11 123.12	hingos 222.21
1111001 20.0,7,11 28.12 24.14 42.2	312.22 313.10	201.2	102.12	hin 05.11
20.12 54.14 42.2	520.5 577.12 Uprolwood 56.19	504.5 hoovily 12.9 52.5	$\underset{0.9.6}{\text{mgn}} 40.1177.12$	<b>IIIP</b> 93.11 <b>bin</b> o 141.10 21
45:18 01:21 95:10		<b>Heavily</b> 12:6 55:5	98:0 147:12	222.16 19,21
101:18,20 102:15	HAZUS 214:15	151:2 152:12	158:10 259:1,5,7	333:10,18
106:10 111:22	$215:11\ 500:13$	206:4 281:20	200:9 279:22	<b>nirea</b> 243:11 375:9
112:21 118:9,9	<b>nead</b> 03:10 95:14	<b>neavy</b> /4:10,11,15	288:4 300:10	niring 339:8
119:20 120:19,20	101:18 208:3	/4:15 119:11	381:4	<b>nistoric</b> 247:8
121:3 123:21	223:14 234:10	HEBERI 3:17	nigner 269:1 275:2	258:1 297:17
124:5 125:20	397:9	Heiden 85:5,11	309:5 363:16	331:10 388:12
161:8,9,10 162:6	headed 102:14	heights 134:4	36/:3	historical 162:7
350:13	header 81:2 107:14	Heinrichs 2:17	highest 82:14 100:6	177:10 203:22
harbors 26:21	398:13	4:21 213:9 264:1	376:15	207:20 233:21
30:10 33:8 55:6	heading 221:6	264:4 267:11	highlight 257:9	236:18 240:15,20
123:18 125:19	227:21 228:13	held 329:21	284:12 350:7	247:12 282:5
160:20 162:17	253:9 294:20	helicopters 59:16	394:10	309:2
166:6 357:16	headlines 142:9	154:13,20	highlighting 94:22	historically 92:11
hard 32:18 44:12	headquarters	help 10:12 55:16,16	highlights 342:9	189:9
71:12 95:19 110:6	371:20 372:1	55:17,18 61:15	highly 155:22	<b>history</b> 26:17 57:3
231:14 295:12	374:4,7 402:14	62:3 66:15 73:18	highway 2:10 4:10	57:11 90:21 91:6
364:17 367:20	heads 111:15 113:8	79:2 128:9,10	25:12 55:4 56:16	98:3 188:2 222:11
390:19	114:21	130:16,21 146:4	111:4,14 123:19	266:11
harder 80:6,6	headway 194:17	150:18 151:6	139:6	hit 27:5 65:7,9
109:13	healthy 8:9	157:10 168:12	highways 111:6,12	171:2 174:10
Harding 278:14	Healy 102:13 104:7	204:8 206:12	120:10 139:7	hitch 365:14
harm 72:21 73:22	104:16,18 106:12	207:9 218:19	high-definition	hits 262:9 342:8
210:3	107:16 108:1,9,17	219:3 224:12	256:9 310:7	hold 7:21 47:21

Г

101:17 311:6	horizons 51:21	huge 52:16 85:16	340:17 341:2,19	317:20 320:4
<b>Holderied</b> 386:21	horizontal 268:21	101:12 193:2	349:11 350:15	373:22 395:11
holes 226:13	272:3 285:1 299:2	226:6 248:15	375:22 391:10	397:2
253:17	horizontally 269:1	258:10,12 259:2	hydrographically	ideal 119:19 120:6
holistic 254:1 374:5	292:6	262:4 267:6 273:7	404:16	126:6,10 191:17
Holland 3:10	hose 80:13,22	279:21 281:4	hydrographics	248:11 384:9
Holly 3:2 4:5	107:12 226:21	290:8 318:15	32:3	ideally 325:5
122:13	227:6,8,12	331:22 341:2	hydrography	ideas 8:8 196:7
home 92:8 233:8	hoses 108:5	378:1	334:11 338:3	identification
331:22 398:15	hot 60:22	hugely 159:17	340:20	33:15 53:8,18
homeland 2:14	hotel 195:7 327:4	303:8	hydrological 330:6	59:5,9
4:17 213:3 353:10	Houck 2:15 4:13,18	human 303:20	hypothetically	identified 17:16
366:12 367:4	106:18 182:11,15	<b>hundred</b> 68:6	260:2	27:14 34:8 38:16
Homer 11:3 114:9	183:2,6 184:20	98:17 179:5 272:6		64:10 97:22 345:1
215:21 221:7	190:3.16 191:14	407:13	I	identifv 33:7 39:7
280:15.20 281:16	198:11 201:7.17	hundreds 51:17	ice 15:10,20 20:12	41:5 65:4 73:19
377:18	203:9.18 204:6	hunters 229:20	20:15,17 29:2	142:15 253:16
homes 115:8	205:14 207:11.18	329:14	70:7 81:2,22 85:2	329:20
homework 205.12	208.6 8 209.9	hunting 84.7 8 85.9	85:6 99:21 100:5	identifying 34.13
home-norted 100.7	210.22 211.17 21	185.16 195.7	100:6 103:3.4	41.7 282.6
102.3 114.18	213.5 220.22	hurricane 220.9	105:3.5.9.12.15	IDIO 336·22
honed 9.15	221.1 3 230.7	226.7 232.8 9 12	105:17.19 106:5.9	idle 289.11
honest 51.19	<b>bour</b> 103·20 109·7	220.7 232.0,9,12	106:11 107:1.8	IfSAR 270.22
16A·22 21A·A	232.4 327.10	232.14 233.3	108:22 109:10.12	207·12
honestly 60.3	3/2.4 527.17	Hurricanos 350.13	109:14 172:21	Inushik 0/1.16 22
Honolulu 305.1/	5-2.0 hourly 8/1.17	hurt $137.1$	190:5.11.13.21	<b>IHR</b> 205.3
honor 80.5 111.6	hours 7.5 13.3 50.8	hult 137.1 hybrid 131.7 15/1./	191:4.5.6.19	<b>IHO</b> 205.8 334.11
213.10	$01.12\ 108.14$	hydro 337.10 338.5	195:1 199:20.21	330.1
213.17 hook 260.22	12.12.100.14	3/0·2 12 2/1·10	202:15 203:14	337.1 illustrata 233.12
Hooper 78:13	120.1,10,21	hydrographors	217:13 220:7.8	illustration 307.21
hone 80.13 03.8	222.22 223.1	3/1.12	226.3.12.233:7.10	imago 170.2 230.13
211.10 22 258.12	235.11 235.19	J+1.12 hydrographic 1.6	247.9 12 255.13	1111age 179.2 230.13
211.19,22 230.13	227.14 407.12	2.12 1.15 16.18	257.19.261.8	200.7,20.547.0
230.10 239.3	557.14 407.15 house 8.17 21	2.13 4.13 10.16	379.21	105.12 129.7
202.14 307.11	244.1 7 246.22 22	20.22 30.1,4 32.7	icebreaker 190.7	103.12 120.7
574.2 honofully 25.21	344.1,7 340.22,22	50.10 57.14 50.0	icebreaking 191.6	207.5,19 208.22
20.0 47.12 164.15	546.2,5,4,9,10 207.10 401.11 15	00:14 03:15 82:2	iced 85.15 250.5	209:17 271:18
39.947.15104.15	597.10 401.11,15	04:11 03:20 07:20 117:10 151:5	ice-covered 190.6	278:14,19 279:7
219:1 252:15	<b>noused</b> 200:8 301:2	11/:10 151:5	100.12	2/9:22 280:22
254:11 5/5:18	<b>HOUSES</b> 275:5	102:15 171:10	190.12 jcg_frog 202.6	1mages 257:19
399:3	<b>HSRP</b> 1:14 3:8 4:2	1/2:4 1/3:1,8,16	iden 5.21 6.8 24.12	2/3:18
<b>nopes</b> 12:20	4:25 5:15,19 6:1	1/4:8 205:1,6,18	65.21 87.13 123.3	imagine 122:5
<b>noping</b> 37:21,21	0:15 /:/ 8:16	200:10,18 207:1	123.1/ 126.12	<b>inmediately</b> 220:3
311:13	10:1/11:9,14	213:2 221:21,22	123.14 120.12	2/3:18
<b>norizon</b> 12:7	327:3 328:5	229:12 258:14	100.3 101.3 100.3 212.8 215.12	immensely 195:12
160:11 163:10	340:15 341:4	261:13 282:15	212.0 213:10	IMO 53:16 200:6
179:1 181:21	350:7 355:3	296:17 333:11,22	255.22 299.0	202:11,12 203:1
305:9	hub 34:4 88:6	335:13 338:20	511:2 512:17	205:2,7 206:17

г

٦

IMO-adopted 59:7	228:19	increasing 115:16	4:6 12:10 13:18	infrared 268:7
impact 85:16	improvement 32:5	152:6 217:8 299:4	16:6 20:2,18	269:2
140:14,16 203:12	33:5 111:11 118:3	incredible 253:19	21:14 25:4 26:1	infrastructure 35:7
332:5	142:13 272:9	256:22	28:2 31:6,20 35:6	38:2 123:6 133:3
impacted 230:22	274:19 333:11	incredibly 225:19	38:1,2 39:7 41:2	135:8 136:2 287:3
231:1,3,10 232:18	341:20 350:4	253:10	49:13 50:7 51:3,4	287:20 288:13,17
impacting 167:22	388:15	incremental 391:7	51:10,18,20,22	288:18 294:1
<b>impacts</b> 156:20	improvements 35:9	increments 33:11	52:7,9 54:3,5,10	380:5
262:4 330:1,13	358:5	33:12	56:3,5 58:4 62:19	infrequently 90:18
331:10,11	<b>improves</b> 323:20	incumbent 206:22	67:5,16,20 69:1,4	<b>ingest</b> 352:6
implementation	inappropriate	indemnification	69:6,8 70:2 72:4,7	<b>inherent</b> 227:17
24:9 321:18 322:8	334:12	223:15,21 224:13	73:15,16 82:5	inherently 154:22
implications	inch 250:13 290:11	227:22	84:14,15,22 85:21	inherited 192:4
165:15 190:6	inches 106:11	Indiana 353:12	86:1,8,13 87:3	<b>initial</b> 106:20
implicitly 403:7	172:21	<b>indicate</b> 166:14	107:2,8 127:16,17	205:17 281:18
implies 111:13	incidences 76:12	378:15	127:19,19 128:11	394:15
373:18	incident 56:11 59:5	indicated 30:4	128:15,22 129:17	initially 60:15
importance 84:7	103:19 149:16,22	31:18	131:11,22 132:10	initiative 2:19
163:4 282:10	201:1	indication 210:12	134:14,14,15,21	213:13 264:10
329:8 378:10	incidents 55:19	290:14 351:13	135:2,5 136:20	319:6 353:7
important 11:20	64:9 208:20	indicator 178:11	137:9,11,22	inland 142:3
12:18 21:22 57:12	309:10	indirectly 6:6	140:10 149:12	inlet 19:21 20:3
57:15 74:3 146:19	<b>include</b> 10:9	individual 6:13	155:6,9,11 157:1	21:1 45:5 67:1
157:1 229:15,19	208:16 232:20	33:9	157:9,11,22 172:4	81:20 163:11,13
272:3 281:20	253:21 299:10	individuals 141:20	172:15 173:1	163:14,19 164:20
282:1 286:2 300:3	included 76:12	314:10	174:2 177:7 179:7	165:9 167:4
300:5 315:15	132:5 173:19	industrial 284:3	181:11,14,19	254:22 255:5
316:11 317:8	includes 42:14	industries 345:10	184:11 196:1	256:3,4 306:18,20
321:1 331:7,9	234:15 255:17	industry 21:5 40:6	197:8 215:1,18	307:6 335:12
332:6,13,14	including 132:19	52:1 55:5 58:17	216:14 217:2	376:5,12 381:22
341:16 342:11	272:20 279:19	61:14 73:14 75:18	218:6 244:9,12	386:22 390:3
346:1 347:9	282:22 329:1	99:6 130:20 132:1	246:13 251:5,6	398:6
372:21 378:22	361:13	138:7 141:19	254:18 255:7	<b>inner</b> 282:1
379:15 401:17	incontrovertible	181:16 246:16	260:9,13 264:2	innocent 172:8
402:1 404:4	184:16	253:1,3 254:12	265:17 280:12	innovating 322:18
impossible 76:17	incorporate 310:6	257:21 258:21,22	298:6 305:4,10	innovation 402:9
239:2	321:5	263:9 334:4	314:9,19,21	402:11 404:5
impressed 156:11	incorporated	341:21 343:14	315:22 317:21	406:22
181:12 350:2	269:18	346:2,4 350:16	318:9,21 323:21	innovations 383:19
impression 364:11	incorporates 256:6	industry-NOAA	325:1 326:15	innovative 14:17
impressive 9:10	incorporating	257:10	334:3 336:6 338:4	153:1 306:8 354:1
<b>improve</b> 21:9 56:9	360:19	inference 362:12	365:9 368:11	383:17,20 384:4
66:15 79:2 142:15	<b>increase</b> 21:10	influence 56:17	377:13	385:8 404:19
275:2 318:3	55:18 71:6 199:3	92:9	informative 211:18	input 19:12 39:20
388:14	increased 32:6	inform 328:22	326:19	182:4 196:3
improved 19:7	152:3	389:13	informed 51:4	326:15 400:16
117:7 119:4 120:3	increases 136:3	information 2:6	244:9 387:6	inputting 324:22
			•	•

Г
inset 119:22 120:1	300:18 367:18	205:13 211:9	90:1 98:22 182:2	402:3
120:3,18,19 121:6	interchangeable	265:15 322:4	183:3 326:9	items 26:14 204:16
<b>inshore</b> 149:17	392:15	340:19	<b>invite</b> 8:21	it'll 126:19 344:9
338:19	<b>interest</b> 3:18 36:14	internationally	involved 42:4	T
<b>inside</b> 148:16	50:11 53:13 66:17	321:21,22	75:22 104:5	$\frac{J}{T}$
insightful 202:11	139:12 140:1	<b>internet</b> 73:6,8	159:17 206:4	James 2:15 4:13,18
insisted 189:12	145:3,17 153:18	129:19 312:7	217:18 324:2	175:10 213:5
install 61:20	166:1,2 263:2,16	interpret 32:10	370:1 379:17	221:3
154:15,18	302:19 352:17	134:17	380:13 382:14	<b>jams</b> 74:6
installation 310:19	356:15	interpreted 364:14	387:21 394:13	<b>Japan</b> 101:8,18
353:20	interested 5:20	intersecting 188:14	involvement 312:2	102:8
installations 313:8	67:10 99:2 127:3	intersections 188:7	<b>IOCM</b> 388:19	<b>Jay</b> 1:21 146:7
installed 60:20	282:7 321:4 327:2	188:9,20	389:8 390:12	156:11,12 158:1
358:13	355:3,6 402:22	interview 86:6	<b>iPad</b> 63:19	159:2 307:14,15
installing 60:22	interesting 18:10	intrigued 127:3	<b>Irene</b> 232:12	308:21 364:9,9
instance 19:19	70:7 74:22 111:2	<b>intriguing</b> 123:4,14	island 30:6,8,22	373:12 385:5,7
155:2 222:9	122:3 123:9	124:6 358:8	37:2 45:9 62:22	391:14 406:22
395:13	126:13 139:9	introduce 221:2	74:13 102:11	Jeff 23:1,2,9
Institute 214:6	143:4 166:10	266:6 334:2	112:21 113:1	339:12,13 374:22
215:15	170:3 181:6	introduced 337:6	119:14 185:10	Jeffress 1:22 124:9
institutionalization	211:18 240:14	339:4	189:6 232:5	124:10,21 126:1
156:6	263:22 283:18	introducing 334:8	385:12	147:5 191:8,9
institutions 10:8	294:15 298:15,20	378:10	<b>islands</b> 67:18	200:22 201:15
instructions 407:7	300:11,11 352:20	introduction	113:13 131:4	202:10,16 302:15
instruments 335:18	380:1 382:22	337:19	203:5 232:20	321:8 322:14
insufficient 382:10	396:13	intuitively 304:17	<b>ISM</b> 142:13	366:4 374:17
<b>insurance</b> 189:11	interests 36:13	inundated 231:17	<b>issue</b> 31:5 60:22	JEFFREY 1:18
367:2 377:22	153:17 198:6	233:8 234:6 236:4	82:22 141:13	<b>Jensen</b> 2:10 4:10
<b>insure</b> 189:12	346:2	inundation 214:9	152:16 159:19	25:12 111:3,5
integrate 31:6	interface 275:4	215:13,21 261:17	169:4,9 180:10	150:5 151:9
137:18 252:10,14	interfere 269:8	332:6 354:20	190:15,17,18,22	<b>jet</b> 1/4:11
360:17 370:4	interference	366:8 377:8,15	297:7 350:6 356:1	<b>Jets</b> 1/5:1
integrated 183:11	207:15	invaluable 326:15	381:21 401:17	<b>Jim</b> 3:23 10:10
243:19 255:9	interferometric	<b>invest</b> 153:3	issued 227:22	JOA 333:19
261:21 337:14	290:21	investigate 331:10	359:20	<b>Joaquin</b> 3/5:3
358:17	<b>interim</b> 162:1	investigated 310:15	issues 9:21 14:8	<b>JOD</b> 13:19,21 14:20
integration 259:14	221:7	investigating 332:4	22:4 31:4 49:16	68:13 107:6 136:6
285:11	interior 61:5 263:7	investigations	92:8 93:13 97:11	140:10 154:14
integrator 262:14	320:12	332:9	136:7 210:15	156:9 211:13
intelligence 159:16	Intermap 275:19	investing 85:17	220:6 235:16	225:18 243:9,12
285:16,16,19	intermediate 309:1	investment 61:11	246:9 260:11	307:4 337:22 271:19 292:1 12
345:5	internally 406:14	133:14 135:7	265:6 282:12	5/1:18 585:1,15
intense 81:4	international 10:7	146:18 289:1	322:11 350:2	<b>JUEI</b> 5:15,25 296:2
intention 256:15	53:11 59:11	316:7 317:16	352:22 353:1	528:4 580:2 John 2:1 17:15
intentional 76:13	183:19 185:22	investments 160:4	371:21 373:17	<b>JUIII</b> 5:1 1/:15 19:2 222:12 10
intentionally 211:4	188:18 189:14	289:4 316:17	391:1	10:5 555:15,19
interagency 32:7	193:8,10,14	invitation 8:17	item 217:7 225:13	555:10 559:11

<b>Johnson</b> 264:5	Kaktovik 55:2	kids 154:20 340:21	325:22 403:17	168:22 169:4,5,10
281:12	karaoke 8:18	353:22	kings 245:15	169:15,17 171:17
John's 338:12,22	<b>KAS</b> 3:15	killed 9:11	Kivalina 27:10	172:9,21 175:13
joint 183:18 186:8	KATHRYN 3:5	kilometers 248:19	218:15	176:19 178:1,4,5
193:6 194:2 358:1	Kathy 3:8 6:1	265:7 294:10,11	knew 56:13,15	179:11,18 180:10
376:2	11:15 105:22	kind 18:2 19:22	68:16 100:1 149:1	180:13,14,19
jointly 260:17	218:3 327:2	31:12 33:12 36:1	208:9 226:8,9	181:12 182:2
<b>Jon</b> 3:14 176:5	344:13 388:9	37:7 42:11 47:10	253:7	188:8.12 191:2.6
340:5	395:1 396:15	52:19 53:14 55:15	Knik 45:5 351:17	192:17.17 194:18
<b>Jones</b> 101:19	397:6 400:20	56:15 67:3.21	352:13	197:1 200:18.20
<b>Joule</b> 11:13 38:14	<b>Katie</b> 63:21	68:11 69:12 70:2	knock 43:9.10	204:2 205:8
130:14	<b>Katmai</b> 277:11	76:7 79:15 83:12	80:14 82:21 98:7	206:10 208:22
<b>Journal</b> 10:12	Katrina 180:8	85:3 93:6 100:14	127:6 161:13	209:10.12.211:11
iournev 109:3	359:13 374:19	127:15 131:7	knot 104:21 174:11	214:21 215:3
Jovce 1:23 41:11	<b>Katrina's</b> 360:13	135:7 139:11	knots 64:12 104:18	216:20 217:7
41.12.129.4	kavak 257.3	141.9 142.19	118.22 119.2	219.18 220.9
168.19.20.179.22	kayaking 49.5	149.17 153.7	know 6:3 8:10	212.10 220.2
309.16 319.17	67.18 75.1 243.10	167.5 171.14	13.21 14.9 14	231.19 237.19
346.19 361.6	<b>KEANE</b> 3.18	177.10 17 180.3	16.22 22.5 23.3	240.2 4 250.13
364.10 380.3	keel 350.19	190.13 197.22	32.13 34.9 17 20	257.14 258.18
393.1 394.22	keen 311.16 19	203.15 215.17	35.16 20 38.6 9	262.10 263.12
396.5 403.3	keen 5.9 8.4 18.20	205:15 215:17	50.21 55.14 58.15	265.2 6 267.19
Joyce's 160.9	73.4 75.3 80.7	240.6 242.15	60.2 61.14 72.20	203.2,0 207.17
370.20	130.4 144.2	243.22 246.6 10	74.9 80.5 81.9	289.6 298.2
<b>JPSS</b> 266.10	158.14 222.20	246.17 247.2	83.10 85.22 86.21	209.0 290.2
<b>IR</b> 3.1	235.12 263.12	248.1611249.8	87.168101118	306.3 22 307.2 20
Juan 176.8 198.20	321.16 342.10	250.20 21 251.22	88.15 17 93.4	308.22 311.9
228·21	346.1 386.4 387.5	257.1 259.9 260.7	94.4 95.11 98.16	314.22 315.2 10
Iuliana 2·2 23·7	403.15 405.15	260.13 261.6 10	99.7 12 17 100.2	320.1 321.21 22
24·4 147·11	keening 11.4	262.8 13 17 267.2	100.18 104.9 16	320.1 521.21,22
313.11 12 319.19	194.21 380.6	202.0,13,17 207.2 277.4 282.4	105.7 122.8 124.4	325.21 327.8
321.9.9.393.20	Ken 1.17 160.8	301.13 302.1 7	$130.22 \ 132.14$	328.15 331.6
396.10	168.21	306.6 12 308.8 11	138.8 141.2 4 11	332.12 15 336.8
July 194.11 258.12	Kenai 113.11	309.6 313.2	141.16 142.6 8 9	337.21 338.21 22
iumn 154·13	Kennicott 114.5	324.11 325.1 6	142.17 20 20 21	339.2.2.4.341.1.9
167.16 267.12	Kentucky 287.11	343.15 348.13	142.22 143.1 4 7	343.12 344.8 8 20
287.6 397.15	Ketchikan 115.1	355.7 356.11	143.77111719	345.2 347.15
June 194.11 272.16	118·4	360.7 361.4 10	144.8 15 16 145.9	352.18 354.5 9
Juneau 28.5 8 74.9	<b>Kevin</b> 3.21	381.10 12 383.9	145.13 146.12 20	357.8 361.17
74.12 113.17 18	kev 14.5 106.22	383.11 389.22	148.13 20 154.18	364.13 21 365.1
114.8 13 14 150.8	155.5 157.9	390.1 392.10	155.21 156.13 16	366.10 367.18
157:3	238:10 247.2	393:13 404.19	156:21 158:4 6 9	368:18 369:8
iustification 159:22	252:1 262:13	kinds 245:14	158:12.15.20	370:3 373:14
justify 45:22	363:9	246:11.12 247:19	159:1.10.14	375:12 376:18
337:17 388:1.7.16	<b>kicked</b> 144:19	260:7 272:9	162:10.18 163:1	378:17 381:10
	kicking 352:1	273:13 278:22	164:7 166:6.13	382:20.22 383:13
K	kidding 11:6	280:2 302:8	167:5.19 168:7.11	384:8.17.18.20
		•	,	, , , , , -,

٦

			1	
385:13 386:13	222:9 223:8,19	115:8,8 121:3	170:4 184:19	<b>leads</b> 14:16 279:4
388:1,7,13,17	262:3	140:14,16 173:6	208:7 263:17	378:4
389:7,10,21 390:7	Kvichak 94:12	178:20 199:22	267:10 291:19	lead-in 191:1
391:2,11 392:4,7	Kwinhagak 149:5	201:20 248:6	303:2 406:5,20	lean 153:5 193:15
392:16 393:10,11	T	285:20 311:22	launch 180:12,13	386:10
394:14 395:16,17		320:6 368:10	337:14 349:5	<b>leap</b> 405:2
395:22 396:8,19	<b>LAAS</b> 321:17	375:2 385:14	launched 266:8	leapfrog 405:12
397:11 402:15	322:8	largely 346:7	launches 169:7	leaping 302:22
405:2 406:15	Lab 318:10	larger 53:17 86:15	361:16 404:17	learn 204:18,19
407:1,6	lack 28:17 31:18	93:22 120:1 145:2	laundry 370:8	219:12
knowing 127:3	32:2 76:15 77:3	198:15 223:5	Laurie 355:4	learned 19:16
140:21 162:3	139:7 220:7	277:6 285:18	law 44:19 45:10	50:18 58:5 136:17
179:16 193:12	357:18 359:1	372:20	211:9 243:20	210:3
194:9 321:16	385:22	largest 88:6 114:5	Lawrence 102:11	learning 373:19
338:17 370:2	lacking 137:20	118:7,8	Lawson 1:18 24:5	leave 159:15
knowledge 76:16	laden 174:19	Larry 2:3 3:10	127:10 152:14	231:16 295:10
88:7 92:17 107:4	Lagoon 85:4,8	39:17 135:15	159:7 165:11	325:18 328:5,11
144:4.7 233:21	laid 92:15 116:11	137:5 152:16	202:8 206:2	349:16 356:20
340:12 380:7	303:5	159:10 210:10	243:12.15 244:17	leaves 197:6
knowledgeable	lake 278:14 292:20	245:17 301:8	247:9 249:7	leaving 93:5 98:13
144:12	Lakosh 3:18	355:9 357:3 365:4	349:16 398:14	125:8 398:15
known 323:20	171:13,14 175:15	lasagna 8:18	Lawson's 168:6	LeConte 113:16
knows 65:14.15	land 264:6 270:9	laser 92:22	169:3 397:9	led 47:9 390:12
176:20 190:8	282:8 302:18	lasting 155:17	lav 79:8 226:20	<b>left</b> 105:2 164:18
202:17 260:17	318:10 328:18	lastly 74:1 263:1	laver 267:17 269:8	186:10 284:5
406.19	342:4 386:15	last-minute 390.1	272.7 280.14	367.15 399.20
<b>Kobuk</b> 81:7	landfall 232:15	late 81:17 82:3	297:12	legacy 248:14
Kodiak 12:7 17:6	landing 62:11	149:8 199:21	lavering 255:16	legal 36:20
30:6.6.8.21	119:11 179:10	278.9	lavers 251:12	legislate 390:20
112:18:20 113:1.1	340:1	lateness 217:12	252:11 256:6	legislation 42:10
113.11 114.9	landings 93:12	220.8	260.9 261.10	43·13 166·20
116.4 22 119.14	lands 302:17	latest 88:9 228:8	264.18 21 279.13	354.9 357.3 9
119.15 143.10	landsat 268:15.22	256.2	laving 116.18	390.12 14 19
160.11 161.6 11	270:2 280:2	<b>latitude</b> 147·10	lavs 83.20	legislative 14:7
162.17 163.2 10	landscape 3:23	266.9 314.18	LCC 329.17 330.9	357.6 378.8
201.13	263:4.5.15 308:17	<b>latitudes</b> 101.10	331.1	legislatively 170.8
<b>Koenig</b> 368.21	328:14	147.12	<b>ICCs</b> 328.16	legislature 130.13
Kotzahua 18.5 81.7	landscape-level	let_long 252.13	LCCS 528.10	151.15 370.17
260.15 278.11 1A	328.22.330:2	262.17	100.2 168.17	<b>I</b> obror 10.10
200.15 570.11,14 Wrig 286.20	landscape-scale	202.17 Jough 267.11	270.21 200.10	Lemer 10.10
<b>KUDDNA</b> 1.22	379.7	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	270.21 300.19	length 45.11 19
122.10 257.12	land-hased 127.15	24.12 57.10 62.9	502.7509.14	226.17
122.10 337.13	lanes 66.7	54.12 57.19 02.8 62.12 60.10 74.17	loadorship 0.14	330.17 Jossoning 217.12
JUU.11 J/2.12 400.5 401.0 20	language 336.71	03.12 00.10 /4.1/	11.7 12.12 240.10	$1255011112 \ge 217.12$
400:3 401:9,20	344.2 3 367.13	00.17 07:10 90:3	11.7 15.15 540.19	lessong 50:12 210:2
NUSKUKWIIII //:/	Jarge 18.13 71.10	90:10 110:10	leading 45:4	210.5
01:3 07:21 88:1,3	85.12 17 97.6	144.10,17,21	221.19	210:3 Latton 222:21
00:10 140:18	03.12,17 72.0	140:9 105:10	331:18	ietter 223:21
			l	

٦

	1		1	
227:22 302:6	297:4	271:21 273:1	252:19 257:9	<b>locate</b> 64:21
349:18,22	LiDAR 87:20 280:2	275:9 278:1 298:4	261:2 267:16	located 64:7 78:9
letters 194:14	293:2 335:20	301:1 351:19	275:5 283:8	80:1,19 125:1
223:15 224:13	382:8,16,17 383:7	366:14	284:12 289:14	location 92:19
326:17	<b>lie</b> 223:4	lines 45:11,12,13	294:21 296:9	218:20 256:1
letting 210:5 229:9	lieutenant 11:11	45:18 55:5 108:8	306:7,8,13 308:5	274:12,18 284:22
let's 6:3 26:15	15:17 130:12	116:10 188:7,14	310:5 313:2,22	285:1,2,6 289:14
35:12 101:19	182:10 196:1	200:20 208:11	316:5 328:3	294:4 332:2
167:17,17 219:20	197:9 297:1	211:4 214:20	341:12 343:4,4	locations 6:15
241:17 295:19	life 24:20 37:18	268:5 273:4 323:5	350:9,17,21	76:18 79:5,17
297:22 367:19	90:4 296:8 329:12	385:7	352:16 365:3,14	83:15 85:7 139:9
369:5,6,12 372:10	378:13	link 254:6 285:10	365:22 371:15,16	231:3 294:16
level 16:2 29:6	lifetime 340:16	285:17,22 286:7	372:4 377:13	321:3 389:17
103:17 104:4	lift 79:10 89:9	357:19	386:9 399:20	LOCKHART 3:20
108:13 125:2	167:20	linkages 329:1	401:4	Lockheed 61:16
187:21 203:5	<b>lifts</b> 76:3	links 285:12 330:6	<b>Lituva</b> 114:21	176:11
206:21 230:4.20	light 8:22 28:19	Lions 28:13 119:22	live 13:2 219:12	locks 335:12
231:6 232:22	60:7.8 109:13	Lions/Port 119:13	234:16 236:1	logic 388:18
233:13 237:16	183:9 189:2	list 34:8 158:17	lived 90:8	logical 167:9
240:1 255:8.14	225:21 228:3	349:2 357:7 370:8	livelihood 142:6	logistical 386:19
286:9 289:21	<b>lighter</b> 86:13	370:9 381:5	lives 13:6 55:16	logistics 84:17
291:3 292:16	lightering 101:16	403:19.21.22	Llovd's 177:5	397:19
301:2 303:19	173:3 185:8	406:11.13	189:11	London 177:5
308:8.9.18 309:5	lighthouse 61:18	listed 284:15.19	LNG 52:20	189:11
309.22 325.20	62:22.63:1	listen 71:3 134:16	load 83:6 84:18	long 17:2 47:17
337:4 360:16	lights 73:4 179:1	listening 135:1	96:12.212:11	49:21 71:3 75:1
365:18 366:18 19	222:3	literally 78:11	312:14	91:6 97:10 113:21
366.22 367.3	LightSquared	95.10 99.9 145.6	loading 110.6	114.12 125.21
384.7 389:11	343:10.12.345:8	148.21 266:12	lobby 210:19 327:4	132:6 138:10
398:11	345:11 346:6	little 8:9 10:19 11:5	399.6 407:12	145:11 201:4
levels 99.4 137.8	Likewise 31.7	19.19 23.17 21	local 72.14 73.14	209.15 265.20
287.18 293.1	limbo 348.11	26.3 7 17 38.1	92.17 98.13	266.11 298.5
378.3 383.15	limit 171.12	62.11 67.19 68.1	122.17 90.13	378.17 395.18
leverage 20.9 50.22	limitations 332.1	68.7 82.22 85.3	140.2 144.4 7	403.19 405.19
138.14 150.17	373.15	90.7 92.22 03.3	149.1 159.3	longer 88.1 130.1
248.17 386.10	limited 140.20	94.21 95.22 96.15	189.15 198.15	154.13 155.17
Leveraged 92.13	208.1 235.10	96.16 97.9 105.20	200.9 216.2 15 15	190.20 20 227.10
245.19	200.1 235.10	107.12 110.5 10	2200.9 210.2,13,13	238.11 240.18
leveraging 133.13	limits 282.9	110.12 111.7 10	220.10 240.10	longest 247.18
193.14 245.15	line 3.10 34.18 68.3	140.12 147.6	316.18 322.9	longitude 314.18
330.21 391.7	82.10 83.5 96.12	15/1.9 170.6 179.9	353.22 358.3	Long-range 59.5
levies 359.15	98.9 116.10	180.11 13 180.6	372.15 377.10 14	long-term 156.7
liability 171.20	168.10 197.6	189.19 196.10	377.21 378.3	168.18
172.7 13 173.6	211.8 222.13	197.21 201.22	locally 300.2	look 15.8 12 17.13
189.17	211.0 222.13	212.5 222.5 10	304.16	22.12 22.10 22.1
liaisons 386.20	<u>220.15 257.7,15</u>	212.J 2J2.J,1J	507.10	22.12 32.10 33.1
	237.15 238.18	233.7 236.20	locals 78.18 139.13	37.10 40.10 56.11
license 272.17	237:15 238:18 247:18 267:1	233:7 236:20	locals 78:18 139:13	37:10 40:10 56:11 58:4 72:4 5 89:1
license 272:17	237:15 238:18 247:18 267:1	233:7 236:20 250:8 251:12	<b>locals</b> 78:18 139:13 149:6	37:10 40:10 56:11 58:4 72:4,5 89:1

Г

	1		1	1
123:20 128:5,7	387:12 394:21	286:20 287:2,5,19	365:18	38:16 86:15 98:17
131:18 139:20	lookout 356:10	292:8 299:3,7,8	lowest 109:6	185:3 186:19
156:19 169:13	looks 34:11 150:7	301:19,21 302:17	<b>LRIT</b> 128:16	234:16
181:15 197:10	234:4,6 240:21	302:18 303:6,7	<b>LT</b> 351:14 375:16	makeup 13:14 88:9
223:14 228:6	249:20 252:20	306:22,22 307:1,6	399:2	making 28:22
234:12 237:21	265:5 382:10,16	307:8 308:3 309:1	<b>LTJG</b> 3:4	109:21 142:8
239:10 240:20	loop 345:7	317:22 318:22	luckily 227:1	155:10 168:16
248:2,12 249:21	loose 115:6	319:1,1 321:1,19	238:12	183:18 189:1
262:14 280:21	LORAN 116:8	322:21 331:5	lucky 90:11 238:9	233:19 252:1
284:18,22 289:9	LORAN-C 116:9	341:11 343:2	249:21 289:6	254:9 277:19,20
298:7 312:8	Los 49:2	347:7 360:6,7	lunch 4:12 7:6	284:9 295:8
325:10,11 326:4	lose 10:21 129:20	362:3 364:15,18	180:4 182:7,8,10	299:17 316:13
329:4 335:17,17	144:7	367:17 368:13	197:17 361:12	319:8
337:1 342:2	loss 144:11 168:13	371:6,16 377:21	Lupine 398:9	Malaspina 113:4
346:12 355:8,14	168:18 378:15	378:20 384:2	<b>Lynn</b> 113:19	<b>man</b> 49:21
364:16 379:9	lost 64:10 158:6	403:11 405:3	<b>L-band</b> 345:13	manage 160:2
383:13 389:6,8	lot 14:10 15:9	lots 158:7,8 194:16	<b>L.A</b> 49:21 74:8	221:13 316:21
391:1	17:20 19:17 22:5	248:21 261:14	138:10	manageable 41:10
looked 17:1,2 34:3	22:11 23:13 55:15	262:7,9 263:2,8		management 2:8
110:9 149:16	60:11 61:3 66:17	284:15 289:19,19	M	2:14,15 4:17 9:14
209:7 248:6	67:10 71:10 79:22	295:12,13,21,21	MacKenzie 46:13	9:16 26:5,6 213:4
253:12 289:12	82:2 85:21,22	297:22,22 299:13	166:14 167:2,14	213:6,22 302:18
380:6 387:20	86:19 87:9,12	312:9,12 315:12	351:3,20	318:10 328:19
388:4,5 390:6	92:14 93:16 94:10	359:15 392:3	<b>macro</b> 72:4	329:13,22
looking 15:4 18:1	95:17 100:1 103:6	402:15,16	<b>madam</b> 406:4	manager 3:4
52:4 57:5 61:8,10	105:6 106:16	<b>lousy</b> 48:7	main 36:14 265:22	260:15 282:9
62:16 63:6 68:3	107:2,20 109:20	love 91:16 97:21	275:13 286:21	375:17
71:11 80:10 93:21	109:20 110:19	217:22 255:3	300:20 376:20	managers 246:16
102:3 103:11	117:14 121:2,14	281:15	377:1 385:15	265:14 270:9,10
107:21 123:7	133:14 148:4	low 78:2 83:4	<b>Maine</b> 177:2	managing 240:7
126:14 128:11	151:14 152:4	178:12 202:17	mainland 113:7	mandate 262:6
132:18 135:3	157:2 158:18	203:6 219:13	maintain 45:3	364:14,18
150:6,10,16	159:22 176:7	234:3,3 239:4	148:18 162:16	maneuvering 120:5
181:20 184:4	179:7 180:9 181:5	280:1 331:12	166:22 342:4	193:19
187:13 193:21	181:19 191:5	Lowell 3:1 9:3,5	maintaining 29:16	manned 112:14
199:4 200:7	208:21 219:21	65:14 95:22 206:1	373:16	manner 95:15
207:12 208:12	222:19 225:3	362:2 364:13	maintenance 44:4	Manokotak 95:1,6
218:6,21 248:4	227:3 239:12	365:2 368:12	44:5 160:13,15,17	Manual 334:11
250:19 260:1	240:15 243:10,10	380:21 388:17	161:19 162:1,9	manuals 334:16
262:17 268:4	244:5,6 248:15	400:20	164:15 166:7	338:5 342:9
282:4 284:14	249:20 251:1,2,15	lower 23:4 33:4	167:18 312:15	manufactured
294:15 296:10,20	251:21 252:5,8,20	114:1 157:4	391:22	313:4
297:14 312:13	253:5 255:2	159:12 177:13	<b>major</b> 27:7 42:4	manufacturer
316:15 334:21	259:12 260:19	223:5 234:5 237:9	94:6 201:1 225:10	137:16
336:12 350:14	262:15 265:18	267:20 277:10	360:21 372:21	<b>map</b> 24:1 215:14
369:20 379:5	267:4 278:18	286:19 287:5	373:17	215:21 218:16
380:18 385:6	281:9 285:6,13	295:16 319:2	majority 33:19	219:20 230:14,17

230:19 233:17,18	152:21 155:13,15	mass 152:8	McDonald's	measures 66:10,13
237:10 249:14,15	155:22 159:3,13	massive 152:20	292:19	66:14 176:1
249:16,19 252:19	167:20 170:13	153:7	McGilvray 355:4	185:13 187:8
253:4,13 268:13	175:16 176:7,14	master 160:11	McKinley 327:3	199:20 200:7
269:11 271:1	177:1,9,14 185:9	masters 404:17	meal 8:22	measuring 30:13
273:13 276:10	196:14 198:13,22	Matanuska 113:4	mean 12:21 13:2,19	237:1 238:1
278:22 279:13	245:10 246:22	match 32:9	15:9 40:14 87:13	meat 7:15
280:17 281:2	248:6 249:10	material 44:11	129:9 136:16	mechanism 363:1
301:11 357:4	259:9 329:1	219:10 284:6	140:19 145:7,11	391:6
mapped 257:5	mariner 184:13	373:18,22	151:2 156:22	<b>media</b> 10:9
265:3,4 267:21	189:10	materials 34:6	158:7 159:11,16	meet 15:2,3 327:4
mapping 2:4,19	mariners 20:2	mathematical	165:14,20 169:5,6	327:14 386:8
151:4 213:12	87:12 88:17	315:12	181:1 189:22	389:18 399:6
214:1 215:6,11	111:11 120:10	Matt 1:14,16 3:4	203:1 204:1 211:8	401:21 407:12
258:15 259:7	135:5 144:13	4:2 10:15 24:14	234:2 239:15	meeting 1:7 6:10
262:16 264:9,13	184:13 190:19	41:15 65:14 84:15	245:14 249:14	6:13 11:18 24:7
264:15 265:6	204:14 246:17	86:4 99:1 124:6	286:16 290:16	24:11 86:5 121:20
268:16 269:3	maritime 49:14	125:7 142:18	292:17 293:20	196:13 260:16
277:17 281:22	50:7,12 51:9,12	160:14 327:7	296:21 305:2	263:3 264:7 337:2
298:10 299:15	51:18,19 52:11,18	337:21 342:22	316:5 320:18	347:4 359:6 394:2
314:20 317:7,15	53:11,13 56:9	351:15 375:15,16	340:8 348:12	394:9,11 398:4
319:5 352:22	58:17 61:14 72:11	386:20 399:1	360:18,19 361:12	407:16
353:7,9 358:6,16	73:16 74:2 99:6	matter 27:20 52:18	361:16,19,22	meetings 5:11 10:7
366:8,8,14 373:3	130:20 132:1,20	54:4 55:2 59:4	365:18 366:18,19	40:8 273:12 343:3
375:2,3 390:12	133:15 138:6	138:17 174:2	374:1 380:18	362:3 393:15,22
maps 190:4 214:8	153:7 175:17,18	182:22 188:13,16	381:1 385:1,16	394:2 395:19
268:12 272:5	179:16 183:20	197:4 212:16	meaning 35:15,18	meets 262:19
275:1 277:19,19	185:22 188:18	290:4 327:16	285:14	364:21
278:2,6,10 279:13	193:8,10,15 210:9	351:7 390:3	means 35:20 86:3	megs 338:4
279:14,16 280:13	210:18,21 211:1	407:19	86:16 112:5	Mekoryuk 37:2
332:2 375:7	214:3	Matt's 258:18	114:20 116:18	<b>melt</b> 15:10
377:18 386:5	mark 2:10 3:25	maxim 95:18	152:12 274:10,16	member 23:2 24:6
MARAD 42:16	4:10 25:11 89:12	maximizing 64:8	286:13 293:19	41:12 79:9,12
47:6	134:3 139:4 149:7	MAYER 2:3 39:18	349:6 372:5	116:2 122:10
margins 12:4	181:5 204:9	40:10 135:16	373:20 396:19	124:10,21 126:1
marine 2:9,10,10	221:17 222:3	153:21 210:11	404:7	127:11 129:5
4:8,10,10 9:18,21	224:12 227:9	301:9 355:11	meant 362:19	132:13 133:17
10:3 25:9,11,12	346:22 348:1,2,3	356:18 357:8	measure 173:19	139:4 141:8
28:14 35:9 48:3	marked 225:7	383:2 390:10	187:12 206:16	143:17 144:4
48:18 50:4,6,16	market 150:22	Mayer's 245:17	262:8	146:7 147:5
51:8 52:4,12 53:7	marking 221:15,16	McCAMMON	measured 232:1,4	152:15 156:11
55:4 58:16,22	221:19 223:3	2:19 4:20 213:8	measurement	158:1 159:2,9
72:7 73:14 76:12	227:19	242:22 243:2,3,5	283:14 294:4	160:8 161:18
81:1 86:18 89:13	marks 124:20	263:18 300:17	296:8 339:17	163:8 165:12
89:22 94:19 111:4	237:14,17	301:15 304:22	measurements	168:5,20 180:2
111:6,12 120:10	<b>Martin</b> 61:16	308:2 309:11,21	230:4 285:22	184:17 189:22
123:6,18 152:18	176:11	325:5	324:16,20 354:1	190:4 191:9

			1	1
200:22 201:15	261:15 264:8	366:11	309:17 319:18	52:22 372:22
202:9 203:17,20	274:9 278:16	Michele 1:19 168:3	346:20 348:12	mission 15:3 37:19
204:22 205:3	282:2 283:10	168:5 354:16	361:7 384:13	51:14 102:17
209:10 210:8	290:17 292:10	381:7 397:21,22	393:2 394:16	136:4,10 168:10
300:15 301:7	296:3 297:3	Michigan 287:11	395:9	243:22 262:7
302:15 307:14	342:22 379:1	microphone 24:19	millibar 232:11	270:6 328:19
308:21 309:17	406:8,13	75:6 162:21	million 81:9 97:2	329:4
319:18 321:8	merit 156:4,8	307:14,15 373:13	244:21 258:4,7,8	missionary 407:5
322:14 339:12	Mertarvik 218:21	385:7	265:7 272:21	missions 42:8
342:20 346:20	message 300:4	Microsoft 91:19	273:10 275:12,14	Mississippi 382:18
348:12 354:17	met 1:12 102:13	microwave 204:1	275:15,15 276:13	384:1
357:13 360:11	135:12 194:12	middle 76:9 172:20	276:16 316:4	mistaken 234:11
361:7 364:9 366:4	339:7 389:3	196:10 233:6	317:14 379:2,11	<b>mitigate</b> 219:3,4
368:1 369:17	395:13	midst 192:20	379:12	mitigation 3:19
370:19 372:12	metadata 326:3	mid-Atlantic	millions 366:22	217:19 219:7.15
373:12 374:17	metaphorical	232:14	367:1	220:15
375:1 381:8,13	405:2,16	mid-December	mind 18:20 55:16	mixed 352:19
382:4.14 383:8	meteorological	103:22	75:4 123:10 171:1	<b>mixture</b> 42:16
384:13 385:5	19:7	<b>Mid-term</b> 336:5	222:20 241:2	MMS/BOEM
391:14 393:2	meteorologist 87:9	migrating 70:11	355:19 380:7	252:22 258:7
394:16 395:9.16	meteorology	migratory 331:8.11	402:19	<b>MOA</b> 257:10
396:4.6 399:13	141:15	mike 177:22 353:2	<b>mine</b> 76:4 148:14	mode 44:4.5
400:5 401:9.20	<b>meter</b> 21:10 163:20	353:8	185:7 196:17	<b>model</b> 20:22 28:22
405:22 406:6.22	163:20 268:15.18	<b>Mikhail</b> 100:9	230:14 253:15	29:3.6 126:10
members 1:15 2:1	270:2 271:5.6.22	<b>mile</b> 104:21 107:12	<b>mineral</b> 36:15	150:15 151:7
5:15.19 6:16	275:9 290:13	119:6 148:17	minimize 55:15	152:22 153:13
11:21 122:2 127:8	295:20 387:18	149:17 227:6.8	199:1	154:7 216:19
182:19 201:12	metering 119:4	miles 3:21 17:17	<b>minimum</b> 112:10	218:7 237:19
212:7 245:7	meters 45:6.7	18:12 62:12 63:7	mining 77:6 264:6	238:12.13 255:11
264:11 313:17	272:1.6.8 274:9	95:3.4 97:10	<b>Ministry</b> 183:19	255:14.20 264:15
352:16 394:1	274:11.15.16	105:9.10 108:22	185:18 187:22	270:16 279:3
membership 174:4	289:22 292:6.7	109:7 110:14	192:13	289:11 290:19
memorandum	331:15 382:21.21	112:7.12.19.22	minor 192:5 278:8	291:4 297:9
169:21	387:15	119:15 128:8	<b>minute</b> 50:8 110:7	305:18.18.19.20
memorandums	method 183:20	135:5 142:3 147:7	123:21 214:13	305:21 306:2
310:16	methodologies	148:22 179:3	295:11 332:12	387:16.20 388:14
memory 234:10	392:14	190:8 191:18	395:22	388:16 404:15
mention 19:21 22:6	methods 225:9	231:11 232:4	minutes 7:20 39:15	modeling 215:6
283:19 297:9	Metlakatla 115:1	233:1 363:4.7	50:15 51:7 101:22	216:19 217:1
300:17 319:3	metric 217:1	367:8.9.373:14	116:12 212:10.12	229:18 247:20
mentioned 14:19	270:21	milestone 90:4	212:14 326:22	277:4 331:4.21
16:8 28:15 51:2	met/ocean 254:12	<b>Military</b> 165:17	misiudges 197:5	models 115:2
58:15 92:9 147:5	257:18 258:1	milk 13:4	misleading 163:9	215:12 217:3.6
206:3 229:14	<b>Mexico</b> 144:20	<b>Miller</b> 1:23 41:12	missed 10:18 91:3	246:6 249:1.1.3
232:16 233:3	<b>Mever</b> 3:22	41:12 129:5	99:18	251:1.10 252:12
238:8 239:16	Michael 2:14 3:25	132:13 133:17	<b>misses</b> 209:20	255:12 256:7
244:14 257:11	4:16 213:2 264:10	168:20.20 180:2	missing 38:22	261:17.18 265:9
				,
			1	1

				1
275:8 277:21	164:8 221:6	348:10	NASA 209:17	Naval 318:10
279:8 290:1 306:1	401:21	movement 222:5	266:18,21	NAVD88 374:16
319:7,9 332:9	<b>months</b> 130:2	298:18	Nathan 338:22	377:20
moderate 27:17	152:1 169:6	movements 56:14	nation 6:6 211:2	navigable 221:15
<b>modern</b> 287:8	192:16 195:8	moving 14:5 21:6	300:6 316:4 317:3	351:18 372:16
298:12 366:22	206:6 235:6 274:5	37:12 70:11 77:20	340:13 369:3	navigate 18:14,18
modification 100:3	377:17	115:11 145:8	national 1:3 2:17	20:3 116:4 148:5
modified 176:21	monumental 35:1	186:9 188:7,9,15	3:11,13 4:19 9:20	224:3 321:11
modify 170:8	moor 119:20	198:22 295:6	10:7,9 12:10 23:8	350:19
modifying 387:13	moorage 35:7	341:5,17 364:3	99:8,9 125:2	navigated 46:17
Moller 85:5 222:10	mooring 253:9	376:13,13	138:9 139:11	navigating 70:8
Molly 2:19 4:20	moratorium 260:3	mud 79:8 83:8,20	140:1 145:3,17	119:19 368:10,14
213:7 242:22	morning 5:3 10:10	multibeam 334:13	146:1,11,19,20	navigation 2:5 3:4
263:22 300:15	16:12 27:5 74:10	335:20	165:15 166:1	4:4,6 7:13 12:19
301:10 303:12	75:10 92:21 93:1	multifold 243:22	169:4.8 213:7	16:3,13 19:3
309:18 324:9	108:14 111:5	<b>multiple</b> 27:9 42:8	216:11 229:6	26:10.11.15 27:2
339:19 384:15	121:20 296:11	83:5 119:17	240:1 243:19	27:3 29:13 33:6
moment 290:20	349:9 352:11	196:21 252:11	244:15 254:13	34:16 77:21 98:1
304:20	397:20 398:1	255:1.7.7.262:10	262:20.21.277:11	98:4 10 20 101:3
momentarily	401.1	303.17	284.1 296.3	117.20 125.12
257.21	mosaic 267.18	multi-criteria	300.22 313.7 13	136.3 8 146.18
Monday 10.22 11.1	mother 91.4 351.5	38.19	314.14 16 315.16	180.5 190.11 14
19.16 21.21	motivations 344.19	multi-decision 40.3	315.18 20 317.12	$207.10\ 222.2\ 4$
money 28.19 30.20	motor $115.8$	multi-mission 2.12	318.7 8 320.13	207.10222.2,1 223.4224.19
49·20 85·18	motto 262.8	<u>4.14 212.22</u>	340.19 350.5	261.15 321.19
125.14 131.2 4 5	MOLU 381.17	muni 42·17 47·7	351.8 364.6	326.12 333.12
131.20 161.2 4	mount 313.6 327.3	municipalities	366.15 374.20	335.1 347.1 4 7
164.19 175.18	Mountain 82.16 18	152·4	386.2 389.11	347.12 348.14
190.19 21 245.4	mountaintons	mutual 356.15	390.10 11 15	350.2 352.6 380.9
248.3 259.10	293·1/	mutual 550.15	393.12	394.14
263.16 302.22	mouth 1/10.18	N	nationally 156.17	navigational 3/1.8
205.10 302.22	mouths $73.5$	<b>nail</b> 98:7	nation's $15.19$	A5.4 A6.20 8A.19
333.16 353.5	move 22.17 36.16	naive 135:18	nativo 8/1.2 185.17	1/2.16
351.10 353.5	17.22 57.6 80.7	Naknek 94:13	natural 260.15	newigetion/obser
367.13 10 386.10	107.4 138.20	name 24:19 55:12	30/1·11	11.8
301.17	16/.10 165.8	61:22 89:14 100:8	naturally 70.13 1/	navigator 166.17
571.17 monov's 35/1./	166.12 17 183.17	111:13 171:13	304.2	100.7
monios 353.10	185.11 180.20	179:1.11.16 204:2	JU4.2 naturo 52.11 60.13	
354.15	102.11103.20	221:3 295:16	351.5	160.1 2
554.15 monitor 60.6 66.0	192.1 193.7	355.4 360.3	551.5 noutical 17.17	109.1,2 NAVTEV 97.7
$\begin{array}{c} \text{IIIOIIIIOI} 00.0 \ 00.9 \\ 0.0 \ 0.9 \ 0.0 \ 0.9 \end{array}$	195.12 205.15	names 220:10	19.16 10.2 21.16	1 <b>NAVIEA</b> 0/./
224.10247.13,10	219.3 204.13	name's 220.10	10.10 19.2 21.10	141.13 NAVTEV: 00.20
<b>monitoring</b> 58:20	207.7,0 512.21	name \$ 200.7	95:17 104:21	NAVIEAS 00:20 Novw 41.2 171.4
00.3 72.9 128.10	3/0.11 3/8:10	372.15 373.7	112.0,12 140.17	1 vavy 41.5 1/1.4
251.0251.9	404.0 moved 52.2 90.2	narrowest 106.0	304.20 nov.6.21.25.2	110.0 122.7
255:17 514:12	<b>moveu</b> 32:2 80:3	Narrows 118.4 11	11av 0:21 20:0 247:19 240:9	119:0 120:7
535.9 month 161.16	03:3113:3,20,21	118.14 18	347:10 349:8 250:14 275:16	140:19 1/4:12
<b>III0IIIII</b> 101:10	269:22 290:4	110.17,10	550:14 575:10	209:19 278:14
			1	1

Г

nearby 56:2 202:7	240:8,10,11,13	need-to-know	329:17 357:16	66:17 67:22 69:22
311:21 346:17	246:6,11,12	72:14	358:5,12 359:2,6	72:15 73:13 84:20
nearly 119:17	250:20 251:8,10	negative 186:15	360:15,21 369:21	91:6 94:4 96:19
233:1	251:11 259:22	400:2	375:7 378:5 383:6	106:22 107:6
near-miss 207:20	260:5,8 261:16,19	negotiations 193:6	388:22 394:1	117:11 122:21
208:10 209:2,13	261:20 286:12	neighborhood	396:5	123:16 124:16,22
near-misses 207:6	287:6 288:16	115:5 178:13	Newman 333:20	125:15 126:6
208:13.20 209:11	293:6.10 295:21	neither 120:22	<b>news</b> 151:22 194:15	129:9.9.17 132:8
near-shore 229:18	296:18 298:22	Nelson 85:4.8	NewsHour 10:10	132:11 139:21
332:1	304:4.20 309:9	nervous 153:6	Newtok 27:12	141:13 143:19.21
necessarily 13:12	315:6.6 320:21	net 56:1 72:11	77:19.22.78:1	146:8.9.168:22
15:6 34:19 82:1	331:13 332:8	179:4	218:2.18	171:4 180:4
86.2 123.8 167.13	339.22 341.3 10	network 2:17 126:3	nexus 65·13	181.20 202.12 19
167.14 16 171.5	341.11 342.5 6	126.8 213.10	<b>NFIP</b> 215.11	202.21 204.3
173.7 240.16	3/3.3 350.4 8	240.2 244.2 264.2	NGA 270.17	202.21 204.5
277.5 317.5 325.8	353.13 17 361.15	240.2 244.2 204.2	275.13	257.12 20 258.22
2/1.5 517.5 525.6	370.11 373.20	205.17 200.7	NCIA 318.8	259.5 8 265.20
J41.10 JJ1.7 106.15	370.11 373.20	209.10 290.22	NGIA 510.0 NCOc 131.1	259.5,8 205.20
400.1J	201.77 201.11 16	21/1.2 216.2 8 16	272.20	200.15 280.8
24.00 25.0 27.17	284.16 10 20	216.22 221.6	272.20 NCS 21.7 22.4	201.10 202.20,22
54.22 55.0 57.17 40.10 102.9 0	205.2 202.0 0	510.22 521.0 202.2 7 15 204.10	NGS 21.7 23.4	205.15 204.5,0
40.19 105.8,9	363:3 393:6,6,9	323:3,7,13 324:10	282:22 285:15	263:7 266:11
110:18 122:22	397:8,9,11,12	383:22 384:1,2,0 284:7	288:11,20 295:11	297:17,19 299:17
1/4:9 340:8	401:18 403:10	384:7	314:0 310:1 321:9	299:20 300:16,19
357:20	404:20	<b>networks</b> 266:19	380:5	300:21 305:19
necessity 207:9	needed 33:20 52:9	287:16 302:20	nice 24:11 68:12	309:6 314:5,6
neck 89:7	79:20 85:10	Neva 121:8	178:19 191:1	316:2 327:4,21
need 5:5 8:11 15:15	136:20 150:20	never 9:12 68:16	298:9,11,12	330:7 331:18
16:10 17:13 18:20	170:11 195:9	106:7 153:3 161:8	<b>niche</b> 160:6	333:6 337:22
19:6 21:4 27:16	226:12 234:19	209:7 223:18	NID83 286:5	338:6 340:18
27:18 28:1 29:16	236:2,3,10	287:2 343:18	<b>nifty</b> 301:10	341:5,8,8 343:9
29:18 30:3 31:13	needing 32:20	362:18 374:10	night 10:18 74:14	344:3,4,12 345:14
31:22 32:17 33:22	34:14 166:6	405:8	76:9 154:19	348:13 349:8,15
35:6,6 36:16	<b>needs</b> 2:5 4:6 13:20	<b>new</b> 2:4 5:21 6:2	178:21 227:14	352:5 355:21
37:15 51:4 60:4	22:11 25:3 33:2,5	18:16 28:7,12	403:12	356:1,3,5 357:19
73:18,21 97:6	33:15 34:5,8 35:4	29:17 43:17 52:7	NIMA 84:21 143:2	358:2,14,16,20
129:2,21 138:13	36:7 40:12 41:7	57:8 60:4 67:11	nineteen 51:17	359:5,21,22 360:6
141:11 142:15	140:9 155:4 167:8	74:7 84:15 103:4	113:15 278:8	360:18,20 363:10
146:1 148:2 155:4	168:13,15 175:3,3	112:17 122:16,16	nineties 115:12	367:4,15 369:4,6
166:15 167:21	175:4,6 180:11	125:20,22 127:17	<b>NOAA</b> 1:4 3:1,8	369:11 372:3,18
168:12 172:3	248:3 304:6	135:17 153:1	7:9 8:13 10:1	373:3,8,11 375:19
174:1 175:2,19	308:11,12 328:18	162:22 183:10	11:9 13:18 14:2,9	378:13 381:18
180:10,12,12	329:21 330:19	192:18 218:20	15:4 16:5,8 17:22	386:5 393:13,16
181:3 187:6	349:8 355:22	233:11 239:12,17	21:18 24:8 27:2	393:17 400:10
196:20 203:3	267 2 271 16	260.22 263.9	29.12 36.11 37.14	402:9 404:15
200 22 212 10 11	36/:3 3/1:16	200.22 205.7		
209:22 212:10,11	36/:3 3/1:16 386:8 389:19	266:6 271:2,5,9	39:5,20 40:6,10	406:11,14
209:22 212:10,11 222:8 225:4	367:3371:16 386:8389:19 390:11391:5	266:6 271:2,5,9 275:11 278:1,6.13	39:5,20 40:6,10 40:11,22 46:15	406:11,14 <b>NOAA's</b> 2:5,13 4:4
209:22 212:10,11 222:8 225:4 233:13 235:21	367:3371:16 386:8389:19 390:11391:5 392:18397:7	266:6 271:2,5,9 275:11 278:1,6,13 290:18 322:2	39:5,20 40:6,10 40:11,22 46:15 50:17 55:9 60:13	406:11,14 <b>NOAA's</b> 2:5,13 4:4 4:6,14 6:21 9:18
209:22 212:10,11 222:8 225:4 233:13 235:21	367:3371:16 386:8389:19 390:11391:5 392:18397:7	266:6 271:2,5,9 275:11 278:1,6,13 290:18 322:2	39:5,20 40:6,10 40:11,22 46:15 50:17 55:9 60:13	406:11,14 <b>NOAA's</b> 2:5,13 4:4 4:6,14 6:21 9:18

16:19 25:3 125:16	147:9,17 184:6	155:2 183:9	obviously 26:1	officer 141:14
126:9 146:4	186:19,20 189:4	188:21 194:5	29:13 34:16 37:14	224:9
203:20 213:1	217:14 225:7	206:3 257:17	45:3 48:8,10 57:7	offices 16:15 61:21
214:3 221:22	239:14 276:21	258:13 265:1	68:2 72:19 78:2	61:21 207:1
227:16 266:15	292:9 294:18	279:21 286:4	78:10 85:17 87:5	394:13
283:21 301:4	296:13 320:11,19	320:6 324:14	140:13 205:4	official 3:2 88:4
326:12 334:17	353:11 389:16	330:16 345:14	229:15 230:16	100:4
347:6 348:13	northbound 187:18	362:4 363:14	232:8 267:6	offshore 18:12 63:7
370:1 375:22	northern 38:15	376:15 402:12	384:10 388:21	64:16 80:8,13,22
NOAA/CO-OPS	101:9 147:19	403:5,7,12	occasion 131:1	108:19 198:9
2:2	198:17 296:20	numbers 68:1	occasionally 109:8	338:19
NOAA/NGS 2:2	309:13	388:6	159:19	<b>oh</b> 23:1 132:16
<b>NOAA/NOS</b> 3:2,5	northwest 112:20	Nushagak 91:7	occupied 381:3	163:12 182:9,11
NOAA/OCS 3:4	198:16 220:3	94:3,14 97:1,7	occupying 98:16	260:10
<b>noise</b> 106:4	Norton 233:16	NWLON 231:7	occur 167:3 235:5	<b>Ohio</b> 287:11,21
nomadic 79:16	251:7	353:20	occurred 201:8	290:2
Nome 99:2,11	NOS 4:5 406:12	NWS 330:7	359:16	<b>oil</b> 3:18 36:8,15
102:15,19 104:14	note 24:15 232:21		occurring 27:8	40:6 48:20 52:19
105:10 106:10,16	357:2 394:9	0	206:7 235:7	52:20 55:7 62:1
107:22 108:10,19	noted 119:6 152:6	<b>OAS</b> 386:16	ocean 2:3,19 4:20	64:5 68:22 71:15
110:14 225:21	350:22	object 65:9	48:5 95:19 206:20	171:19 172:2,13
226:17 230:8	notes 16:19 134:1	objectives 73:13	213:8 230:2 243:1	173:15 174:3
233:17 237:10,13	336:6	obligation 50:12	243:14,17,19	183:12 201:2,14
238:6 379:8,12,12	nother 101:21	<b>obliged</b> 348:19	244:3 247:16	201:15,21 248:14
<b>non</b> 51:8 73:4	notice 192:5 204:14	<b>oblique</b> 281:21	250:10 251:18	257:1 260:1,12
78:22	291:13 366:16	observation 3:6	252:8,9 259:8	391:16
nonexistent 98:2	noticeable 290:2	141:9 230:21	261:1,17 262:15	okay 9:2,7 89:16
non-emergency	noticed 121:14	231:5 232:22	262:17 279:2	110:17 111:2
376:16	366:10	240:2 262:11	300:22 301:5	124:8 126:1 127:5
non-ideal 191:19	<b>notion</b> 127:13	327:5 382:9	335:5 390:11	163:13 165:11
non-profit 50:5	notoriously 402:10	observations 19:15	<b>Oceana</b> 131:2	166:7 167:6
168:8	nourishment	202:22 241:15	oceangoing 114:5	168:19 171:7
non-profit's 168:9	241:18,20	244:3 246:6,10	OCEANIC 1:3	179:22 180:21
non-realtime 258:2	November 34:7	247:21 249:2	oceanographic	181:22 182:11,17
258:22	187:10 192:6	251:2,9,22 308:19	19:5,7	187:11 220:19
NON-VOTING 2:1	226:6 230:10	<b>Observatory</b> 266:5	<b>October</b> 91:15	225:12 234:9
<b>noon</b> 182:10	231:14 239:18	320:8	<b>odd</b> 325:15	243:2 289:20
Norfolk 14:4 343:8	no-brainers 217:10	observed 237:18	offer 275:3 405:19	291:22 310:11
347:3,5	<b>NPP</b> 266:7	observing 2:19	offering 25:13	326:5 327:18
normal 174:20	NRCS 270:18	4:20 93:7 213:8	318:12	339:1,21 348:21
normally 131:11	NRTs 180:5 381:2	233:13 243:1,15	offers 403:18	355:9 356:22,22
131:17 179:8	Nugashak 96:20	243:17,19 248:12	office 3:21,25 9:19	357:11 361:5
north 43:21 45:14	<b>number</b> 10:5,6	249:9 250:10	59:4 61:21 137:7	364:8 370:15,16
48:6 69:11 102:14	15:21 16:9 25:18	251:18 252:9	194:5 206:9,11	374:13 381:7
103:1 108:18	26:21 41:10 99:3	279:2 301:5 345:3	221:14 223:11	386:18 396:2,12
113:19 118:11,20	103:20 117:17	obtained 214:21	233:18 266:2	398:3,13,17,21
121:10 128:6	133:20 140:5	<b>obvious</b> 32:3 36:19	289:14 376:1	399:9,10,19 401:7

٦

151.20 144.15	12.17 19.10 25.17	275.0,10,20 274.4	<b>Overseen</b> 100.10	<b>F</b>
131.2,4 117.10	12.17 10.18 25.17	273.8 18 20 271.21	overseen 100.10	paid 140:3
111.19 112:1,4 113.9 / 117.10	317.3 403.17	ortho 268.6 271.21	100.10 overseeing 225.20	Page's 36:5 185:2
32:14 92:3 90:9 111.10 112.1 4	214:10 255:20	Ornaments 155:0	109.19	204.15 400.16
<b>operate</b> 22:16	152:14 150:17	214:2 243:12	209:0	<b>nages</b> 34.10 10
opens 189:16	opportunities	102:11 184:3	overlay 254:17	255.4,10 279.0
395:5	351:10	51:20 76:6 80:1	overlap 112:10	234.19,20,22
<b>opening</b> 37:20	opinions 194:17	originally 35:14	overland 139:7	245:8 247:0
358:7	304:9	159:10 333:11	overdue 47:14	208:1,3,9,17
310:2,10 353:16	140:10 171:18	original 113:3	Overall 117:9	184:5 200:16
279:13 309:21	132:2,20,20	174:4 185:17	<b>Ouzinkie</b> 112:19	1/0.1/1/0.1
265:11 278:16,20	operators 55:6	62:1 92:18 173:22	201:18	138:11 139:0
142:9 197:18	246:22 364:12	organizations 55:7	out-of-the-box	134:0 130:12,22
open 109:2 124:2	185:8 202:2,5	368:4	3/3:21	150:15 158:5
005 303:16	131:21 172:20	193:8,11,15 368:3	294:11 362:18	133:22 133:21
oops 158:5	96:6 125:11	185:22 188:19	66:791:10124:5	129:11 152:10
onshore 85:7	73:16 74:2 87:4	156:15 183:20	outside 15:1 46:10	12/:12,18 129:0
279:14 338:6	58:1,2 60:7 62:19	125:12 153:5	216:14 354:3	/3:2,3 110:2
138:11 254:13	51:12 53:7 56:4	50:5 51:9 53:12	outreach 194:3	05:15 08:11 /4:18
online 27:4 52:8	operations 26:10	organization 45:16	outrage 58:7	48:1,2,2 02:9
345:21	306:10	organic 9:9	outlying 81:10	1941 2 2 6 2 0
219:19 319:3	operationally	ordered 181:18	Outlook 91:19	packing 508:19
ongoing 192:8	130:4	381:20	outlines 16:9	packen 03:7
2/1:19	operational 60:10	285:5 290:13	200:17 232:17	packages 340:21
one-third 230:18	364:16	227:3 270:17	outlined 189:2	203.7 nackages 246-01
one-tenth 104:20	227:14 228:2	205:15 223:13	outline 111:7	<b>package</b> 123:17
333:22 404:14	190:13 226:1	162:14 189:12	outliers 325:11	<b>pack</b> 223:17
one-semester	152:18 173:10	order 83:6 145:21	outlets 10:9 194:15	393:13
123:19 292:13	139:6,18 143:19	orchestrate 160:2	outfit 270:8 337:12	<b>Facilic</b> 5:18 127:21
ones 37:14 74:14	109:4 123:1 130:6	219:2 277:13	Outer 225:8	$\frac{\mathbf{f}}{\mathbf{D}_{22}\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f}_{22}\mathbf{f}_{2$
385:18 400:1	66:7 90:18 104:5	options 193:9	outcrop 161:10	<b></b>
357:4 368:8	operation 57:16	219:5	outcome 193:12	366:11
343:6 348:17	314:2 392:12	option 193:21	ought 345:1 384:8	230:14 264:10
326:6 335:14	286:17 304:13	404:9	<b>Oswald's</b> 335:16	213:3,15,17 230:1
262:8 288:18	245:3 247:4	optimum 248:2	<b>Oswald</b> 333:19	<b>O'Hare</b> 2:14 4:16
133:2,3 213:5	131:6 148:13	optimally 64:7	ostensibly 193:17	399:6,15,16
70:11,21 107:19	94:20 130:17,20	opposite 80:4 188:8	<b>OSROs</b> 174:2	o'clock 398:16
once 37:16 61:12	75:21 91:21 93:14	281:2 370:13	<b>OSRO</b> 175:20	102:18
212:21	29:15 53:1,2 73:1	136:5 206:20	175:21	owners 100:13
141:17 149:1	operating 20:12	opposed 135:20	<b>OSLTF</b> 173:9	owner 365:12
onboard 86:18	114:13	404:12 405:17	oscillate 147:9	owned 314:5
Olga 121:8	operates 113:17,21	388:5 403:22	278:5	owe 191:5
<b>Olex</b> 181:11	188:11 221:8	360:15 373:2	271:6 277:21	33:19
238:13 241:1,2,11	operated 116:21	350:21 357:22	265:14 270:13,21	overwhelming
116:5 154:11	299:21 367:21	287:7 328:11	264:14,19 265:7	220:13 338:3
95:18 112:21	185:5 195:1 224:2	195:4 283:11	orthoimagery	145:6 213:21
<b>old</b> 76:20 92:15	145:6 151:19	75:11 180:15	275:7	<b>overview</b> 127:20

Г

٦

	1		1	
paint 395:2	33:8 38:16 39:4,9	46:7 93:19 135:8	203:15 204:20	289:19 293:11,16
<b>Palin</b> 63:18	43:2,5 55:14	138:14 217:22	303:20 354:20	293:19 295:14
pan 280:19	92:11 96:19 105:8	282:21 316:15	Paul 28:5 161:10	297:16,21 299:8
panel 1:6,12,15 2:5	123:10 125:1,13	367:16 388:2	185:10	300:1,4 303:6,7
2:12 4:25 7:7.12	126:7 136:4.9	390:5.6 392:3	Pawlowski 3:21	303:21 304:7
8:3.7.16 11:21	139:5 154:5	partnering 20:20	333:4.6 338:21	306:22 307:16
13.9 10 14 15	172.18 173.17	247.6	339.15 340.11	336.13 340.22
15.5 22.13 14	203.7 7 207.19	nartners 14·19	341.18 378.7	361.13 375.9
$24.16\ 25.1\ 118.2$	210.10 231.15	15.1 10.18 20.8	541.10570.7	385.3 387.5 307.6
24.10 23.1 110.2 122.2 125.10	210.19 231.13	13.1 19.10 20.0	126.7 127.2 120.7	202.22 208.22
122.2 133.10	230.5 245.10,21	21.22 40.16 214.1	120.7 127.2 129.7	200.21 402.12 16
182:19 197:17	244:14 247:22	218:20 220:14	129:15 150:7	599:21 402:12,10
212:6,19 213:20	249:9 259:7	203:9 270:11	131:5,13 151:4	percent 58:6
229:10 300:13	260:21 265:15	318:11 404:3	159:4 350:16	132:11 202:18,18
313:16 326:22	284:1,4,7,8	partnership 21:19	391:8,21	228:20 274:10
328:8 343:2,14	285:19 311:14	50:10 138:11	<b>paying</b> 171:6	275:12 289:6
347:8,14 349:14	325:3 326:2	266:14	<b>pays</b> 73:3 129:13	314:4 337:4
349:19 352:16,21	329:18 343:6	partnerships 23:14	353:3	370:21 391:8
355:22 368:1	371:17 406:11	51:1,2 214:5	<b>PDF</b> 32:16	percentage 132:9
369:9 375:18	partially 47:11	215:4 245:13	peer 360:12	132:10
393:9,19 395:16	participant 40:22	299:4 318:5 392:5	penalty 49:1	percolating 402:18
396:14 398:19	373:4	parts 95:20 198:12	pencil 228:16	perfect 204:9
400:1 401:2	participate 126:21	282:21 364:3	penciled-in 228:16	239:22 242:7,16
405:19	396:17,21 397:3	party 358:2	peninsula 3:11	261:19 292:15
panels 7:19 13:11	participated	pass 28:5 41:8	113:11.12 276:20	309:2 384:16.21
62:14	301:18	120:3.4.8.14	277:12	385:4
nants 143:18	narticination 326:7	124:1 128:6.8	PENNELOPE 3:16	perfection 242:12
naner 117.20 240.4	narticular 30.8	344.9	Penultimate 297.5	perfectly 104.17
284·20	65.6 92.13 98.5	nassage 172.9	neonle 10.11 11.22	performed 306.2
naradiam 6.2.3	138.18 153.12	108.16	13.16 31.8 33.20	performing 237.20
104.22	150.10 155.12	190.10 nassad 86.1 2	54.16 50.15 62.12	perior ning 237.20
104.22	159.19 100.10	177.12 249.4	54.10 59.15 05.15	period 4.22 9.15
parallel 211.4	105.22 196.4	1//.15540.4	07.10 70.6 72.19	19.22 (0.2 102.10
<b>parameters</b> 178:10	204:4 205:0	<b>passenger</b> 55:0	/4:12 / /:11	48:22 00:2 192:10
324:15,18 372:16	232:10 233:4	115:15 151:13	103:20 104:2	195:15,21 289:22
paraphrasing	256:1 269:22	passengers 201:12	111:9,15 115:4,11	290:3 328:4
	283:16 297:19	<b>passes</b> 266:20	131:10 132:19	399:21
park 3:13 270:18	314:6 315:21	passing 88:7	153:5 157:6	periodically 46:15
276:18 277:9,11	344:18 345:4,12	189:13	158:15,18 171:8	67:9
296:3 330:22	395:6	passive 204:1	180:13 191:4	<b>Perkins</b> 1:17 3:23
386:3,11 387:2	particularly 11:17	patch 336:16	194:16 195:18	150:4,5 207:4,13
388:2 389:11,13	21:5 95:16 133:18	<b>path</b> 133:12 135:14	196:12 197:11	208:15 357:2
389:19 393:12	147:21 166:2	139:1 322:7	200:17 201:15,19	381:15 382:6
Parnell's 36:14	272:5 287:14	405:11	205:20 212:20	permanent 46:19
PARS 196:7 206:5	312:15 344:6	pathway 404:21	224:19 235:20	125:9
206:7,12,14	parties 71:10 373:9	patrols 48:6	243:7,13 244:11	permanently 125:1
227:18 259:22	partly 395:16.16	pattern 237:12	252:2 253:12.18	125:4 313:6
part 21:8 29:20	partner 16:15 18:1	304:1 394:6	273:11 277:17	permits 282:3.3
30:19 31:20 33:7	21:4 40:11.15	patterns 128:13	287:5 288:19	permitting 257:2
		1		1

Г

٦

<b>persist</b> 345:22	<b>Ph.D</b> 1:18,19,21,22	106:15 107:7	161:15 164:14	point 23:11 37:3
persistent 405:18	1:22 3:2,5	120:2 159:12	172:5,11 199:8	48:17 82:14 118:2
person 90:22 190:7	<b>pick</b> 64:22 165:17	166:2 180:17,22	354:3	136:17,22 146:17
225:17,22,22	247:2 383:10	199:9 200:14	plant 133:4 234:17	151:1 175:2
243:11	386:9	201:3 227:5	236:7,9	179:19 192:15
personal 57:20,22	<b>picked</b> 60:1	288:17,18 290:10	plants 171:22	193:5 196:9
150:8 287:19	picking 60:1	312:8 320:18	236:3	203:16 211:3
personally 223:20	176:10,13,16,17	322:2,21 358:5,6	plate 62:2 320:8	231:5 239:11
perspective 40:16	182:9	361:3 363:19	349:6	251:8 252:13
72:5 92:3 96:14	<b>picture</b> 77:19 80:10	372:14 373:5,6	platform 262:11	255:22 256:12,13
154:10 206:12	90:15 92:6 94:9	380:5 381:18,20	310:3,4,9	256:16 258:21
214:16 216:1,3,4	102:1 108:9	382:2,3 404:18	platforms 23:15	273:22 279:8,17
218:1 230:15	128:22 145:2,12	placement 222:2	240:10 309:19	286:2 288:5 296:6
232:8 234:4	234:2 251:3	places 11:3 37:10	318:12,14 323:17	303:9,12 310:8
236:19 248:7	256:12 283:20	38:7 70:17 79:22	<b>play</b> 66:19 67:1	315:2 320:15
250:2 329:10	304:13 315:11	82:4 225:6 228:10	105:21 177:17	330:18 341:7
333:10 368:21	395:3	255:19 272:6	208:21 284:7	346:5 350:22
394:15	pictures 67:17	287:12 304:12	<b>playbacks</b> 177:10	351:3.20 363:17
nersuaded 391:21	108:4 280:5	308:19 309:1	<b>played</b> 106:22	364.3 369:20
personate 210:2	<b>niece</b> 26:1 59:10	356:7 361:12	110.1	372:13 381:3
netabytes 297.10	222.13 261.4	379.6 403.4	nlaver 167.9	392.20 406.13
Petersburg 114.14	357.3	nlacing 311.15	players 263.4 9	nointed 230.1
netition 169.6	nieces 123·12	<b>plain</b> 148.21 375.2	288.12	315.1
petroleum 75·17	254.18 259.17	plan 23.17 83.15	nlease 5.7 10.12	nointing 361.3
75·18	284.20 307.4	84·16 100·20	24.18 25.15 48.1	points 92.13 203.4
nhase 43.19 348.11	<b>nier</b> 119·11	173.20 175.7	$129.14\ 162.20$	210.1 230.21
nhases 43.17	niling 34.15	251.15 337.15	171.11 177.22	232.22 274.10
PhD 9.9	<b>pilot</b> 55:8 61:20	352.11 13	179.6 182.1 19	293.20 314.17 17
nhone 106.2 155.2	85.21 86.3 18	nlanes 59:16	196.3 212.9 14	346.11
155.4 5 239.8	88.4 120.12 16	nlanned 23.5 12	213.16 220.22	nolar 260.22 261.5
288·2	149.10 10 199.14	102.1 12 253.21	327.8 367.6	266·16
nhoto 218.11	330.11	319.20 320.14	396.20 397.1 16	nolice 56.15
nhotogranh 98.12	nilotage 86.16	352.20 320.14	398.18	policies 322.18 21
233.6	142.5	nlanners 216.3	nleased 13.8	policy 34.5 244.4
nhotogranhs	nilots 88.1 1/10.1	<b>planning</b> 20.17 21	239.13 318.7	359.19 361.3
	196.14 209.18	35.22 /1.1 81.16	nlenty 162.3	369.12
nhotography 110.8	255.3 371.10 13	8/1·9 85·16 93·5	202.21	nolitician 47.18
162.16	ninch-hitting 264.5	161.2 171.21	nlot 1/17.7	politics $170.7$
nhotos 267.22	ninnoint 87.3	172.16 10 173.16	<b>plot</b> $147.7$	polled $100.15$
280.15 281.22	1/8·15	174.5 175.5 10	120.22	policu 100.15 polygons 260.7
200.13 201.22 nhrasa 82.12	nins 386.5	21/1.18 257.1 2	nlowing 31.12	pony 205.7
284.15	pins 300.3	214.10 257.1,2	plug $105.2$	pony 405.21
nhysical 12.12 17.5	pirateu 77.10	202.11 202.15	plug $155.2$	poor 15:1 380.8
101.6 211.12 47.3	nivols 768.15 18	302.11 303.13	212.20 255.10	383.16
nhysically 104.14	200.13,10 27/.17	300.7 327.17	212.20 233.13	202.10 nooror 271.16
210.1	2/4.1/ nlace 37.15 67.5	308.10	<b>PND</b> 338-11	$p_{001C1} 2/1.10$
217.4 nhysics 1/7.9	66.10 70.1 02.3	J70.17 nlans 28.10 160.14	nocket 272.0	pops 3/1.7 nonular 25/1.10
physics 147.0	00.10 / 7.4 93.13	<b>pians</b> 20.10 100.14	POCKCI 312.7	Popular 204.19
				1

Г

201.5	195.10 100.15	92.11 160.12 14	100.17 202.14 14	222.11
201:5	185:10 199:15	83:11 109:13,14	199:17 203:14,14	232:11 programog 245:11
populating 01.0	222.19 557.17	100.13 103.12	204.20	245.16 247.10
100.22 210.22	509.22 570.22 nort's 17.5	216.5 216.7	178.15 283.21	545.10 547.19
199.22 219.22 236.12	port 847.3	210.5 510.7	170.15 205.21 proface 135.16	237.6
230.12 nort 3:17 1:12	poses 13.10	353.14 357.10	preference 401.2	237.0
11.17 13.1 28.13	64.7 116.10	556.20 565.7 notontially 133.18	preferred 401.5	280.20
11.17 13.1 20.13 33.17 3 <i>A</i> .1 <i>A</i> 38. <i>A</i>	128.20 147.6 18	<b>potentially</b> 155.16	preliminary 288.21	209.20 prosumed 304.16
38.11 /1.7 17 22	3/8.13	133.1 10 178.6	premiums 377.22	$\frac{\text{presumed } 504.10}{\text{nretty } 7.254.17}$
<i>A</i> 2·3 5 6 9 13 13	nositioned 163.7	193.15 205.16	<b>premums</b> $577.22$ <b>prepare</b> $214.11$	60.22 86.11
42.5,5,0,5,15,15	positioning $1/2.20$	234.17 235.17 17	prepared $15.14$ 16	115.14 17 139.9
43.8 16 45.5 9	147.13 15 314.11	234.17 233.17,17	216.13	139.14,17 159.9
46.13 13 47.2	315.3 323.1 8 12	230.2,3,7,9 230.3	nrenaredness	162.22 170.3
49.3 54.2 71.15	324.1 5 383.15	<b>PowerPoint</b> 216.21	214·12 17 220·15	176.18 194.15
75.14 76.7 77.1 2	nositions 148.1	nowers 133.4	<b>presence</b> 93.15 17	215.9 218.14
78:21 80:11 85:4	292:2 323:16	practical 335:21	93:22 372:18	220:10 224.8
85:5.11.86:10.12	<b>positive</b> 46:3 99:5	336.19	present 1:15 2:1	237:11 240:11
112:5.5.5 119:8	99:12 153:19	practically 284:19	3:1.9 7:7 197:17	242:17 248:19.20
119:13.22 122:17	possibilities 156:13	practice 353:8	202:4 233:10	267:1 326:2
143:13 165:8	299:13 312:10	practices 336:15	262:15 328:8	329:17 364:1
166:10,12,14,16	380:2,9	pragmatic 404:7	presentation 11:12	382:22 385:17
167:1,2,8,12,13	possibility 169:18	praise 347:7	14:14 25:18 75:12	392:21
167:13 170:9	180:20 188:22	pre 395:20	84:5 88:22 91:22	prevent 143:20
179:5 182:13	311:4 359:7	precise 322:22	99:16 185:15	304:19
183:4,16 184:4	<b>possible</b> 100:16	323:2 346:14	192:15 218:4	prevention 3:19
192:2 193:3 194:1	112:6 119:22	precisely 315:4	328:7 393:5 395:8	103:16 172:2
194:6 198:19	124:17 140:21	precision 147:14	395:18	173:18
222:10 227:18	169:9 188:20,21	288:5 292:16	presentations 7:17	previous 90:6
350:1 361:14	192:21 212:6	308:8,10	7:22 36:12 67:10	108:16 161:1,5
379:3,8,9 380:4	226:22 228:3	preclude 382:8	122:4 212:11	218:9,16 378:13
<b>portal</b> 252:4,9	282:20 287:18	predictability	300:12 317:6	395:19
258:20 261:1	316:16	187:15	327:10,12,20	pre-arrival 106:17
339:18	possibly 71:21	predictable 183:22	335:18 349:4	pre-dredge 363:21
<b>portals</b> 302:3	182:3,5 311:8	185:14	presented 5:22 6:8	pre-read 395:2
portfolio 11:8	338:19 347:14	predicted 119:9	10:6 166:5 205:21	pre-1800 16:22
<b>portion</b> 250:6	353:6,9 354:12	353:18	presenter 47:22	pre-1900 16:21
358:16	380:10,19 395:9	prediction 3:6 29:6	presenting 40:7	pre-1970 16:21
portions 345:18	post 284:2 364:1	118:10 237:16	preservation 186:4	Pribilof 131:3
Portland 159:3	<b>posted</b> 39:11	239:3	preserve 186:3	232:20
367:10 371:11	327:10,13	predictions 119:1	President 367:19	Pribilofs 36:22
ports 12:16 16:4	post-dredge 361:21	233:14 237:7,8	Presidential 231:12	<b>price</b> 77:12 337:15
32:22 33:1 35:5	362:1 364:1	238:9,14 239:11	President's 165:7	346:11
35:14 36:16,17	post-processing	240:14,17 241:15	347:16 348:20	<b>pride</b> 367:17
39:11,19 45:14	323:15,18	241:16 254:16	presiding 1:14	primarily 113:21
49:22 55:6 85:22	<b>pot</b> 259:10 354:7	308:7	pressing 329:21	114:14 115:13
112:1,3,13,15,17	potatoes 7:15	predictive 28:22	pressure 27:1	121:12 214:17
122:17 169:15	potential 21:1	predominant	31:15 105:16	245:8 264:19

٦

	1			1
322:11	164:9 178:3,4	<b>product</b> 37:13	279:20	project-funded
<b>primary</b> 186:1,6	190:1 198:1 205:9	77:14 101:5 142:7	programs 244:15	163:18 368:2
266:15,16 314:14	209:6 215:22	268:5 269:2,4	244:18 245:18	project-level
Prince 58:9 71:14	226:13 257:6	271:21,22 272:4	266:11 316:11	252:13
71:18 112:2	272:2 273:9 276:7	273:5 275:8,9	340:9,10,22	prominent 261:6
113:13 114:2,8,18	276:11 297:14	281:16 283:14	progress 109:15	promise 25:19
114:19 131:19	311:1 312:18	352:7	110:13 239:19	227:8
224:22 247:5	320:1 340:7 346:4	production 76:3	271:14 360:7	promising 87:22
248:10 254:21	380:22	productive 338:16	374:12 404:6	88:16
305:17 398:8	problem 17:3	<b>products</b> 2:5 4:6	progressive 404:8	promote 328:20
principal 90:12	30:12 64:18	13:20 14:18 19:6	prohibitively	prompted 231:11
194:6	163:17 169:18	19:11 25:3 32:14	380:14	promptly 333:15
Principally 283:13	174:22 189:17	36:5 80:7 108:7	project 2:7 21:9	pronunciation
print 268:13	268:21 325:13	139:13 150:19	23:12 26:5,6	89:18
printed 24:1	383:4	244:9 246:13	28:13 42:4,15	propagated 335:22
printouts 174:16	problems 64:14	252:14 266:6	44:18 85:12	proper 89:18
prior 75:19 234:8	267:14 295:4	271:16 273:1,2	123:11 125:6,10	359:18
294:1 334:11	procedures 104:10	275:7 283:22	163:19 164:20	properly 175:7
priorities 6:22	104:12 199:8	285:22 287:16	219:19 259:4,6,16	property 55:17
245:12 273:14	process 29:21	344:5,5	259:20 260:14,15	62:7
326:13 369:21	30:19 38:17 39:19	profession 340:18	265:4,14 331:11	proposal 193:6
370:1,2,4 398:6	39:21 40:20 45:17	professional 386:6	331:17 358:18	194:2 195:17
prioritize 50:20	54:10 77:20 84:8	404:17	360:4,4,9,9,20	205:1,7,11,22
66:1 311:14	110:9 142:13	professor 333:8	361:17 371:2	348:2 352:12
prioritized 60:16	165:7 183:17	profiler 385:18	372:22 373:1.7.10	proposals 330:17
prioritizing 60:13	192:3 256:17	profit 51:9 73:5	374:8.9 375:2.14	330:21 331:3
61:9	258:3 276:19	151:3	387:13.21.22	348:9
priority 17:18	329:19 330:3	profitable 151:1.10	388:7 389:1.7.7	propose 347:17
158:17 257:18	334:20 335:5	289:3	389:13.17 390:9	proposed 208:16
370:9 376:9.10.15	338:17 347:22	profound 15:18	projected 85:14	211:5
376:16.20 381:5	360:17 363:11.11	403:16	projection 260:22	protect 55:17 228:4
pristine 242:6	378:20 392:13	profoundly 106:6	261:5	protecting 27:22
<b>private</b> 152:19	processes 330:5.6	program 3:8 6:1	projections 332:5	protection 48:21
168:8.9 171:18	330:12 339:5	9:19.22 125:14	projects 26:11.12	56:8 65:11 133:16
173:22 235:8	processing 273:20	216:9 243:18	26:13.20.22 27:4	protocol 328:3
381:19.21 382:2	299:10 314:7	244:15 246:3.4.20	27:9 28:4.10	proud 74:5
probably 28:16	385:16 392:8	248:5 262:21.22	29:17 33:9 41:5	proven 379:21
32:14 49:17 87:12	produce 14:17 22:2	264:17 268:6 17	41:18 77:6 7	<b>provide</b> 12:1.9 14:1
88:6.19.91:18	264:21 267:16	270:4 271:3.8	85:14.18.122:16	15:5.14 18:5.21
103:12 104:12	273.18.20	278:4 283:7	124:12.14 165:10	19:2 21:14 46:14
106:10 110:14	produced 272:1	300:18.18.317:7	217:19 219:15	47:12 51:10 56:3
118.21 121.18	274.3 278.3	317.15 318.17	265.1 277.8	59.8 128.17
128:21 132:6	produces 15.21	328:16 330.11	330:10 357.16	139:12.16.17
142:22 144.14	214.8	338.16 339.13	358:22 359.9	142:11 177.15
148.11 153.3	nroducing 21.15	340.7 17 341.17	360.1 5 362.14	202.13 203.21
156.8 158.17	275.6 278.1 200.8	343.6 355.1 364.6	376.19 393.6 17	202.13 203.21
159.14 161.19	319.9	nrogramming	393.17	215.6 18 216.22
107.11101.17	517.7	r. S. annung	575.11	212.0,10 210.22
			1	

Г

229:18 241:4,5	192:8	124:12,15,18	quarter 119:2	<b>quick</b> 26:7,17 28:3
244:1,5 254:15	publishing 31:20	126:9 129:19	quarters 363:17	182:18 192:19,22
259:2 278:18	<b>Puget</b> 54:22	132:14 134:8	<b>queens</b> 245:15	220:13 231:8
294:3 297:21	<b>pull</b> 52:6 95:11	137:21 138:16	question 24:18	234:12 239:10
302:3 314:18	103:18 143:5	141:22 149:6	122:18 124:6,11	<b>quickly</b> 99:15
316:21 317:20	157:19 195:2	151:17 154:20	127:11 132:7	103:3 136:16
358:19 365:8	364:5 387:19	168:21 171:1	135:17,19,20	143:21 149:20
377:13 392:7	<b>pulldown</b> 406:11	178:14 196:11	136:22 146:1	363:11 371:9
provided 20:1	pulled 75:12 149:8	199:9 200:14	156:15 161:19	quite 9:10 57:9
130:10 132:21	281:1,8 386:21	217:17 219:8	163:9 168:21	69:2 76:8 81:12
206:9 289:8	405:8	230:19 232:7	171:12,14 172:17	110:1 116:5,5
317:11 363:10	pulling 40:21 307:4	236:12,18 249:19	175:11,16 190:2	120:12 126:15
393:22	<b>pulse</b> 336:1	250:1 252:7 253:4	190:11 191:10	162:8 172:12
provider 325:12,18	<b>pump</b> 108:6	253:13,15 254:20	202:10,12,15	192:4 210:15
325:19	pumped 54:6	275:14 293:15,17	208:18 210:20	231:16 232:12
providers 326:1	<b>pumping</b> 108:6,13	295:21 296:16	236:15 239:6,21	237:12 238:4
provides 56:1	purchased 275:20	299:17 307:2	241:12,14,20	242:5,14 277:16
114:19 127:20	276:2,3 277:9	309:11 320:19,22	301:9 302:16	278:5 283:16
214:16 218:5	pure 237:6	331:2 333:16	303:15 305:1	292:14 315:10
316:3,8	purple 250:8	334:19 352:6	306:4 309:18	362:8 377:19
providing 107:1	purpose 125:6	357:6 365:10,13	310:12 319:18	385:20 389:22
128:17 202:19	173:12	367:17 373:18,19	321:9 324:8	394:5
216:12 314:8.9	purposes 172:16	377:4 378:7 379:2	342:18.21 346:20	
371:18	261:14 280:13	386:14 387:14	351:17 357:21	R
Proving 266:9	305:12 314:11,12	puts 27:1 152:8	361:19 370:20	<b>R</b> 402:13
provisions 37:17	315:7 346:15	<b>putting</b> 20:10 45:1	377:9 380:15	radar 57:1 156:2
provoking 122:4	371:5	101:4 103:16	385:1 393:14	179:2,17
proximity 207:15	pursue 405:22	107:6 169:5	questionable	radars 52:3 247:14
<b>Prudhoe</b> 68:21	<b>push</b> 70:21 95:12	255:15 287:19	116:13	radio 134:16,18
251:7 291:14	134:22 187:2	290:15 302:8	questionnaire	<b>RADM</b> 1:17,20
<b>public</b> 3:18 4:23	247:7 294:19	303:7 307:4	400:18	railroad 310:17
5:6 8:15 58:7	331:19 340:20	311:17 316:19	questions 7:21 8:4	311:20
150:14 151:16	376:20 391:12	330:18 332:16	9:2 22:21 24:17	railroads 311:6
152:2.19 153:15	pushed 53:14 121:2	379:11 388:14	29:11 39:12.16	rain 88:12 191:22
153:16 171:8.18	<b>pushing</b> 109:16	390:2.8	47:21 89:1.5	raised 171:14
173:11 181:10	137:14 198:8	P-R-O-C-E-E-D	110:20 121:21	raises 156:15
192:10 195:15	341:5 344:11	5:1	122:8 125:19	ramifications
207:9 216:14	348:14	<b>p.m</b> 183:1 212:16	145:8 160:9 171:9	205:6
258:12 259:2	<b>put</b> 6:3 11:16 35:12	212:17 327:16.17	171:10 189:19	ramping 183:21
268:10.14 316:22	36:17 38:4 48:22	407:19	192:1 197:19	<b>ran</b> 65:7 76:21
328:3 338:4	50:9 60:4 15 62:7		220:21 238:15.20	293:14
346:10 399:21	62:11.13 63:5	Q	238.20 239:2	range 45:11,12,13
400.1	65.16 19 76.5	<b>QA/QC</b> 324:17	236:20 237:2	45:18 56:22
nublicly 129.19	77:15 79:19 80:9	325:19	300.13 336.7 10	134:17,19,20
nublished 25.21	97.8 98.11 100.16	quaintly 43:15	339.9 340.4	294:11 351:19
27.13 36.11 30.8	105.4 109.9 20	quality 247:1	344.15 395.6	ranging 246:15
119.9 187.9 197.6	110.4 123.17	322:12 324:21	400.19	<b>rapid</b> 382:1
117.7 107.7 172.0	110, f 1 <i>43</i> ,1/		100.17	
				1

rarely 92:9 119:1	17:4 18:1,20 19:6	137:11 140:17	157:9 175:2 249:7	recreate 208:11
Raspberry 266:2	21:19 48:7 53:12	207:22 249:2	284:4 363:7	recreational 17:10
<b>raspy</b> 10:20	58:12 61:18 63:20	254:10 255:18	recognized 10:1	178:16 329:15
rate 24:10 30:14	65:18,22 67:11	257:19 267:2,4	154:6 156:8 174:3	red 60:8 76:4 96:16
58:8 386:9	80:12,15 87:14	310:14 323:8,13	recognizing 157:6	148:14 185:7
<b>rates</b> 336:1	92:14 95:10 96:1	324:4	158:12	196:17 230:20
ratio 46:4,4	96:4,9 100:16	<b>reason</b> 53:14 77:13	recollection 235:10	232:17 237:17
rationale 46:3	108:17 121:19	192:22 209:21	recommend 399:5	Redefinition
170:12	126:2 128:3,19	322:14	recommendation	313:19
Rayfield 333:13	131:20 135:22	reasonable 293:7	183:18 186:8	redid 377:18
reach 307:19	136:7 145:5,5	reasonably 181:18	187:9,18 188:18	redirect 363:2
reached 106:16	155:8,10 158:13	287:1 289:3	192:8 193:19	<b>redo</b> 361:12
react 163:7 165:5	175:14 178:5	reasons 82:2 105:7	195:10 349:15	redoing 30:21
reaction 333:1	181:12,20 184:14	198:4 226:19	359:4 369:1,9	<b>reduce</b> 188:20
read 25:22 90:13	184:22 193:21	265:22 286:21	387:17 390:13	199:2 321:12
90:14 349:18	209:7 216:18,21	350:7 362:4	recommendations	reduced 200:15,16
352:14 367:12	230:18 231:2,19	<b>rebound</b> 30:8,12	7:6,7,8 8:13 14:1	253:11 391:15
395:6	239:16 242:9	rebounded 30:17	15:5 18:21 19:2	reduction 52:20
readable 134:10	244:11 248:3	recall 360:3	22:14 32:5 34:5	redundancy 31:11
readily 280:12	250:1,18,20 252:5	recap 4:1 231:8	111:9 186:8	157:20 344:22
291:2	252:7 258:18	receded 351:22	195:11 212:1	355:20 356:11
reading 141:12,15	259:16 260:13	receding 15:21	326:16 327:21	refer 72:10 74:11
ready 25:15 101:12	261:5 262:6 263:9	351:2,13	340:16 353:15	reference 272:7
167:17 216:9	263:14 274:22	receive 54:10 70:15	359:5 403:2	286:18 287:16
349:17	277:16 278:5,17	163:22 232:20	recommended	310:14 314:2,16
real 28:3 41:6	279:10,18,18	266:20 267:2	189:1,7,8,15	314:19 315:17,20
87:10 99:19 133:5	281:4,9,19,20	338:19	190:10 199:11	322:4 374:20
136:15 169:18	282:1 283:22	received 43:13	357:14	references 401:10
174:15,22 252:3	284:3 291:4	231:10 238:20	recon 106:20	referred 53:9
267:13 293:20	299:18 301:3,4,16	244:22 259:19	reconciliation	208:19
329:7 341:11	304:6 311:20	276:13 406:8	348:11	referring 125:18
383:4	314:5 315:1,11	receiver 71:3 292:5	reconnaissance	<b>refine</b> 295:15
realistic 240:3	316:6 317:1,21	346:9,10,13	105:13	refuel 226:17
reality 303:20	318:15 323:7	receivers 176:16	reconsideration	refueling 226:1
realization 374:21	324:18 331:22	288:3 346:7	210:17	227:4,15
realize 178:19	340:20 341:9,16	receiving 266:15	reconstructed	Refuge 3:12
184:15 200:4	346:8 349:4	266:17,18	200:21	refused 227:7
225:5 286:12	358:13 363:3	reception 265:18	reconstruction	refute 197:12
293:10 299:2,16	371:18 377:10,14	<b>recess</b> 165:4	360:14	regard 152:10
332:7	379:18 383:11	recession 152:2	record 39:9 144:19	289:8
realized 49:4,13	385:19 386:12	recipe 110:17	182:22 203:22	regarding 323:12
52:5 61:2 178:3	388:6,13 391:2	Reclamation	212:16 236:18,22	350:1,3
realizing 23:19	392:15,21 394:7	367:17	247:12 282:5	regards 220:6
157:1 315:8	403:3 405:1	recognition 9:21	327:16 333:5	282:15
really 11:21 12:5,7	406:15	77:5 155:19 356:8	407:19	region 15:18 18:2
12:10,16 13:14,22	realtime 12:8 71:1	recognize 11:10	recorder 253:14	21:2 150:21 151:6
14:6 15:4,13 16:3	87:6 88:16 137:8	13:10 46:12 156:6	recovery 220:16	290:12 298:14
, -				

٦

394:17,19 395:3	relies 12:8 88:7	reported 149:15	research 13:19	207:7 210:13
395:15 403:6	194:21 215:5	150:9	245:9 246:4	220:15 257:1
regional 2:5 4:6	<b>relocate</b> 218:19	reporter 24:21	248:15 252:18	302:11 303:16
25:2 32:22 33:1	<b>relocation</b> 27:10,11	162:20	253:18 254:1	336:4 347:1,4,7
39:10,19 243:18	rely 77:8 208:1	reporting 76:12	265:16 272:10	347:12,18,19
252:3 256:4 259:8	relying 53:5 201:9	149:11 209:16,18	301:11 318:10	348:14 373:13
301:2 307:9 349:8	remarks 4:1 7:14	<b>reports</b> 31:21	400:9,18	380:10
regions 52:18 81:9	<b>remember</b> 116:21	128:17 158:14	reserve 354:17	responses 194:14
250:4	343:8 373:12	177:10 184:12	<b>reserves</b> 354:22	350:3
<b>Register</b> 187:11	remembers 359:14	represent 111:6	355:2 406:14	responsibilities
192:9 207:8	remind 65:11	Representative	reside 74:9	42:1 173:14
registered 174:12	187:7,7	11:13 38:14	residents 216:16	284:14
registration 268:20	remote 139:14	130:14	234:16	responsibility
registry 390:15,15	153:2 251:1	representing	resistant 346:17	42:20 43:11
391:13	252:12 255:12	213:11 264:7,9	resolution 250:22	206:22 337:2,11
regular 39:21	386:12	297:14	269:1 271:5	339:8
99:19 235:3 314:8	remoteness 54:13	represents 166:13	331:14 363:16	responsible 221:14
regularly 94:12	203:6 250:3	request 173:8,20	<b>resource</b> 246:16	226:20 233:19
regulated 374:15	removed 345:2	209:11 241:7	258:10 270:10	365:6
regulations 59:12	removing 201:11	256:4 309:12	328:18 329:13	rest 57:2.10 106:16
189:15	<b>Rena</b> 56:11 57:7	322:15 328:2	331:9	108:1 114:15
regulatory 26:10	<b>Renda</b> 100:2.12	375:21 376:2	resources 50:22	160:5 181:15
reinforcing 92:4	104:8.19 105:2.15	400:5	145:12.15 216:12	297:13 343:14
reinspecting 223:3	106:12.15 107:5	requested 214:2	219:17 245:19	<b>Restoration</b> 9:20
reinterpreted	107:15.19 108:1	requesting 381:21	250:15 316:14.20	restores 347:1
146:21	108:17 109:1.13	400:15	318:13 330:14	restoring 348:14
reiterate 383:3	109:16 110:4	requests 173:10	358:4.20 381:6	restricted 78:18
related 9:21 313:17	226:15 230:8	377:5	392:21	191:12
321:20 346:20	<b>Renda's</b> 103:12	<b>require</b> 77:13	respect 200:5	restriction 72:16
relates 42:9 127:16	renewable 3:19	83:15 169:20	210:17	restrictions 72:18
relationship 42:17	21:2	required 58:21	respond 174:1	results 194:16
43:6 47:2 129:8	<b>repair</b> 64:17	59:10 85:8 105:6	181:4 193:17	295:5 375:6
319:10 365:19	repairing 59:18	172:11 173:22	201:3.11.205:8	resumed 183:1
371:13 372:3	repeat 110:18	284:21 344:9	220:2 304:8	212:17 327:17
relationships	repeated 110.19	requirement 171:2	344:12 381:22	resupply 103.12
122:15 214:7	repeatedly 307:16	206·17	401:5	185:9
<b>relative</b> 332:15	repetition 336:1	requirements 6:21	responder 305:5	<b>retain</b> 157:16
relatively 140.16	replicate 249.5.12	76.11 82:8 199.6	responding 172:8	retired 3:22 48:17
237:11	259:3	326:11 389:3	322:15	88:2 154:12 333:6
relaxed 241:8	replies 401:22	requires 83:5	response 9:19	retirement 137:3
relaving 86.7	reply 175.13	requiring 53.17	37.20 55.7 56.9	retrieved 31.9
releasing 256.18	401·19	285.1 325.2	62.1 64.2 6 65.3	retrospect 102.20
relentless 233.9	report 27.13 34.2	rerouting 201.20	75.20 141.12	retrospective
reliability 324.21	59.8 82.9 128.20	res 280.1 1	172.2 173.3 174.3	156·18 19
reliable 77.9 326.2	207.8 209.11 13	rescue 64·2 20	174.5 180.5	return 61.11 64.8
relief 105.6 107.18	209.19 401.11 12	70.14 128.9 133.8	192.12 201.8 14	133.14 135.6
108.2 16	401.15	rescues 154.21	201.21 203.13	289.1 316.6
100.2,10	401.15	1 cocuco 107.21	201.21 203.15	207.1 510.0
	l			l

			1	
317:16 327:9	170:2 171:3	335:12	128:4,12 186:18	102:18 104:11,15
<b>revenue</b> 130:1	187:14 188:4	<b>River's</b> 97:7	189:7,8,15 190:10	183:18 185:18
151:12,20 152:10	190:17 197:10,18	<b>RMSE</b> 275:9	199:11 215:19,19	186:21 187:22
355:14 391:15	201:3,8,22 203:6	road 6:4 111:15	216:6,17	193:11,16 201:5
revenues 130:4	207:12 208:2	113:7 114:20	routine 149:20	<b>Russians</b> 146:14
151:14 345:19,19	212:4 219:9 225:3	226:21 266:2	160:17	210:13
345:20 391:16	234:14 251:7	289:18 291:13,15	routinely 164:10	
<b>reverse</b> 348:1,2	256:18 259:15	291:17 311:21	196:19 208:18	<u> </u>
review 1:6 188:4	260:2,14 264:12	roads 250:5 286:22	routing 185:13	Sacramento 375:4
206:10,18 207:2	267:13 277:2,12	291:18 310:21	187:12 190:5	375:4
360:12	280:20 286:10	311:3	199:8,19 200:2	<b>safe</b> 19:3 51:11
reviewed 259:19	289:17,18 312:3	<b>Robert</b> 331:17	205:7 206:16	73:16 77:8 222:4
revising 122:14	312:12 320:20	robust 178:6 325:8	<b>rub</b> 67:18	222:5
revisions 94:6	327:7 328:8 329:5	robustness 158:10	<b>rubber</b> 6:4	safely 12:11,16
Reynolds 3:23	333:2 337:16	rock 65:7 161:9	rubble 190:9	13:21 16:4 18:13
182:11 183:7	357:10 358:13,21	Rockies 145:9,10	rudder 54:1 178:11	18:18 19:2 20:2
196:1 197:9 328:4	361:5,11 368:2	Rocks 174:12,20	ruined 184:17	74:1 81:2 83:10
328:9,10	376:6,7 381:17	rocky 97:21	<b>rule</b> 68:11 344:20	87:4 107:17
re-contacting	393:6 398:2	role 47:16 106:22	rules 347:21	145:19
205:19	rights 186:3	110:1 146:4	367:21	<b>safer</b> 184:1
re-creation 207:20	<b>rip</b> 25:20	202:19 306:16	<b>run</b> 19:11 58:2	safety 37:18 49:14
re-project 281:3	<b>ripe</b> 242:5	335:7	63:10 76:17 80:13	50:12 56:1 58:22
re-survey 376:5	<b>rips</b> 142:9	rolling 10:11 333:1	80:22 81:8 99:15	66:10 70:22 72:11
<b>RFP</b> 330:16	risen 308:15	<b>ROMS</b> 305:18	137:7 143:13	133:15 134:14
<b>Rich</b> 20:13 137:5,6	risk 56:7 73:20	<b>Ron</b> 3:11 88:2	151:13 152:19	136:2,8 153:15,18
143:10 359:11	142:8 157:10	roof 378:1	153:16,20 180:14	156:14,20 179:16
392:2	200:15 203:2	room 1:13 5:8 6:17	185:6 195:3 217:3	179:21 186:1,5,7
RICHARD 2:2	224:11 236:12	6:18,18 7:20	227:6 262:2,3	207:10 209:17
right 7:2,10 9:17	284:16	188:5 195:18	293:2 295:3,8	sail 68:19
15:9 20:13 24:22	risk-taker 227:2	243:7 374:11	335:20 336:18	sailboat 63:3
25:20 26:22 27:9	<b>Rita</b> 359:14	398:5,6,8,9	362:14	sailed 63:3 169:2
28:6,11 30:22	river 57:14 77:7	rooms 6:13 183:14	<b>rundown</b> 220:13	sailing 48:5 54:7
36:9 39:16 40:2	78:9 79:5,7 80:2	398:13	<b>running</b> 5:10 38:20	8/:12 116:3 117:3
40:15 41:8,17	81:7 87:21 88:1,5	ROSHYDROMET	62:12 97:15 108:8	sailor 70:5 209:12
42:15 46:20 47:10	88:10,12,14 94:10	202:13	186:22 212:5	sails 167:6
58:13,14 65:1	94:13,13,14,14,15	rotated 75:14	236:10 238:13	salinization 332:7
71:21,22 85:1	94:16,16,22,22	rotten 242:1	297:10 339:14,16	salmon 91:4,11
87:6 101:5 104:16	95:4,13 96:17,21	403:11	runoff 141:2	97:2,3,6 262:2
118:5 119:21	96:22 97:1,4,5,9	<b>rounds</b> 40:2	<b>runs</b> 176:10 214:15	salvage 173:2
123:10 126:22	97:21 98:5,15	route 4:13 102:2,10	<b>runup</b> 217:1,6	San 68:19 155:15
129:11 130:5,9	137:14 149:5	112:6 169:9	<b>Rupert</b> 71:14,18	375:3
132:12 134:22	222:9 223:8 266:5	182:13 183:5,16	112:2	sanctuaries 198:22
144:5 147:11	375:4	184:4 189:1,3	<b>Russia</b> 63:17,20	sand 219:11
150:6 157:12	riverine 27:15,19	192:2,7 193:4	80:15 192:13	sandbox 404:5
160:15 161:11	217:8	194:2,6 198:17,19	193:6,22 207:2	sank 101:13
163:13,21 164:3	rivers 61:7 78:9	228:15 381:1	291:21	SAR 290:21
164:11 167:19	94:11,17 262:3	routes 112:7,9	<b>Russian</b> 101:14	Sarah 63:18

٦

ant 50.2 96.5	SCHAWNA 2.25	277.1 296.0	160.9 212.4 250.5	271.2
<b>Sal</b> 30:3 80:3	SCHAWNA 5:25	277:1 280:9	109:8 215:4 550:5	$\frac{2}{1:3}$
satellite 18:8 54:21	schedule /:11	289:18,21 291:2	350:7 351:8	Seek 1/3:11 265:10
58:3 63:6 84:13	11/:13 162:6,6	366:18,19,22	353:10 366:12	seen 17:8,11 21:21
127:13,16,17,18	164:11 212:5	SeaCat 3:16	367:4	93:11 94:6 148:12
128:7,15,20	schedules 117:11	Seal 17/4:12,20	sedan 115:7	171:8174:16
147:16,18 203:22	scheme 187:14	<b>Sealift</b> 165:17	see 5:11 12:20	188:1 215:22
230:13 252:5	211:5 269:9	search 64:2 70:14	21:13 26:15 36:6	284:9 347:10
256:7 265:19	<b>school</b> 234:15	128:9 133:7	36:9 39:10 46:16	356:20 402:11
266:7,20 267:3	312:10,18	seas 174:13 191:22	56:4 59:18 60:7	segments 247:3
272:1	science 22:2 61:22	232:1	63:17,19 66:1,19	345:13
satellites 147:9,13	138:9 246:5 263:8	<b>season</b> 44:9,9 81:4	67:2,3,4 70:8 71:2	<b>segue</b> 225:12
251:1 292:10	328:13,18,22	81:6 82:3 91:4,14	73:12 76:14 79:4	Seldovia 114:9
save 55:16,17 221:2	329:19,21 400:6,7	94:20 97:3 149:8	80:15 94:8 97:10	selective 133:7
236:6 386:19	401:12 404:17	161:17 190:20	100:15 101:19	Selendang 55:20
saved 222:19	406:12	202:6,6 275:17	119:18.21 120:2	64:3
saw 14:11 20:6	sciences 215:5	seasonally 113:11	124:17 127:6.8	selfish 226:19
37:19 109:6 185:8	scientific 10:5	seasons 274:1	128:5 132:7 154:4	sell 309:5
218:16 234:13	258:11	seat 143:18	155:2.7 156:4	selling 140:7
237.10 268.18	scientist 3.8 407.4	Seattle 48.9 140.17	165.5 168.9 16	semester 334·1
377.21 391.18	scientists 215.5	seawall 219.18	176.15 177.7 16	337.19 338.3
saving 60.16 61.19	scone 373.7 374.1	Secchi 382.10	178.21 21 22	340.12 13
67.15 93.5 135.17	395.12	second 19.4 28.11	184.22 185.1 2	Senate 3/1.8 3/8.1
166.21 240.4	sconing 50.17	28.12 34.7 80.10	186.10 11 12 18	3/8.6 10 378.0
266.10 256.14	215.18 10 217.12	20.12 J4.7 00.10 92.10 127.4	180.10,11,12,18	101.12 16
200.19 330.14	217.10	02.19 137.4	107.14 109.2	401.12,10 Senator 2:21.25
300:14 302:18 270:6 15 202:9	517:19	192:10 212:19	191:21 194:18	<b>Senator</b> 5:21,25
370:0,15 393:8	<b>Scored</b> 200:10	225:22 204:20	190:8 202:18	155:21 104:18
says 45:10 48:5	Scott 1:1/ 110:3	207:10 208:3	207:16 209:2,5	165:21 244:22
93:7 160:16	150:3,5 357:1,11	2/1:13 2/2:14	214:19 218:12	send 6/:1/ 149:18
180:21 281:15	screen 64:22 90:15	276:9 336:11	219:20 228:7	222:13 338:7
291:22	96:15 128:5 156:3	370:17	233:17 237:13	sends 62:18
scale 21:13 84:18	209:1 230:12	Secondly 344:1	238:3 239:13	sense 64:12 122:19
86:16 119:18	315:13	seconds 53:20	245:20 251:18,19	169:16 310:3
120:1,5,19 127:2	<b>screws</b> 228:4	128:18,19 178:8,9	252:19 253:8,14	344:10,19 394:12
145:21 250:2	<b>SDMI</b> 265:5 268:6	178:9	255:4,9 256:12,21	394:20 396:6
251:10 331:5	270:4,7,15,17	Secretary 3:6 14:2	257:4 261:2,5	senses 315:1
376:21 386:6,7	277:9 278:4	section 118:12	267:8 273:3,5,21	sensing 251:1
405:16	290:17 297:9	170:10	291:21 292:12	252:12 255:12
scaled 142:4	<b>SDMI's</b> 280:4	sections 118:14	298:18 306:20	sensitive 52:16
scaled-down 200:8	sea 15:10 16:2 55:2	199:14,16	307:7 321:2	72:21 160:11
scales 250:21	76:2 109:11	sector 70:14 268:10	339:22 341:17	sensor 254:10
251:13	188:11 194:19	268:14 272:20	344:16 351:4	255:21 256:8
scanned 51:21	198:17 210:15	381:19.21 382:2	354:14 376:17	325:13
scare 87:2	221:5 223:18	secure 51:11	382:21	sensors 70:13
scary 83:12	224:16 233:9	security 2:14 4:17	seeing 15:9 68:15	132:15 133:9.19
scattered 293:7	247:9.12.15	53:13 56:10 59:2	69:12.12 71:19	134:5 252:11
scene 202:1	255:13 257.19	72:8 146:19 20	74:4 217:14	255:7 325:21
scenes 273.19	258.6 261.8 262.1	165.14 15 166.1	230.12 267.22	sent 49.2 205.13
Section 273.17	200.0 201.0 202.1	100.1 ,10 100.1	200.12 201.22	Sere 17.2 203.13

Г

	151 5 919 9			252.12
225:21 396:15	151:5 213:2	Seward 28:6	shift 155:2 268:18	352:13
sentence 63:22	242:13 261:14	215:14 247:18	290:9,12	<b>shoaling</b> 350:11,20
sentinel 251:9	262:16 272:19	shading 94:10	shifted 290:10	351:2,13
354:19 384:8,9	278:17,19,19	<b>shaking</b> 208:3	ship 18:4 48:9	shoals 227:19
separate 6:17,18	279:10 281:5	234:10	52:19 59:2 60:18	351:16
separation 187:14	300:22 333:11	Shaktoolik 239:15	65:6 67:6,6 72:8	shoot 377:22
208:16 211:5	341:19 345:21	shallow 80:21	77:16 86:20	<b>shop</b> 266:22 335:16
September 234:7,8	349:8,11 350:14	203:4	102:19 118:5	338:12,12,22
series 33:10 247:19	350:15 354:5	shallower 187:5	148:15,18 163:10	<b>shore</b> 69:18 80:22
286:6	375:22 394:14	shallowest 196:19	170:16,18,20	107:10 123:7
serious 76:12 123:4	servicing 97:17	shalt 359:21	179:2 180:12	184:10,15 186:16
123:15	113:22	SHANNON 3:15	191:21 197:2	187:1,3 194:22
serve 95:2 96:8	serving 61:4	<b>shape</b> 30:11 186:2	201:2,16 209:12	199:4 222:15
120:9 265:4	262:13	186:14 197:11	214:20 221:7	226:16
297:16	session 25:2 332:19	199:19 274:2	223:14,17 225:7	shorefast 108:22
served 10:5 360:12	343:8 349:10	<b>shaped</b> 195:16	230:9 253:21,21	217:13 220:7,8
<b>server</b> 157:3	352:21 358:8	shaping 187:18	361:15 380:12	shoreline 18:8
servers 157:4,8,15	395:5,22 403:14	188:17 194:1	shipping 52:1	21:13 218:3,17
<b>serves</b> 96:12	sessions 5:14,14	196:3 200:6	71:17 129:20	219:4 250:13
service 2:17 3:12	6:12,20 22:8	<b>share</b> 26:1 32:8	132:20 174:21	282:7 317:8,9
3:13 4:19 12:10	182:4 326:10	126:19 129:15,17	186:1,2 249:10	353:9 380:7 387:2
49:10,12,21 50:10	354:13 396:14	131:22 135:8	259:22 260:11	shoreside 112:16
54:20 60:14 61:8	set 7:18 81:18	177:11 181:14	350:16	ShoreZone 251:11
65:14 112:13	92:11 107:4	317:2 368:10	shippings 296:15	256:9,20 257:6
114:15 119:16	108:12 119:11	<b>shared</b> 50:11,11	<b>ships</b> 41:13 52:20	281:19,19 310:6
131:18 133:13	127:4 151:14,15	58:17 71:22 73:12	52:21,22 53:20	330:20
135:11,13 138:11	216:19 244:18	301:10 328:17	60:1,2 63:8 65:12	<b>short</b> 17:21 81:4
139:16 150:15	245:12 255:22	368:14	65:22 66:2 68:15	132:7 226:22
154:6 200:9,10,14	258:5,8 269:5	<b>shares</b> 289:5	69:15 71:16,20	241:15 341:21
207:21 213:7	273:14 281:21	sharing 19:5	113:16 151:13	342:3,8 400:18,21
216:11 229:7	304:1,12,19,19	105:14 122:15	154:13 159:14	404:17
241:4 265:21	305:7 347:19	131:10 140:10	165:16,16,17	<b>shorter</b> 134:19
266:1,13 269:19	<b>setnet</b> 97:14	169:14	169:7,11 178:19	183:9
270:1,18 276:17	setnetting 91:5	<b>sheds</b> 273:3	179:11 186:22	shortest 112:5
276:17,18 277:9	sets 214:15,20,22	<b>sheer</b> 227:11	194:21 195:1	115:2
280:18 323:8	215:10 217:2	<b>sheet</b> 337:7 396:22	196:15,22 199:7	<b>shortly</b> 270:13
330:22 353:5	279:21 285:18	397:1	201:19,19,20	328:6
386:3,11 387:2	302:1 337:22	<b>sheets</b> 5:7,8,16	207:16 221:5,13	<b>short-term</b> 168:17
388:3 389:12,13	346:14 391:6	<b>shelf</b> 13:2	222:21 225:9	<b>shot</b> 119:13
389:19 393:12	setting 31:16,16	<b>Shell</b> 37:21 183:12	227:20 350:12	<b>shots</b> 91:20
serviced 113:10,13	170:2	253:1 257:13	404:16	<b>show</b> 24:1,2 76:5
services 1:6 2:5,13	seven 248:8 398:22	<b>shelves</b> 242:16	<b>ship's</b> 227:20	80:15 110:8 142:4
4:4,6,15 7:13 25:3	Seventeen 112:15	shepherd 402:8	Shishmaref 27:10	217:4,16,19
51:10 79:21 94:18	seventies 113:15	shepherded 209:17	239:15	248:22 265:12,20
113:17 114:2	117:22 278:9	Sherron 333:14	<b>shoal</b> 45:15 46:11	270:12 271:11,13
117:11 129:7	405:3	Shestakov 100:9	46:14,21,21 222:4	272:13 274:7
139:13 150:19	<b>severe</b> 27:16	<b>she'd</b> 281:15	351:17,20,20	276:8 302:19

٦

	1			1
362:2 398:18	Signal 59:1	400:12	366:12	366:13
showed 86:4 93:12	Signaling 72:7	site 39:2 61:20	<b>slides</b> 25:19,20 36:2	<b>Soil</b> 340:2
148:21 248:18	signals 70:15	62:16 84:20 131:2	76:15 84:15	solar 62:14 133:4
267:12 279:22	signed 204:17	134:7 143:2 197:9	121:15 124:12	sole 281:17
317:13 343:15	257:12,20 258:4	240:18 274:22	139:10 265:19	solely 228:15
<b>shower</b> 27:6	352:4 397:5	327:3 399:7	277:15 296:11	solid 312:19
showing 105:21	significant 9:13	sites 29:9 38:11	313:21	solution 46:18,19
142:2 186:22	109:11 284:7	39:1 41:10 59:14	sliding 127:2	61:17 246:1
249:15 261:10	287:6 303:4 304:5	59:18 60:21 61:1	slightly 190:14	384:10,12
325:14 338:1	significantly 152:3	62:10 73:6 79:3	292:10 333:9	solutions 50:13
<b>shown</b> 200:13	227:10 347:5	84:21 130:7,8	slope 69:11 76:3	solving 267:14
shows 67:9 106:11	signs 6:14 98:8	240:2 247:8 255:1	83:8	<b>somebody</b> 56:13,14
135:1 186:18	sign-in 5:8	354:20	<b>slots</b> 98:9	79:19 144:8
190:18 271:1	<b>sign-up</b> 5:15	site-specific 143:5	slowly 310:13	156:18 158:17
274:8 280:5	396:21	379:6	small 23:12 26:3	312:11 343:18
<b>shut</b> 236:4	Sikta 114:14	Sitka 121:10,11	30:9 61:4 96:8	355:3 392:18
<b>shuts</b> 130:3	<b>silence</b> 239:8	sitting 153:10	97:17 115:7 118:8	somebody's 370:10
<b>shy</b> 196:4	<b>silt</b> 89:8	288:4	126:15,17 140:16	372:9
<b>sick</b> 242:1	siltation 46:10	situation 78:5	145:16 169:15	someday 196:2
side 13:17,17 23:18	Silver 327:9 355:2	179:13 209:2	181:7,16 185:6	someone's 56:1
57:21 80:4 105:19	similar 91:22	235:6 288:14	222:13 248:19	somewhat 79:16
142:9 146:16	171:22 230:15	384:10 385:10	310:22 331:18	121:17 132:7
154:11 179:6	324:18	situations 199:20	395:4	351:2
184:9 186:21	similarly 132:1	282:6 378:19	smaller 113:18	sonar 63:11 335:6
187:19,20 189:4,7	simple 385:17	six 141:6 221:11,13	198:7,14 274:2	335:6
201:5,6 205:10	<b>simply</b> 70:6 287:2	266:20 275:12	306:6	song 284:9
228:17 253:2	288:1 307:18	322:16 376:8	smallest 114:21	<b>soon</b> 47:13,14
257:20 265:14	381:20 388:19	398:21 399:15,16	188:21	71:21 254:13
270:13,16,21,22	389:6	407:13	smart 51:5 367:18	357:20 370:8
271:21 273:8,9	simultaneously	sixties 405:3	402:16	sophisticated 126:3
279:4 283:15	108:7 288:10	<b>six-pack</b> 386:18	smile 225:3	<b>sorrv</b> 10:17 23:1
285:8 292:13,19	Singapore 159:14	size 37:6 67:6 296:4	Smith 2:10 4:10	25:10 47:18 68:12
304:15 346:12	159:15	Skagway 113:22	25:11 89:12,14,17	162:19 163:8
362:21 373:11	singing 168:2	<b>skiff</b> 149:10.10	89:21 90:6.11	176:5 182:12
385:12 386:19,22	single 116:15 180:6	skiffs 78:18 97:14	110:17 134:3	243:1 271:4
390:3	292:4 296:6 389:1	skilled 144:12	144:18,22 181:4	328:11 397:21
sides 108:2 187:1	single-band 222:18	<b>skipped</b> 405:10	204:10 227:9	sort 67:7 92:12
313:6 389:15	single-beam 334:15	skippers 386:17	333:21	129:7 132:14
sidewalk 65:19	335:19	<b>skv</b> 147:14.19	<b>Snake</b> 94:15	145:2 156:21
sidewalks 65:17	sir 182:15 190:16	slapped 224:22	<b>snow</b> 98:6 255:13	161:21 180:19
sideways 105:18	198:11 200:21	<b>slide</b> 67:8 76:5	sobering 228:6	181:18 190:9
191:21	201:17 203:9	83:11 186:17	societies 407:5	201:2 284:9.11.15
side-scan 334:15	205:14 209:9	187:15 189:21	socioeconomic	284:16 289:2
side-scanned	210:22 386:1	191:2 192:1	315:19 317:4	290:10 292:22
335:20	<b>sister</b> 367:13	195:22 217:18.22	software 38:20	293:8 294:1
<b>sign</b> 5:7 204:14	<b>sit</b> 132:17 154:14	218:4 249:19	134:12 142:16	295:19 296:1.7
396:16 397:1	326:9 387:7	272:2 282:16	157:8 181:11	297:20 298:7.10
				· · · , •
	1			1

Г

٦

298:11,13,20	147:14 250:9	173:15 174:7	<b>sport</b> 329:16	301:22 302:13
299:14 302:21,22	southwest 112:22	175:22 195:19	<b>spot</b> 46:16 280:3	303:18 327:19
312:14 320:10	114:6 115:14	196:17 222:1	<b>spots</b> 386:12	393:8 395:10,21
329:20 332:12	space 321:12 346:1	330:13 341:21	<b>SPOT-5</b> 272:1	stakeholder-driv
383:17,18 384:4	404:12 405:6	347:1 394:16	spread 312:14	246:3
384:17 394:6,19	space-based 345:13	specification 101:7	spreadsheet 396:15	stall 405:21
sorts 295:4 392:4	<b>spacing</b> 208:16	101:8 274:12	spreadsheets 67:2	<b>STAMP</b> 259:6
sound 18:6,11,12	<b>Spanish</b> 146:14	specifications	<b>Spring</b> 327:9 355:2	301:11
51:12 55:1 58:9	<b>SPAR</b> 201:13	124:22 313:5	<b>spruce</b> 98:7 112:20	standard 306:11
113:14 114:3,8,18	<b>sparked</b> 149:18	specs 28:11 161:15	sprung 79:20	325:2 352:5
114:20 131:19	sparse 19:15	164:14 334:17	<b>spur</b> 362:21	364:21 365:18
224:22 233:16	202:21	<b>spectrum</b> 214:22	square 17:17 96:16	404:9
247:5 248:10.13	spatial 259:6.9	345:12.18 392:11	248:19 265:7	Standardization
251:7 254:21	271:5 286:4 294:4	392:17	squared 402:14	32:8
255:5 305:17	314:16 315:17.20	<b>speed</b> 53:22 56:16	squares 274:2.3	standardized
378.14 382.18	331.14 374.20	104.22 108.5	squeeze 105.18	306.14
sounded 175.12	sneak 7:20 24:19	178.10	145.1	standards 125.16
soundings 117.2	59.15 75.12 151.6	sneeds 232.12	squeezed 106.5	126.9 237.11
226.14 228.16 16	183.3 195.6 211.1	speeds 232.12 speed 175.18	St 28.5 62.22	262.20.20.265.11
220.1720.10,10 228.17	221.20 220.0 11	100.10 223.6	102.11 161.8 10	202.20,20 203.11
220.17 sounds 87.21 80.5	221.20 227.7,11	sponding 140.20	185.10	276.10,21 324.11
135.22 136.1	205.5 555.15	spont 01.0 100.3	stability 156.7	327.3 360.13
$133.22 \ 130.1$ $224.11 \ 228.16$	574.10 speeker 4.12 0.8	226.11 272.0	stability 150.7	357.5 500.15
$234.11\ 336.10$	<b>Speaker</b> 4.12 9.0	220.11 273.9	stable 290.12 stoff 2:1 62:2 00:17	304.20 303.10 404.9
Source 194:20	25:5 75:8 89:12	2/3:13 317:10	<b>Stall</b> 5:1 02:5 99:17	404.8 standing 9.17
255:21 275:17	111:5 108:4	302:19	112:15,10 185:8	standing 8:17
300:20 309:22	182:10 229:6	<b>spiel</b> 86:6	208:2 333:14	182:2 326:8
310:2,10 326:3	242:22 264:1	spill 3:19 37:20	344:16 3/8:8	standpoint 205:1
sources 171:15	283:5	38:1 48:21 55:7	staffed 6:15 200:10	stands 349:13
254:7 255:3 301:3	speakers 327:8	62:1 64:5 171:19	227:13	stare 208:22
330:4 334:22	383:3	172:2,11,13 173:3	staffer 164:18	start 15:7 22:10
355:14 356:15	<b>speaking</b> 12:3 75:4	173:16,18 174:3	stage 288:15 298:1	25:15 41:4 44:8
sourcing 181:10	75:5 319:14	183:12 201:21	348:8	60:9 85:14 94:8
south 55:3 109:12	<b>spec</b> 272:13 274:9	248:14 257:1	<b>stake</b> 173:6	117:12 122:9
109:17 110:5,14	276:1	307:11	stakeholder 2:5,12	212:8 213:16
118:6,12,20 128:7	special 78:19 96:18	<b>spills</b> 172:8	5:14 7:17,19 25:1	246:7 250:15
147:10 189:4,6	97:5 107:4 198:21	<b>Spit</b> 280:15	40:8,11 72:22	251:16 255:16
195:3 254:4	375:9	split 272:22 304:19	273:8 308:4,12	260:9 261:10
310:13 311:1	specialist 386:3	<b>spoke</b> 194:13	326:10 329:10	275:1 290:15
320:7 354:8 381:3	specialization	384:14 399:21	349:3 356:3,5	294:15 297:17
southbound 109:3	284:18	<b>spoken</b> 155:22	395:17 397:18	314:1 315:4 334:8
186:20,20 187:20	specific 142:11	402:13	398:4	334:20 352:1
southeast 30:7 31:1	173:2,10 181:14	<b>sponsor</b> 167:10	stakeholders 40:5	361:4 370:3 384:5
59:19 113:5,6,22	238:19 357:14	372:15	40:17 100:15	started 5:6 32:22
114:6 115:10,12	362:13 375:8,13	sponsored 84:4	103:17 104:4	35:3 43:2 48:18
115:14 121:9	401:16	sponsoring 175:6	181:13 198:6	60:22 61:6 91:14
135:10	specifically 75:17	sponsors 122:15,21	246:15 252:16	100:22 103:22
southern 71:13	158:3 172:14	358:3	261:12 262:7.10	108:18 177:12
-				

Г

٦

			1	
184:3 227:14	170:1 225:6	steps 116:15 138:2	183:4,16,22 184:6	students 65:18
228:11 243:15	232:14 244:19	168:6	185:6 191:13	334:2,7 336:9
244:17 245:1	273:22 322:17,20	stern 104:18,20	194:19 196:17	338:18
248:10,13 252:3	349:21 353:11	Steve 2:7 3:21 4:7	198:20 202:17	studied 138:19
252:17 254:8	354:10 375:12	25:5 122:11	206:14 228:21	<b>studies</b> 204:12
272:16 323:4	376:10 405:12	124:11,11 160:10	249:7 251:6 254:4	258:2,5,9 316:10
407:1	statewide 2:18	165:13 352:10	260:11 266:3	359:16
starting 22:7 37:3	33:17 41:1 213:12	357:15 367:6,8,12	276:22	study 4:13 33:1
52:4 70:12 71:12	254:5 264:9,22	<b>Stevens</b> 244:22	straits 121:9 176:8	39:4 46:5 47:6
71:15 178:18	265:5 268:16	sticking 74:19	189:14	138:9 167:7,17
314:17 315:2	275:7	sticky 193:5	strapped 95:9	182:14 183:5,16
382:21 403:3	state's 37:9 271:7	stinks 216:21	strategic 127:19	184:4 192:3,6,7
406:3	379:2	<b>stir</b> 44:13	140:9 146:10,13	193:4 194:2,7
starts 40:4 374:10	state-run 150:13	stone 10:11 44:13	146:15 246:20	198:20 204:16
state 3:21 26:13,19	270:8	stop 256:12 374:7	329:19	206:14 211:19
29:18 33:12 34:22	station 48:5 61:20	stopped 99:9	strategically 14:17	259:22 315:19,19
36:3,10,13 40:16	63:4 118:17,18	107:14 184:21	128:12	316:2 317:4,12,19
50:4 52:10 55:9	119:5 266:15,17	store 194:20	strategy 16:9 24:8	344:4 351:4,12
55:18 69:22 73:13	266:17 294:9	242:16	strawberries 242:5	352:2,7 390:11
111:18 116:7	314:2 324:10	stored 31:8	242:15	studying 9:15
126:4,16 129:22	353:21 386:22	stories 362:17	<b>stream</b> 130:1	stuff 87:7,9 122:6
130:10,21 138:6	stations 70:12	storm 78:12,12	391:16	154:18 177:16
151:10,14 152:7	118:10,11 133:2	87:14,15 101:13	Street 10:12	215:17 219:14
167:15 171:22	247:5 286:18	102:9 215:20	streets 54:16	249:20,22 267:4
172:6,9,9 173:9	293:16 310:14,20	225:10 226:6	strength 232:9	267:22 269:3
175:4,18 205:3	311:10 314:3,4,10	230:6,7,10,13,17	343:16	273:4,13 279:15
213:22 215:4	319:19 320:3,7	231:2,9 232:6,10	stress 19:22	279:18 280:2,2
220:5 231:16	321:6 384:9	233:5 234:6,7	stressors 329:2	283:1 284:21
238:17 245:8	statistics 337:3	235:2 237:5,6	stretch 97:4,9	285:10,12,17
247:17 248:5	Statoil 253:1	238:4,19 239:3,4	strong 210:19	294:8 295:13,22
254:10 257:5	257:13	239:18 261:17	266:14 279:11	298:7 307:1
259:11 269:16,21	stats 281:8	277:3 309:10	stronger 119:8	331:21 335:1
270:14 271:16,19	status 342:5,6	331:3,5,13,21	strongest 311:12	336:19
272:17,22 273:6	347:11 348:7	379:19	strongly 349:20	subcommittee
275:12,14 276:14	stay 83:9 318:17	storms 220:9 232:9	struck 139:11	401:5
277:17 280:9,10	stayed 56:19,20	330:13	384:14 385:3	subject 77:22 81:5
280:19 291:15	steadily 90:14	stormy 154:19	structure 42:12	124:21 151:21
309:9 310:22	115:14	Storm-Induced	43:22 44:6 47:11	342:11 366:5
312:3 316:18	steam 178:20	29:6	47:12 153:7	400:9,17
319:5 375:5 378:9	steaming 228:20	story 74:18 99:5,8	struggle 371:14	submerged 65:9
378:12 379:1	stems 383:11	99:12 101:21	struggling 139:22	submissions 195:14
385:9 391:14,20	step 40:3 152:11	110:19 233:14	strung 174:17	submit 212:1
403:19 404:3,3	167:21 193:2	344:18	Stuart 3:17 42:18	submittal 347:17
statement 51:13	334:8 335:4,8	straight 102:10,14	Stuart's 41:21	submitted 195:18
175:11,12 203:12	349:5 405:10	188:6	43:10	196:15 375:22
270:6 337:6,10	stepped 61:15	strait 4:12 67:8,17	stuck 82:4 89:8	subregional 250:20
states 4:7 152:4	277:22 301:5	159:21 182:13	171:6	subregions 248:8

anh aonth an 150.2	204.00 205.1	170.00 107.1	275.01 276.1 0	4.11.01.05.10
subscriber 159:2	394:22 395:1	1/9:20 197:1	3/5:21 3/6:1,2	4:11,21 25:12
subscription	390:2 402:5,7	200:11,12 209:4	3/7:4,5 3/8:15,19	52:1/ 55:8 42:22
287:22	406:2,17	214:19 215:21	3/9:/,18 380:11	53:8,18 54:10,18
subsidized 151:2	summary /3:12	216:1,1,9 218:4	390:17 391:3,10	54:22 55:5,13
152:13	393:16	228:12 252:1	391:10 404:16	59:1,6,9 62:3,20
subsidizes 151:11	summer 18:4 40:20	253:7 254:9	406:7	62:21 63:16 64:4
subsistence 185:16	44:7 82:17 84:3	260:17 282:11	surveyed 225:12	72:8,973:9,10
186:3 194:21	94:5 194:7 258:17	305:9 324:12	3/6:6 382:1	/5:20 111:4
195:7 229:20	267:5 271:12	333:4 349:4 351:8	surveying 36:10	112:11,18 113:5
329:14	311:14	355:22 356:1	37:15 46:14 68:9	125:2,5 126:6
substantial 391:15	summer's 202:2,5	364:20 375:10	106:14 162:10	127:15 129:13,14
391:22	Sumstad 88:2	389:19 394:5,10	223:9 226:20	130:17 131:8,13
substantially 44:10	Sunday 11:1	396:20 404:11	229:12,13 2/3:11	131:16 132:3
118:14 288:6	Suomi 266:7	406:17	294:6 298:10	134:8 148:12
substantively 205:5	super 100:5 109:9	surface 247:16	299:15 302:18	153:4,8 154:2
sub-area 171:22	supplement 358:20	262:1 290:19	314:21 334:1	155:3,4,5 159:13
172:4,19 173:20	supplies 76:1	297:9 382:5	342:4 346:15	160:1175:4176:9
sub-oceanic 204:12	supply 97:16 185:6	surge 215:20 232:6	362:15 370:4	176:12 177:15
sub-zero 103:2	supplying 144:2	233:5 235:2 237:5	373:20 391:4	183:11 209:18
109:5	391:19	237:6,7,17 239:3	surveyor 342:5	210:4 213:9 243:1
<b>success</b> 24:10 28:18	support 34:15 38:3	239:5 261:17	surveys 27:2 30:5	243:15,17,19
58:7,875:1197:8	52:12 /1:20	277:4 331:4,5,14	31:2 38:6 87:21	248:12 249:9
102:17 110:18	100:22 105:13	331:21	11/:0139:18	250:10 251:19
381:2	112:16 117:10	surmise 344:21	1/1:16 1/3:8,1/	252:9 262:19
<b>successiul</b> 20:5,15	129:21 165:16	surprised 89:8	1/4:8 222:18	2/9:2 28/:1/
137:13 259:19	1/7:15 205:21	surprising 177:20	227:17,17 241:1	288:1,4 293:5
299:18 557:9	204:3 205:8 235:1	surrounded 81:22	258:15 295:2	301:5 302:12
3/3:10	279:11,15,19	surrounding	308:4 321:15	314:10,20 315:17
successiuity 555.11	205:22,540:19	113.10	301:21 302:1 262:20 21 264:1	313:20 322:9,9
SUCK 299:9	supported 245:19	Survey 17:10,19	303:20,21 304:1	324:10 323:3
<b>Suuden</b> 105:0 255:5	524:5 supporter 169:15	20:19,21 25:8	308:9 370:11,21	340:3 334:18
577.21	supporter 108:15	50:9 52:7 07:14 106:17 116:5	3/1:4,4,0 3/0:19	574:20 avatoma 59:20.21
sufficient 42.0	supporters 511:12	100:17 110:3	3/9:0 390:10	<b>Systems</b> 38:20,21
<b>sufficient</b> 45:9	<b>supporting</b> 150.8	120:18 121:5	391:8 393:11	39:5 72:8 114:7
130.9 auggost 250.4	152:8 502:19	101:21 102:14	407:2,9	117:20 128:10
suggest 539:4	120.15 250.12	104:8 180:21	Survey S 140.9	139:12 207:13
suggested 556.11	150.15 259.15 supposed 56:20 21	214.15 220.9	suspected 227.7	290.12 300.0
suggestion 393.18	<b>supposed</b> 50:20,21	280:20,22 290:7	suspects 270.9	522:19 545:4
suggestions 190:11	1/5:22 40/:12	315:8,15 514:15	<b>Swain</b> 240:18	systemwide 55:14
suitable 144:14	<b>sure</b> 20:17 51:0,22	313:18 317:13	sway 515:2	system-oriented
Sulle 84:19 501:5	44:5 47:5 55:20	516:7 520:14 202:12 222:17	swell 80:15	574:0 SET 22:14
<b>Sumvan</b> 5:5 11:2,7	5/:4 04:15 /4:1	322:13 333:17 225:12 226:14 15	<b>Switch</b> 5/4:10	557 52:14
14:19 10:8 209:14	90:2 95:17 104:1	333:13 330:14,13 263:19 262:3	Sycamore 221:10	<u> </u>
237:11 303:10,11	105:21 141:14	302:18 303:2 264:14 265:9	224:18	$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$
559:11 544:15,14 247:16 249:16	145:1/15/:15	304:14 303:8 266:15 269:6 7 9	sympiouc 4/:4	263.4 10 272.12
347:10 348:10 200:16 200:0 0	130:/ 108:1	269.12 271.2	symbols 313:12	318.12
300:10 388:8,9	1/3:10 1/0:2,18	308:13 3/1:2	system 2:11,19	510.12
	l		l	l

<b>tables</b> 116:17 119:9	talk 11:22,22 12:17	60:18 63:6 67:3	telescope 404:2	test 132:21 305:19
224:11	14:12 16:12 19:4	68:18 71:21 86:12	telescopes 51:20	305:20 404:4
<b>tabulation</b> 5:10	20:4 50:15 51:7	tap 59:1 267:2	tell 41:18 141:22	tested 305:17,22
34:5	58:6 86:7 122:16	tape 240:5	143:12 154:16	testing 20:5 70:13
<b>tactical</b> 128:10	138:3 148:7	tapping 52:7 55:13	170:21 184:14	tests 70:20 137:13
<b>tagging</b> 38:17	163:14 177:21	target 72:20	196:20 198:18	336:16
<b>tags</b> 297:4	179:12 193:1	targeted 394:18	208:9 222:1	Texas 126:2,4
<b>Tague</b> 2:9 4:9 25:9	197:21 198:9	task 35:1 38:15	223:11 224:15	127:4 366:17,17
25:10 75:8,10	199:16 212:22	309:13 381:20	225:14 250:12	392:12
79:11,14 80:18	252:4 269:19	tasked 170:8	277:17 355:5	textbook 334:10
87:17 134:2 140:4	275:4,5 278:15	344:21	365:12 366:1	texts 334:12
141:18 144:1,6,11	283:12,13 299:3	taught 84:5 191:3,4	<b>Teller</b> 379:8	thank 8:22 10:15
144:20 148:3,10	315:9,15 316:10	191:5 334:5	<b>telling</b> 206:19	11:7,13 14:2
tail 104:16	327:21 343:2,9	tax 126:18 282:11	228:9	22:18,20 23:1
tailored 199:12	369:4 372:1	353:4	<b>temperature</b>	24:4,14 25:13,16
take 8:2 17:19 41:9	375:20	taxes 354:6	254:16 325:15	47:20 74:19,21
41:22 45:4,10 66:13,14 81:9,11 83:17 94:20 101:21 116:12 131:14 133:1 137:2 138:21 139:20 143:21 145:11 151:12,19	30:5 38:14 64:13 84:6 160:19 214:19 281:12 357:18 358:9 366:13 371:22 <b>Talkeetna</b> 294:21 295:1	taxpayer 355:13 391:17 taxpayers 356:10 367:20 TCOON 392:9 TD 116:15,16,17,18 teach 210:4 337:18 339:6,17 404:18	temperatures 103:2 109:5 262:1 ten 212:13 tend 219:11 292:10 tended 285:3 286:22 tendency 313:2 tenders 97:16	75:8 88:22 90:3 109:19 110:21 111:1 121:21 122:1,2 175:8,9 181:22 182:11,15 182:18 183:5,6 184:2 197:14,15 207:2 210:11
152:9 156:18	<b>talking</b> 11:20 12:4	teaching 335:1	tends 286:15	211:17,20,21
167:6 176:3	21:22 38:5,10	team 73:2 347:4,8	tepid 100:21	212:18 213:15,17
180:22 181:2	52:13 93:2 134:2	380:10	term 44:3 115:7	213:19 220:17
186:6 187:21	134:3 142:18	teams 180:6 270:20	terminal 112:14	229:2,5,8 242:19
201:4 203:12	152:1 153:1,14	347:2,12,18	118:5,16	243:4,15 263:21
221:6 227:5 238:6	164:10 179:18,21	348:15 380:10	terminate 347:18	270:18 282:17
246:2 298:4 315:5	201:14 235:18	tech 219:14	TERMINEL 3:25	283:1,4,11 300:7
320:16 330:9	251:16 268:2	techie 309:22	terminology 44:19	300:9 301:7
320:10 330:9 332:11 336:5 343:18 348:5,6 373:6 377:20 378:2 394:9 398:22 399:3 <b>taken</b> 106:2 146:17 196:16 210:15	273:12 296:21 308:6 323:11 324:13 333:6,13 341:8 350:10 355:3,13 361:13 365:4 371:10,20 383:5 387:4	technic 309.22 technical 92:14 177:15 283:8 techniques 116:5 219:7 technological 13:12 technologies 3:10 52:8 346:13	terms 12:19 15:22 17:9,13 22:7 41:18 247:20 250:2 262:11 264:13 268:1,9,12 268:19 269:15 270:11 273:17	313:10 319:16 326:6,17 327:14 328:10 332:20 333:4 338:14 342:14 348:22 357:12 367:8 369:14 379:22
218:11 227:10	Tampa 137:13	technology 69:3	277:2 279:6,15	396:11 399:12
257:16 357:4	tank 172:20 174:18	92:15 332:4 340:1	281:9,14,21	401:8 406:6
takes 31:14 36:21	174:19	342:1,10 392:12	282:12 284:5,22	<b>thanks</b> 89:3 195:19
78:19 336:8	tanker 55:4 68:17	405:13	332:6 361:20	198:10 218:10
372:14 387:4	100:22 101:11	tectonically 298:16	<b>TerraSond</b> 333:20	229:3 243:5
Taku 113:4	104:15 174:10,13	telecommunicati	<b>terrestrial</b> 252:6	263:19 264:4
talent 404:13,14,20	201:2,16	312:6,20	329:1	319:14
tales 88:16	tankers 52:21 58:9	telesat 405:7	<b>terribly</b> 106:3	<b>thematic</b> 246:21

Г

248.4	225.0 221.21	146.2 152.10	240.12 274.9	110.17 124.2
240:4	223:0 231:21	140:5 152:10	549:12 5/4:8 102:1 17 101:2	110:1/ 104:5
	230:21 240:7 244:7 245:21 21	133:0,12,18 133:3	405:1,1/404:2	233:13 237:7,8,12
14/:1/	244:7 245:21,21	100:5,14 104:2,12	403:13	238:9 239:3,11
thermoelines 225.7	243:22 240:12 247:10 22 252:5	100:13 109:10	<b>unru</b> 1:13 90:22	240:14 234:10
thermoclines 555:/	247:19,22 253:5	1/0:2 1/0:11	204:20	282:1 280:0 332:2
thin $320:10$	254:15 255:17	181:5,15 196:2	thirdly 244:8	330:18 359:21
tning 8:1,5,12	202:14 2/3:11	203:2 210:8 212:8	third-party 3/2:18	3/9:19 380:21
57:13,18,22 64:20	277:3 278:21	218:10,21 220:19	thirtles 407:3	38/:15 392:14
65:10 92:4 130:2	281:10 282:11	225:18 230:5	<b>THOMA 3:25</b>	<b>tide</b> 18:8 20:7,11
132:15 136:13	283:17 284:19	233:12 235:11	thoroughly 11/:13	31:16 //:3 /8:1,2
137:20 153:20	285:3,8 286:7	241:17 243:8	thou 359:21	/8:14 /9:10 85:1
155:5 156:21	291:5 293:6,9	260:19 261:15	tnought 57:5 84:9	83:18 93:6 117:15
163:6 166:10	295:14 298:9	277:18,18 284:10	100:16 102:18	118:10 124:13,15
203:15 205:10	299:12 306:7,10	285:12 287:11	104:10,11 100:14	120:3 102:2
215:22 217:17	309:4 310:5,8	288:20,22 289:1	110:7 122:3	1/1:1/224:10,11
218:5,8,15 239:4	315:15 315:3,10	290:3,4 296:2	149:13 159:10	237:17 238:11
259:3 261:10	315:13 316:9	297:9,10 301:12	257:17 283:18	239:4,5,7 307:21
267:5277:4	325:10 328:1	302:12 304:6,7	303:19 306:2	307:22 308:3,14
281:11,18 282:5	330:21 332:3	305:1,2,7 306:16	311:7 347:9	324:17 335:17
284:3 298:21	338:5 343:3,17	307:3 321:21	354:14 358:9	353:6,21 383:18
304:4 309:6	344:19 349:17	324:14 325:5	397:4 402:21	387:9,19 388:10
342:22 346:3	353:13,14 354:2	326:14 327:1	404:22 405:18	388:14,22 390:2,8
349:2 351:5,11	354:14 357:18,20	329:11 340:6	thoughtful 405:20	391:9,20 392:11
353:2 370:9,13	363:5 370:12	341:7,10,16	thought-provoking	392:18 407:2,8,9
383:10,11 385:5	3/1:16 3/4:5	343:11 345:22	300:12	tides 2:13 4:15 7:1
385:17 390:2	375:12 380:3	346:4 347:13	thousand 112:12	12:9 16:2 82:17
393:2 396:8 397:8	383:17 385:8	348:3 349:14,16	Thousands 281:7	89:9 117:12 141:1
400:4	389:7 394:10	350:9,13,22 351:3	threatened 231:4	213:1 230:4
things 5:5 /:4,11	402:15,17 403:19	352:12 353:2,14	three 60:20 77:13	240:19 326:14
12:13 16:15 22:9	406:10	354:2,11 356:9,18	112:11 113:3,16	335:8 338:6
23:15 29:15 30:3	think 5:1/9:5	360:9,14 361:7	141:5 169:7 194:5	349:10 350:17
38:22 50:21 57:15	12:22 13:21 14:16	362:12 363:14	204:15 247:17	353:18 389:8
66:8 /0:8,10 /2:2	15:1,7 16:22	368:22 369:7	257:12 264:18	398:8 407:2
86:22 87:13,19	17:16,18 21:3,5,9	3/0:14,14 3/1:18	272:12,21 282:12	tie 79:4,6 124:19
93:20,21 97:17	31:5 32:3 38:14	372:2 374:1,2,5	302:2 323:1 331:2	tied 125:5,6 186:5
98:11 117:18	40:4 48:22 52:19	3/4:11 3/5:1/,18	358:1 373:9 391:2	290:22
120:13 135:3	56:10 63:17,20,22	382:20 383:7,19	393:5	ties 265:20
137:2 138:20	65:15 68:2 /1:9	384:6,11,14	three-part 379:1	tight 291:4 299:22
140:13 142:19	88:21 89:4 91:6	385:10 387:10	three-party 122:19	403:20
143:3 145:3	96:10 99:4 100:20	388:19 389:21	359:8	tighten 298:13
146:13 148:11	101:13 102:16,20	390:14,18 395:10	throw 8:8 302:22	tighter 293:17,18
154:22 158:8	103:5,15 107:3	400:19 401:6	throws 123:12	tightly 364:15
171:6 176:3 181:6	109:5 118:3 119:3	402:12 407:6,12	Thursday 8:14	tiles 274:4 279:16
182:9 186:7 194:4	120:17 121:4,17	thinking 15:15	402:3	<b>till</b> 39:12 44:13
200:16 206:11	123:13,14 126:12	102:4 133:18	tidal 20:21 30:4,5	<b>tilt</b> 188:2
210:6 216:20	127:13 138:19	142:5 153:14	32:2 70:22 71:1	time 10:22 17:2,21
223:2 224:20	139:20 145:20	284:16 324:9	83:15,18 92:9,20	23:11 37:1 54:6

57:1.9 64:17	timing 262:2.3	144:2 145:18	tractable 248:20	98:8 107:17 110:4
81:12 82:13 83:19	<b>tinv</b> 180:11.22	244:9 259:6	tractor-trailer	143:15.16 152:8
89:4 99:13 108:6	332:16	279:14 283:22	115:8	174:14.19 196:19
108:14 110:6	tiresome 11:5	301:10 304:1,1,11	trade 52:1 61:3,3	202:6
116:3 117:7 122:7	<b>title</b> 226:2	305:7,13	69:13	transiting 69:11
143:13 147:15	today 7:10,16 8:8	top 119:20 228:11	tradition 340:18	90:17 97:20
152:5 162:8,8	9:8 10:16,20 11:9	257:18 288:13	traditional 286:20	118:20 184:10
167:7 168:12	15:15 22:7 25:1	308:15	traditionally	transition 309:4
171:11 175:14	52:2 59:15 145:21	topic 229:16	293:13 404:22	transitional 43:15
176:20,21 177:16	151:19 183:4	topics 229:10	traffic 49:8,10,12	44:21
180:9 182:7 188:1	194:14 201:1	topo 269:11 277:18	49:20,21 50:10	transitioning
188:12,13,16	212:22 229:9,11	277:19 278:6	54:19 61:7 66:7	192:18
189:19 191:16	229:16 307:16	topographic 30:2	66:16,20 67:11	transits 68:3,5,6
193:3 197:5,14	319:15 366:10	162:15 278:1	69:14 74:6,10,11	83:5,16 114:7
201:21 202:16	383:3 396:6	topos 280:1	74:15,16 94:19	196:21
205:22 210:1	402:13,20	total 231:19 237:16	121:11 127:21	translate 295:4
212:19 221:5	toes 263:12	244:20 272:21	131:18 136:3	transmit 134:6
222:20 223:7,9,9	Togiak 83:16	294:8 335:22	138:10 156:13,20	transmitted 140:18
224:16,21 229:2	told 158:3 359:20	totally 240:22	160:2 183:21	transmitters
236:12 244:17	tolerance 58:12	294:2,12 334:12	185:3,4,14 186:14	137:22
247:19 252:8	<b>Tom</b> 2:17 3:18 4:21	touch 41:21 112:2	186:18,19,20,21	transponders
257:16 262:18,18	171:13 213:9	170:13 211:15	187:2,13,16,19,20	53:19
263:3 265:21	252:4 264:1 283:4	223:16 321:16	188:7,9,14 198:7	transport 180:9
267:7 278:7 297:2	313:15 319:15	touched 139:5	198:15 200:8,10	183:19 185:19
297:11,13 298:5	333:20 353:2,7	tough 224:8	200:14 203:5	188:1 192:13
299:7 318:18	tomorrow 5:15	tour 11:3 21:21	207:21 208:16	287:14
320:15 332:18	15:16 326:9	touring 19:16	209:19 211:5	transportation
336:19 350:22	327:22 332:19	<b>tower</b> 62:7	296:11 321:11	76:1 77:9,12
358:14 365:14	354:13 396:13	towers 61:18 222:3	376:10,12	111:17 152:3,12
373:5,13,20 378:7	397:18,22 401:1,4	312:6,21	tragedy 99:12	265:3 284:6,8
380:13 386:20	406:1	<b>Towing</b> 2:9 4:9	<b>trail</b> 292:21	transporting 140:7
389:14,14,22	<b>Tom's</b> 338:12	25:10 75:9	<b>trailer</b> 180:6	Transport's 288:1
394:8 395:5,7	Tongass 118:4,11	town 68:20 92:8	<b>trails</b> 273:4	<b>travel</b> 61:21 117:14
399:13 407:13,15	tonight 8:18 349:17	118:8,19 119:7,8	<b>train</b> 319:6	traveled 112:7
<b>timely</b> 86:11	397:10	119:15 294:21	trained 87:9	traveling 104:18
times 56:15 90:13	tonnages 199:7	<b>towns</b> 113:6	141:19 303:14	<b>travels</b> 45:14
91:4 119:1,17	tons 62:13	track 55:22 58:19	training 84:3	Treadwell 11:11
128:1 136:13,19	tool 19:10,12 65:2	59:1,3 64:4,19	141:10 142:12,17	297:2
185:15 199:13	91:17 128:14	184:7 200:20	223:2 341:3	treated 224:19
222:12,17 223:6	256:2,22 280:17	207:5 208:11	trampers 53:1	treating 356:3
226:2 245:4 262:9	302:10 303:16,17	214:20 228:14	transect 379:7	treaty 53:16 59:11
272:12 364:16	304:18,19 305:4	tracking 54:21	transfer 77:16,17	<b>trees</b> 79:6 98:7
366:21 368:13	305:11,15 306:18	57:13,13 59:5	101:12 294:16	235:22
403:20	tools 12:1,19 15:14	63:7 64:6 73:17	transfers 77:14	tremendous 17:8
timetable 400:22	53:4 69:20 71:6	tracks 228:14	194:7	20:1 54:2 104:3
time-lapse 110:8	72:3,6 73:17	253:21,21,22	transit 68:4,16	109:20 136:6
time-saver 281:4	85:19 86:8 106:21	256:20	81:19 94:12 98:5	145:15

tremendously	360:5.9.16 380:11	236:11 339:21	typical 77:1 83:22	169:21 310:1.16
32:21 112:8 117:7	391:12	turned 103:11	91:13 97:14 106:9	335:15 388:20
trend 170:1	trving 6:2 29:7	225:17	246:4	393:19
trends 34.4 61.9	33.4.9.13.14.36.6	turning $404 \cdot 2$	typically 78.16	understands 386:6
247.2.281.6	38.21 87.2 93.20	turns $44 \cdot 12$	81.8 14 20 86.12	Understood 75.7
tresnasses 282.4	103.16 124.19	Tustumena 113.9	96.12 206.11	underwater 121.18
triangulated	134.16 142.14	119·16	235.5 261.2 362.7	381.16
293·15	143.11 148.15	twice 44.8 267.9	363.21 365.7	<b>underway</b> 64.16
trihal 272.18	149.3 22 150.12	400.2	tvranny 54.12	274·21 352·8
tricky 296.9	153.10 164.17	<b>Twin</b> 108.5	tyranny 54.12	undesignated
tried 211.12 217.3	188.10 208.13	two 7.18 8.2 33.17	U	161.3
311.17 35 <i>A</i> .7	211.8 216.8	A5.11 1A 18 82.17	<b>UAA</b> 283:10	unexpected 15.22
377.20	211.0 210.0	90.16 94.11 108.7	<b>UAF</b> 265:15	unexpected 15.22
<b>Trioffice</b> 6:0 16	233.21 230.0	108.8 112.17	<b>UAF/DNR</b> 214:8	unfortunato 163.5
trin 81.13 00.7	250.17 251.20	100.0 112.17	<b>Ulsan</b> 102:4	unfortunately 5/1.8
103.7 106.22	250.17 251.20 262.15 273.14	1/18.11 11 161.6	ultimate 236:15	105.2 151.0 18
257.3	202.15 275.14	160.7 171.8 10	297:6	160.12 368.17
257.5 tripled 103.5	205.10 200.7	107.7 171.0,10	ultimately 46:18	109.12 500.17
trips 81.12 100.11	295.15 511.9	181.1 183.9 188.1/ 10/.0	254.5 286:14.17	Unified 340.2
1/1.5 6	356.11 364.5	108.17 202.3	290:21 293:4 6 21	uniform 37.10
141.3,0 trivial 300.3	372.13 374.7	200.5 210.5	unable 108:17	Unimak 128.6 8
tri-agoney 360.8	372.13 574.7	207.5 210.5	Unalakleet 27:10	unimproved 03.12
trouble 13.7 00.10	J70.15 Teowwoecon	217.19 252.21	239:14	uningurability
troubleshooting	285.11	257.5 204.21	unassisted 102:20	
60.0	505.11 tsunomi 214.0.18	200.12 290.3	uncertainty 330.4	109.17
00.7 truo 21.2 120.6	215.7 21 216.5 8	303.13 300.11,11	uncles 98.3	
100.15 211.6	215.7,21 210.5,6	311.0 324.17,10	uncomfortably	70.14
190.13 311.0	210.12,14 231.0	350.2,20 549.7	210.6	19.22 79.10 70.15
05.20 06.5 00.4	turched 91.2	551.10 570.12 272.10 274.10	undergraduate	10.22 / 0.10 / 9.13
95:20 90:5 99:4	tuckeu 81:2	3/3:10 3/4:10	9.15 336.9 340.7	85:7,15 155:15
trunp 99.12	61.21 64.14 20 22	300.10 303.14 205.2 207.10	underkeel 148.18	404.4
170.12	75.0 20.21 20.6	201.1 200.2	350.12	uniqueness 10.19
1/9.15 tmy 22.12 15 21.1	75.9 60.21 69.0	391.1 399.2 400.16 401.10	underlying 344.21	205.4
ury 25.12,15 51.1	90.22 91.12,15	400.10 401.10	underninned 285.5	393.4 United 4.7 160.22
<i>39.7</i> 41.0,20 02.0 70.2 82.12 84.17	95.9,11,12 110.7	262.16	underpine 285.20	225.6 222.17 20
/9.2 02.15 04.17	122.3 144.20	202.10	understand 32.1	225.0 522.17,20
90.14 92.10,22	149.12 1/4.1/	two-party 122.20	32.15 40.13 14	403.12
100.19 100.3	tugging 505.10	60.15 76.4 78.4	151.7 170.6 207.9	units 542.7
142.11 100.14	00.0 140.12 105.4	09.13 /0.4 /0.4	224.1 4 240.22	universal 52.17
204:15 207:8	00:0 140:15 105:4	8/.2 100:/ 128:10 122:15 194:9	331.21 336.20	universities 510.18
209:2 220:20	190:14 Tul-to-vol-tul- (9:20	152:15 164:6	3/3.19 3/1.7	2:20 2:22 4:22
240:20 247:2	tundro 70.7	209:5 294:4,8,9	350.9 356.14	2:20 5:22 4:22
249:5,12 295:4	<b>tunura</b> /9:7	298:21 317:21	360.11 373.14	$05:10\ 215:10,14$
JUF:14 J11:10 215:12 219:17	turbia 382:19	551:4 557:17 277:7	386.7 303.2	214:0 215:15
515:15 518:17	turbially 382:7,17	3//:/	395.18	247:10 204:3
524:15 528:10	120.19 121.5	175.6 196.00	understanding	2/0:10 285:0
331:19 330:10 255:15 256:16	129:18 131:3	1/3:0 180:22	20.10 150.13	555:/,21 558:10
555:15 550:10	154:7 250:9	199:7	20.10 130.13	ummiled 138:13

	101.10 107 17	0(1.00.010.4	210.11 245 10	02.20.00 00 07.14
unmanned 322:18	121:12 12/:16	261:22 310:4	318:11 345:12	83:20 86:6 97:14
unprecedented	142:21 144:3,3	334:21 372:6	<b>various</b> 29:8 31:21	100:4,12 102:3
99:4 101:13 103:1	148:6 161:20	USGS 263:7	48:14 66:10	104:14 106:5
107:3	162:7,20 176:7	269:11,12 2/0:17	111:15 252:15	107:13,14 119:17
unprofessional	185:13 19/:11	2/5:15 2/6:15	254:7,18 256:6	128:6,16 131:18
382:9	215:10,17 221:13	277:15,22 280:1	2/3:11 286:6	134:10 138:10
unquestionably	221:21,22 222:8	318:10	287:16 294:3	1/1:18 1/2:20
102:17	223:10 224:11	<b>usual</b> 270:9	298:19 308:22	1/4:19,19 1/8:15
	225:12 227:10	<b>usually</b> 80:16 125:5	330:13	1/8:22 1/9:3,1/
334:8	257:4 240:17	201.7	<b>vary</b> 11/:2 191:19	1/9:18 200:8,10
unusable 4/:11	241:19 249:14,10	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{ullize} \ 12:1 \ 15:18 \\ 214.2 \ 220.14 \end{array}$	<b>Vast</b> 38:10 139:13	200:14 201:1
<b>unusual</b> 95:10	250:22 252:15	214:5 220:14	100:4 330:9 VDatum 290:17 19	207:21 290:11
upcoming 192:22 update 04:5 120:19	202:8 272:17	519:8 521:12	<b>v Datum</b> 569:17,18	<b>vesseis</b> 18:11,15
192.15 264.12	201.9 207.17	172.2 219.2	vegetation 209:5	57:0 52:14 55:2
105.15 204.15	292.17 303.22	1/5.2 510.2 utilizes 212.22	vehicle 150.8 599.5	59.10 22 50.2 2
314.1 394.12 206.10	304.1 303.3,0 212.4 214.10 14	utilizes 215.22	115.5 6 17 21 22	50.19,22 59.2,5
390.10 undeted 19:67	312.4 314.10,14	$\frac{\text{utiliost } 224.2}{\text{US} 1.1 2.9 15 2.12}$	201.16 202.2	02.17 04.0 00.21
120.15 128.20	314.20 323.3	<b>U.S</b> 1.1 2.0,13 5.12 3.14 4.12 18	301.10 302.3	60.22 07.13 09.1
120.13 128.20 278.7 317.0	320.3 330.10	5.14 4.15,10 17.17 22.17 25.6	397.12 399.2,0 voin 51.16	72.0 20 73.1 21
270.7517.9 undates 57:17	357.13 330.19	17.17 22.17 23.0	volocitios 118.13	80.7 87.6 90.16
182.8 202.22	357.1 350.21	45.5 02.18 99.21	vent 05.22	07.13 16 08.16
202.0 575.22	368.12 382.8	207.2 221.4 245.3	venues 251.15	107.11 112.0
undating 17.13	302.12 302.8	207.2 221.4 245.5	verify 324.17	110.10 128.13
upuaning 17.15	372.13377.0	JT0.0 JJ2.2	VCIII y 527.17	117.10 120.15
125.15 360.19	useful 87·10 88·18		verifying 226.14	137.15 17 140.11
125:15 360:19	<b>useful</b> 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5	V	<b>verifying</b> 226:14	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8
125:15 360:19 <b>upgrading</b> 59:17 <b>uphill</b> 295:8	<b>useful</b> 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2	V vacation 263:13	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12 15
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12	<b>useful</b> 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8 9 10
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18	<b>useful</b> 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3 11 178:16
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1	<b>useful</b> 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1.10	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21	<b>useful</b> 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 <b>user</b> 32:6 43:7 78:6	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3.6	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21
125:15 360:19 <b>upgrading</b> 59:17 <b>uphill</b> 295:8 <b>uplift</b> 161:12 <b>uplifted</b> 275:18 276:1 <b>upper</b> 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2	<b>useful</b> 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 <b>user</b> 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11.18 180:15	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5
125:15 360:19 <b>upgrading</b> 59:17 <b>uphill</b> 295:8 <b>uplift</b> 161:12 <b>uplifted</b> 275:18 276:1 <b>upper</b> 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 <b>upriver</b> 141:2	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13.22	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17 validating 319:8	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7.8 179:18	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17 validating 319:8 validation 382:18	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 vessel's 66:5
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19 378:1	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10 users 6:4 35:10	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17 validating 319:8 validation 382:18 validity 346:5	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13 vertical 285:2	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 vessel's 66:5 vested 153:17
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19 378:1 upset 82:21	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10 users 6:4 35:10 41:3 46:9 54:22	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17 validating 319:8 validation 382:18 validity 346:5 valley 294:19,20	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13 vertical 285:2 292:7 294:16	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 vessel's 66:5 vested 153:17 352:17
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19 378:1 upset 82:21 upwards 281:6	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10 users 6:4 35:10 41:3 46:9 54:22 55:4 124:3 167:1	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17 validating 319:8 validation 382:18 validity 346:5 valley 294:19,20 375:3	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13 vertical 285:2 292:7 294:16 298:13 299:2	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 vessel's 66:5 vested 153:17 352:17 VHF 53:21
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19 378:1 upset 82:21 upwards 281:6 urge 341:4	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10 users 6:4 35:10 41:3 46:9 54:22 55:4 124:3 167:1 246:7 279:19	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17 validating 319:8 validation 382:18 validation 382:18 validity 346:5 valley 294:19,20 375:3 valuable 128:14	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13 vertical 285:2 292:7 294:16 298:13 299:2 313:20 335:9	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 vessel's 66:5 vested 153:17 352:17 VHF 53:21 vibration 106:4
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19 378:1 upset 82:21 upwards 281:6 urge 341:4 urgent 27:16	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10 users 6:4 35:10 41:3 46:9 54:22 55:4 124:3 167:1 246:7 279:19 281:7 287:17	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17 validating 319:8 validation 382:18 validity 346:5 valley 294:19,20 375:3 valuable 128:14 129:3 182:4	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13 vertical 285:2 292:7 294:16 298:13 299:2 313:20 335:9 366:15	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 vessel's 66:5 vested 153:17 352:17 VHF 53:21 vibration 106:4 vice 1:17 150:4,5
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19 378:1 upset 82:21 upwards 281:6 urge 341:4 urgent 27:16 usable 43:19 47:11	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10 users 6:4 35:10 41:3 46:9 54:22 55:4 124:3 167:1 246:7 279:19 281:7 287:17 316:12 329:16	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17 validating 319:8 validation 382:18 validity 346:5 valley 294:19,20 375:3 valuable 128:14 129:3 182:4 241:11	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13 vertical 285:2 292:7 294:16 298:13 299:2 313:20 335:9 366:15 vertically 292:7	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 vessel's 66:5 vested 153:17 352:17 VHF 53:21 vibration 106:4 vice 1:17 150:4,5 207:4,13 208:15
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19 378:1 upset 82:21 upwards 281:6 urge 341:4 urgent 27:16 usable 43:19 47:11 usage 346:18	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10 users 6:4 35:10 41:3 46:9 54:22 55:4 124:3 167:1 246:7 279:19 281:7 287:17 316:12 329:16 333:10 363:12	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17 validating 319:8 validation 382:18 validation 382:18 validity 346:5 valley 294:19,20 375:3 valuable 128:14 129:3 182:4 241:11 value 118:17	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13 vertical 285:2 292:7 294:16 298:13 299:2 313:20 335:9 366:15 vertically 292:7 vessel 37:5 49:10	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 vessel's 66:5 vested 153:17 352:17 VHF 53:21 vibration 106:4 vice 1:17 150:4,5 207:4,13 208:15 357:2 381:15
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19 378:1 upset 82:21 upwards 281:6 urge 341:4 urgent 27:16 usable 43:19 47:11 usage 346:18 use 44:4 47:4 54:21	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10 users 6:4 35:10 41:3 46:9 54:22 55:4 124:3 167:1 246:7 279:19 281:7 287:17 316:12 329:16 333:10 363:12 372:5 389:2	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17 validating 319:8 validation 382:18 validity 346:5 valley 294:19,20 375:3 valuable 128:14 129:3 182:4 241:11 value 118:17 250:13 260:19	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13 vertical 285:2 292:7 294:16 298:13 299:2 313:20 335:9 366:15 vertically 292:7 vessel 37:5 49:10 49:12,20 50:10	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 vessel's 66:5 vested 153:17 352:17 VHF 53:21 vibration 106:4 vice 1:17 150:4,5 207:4,13 208:15 357:2 381:15 382:6
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19 378:1 upset 82:21 upwards 281:6 urge 341:4 urgent 27:16 usable 43:19 47:11 usage 346:18 use 44:4 47:4 54:21 59:2 65:4 72:19	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10 users 6:4 35:10 41:3 46:9 54:22 55:4 124:3 167:1 246:7 279:19 281:7 287:17 316:12 329:16 333:10 363:12 372:5 389:2 user-friendly	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17 validating 319:8 validation 382:18 validity 346:5 valley 294:19,20 375:3 valuable 128:14 129:3 182:4 241:11 value 118:17 250:13 260:19 van 398:21	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13 vertical 285:2 292:7 294:16 298:13 299:2 313:20 335:9 366:15 vertically 292:7 vessel 37:5 49:10 49:12,20 50:10 54:19 55:6 56:2	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 vessel's 66:5 vested 153:17 352:17 VHF 53:21 vibration 106:4 vice 1:17 150:4,5 207:4,13 208:15 357:2 381:15 382:6 vicinity 118:16
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19 378:1 upset 82:21 upwards 281:6 urge 341:4 urgent 27:16 usable 43:19 47:11 usage 346:18 use 44:4 47:4 54:21 59:2 65:4 72:19 84:10,19 87:2	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10 users 6:4 35:10 41:3 46:9 54:22 55:4 124:3 167:1 246:7 279:19 281:7 287:17 316:12 329:16 333:10 363:12 372:5 389:2 user-friendly 141:14	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17 validating 319:8 validation 382:18 validity 346:5 valley 294:19,20 375:3 valuable 128:14 129:3 182:4 241:11 value 118:17 250:13 260:19 van 398:21 Vancouver 385:12	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13 vertical 285:2 292:7 294:16 298:13 299:2 313:20 335:9 366:15 vertically 292:7 vessel 37:5 49:10 49:12,20 50:10 54:19 55:6 56:2 57:8 58:20 59:8	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 vessel's 66:5 vested 153:17 352:17 VHF 53:21 vibration 106:4 vice 1:17 150:4,5 207:4,13 208:15 357:2 381:15 382:6 vicinity 118:16 119:5 189:5
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19 378:1 upset 82:21 upwards 281:6 urge 341:4 urgent 27:16 usable 43:19 47:11 usage 346:18 use 44:4 47:4 54:21 59:2 65:4 72:19 84:10,19 87:2 92:22 111:10	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10 users 6:4 35:10 41:3 46:9 54:22 55:4 124:3 167:1 246:7 279:19 281:7 287:17 316:12 329:16 333:10 363:12 372:5 389:2 user-friendly 141:14 uses 29:11 32:16	V   vacation 263:13   Valdez 28:12 48:20   55:21 56:11 58:10   75:20 248:14   validate 56:8   124:17   validating 319:8   validation 382:18   validity 346:5   valuable 128:14   129:3 182:4   241:11   value 118:17   250:13 260:19   van 398:21   variations 324:19	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13 vertical 285:2 292:7 294:16 298:13 299:2 313:20 335:9 366:15 vertically 292:7 vessel 37:5 49:10 49:12,20 50:10 54:19 55:6 56:2 57:8 58:20 59:8 61:7 64:4,10,21	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 vessel's 66:5 vested 153:17 352:17 VHF 53:21 vibration 106:4 vice 1:17 150:4,5 207:4,13 208:15 357:2 381:15 382:6 vicinity 118:16 119:5 189:5 Victoria 385:11
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19 378:1 upset 82:21 upwards 281:6 urge 341:4 urgent 27:16 usable 43:19 47:11 usage 346:18 use 44:4 47:4 54:21 59:2 65:4 72:19 84:10,19 87:2 92:22 111:10 116:8 117:19	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10 users 6:4 35:10 41:3 46:9 54:22 55:4 124:3 167:1 246:7 279:19 281:7 287:17 316:12 329:16 333:10 363:12 372:5 389:2 user-friendly 141:14 uses 29:11 32:16 59:6 214:14	V vacation 263:13 Valdez 28:12 48:20 55:21 56:11 58:10 75:20 248:14 validate 56:8 124:17 validating 319:8 validation 382:18 validity 346:5 valley 294:19,20 375:3 valuable 128:14 129:3 182:4 241:11 value 118:17 250:13 260:19 van 398:21 Vancouver 385:12 variations 324:19 varied 348:8	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13 vertical 285:2 292:7 294:16 298:13 299:2 313:20 335:9 366:15 vertically 292:7 vessel 37:5 49:10 49:12,20 50:10 54:19 55:6 56:2 57:8 58:20 59:8 61:7 64:4,10,21 67:4 72:9 73:17	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 vessel's 66:5 vested 153:17 352:17 VHF 53:21 vibration 106:4 vice 1:17 150:4,5 207:4,13 208:15 357:2 381:15 382:6 vicinity 118:16 119:5 189:5 Victoria 385:11 video 105:20 110:5
125:15 360:19 upgrading 59:17 uphill 295:8 uplift 161:12 uplifted 275:18 276:1 upper 45:5 97:21 161:7 167:3 234:2 upriver 141:2 uproar 377:19 378:1 upset 82:21 upwards 281:6 urge 341:4 urgent 27:16 usable 43:19 47:11 usage 346:18 use 44:4 47:4 54:21 59:2 65:4 72:19 84:10,19 87:2 92:22 111:10 116:8 117:19 118:3 120:18	useful 87:10 88:18 88:19 93:19 146:5 253:10 269:2 277:6 293:12 305:13 308:22 312:8 395:1,10 user 32:6 43:7 78:6 150:11,18 180:15 185:4 329:13,22 330:20 346:10 users 6:4 35:10 41:3 46:9 54:22 55:4 124:3 167:1 246:7 279:19 281:7 287:17 316:12 329:16 333:10 363:12 372:5 389:2 user-friendly 141:14 uses 29:11 32:16 59:6 214:14 215:17 255:12	V   vacation 263:13   Valdez 28:12 48:20   55:21 56:11 58:10   75:20 248:14   validate 56:8   124:17   validating 319:8   validating 319:8   validation 382:18   valuable 128:14   129:3 182:4   241:11   value 118:17   250:13 260:19   van 398:21   varied 348:8   varied 348:8   variety 58:20 72:6	verifying 226:14 282:3 version 200:8 versus 13:11 128:18 134:22 135:1 140:21 141:6 142:3,6 143:15 168:8 178:7,8 179:18 306:13 vertical 285:2 292:7 294:16 298:13 299:2 313:20 335:9 366:15 vertically 292:7 vessel 37:5 49:10 49:12,20 50:10 54:19 55:6 56:2 57:8 58:20 59:8 61:7 64:4,10,21 67:4 72:9 73:17 76:10,17 77:18	137:15,17 140:11 141:11 148:8 150:14 166:12,15 166:18 172:8,9,10 173:3,11 178:16 178:20 179:20 184:8 198:2,16,21 206:19 209:5 296:14 368:10 <b>vessel's</b> 66:5 <b>vested</b> 153:17 352:17 <b>VHF</b> 53:21 <b>vibration</b> 106:4 <b>vice</b> 1:17 150:4,5 207:4,13 208:15 357:2 381:15 382:6 <b>vicinity</b> 118:16 119:5 189:5 <b>Victoria</b> 385:11 <b>video</b> 105:20 110:5 310:7

	<b>T</b> <sup>2</sup> 4 <b>O</b> 10 4 10	07 10 104 0 100 4	010 10 017 4	100 15 000 4
videography 256:9	<b>Vitus</b> 2:10 4:10	97:19 134:2 139:4	213:18 217:4	190:15 203:4
310:6	25:11 89:13,15,19	141:10,12 144:20	221:2 226:18,21	221:15 222:4
<b>videos</b> 256:10	89:20,22 96:11	147:5	253:7 280:11	229:1 230:4,20
view 146:18 254:1	175:17 181:5	Walt's 91:22	306:20 307:7	231:6,17 232:22
327:3 344:22	185:9 204:10	wander 292:2	313:14 319:2	233:13 234:3,6,17
365:11	227:9	want 6:5 8:2 11:7	329:6 332:11	235:17 236:4,8
viewed 146:10	Vladivostok 100:7	11:10 13:9 14:13	342:18 370:18,19	237:16 239:1
147:3	102:2	19:21 26:1 32:19	382:13 396:17	240:1 246:12,22
<b>views</b> 400:17	<b>VMS</b> 184:5	39:9 44:3 47:3	398:14 405:19	253:6 254:16
vigorously 208:4	<b>voice</b> 10:19,21	66:1 67:2,3,4	wanting 298:6	255:8,13 264:6
<b>village</b> 78:6 80:2,3	134:18 195:10	73:22 74:8 82:19	wants 189:10 251:4	291:2 293:1 295:6
80:19 81:14,17	<b>voices</b> 345:9	104:19 107:15	349:5 367:19	295:8 308:18
82:14 90:7 95:1,4	<b>volcanic</b> 267:5	128:3 134:22,22	warm 235:5	350:12,18 365:18
96:12 142:1,2	Volcano 256:19	136:12 146:22	warning 116:22	378:3 382:7
168:12 298:3	266:5	159:8 163:14	warrant 121:5	383:14 384:7
villages 76:2 77:4,8	volumes 38:9	168:14 170:12	Warren 84:5	waterfowl 331:8,12
77:22 78:8,22	297:20	171:5 180:17	Washington 13:2	waterfront 62:6
79:16,17 81:11	volunteer 209:16	184:2 185:20	28:19 44:20	waters 38:15 54:7
82:7 96:9 181:16	<b>voyage</b> 106:7	188:2 200:1 206:1	111:20 153:10	68:17 71:22,22
185:6 238:16,18	<b>VTS</b> 159:12 176:9	206:20 209:6	165:1 192:14	90:17 99:22
241:6 312:11	<b>VTSs</b> 159:21	217:17 222:20	358:12	100:11 187:5
329:14	vulnerable 216:13	223:7 225:14	wasn't 49:11 53:12	190:6 222:6
vintage 12:15	220:11 230:1	231:16 243:6	103:8 117:6	226:10 309:13
16:17.20 17:7	233:5 234:1	244:11.12 251:6	136:19 146:9	waterway 221:19
Virginia 157:17		257:9 261:11	288:11 304:4	224:5.12
296:5 353:12	W	263:1 268:13	306:19 316:17	waterways 2:15
virtual 255:21	WAAS 321:17	269:6 273:5	356:8 387:22	117:14.17 121:9
287:15	322:7	279:12 283:19	388:15 389:22	121:10 139:8
virtually 97:18	wait 79:9 101:17	284:11 292:18.19	390:4.8	213:6 221:13
98:2 102:14 117:4	123:21 136:21	292:20.22.293:1.2	watch 65:17 151:22	224.4
118.20	164:21 378:4	301.20 305.9	watched 139.10	water-level 237.1
vis 203.6	386:8	306.22 308.7	200.19	Watson 3.8 6.1
visibilities 191.17	waiting 93:2 291:5	317.2 319.10	watching 56.13 14	212.10 327.7
191.20	383:9	321.4 322.20	57.10 62.17	397.14 17 398.3
visihility 191.11 12	waiver 101:19	323.9 324.15	364.11 405.4	398.20 399.5 10
202.17	wake 81:21	331.4 9 336.13	water 29.6 30.10	399.12 15 17
vision 15.3 16.9	Wakefield 119:14	337.8 339.10	30.16 16 18 31.3	407.14
321.17	walk 65:18 339:20	341.22 342.2 12	35.14 15 16 19	watts 178.77
visit 399.7	walked 51:15	347.14 357.15	39.1 43.8 46.17	wave 19.20 31.18
visiting 155.14	walking 54:15	357.13 372.13	78.14 80.4 81.16	216.22 220.18
773.3	226:11	375.18 378.0	82.15 82.5 10	waves 27.21 28.22
visitors 216.16	wall 10:12 226.8	380.1 301.7	88.17 08.20 121.2	30.1 308.20
visual 08.14 214.16	271.7 8 17 18	207.12 200.14	125.2 127.8	$30.1\ 300.20$
visual 70.14 214.10	272:15 15 377.12	371.13 377.14 wanted 22.5 0	123.2 137.0	way 52.11 50.21 37.1 15.1 50.2
250.14	walruses 195.3	wanted 22.3,8 104.15 20 107.17	140.10 141.3	57.4 45.1 52.0 50.18 62.7 70.6 6
237.14 witel 81.12 12	Walt 2:9 4:9 75:9	104.13,20 107.17	140.1/ 147.14	J7.10 02.7 70.0,0 72.2 70.2 12
vital 01:13,13 217.1	75.8 89.3 93.17	110.2 121.7 139.3	130.14 102:3	13.3 10.3,10 02.01 07.00 00.11
51/:1	15.007.575.14	152:15 185:15	1/0:9,11,10,1/,18	05:21 87:22 88:11

99:20 105:9,10	84:13,21 87:7	39:14 41:11 47:19	57:1,2,9,10 60:18	246:2 254:11
108:22 138:19	88:19 101:18	74:21 75:3,7 89:3	60:19,19 65:4,5	268:4 271:17
140:3 147:2	107:8 120:8	89:16,20 111:1	80:5 99:10 102:9	272:16 274:4
149:17 155:8	132:15 133:2,8,12	122:1 124:8	103:22 105:1	283:7 292:1
156:9 166:13	134:14 135:11,13	127:10 129:4	106:12,19 112:4	298:17 309:14
169:13 177:2	191:10,17 202:15	135:15 137:4	149:14 182:22	319:9 322:1
179:3 181:17	203:13 204:20	139:2 146:6 147:4	197:3 212:16	326:10 327:14
183:21 190:8	205:10,19 207:17	150:3 152:14	271:15 289:12	368:10 376:17
191:3 195:17	213:7 216:11	156:10 159:7	327:16 338:22	386:8,14,16 394:8
197:3 199:1	224:20 229:7	160:7 165:11	339:3 377:17	399:7 406:17
225:12,20 230:5	233:22 247:4,7	168:3,19 169:19	407:19	we're 5:13 7:2,15
231:4 236:2 238:1	250:6 255:4	171:7 175:9 176:2	weren't 89:9	14:20 15:9 17:3
240:7 245:16.20	265:21 266:1.4.13	177:21 179:22	101:15 149:14	18:17 21:6.12
245:22 257:16	web 269:19 272:19	181:22 182:17	374:15 394:7	23:13 24:22 27:22
258:16 260:10	275:4 278:17.21	183:2 197:15	west 1:13 23:20	29:13.15.15.17
279:15 282:20	279:9 280:13.18	202:8 204:21	29:1 87:12 111:21	30:22 31:14.16
286:13 287:4	281:1 371:7	210:10 211:16	119:7.15 121:4	32:20 33:4.9.13
289:15 291:1.16	webcam 254:20	212:4.13.18	145:7.10 177:3	35:18 38:5.10.12
293:11 294:12	255:8	220:19 229:5	187:20 204:10	38:17 19 39:3 4 6
309:8 331:16	webcams 255:2	242:21 243:4	217:13 220:3	40:17 44:20 46:12
338.7 346.6	website 39.12	263.21 283.4	232.19 291.15	54.19 58.16 19
351.15 352.3	107.5 217.5 280.4	300.9 301.8	296.4 13 320.11	59.14 61.8 10 16
360.8 362.11 14	316.1 327.10 13	303.10 307.13	386.22 390.3	61.18 63.6 7
363.6 365.5	372.10	309.16 310.11	western 3:23 28:20	65:22 67:13 16
366.21 378.16	websites 86.9	312.17 313.1 11	29.5 75.16 76.2	68·2 15 70·10 12
380.19 385.20	142·20	319.17 321.7	27.575.1070.2 77.1184.18	70.19 20 71.5 19
380.17 305.20	weh-manning	374.6 326.5 21	86.14 88.6 90.17	70.17,20 71.5,17
403·16 404·1	270.11	327.11 18 332.21	00.14 00.0 00.17	84.13 14 00.16
403.10 404.1 ways 66.8 67.7	WFDNFSDAV 1.0	327.11,10 332.22	140.6 15 141.22	07.77 03.71 06.5
125.21 128.17	wook $10.178.1112$	3/1.1/ 3/2.1/ 17	140.0, 15 141.22 144.13 15 145.17	105.13 100.22
125.21 120.17	105.8 110.18	346.10 348.21	144.13,13 $143.17140.21$ $231.1$ $15$	111.8 16 123.5
100.20 201.10	155.15 180.22	340.19 340.21	149.21231.1,13 254.2271.12	111.0,10123.3 125.18121.0
190.20 201.16	133.13 160.22	356.12 00 257.11	206.21 209.16	123.10 131.9
209.4 227.10	165.9 204.15	350.13,22 557.11	290.21 306.10	132.17 133.11
247.7 249.10	200.1 201.7,0	339.11 301.3 264.9 266.2 267.6	320.14,20 329.13	133.4,12,14
2/0.10 204.13	343.13 340.4 269.10	304.0 300.3 307.0 260.15 270.16	552.5 wotlanda 254.21	130.22 140.0
299.9 312.13	300.19	309.13 370.10	weilanus 554.21	142.14 143.12
334.4 333.13	221.9 241.10	372.11 374.13,22	<b>WE II</b> 7.14 0.7,14 14.14 21.2 25.10	147.1 131.19
302:10 374:0	221:0 241:10	3/3:13 3/8:0 200:1 201:7 11	14:14 51:2 55:19	152:11,22 155:15
378:13 383:20	weighed 389:5	380:1 381:7,11 292:12 296:1	37:4 40:5 45:20 46:2 47:12 61:15	155:10,10 150:2
584:4 592:5,22 402:16 404:10	weight 222:14	382:12 380:1	40:2 47:12 01:15	150:22 157:5,11
402:10 404:19	105.20 212.1	507.7 595:1,20 206.2 12 207.16	02:5,12,15 89:4	100:10 101:7,12
wealth 30:15	195:20 212:1	390:3,12 397:10	100:19 128:5,5,0	103:0,12 104:9,17
<b>Wear</b> 323:9,9 <b>W</b> aamu 04:15 22	318:18 Walaamina 4:1	598:2,17 599:9,11 200:16 10 401:7	139:1 144:2	1/1:10 184:7
weary 94:15,22	welcoming 4:1	599:10,19 401:7	154:10,1/158:19	18/:15 188:19
weather 2:1/4:19	4.2 5.2 8.20 0.7	401:18 402:2,0	104:15,20 105:5	200:7,11,12
12:10 29:3 /0:13	4:2 5:5 8:20 9:7	400:21 40/:11,16	100:7 211:15	204:18 205:15
/0:22 81:18 84:11	22:20 24:5,15	went 41:13 49:7	220:20 240:5	200:4 207:12

٦

212:5,21 214:22	64:4 69:21 87:19	82:11,11 179:6,14	325:14	217:4 219:10,11
215:1 238:13	88:15 112:17,18	232:19 246:18	wintertime 81:3	239:20 240:6
239:13 242:11,12	112:21 115:3,17	322:8	98:6	242:14 252:22
242:15,18 243:17	121:14 122:7,11	wider 118:13	wiped 225:2	265:21 266:2,8
243:21 244:14	123:7 124:17	312:15 389:8	wisdom 156:4	270:12,13 271:12
245:3.5.14.15.22	125:7.15 130:22	wife 183:10	303:12	271:15 278:21.22
247:10 250:3.17	134:11 135:10	wild 145:7 204:10	wish 67:21 210:4	279:1.1.3 282:15
250:19.22 254:2.3	137:10 142:17	204:10 405:1	297:1 406:3	282:19 285:18
255:15 256:17	146:17 150:10.15	wilderness 61:4	Witt 3:17	288:6.21 293:3.4
257:7 259:18	160:19 164:13.17	Wildfires 267:5	<b>WMO</b> 205.9	294:9 296:7 299:9
260:1.18.261:4	166:5 167:22	<b>wildlife</b> 3:12.12	<b>WMS</b> 280:8	300:1 310:18
262:17 263:5.7	171:10 174:15.22	276.17 329.12	woke 108:20	311:5.13.16
264.13 265.6 15	188.1 193.2 194.4	330.22 386.11	woman 355.1	312:20 313:18
267.14 271.3 18	194.8 12 217.10	wile 91.12	wonder 136.4	318.6 22 319.1 4
272.11 12 273.14	217.18 219.8 16	William 1.21 2.20	158.9	321.4 14 22
272.11,12 273.11	222.11 247.5	4.22 58.9 113.14	wondered 361.9	322.10 331.16 19
27 1.1,13,13,13	251.14 254.20	114.2 8 18 19	wonderful 99.7	332.13 334.3
276.19 21 277.15	256.27259.4	131.19 224.22	292.3	336.7 13 337.7 9
270.19,21 277.13	266.12 271.13	247.5 248.10	wondering 147.20	337.10 20 353.15
277.17,20 270.0	200.12 271.13	254.21 305.17	$160.13\ 210.12$	354.15 356.16
270.17 202.7,19	273.1,10,21,22	398.8 407.4	323.4 344.6	364.5 367.19
288.13 291.5 10	291.7 296.22	willing 46.7 54.17	403·15	368.5 369.13
200.15 271.5,10	291.7 290.22	181.1 193.1 20/1.8	$\frac{403.13}{2}$	370.3 22 371.1
294.1,7,10 293.20	200.0200.10	387.5	96·21 22 97·4 7	378·21 379· <i>A</i>
200.20 207.13,20	301.10 307.16	wind 30.1 31.18	)0.21,22 )7. <del>4</del> ,7 )36·?	307.0 77 305.7
298.10 299.7,9,18	308.3 16 10	62.14 78.1 16	230.2 woods 80.7	392.9,22 393.7
300.21 301.1	310.15 313.8	02.14 70.1,10 88.11 103.2	Woolport 3.20	106.12 11
310.10 13 22	317.7 318.16 22	105.17 103.2	word 11:21 17:1	400.12,14 worksround /6.1/
310.10,13,22	325.21 331.2	109.17 100.17	1/5·1 161·20	50.2
312.13 320.10,13	323.21 331.2	1/1.1 188.16	238.10	worked 18.5 52.12
323.10 324.2	371.10 378.21	141.1 100.10 215.7 222.3 12	230.10 work 10.3 12.13	100.0 164.3
330.16,21 332.14	371.10 378.21	windmills 188.3	14.18 22.16 23.18	168.1/ 180.0
333.10 339.21	381.1 380.12	window $350.20$	14.16 22.10 23.16	205.20 210.16
344.0 330.13,13	307.4 392.4,9 404.12	winds 28:21 100:7	20.10 20.0 31.13	203.20 219.10
200.10 202.20	404.15 WEO 266.2	<b>WIIIUS</b> 20.21 109.7 174.11 12 100.17	31.21 32.17 33.9 27.4 41.6 49.20	240.20 249.1,1,4
202.5 206.12 16	wFU 200.5 wholes $74.12 \ 105.2$	1/4.11,15 199.17	57.4 41.0 40.20	201.16 200.12
385:3 380:12,10	witales 74:12 195:5	202:15 225:1	51:1 09:5 74:15 01:12 02:2 04:5	301:10 309:1 275:2 278:9
389:12 392:4,21	230:15	220:7 232:9	91:15 95:5 94:5	3/3.2 3/8.8
393:13 397:12,20	whari 120:20,21	254:15 255:9,15	102:7 105:0,15	<b>WOFKING</b> 14:4 20:8
407:12	What-IIS 58:20	201:22	107:20 109:21	20:11 29:7 30:22
we've 0:10 /:11	<b>wnewell</b> 407:4	windy 255:8,18	124:10 127:9	31:14 32:7 40:1
9:16 21:20,21	white 82:16,18 98:8	wind's 78:2	141:22 145:21	40:12 09:21 /0:0
26:19 28:4,18	269:4,8 348:9	wind-assisted	151:/ 154:1/	/1:5 /5:16 /9:1
51:19 55:12,17	404:10	/8:13	104:4 108:15	82:3 93:10 122:12
39:14 43:21 44:1	where $27$	winging 215:1	1/1:1/1/0:8	125:8 127:4
44:15 52:2 53:4	wnoa 27:0	winter 99:3 1/2:21	1//:8 180:/	132:1/133:11,12
54:18 55:19,20	wno ve 288:12	190:12 199:15	189:10 200:11,12	154:11 155:4,12
59:13 02:21 04:1	wide 45:7 78:17	251:15 239:14	204:18 216:20	145:5 144:14

150:9 152:21	<b>wowed</b> 49:8	years 15:7,20 17:19	<b>York</b> 74:8	295:18 330:11
161:7 176:12,12	Wrangell 119:5,6	20:12,15,16 22:15	<b>young</b> 154:19	402:13
192:4,11 193:22	119:12 123:22	26:8 28:4 30:9	235:20	<b>1st</b> 258:12
198:19 203:11	wrapped 274:4	32:21 41:4 48:14	<b>Young's</b> 333:14	<b>1,000</b> 45:6 163:20
232:2 259:5	write 196:3 223:20	48:17,20 50:18	Yukon 57:14 262:2	179:4 231:11
260:18 263:5,7	writing 407:5	52:13 53:6,11	Yukon-Kuskokw	233:1 311:1
274:21 277:15	written 90:12	67:12 69:2 71:18	331:6	<b>1,000-mile</b> 33:11
282:22 288:9	354:9	75:19,22 98:11		<b>1,800</b> 17:7
290:3 294:10	wrong 17:16 48:8	115:3,10 117:8		<b>1,900</b> 314:3
295:11 302:5,6	65:5,6 66:5 78:3	121:17 130:11,16	Zealand 57:8	1-foot 269:17 270:1
304:18 308:16	161:20 208:9	136:18 141:21	<b>zero</b> 34:10 58:11	<b>1.7</b> 265:6
321:9 335:2	225:10 351:1	160:21 163:3	383:5	<b>1:30</b> 93:9
344:15 353:7	388:18	181:19 193:13	<b>zone</b> 12:5 90:18	<b>10</b> 4:4 30:16 34:7
354:18 364:17		204:1 218:13,22	96:6,10 257:2	39:15 170:16,17
374:18 376:18	$\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X}}$	221:5,10 224:16	282:1,3 335:10	201:9 202:1
378:11 384:1	<b>X</b> 167:7 170:22	225:16 233:21	<b>zoom</b> 268:8	218:22 221:5
389:1 400:8,11,12	<b>XO</b> 67:15	237:20 247:9	<b>Zooming</b> 94:21	224:15 275:11
works 2:7 26:6	XYZ 279:8	249:11 252:17,22	\$	322:1 331:14
50:16 114:17	<b>X</b> <sup>*</sup> <b>d</b> 237:14	253:11 254:8	$\frac{\Psi}{\$10370.11}$	391:8
204:19 211:19	V	257:7 258:8	\$10,379.11 \$100,258.8,317.13	<b>10th</b> 85:10
242:9 298:7 322:4	vord 251:5	267:21 271:15	\$100 238.8 317.13 \$150 000 73.7	<b>10-minute</b> 393:5
335:7 368:21	yards 200.5 6	273:15,15 278:10	<b>\$7</b> 151.11 152.7 0	<b>10-year</b> 156:18
worksheet 116:14	yarus 207.3,0 yar 20.21 /0.9	281:6 282:21	$\varphi_{2}^{2}$ 151.11 152.7,) 275.14	248:2
116:16	11.8 62.12 73.7	289:10 290:4	\$7776.13	<b>10-15</b> 395:22
workshop 196:7	78.8 8 12 12	318:20 322:1	\$300 000 1/0.21	<b>100</b> 45:6 104:2,17
301:17 329:20	70.0,0,12,12 81.14 87.22 88.3	332:17 334:6	\$35,000 149.21 \$35,317.16	110:14 112:22
world 37:13 50:1	07.25112.17	339:7 364:12	\$35 517.10 \$40 258.4 6	163:20 170:19,20
52:18 210:20	<i>97.2,3</i> 112.17 113.0 115.4 6 20	367:9 376:7	\$40 238.4,0 \$47 244.21	209:5 228:20
240:1 267:13	115.9 115.4,0,20	382:15 391:2	\$ <b>50</b> 258.6 370.11	248:18
284:2 407:2,3	1/0.7 161.1 1 3	<b>year's</b> 103:4 208:12	\$500 250.0 575.11 \$500 150.7 151.2	<b>100,000</b> 81:11
worlds 9:17	164.4 13 16 184.7	274:6 276:14	φ <b>300</b> 130.7 131.2 178·1 <i>1</i>	115:5
worldwide 331:9	187.10 109.13	351:21	\$ <b>510</b> 151·3	<b>11</b> 18:4 48:17 113:2
world's 331:7	200.1 201.21	year-round 20:17	\$600 000 162.14	150:14 195:6,10
worse 48:11 49:18	200.1 201.21	yellow 186:11	330.9	202:18 330:17
74:7 147:17 234:8	209.1 214.21	274:3	\$758 316·3	<b>11:30</b> 182:8
241:13,13,21	210.9,10 222.13	<b>Yeosu</b> 102:4	<b>\$760 000</b> 259·20	<b>11:40</b> 182:22
worst 2/4:15	222.22 234.0	yesterday 11:12,17	φ <b>700,000</b> 257.20	<b>110</b> 218:8
worth 208:12	250.6 252.10	11:20 12:21 14:20	0	<b>110-foot</b> 218:17
235:12 241:10	257.12 259.20	15:18 16:8 17:22	<b>0.6</b> 290:13	111 4:11
36/:1	276.11 301.18	18:3 19:10 20:7	<b>08</b> 160:16	112th 357:5
wouldn't 1/6:19	309.12 14 15	21:3 38:15 41:13		114,100 115:21
1/9:8 202:20	311.18 312.12	41:15 85:15 95:22	1	12 23:13 96:4
211:7 236:1 253:8	316:4 317:14	150:9 168:21	<b>1</b> 2:5 4:1 86:15	140:21 1/8:7
289:5 310:10	329:18 330:9.11	1/2:22 192:12	110:7 119:1	195:11 272:8
525:11 504:22,22 267:12	334:6 339:16	195:9,20 257:11	232:10 250:13	330:10 383:4,3 12 2 371.6 373.1
307.12 wound 226.2	352:1 376:8.8	201:9 390:7	257:17 275:11	274.0 11
wouna 220:2	391:1.17	401:19 402:20	288:22 289:4	274:9,11

12.07 102.1	1090 26.11	275.10 17 240.15	225.21	400 lovel 222.22
<b>12:07</b> 103.1 <b>12:30</b> 182:8	<b>1909</b> 20.11 <b>1003</b> /0·12	275.10,17 540.15	323.21 <b>3 248</b> 112.6	<b>400-level</b> 555.22
<b>12.30</b> 102.0 <b>12.43</b> 212.16	<b>1993</b> 49.12 <b>100</b> /1 228.12	<b>2011</b> 115·10 251·22	<b>3,240</b> 112.0 <b>3</b> -mile 282.0	<i>1</i> 1 107.1 2
<b>12.45</b> 212.10 <b>12.55</b> 212.17	<b>1996</b> 218·10	<b>2011</b> 115.17 551.22 <b>2012</b> 1.10 14.11	<b>3.30</b> 327.1 <i>1</i>	<b>418</b> 113·20
12.53 212.17	<b>1998</b> 114·4 341·18	15.6 276.6	<b>3.30</b> 327.14 <b>3.34</b> 327.17	<b>42</b> 106·10 172·21
125 01.0 128k 298·4	<b>1999</b> 45.15 289.22	<b>2013</b> 15.6 376.20	<b>30</b> 48.14 60.2 91.11	<b>45</b> 37.10 38.5 45.19
<b>120K</b> 290.4 <b>13</b> 14.11 23.19	1777 45.15 207.22	<b>2013</b> 13:0 370:20 <b>2014</b> 272:17	100.10 115.3	104.1
153.4 347.17	2	2020 322.21	116.12 119.14	<b>45-knot</b> 174.13
376.17	<b>2</b> 2:12 4:1 5:4 7:5	<b>2025</b> 15.6	141.21 162.2	<b>450</b> 95·2
<b>130</b> 149·19	15:7 20:12,15,16	<b>2035</b> 15:8 13	170.18 19 178.8	<b>470</b> 172:1 173:12
<b>135</b> 174:10	21:10 30:17 97:1	21 362:7 364:3	204.1 233.21	175:20
<b>14</b> 18:12 38:13 41:9	143:15 178:7	<b>21st</b> 85:11 108:15	330:16 401:14	<b>48</b> 4:9 23:4 33:4
<b>14th</b> 108:11	195:8 223:7 227:5	<b>213</b> 4:17	<b>30-foot</b> 62:11	114:1 157:4
145-knot 225:1	241:10 252:17	<b>22</b> 95:3 225:16	<b>30-plus</b> 136:18	159:12 177:13
<b>15</b> 26:21 112:14.19	253:11 254:8	398:20	165:18	223:5 267:20
115:2 116:12	257:6 258:1	<b>221</b> 4:18	<b>300</b> 97:12 105:9	286:19 287:5
196:8 202:18	268:17 270:1	<b>224</b> 63:7	<b>300-foot</b> 119:17	295:16 319:2
268:15 292:6	271:5,22 273:15	<b>225</b> 97:13	<b>31</b> 109:6	365:13,13
352:16	274:17 275:9	225-foot 222:21	<b>32</b> 179:9	<b>49</b> 26:21
<b>15th</b> 196:13	289:6,22 295:17	<b>229</b> 4:19	<b>328</b> 4:23	
15-foot 174:12	332:17 334:5	<b>23</b> 1:10	<b>33</b> 26:9 112:3,13	5
<b>150</b> 97:13 110:14	366:20	<b>235</b> 95:7 114:12	<b>330</b> 4:25	<b>5</b> 4:2 30:17 108:21
128:8	<b>2-year</b> 330:11	<b>24</b> 275:10	<b>338</b> 68:12	142:3 147:7 163:3
150-day 81:6	<b>2:54</b> 327:16	<b>24-hour</b> 58:2	<b>35</b> 37:9 38:5 43:17	179:3 221:5
<b>16</b> 41:9 54:16 73:5	<b>20</b> 7:20 41:9 101:21	<b>243</b> 4:21	112:1 165:19	252:22 258:8
194:13	218:12 330:16	<b>25</b> 4:8 17:19	231:9	281:6 297:10
<b>16322</b> 91:7	331:14 334:7	<b>25th</b> 85:15 110:13	<b>350,000</b> 115:4	314:4 326:22
<b>16594</b> 120:1	<b>20-foot</b> 271:10	<b>250</b> 112:12	<b>38</b> 45:8	339:6 376:7
<b>17</b> 2:16 194:14	<b>2000</b> 214:21	<b>26</b> 27:14 392:11	<b>38,000</b> 17:17	382:21
180-foot 95:8	<b>2002</b> 218:11	<b>264</b> 4:21		<b>5-minute</b> 328:6
<b>181</b> 114:22	<b>2003</b> 32:22 243:12	<b>27</b> 195:14 367:9	4	<b>5-year</b> 352:2
<b>183</b> 4:13	244:17 248:10	<b>28</b> 275:11	<b>4</b> 12:22 97:1,10	<b>5-10</b> 212:12
<b>1850</b> 155:16 214:21	252:3	<b>283</b> 4:22	118:22 193:13	<b>50</b> 98:11 117:2
<b>1899</b> 240:21	<b>2004</b> 114:10,22	<b>29</b> 374:16	221:10 222:22	162:16 190:8
<b>19</b> 34:10 91:2	234:8 236:17		255:19 342:7	209:5 278:10
<b>19th</b> 146:8 147:2	2004-2005 236:22	3	3/6:7	320:1,3
<b>1900</b> 50:6	2005 114:10 115:16	3 15:7 30:17 81:9	<b>4D</b> 262:15	50,000 86:16 150:1
<b>1912</b> 26:20	115:17,19 234:7	96:7 140:20	<b>4-hour</b> 342:3	<b>50-year</b> 156:19
<b>1929</b> 366:16,18	236:17 334:10	143:15 148:16,21	<b>4-mile</b> 97:4 98:15	
<b>1939</b> 228:8	2006 42:10 43:14	161:13 163:2	<b>4.3</b> 2/4:16	<b>500</b> 1:13 338:4
<b>1960</b> 166:8	290:1 2009 10:2 22:18 10	1/8:9 221:10	4:50 407:19	<b>500-mile</b> 33:11
<b>1963</b> 91:5 111:13	2008 10:2 33:18,19	223:1 228:22	40 20:8 81:21	<b>55</b> 57:10 95:4 <b>569</b> 69.6
<b>1964</b> 113:10	120:22 515:17	237:7238:13	106:14 109:7	300 00:0
197348:5	3/0.20 <b>2010</b> 18.4 22.10	207.21 274:17	190.22 302:8	6
<b>1974</b> 116:2 228:13	2010 10.4 33.10 100.3 102.7	330.0,10 337.10	40-1001 191:22	<b>6</b> 59·7 75·19 22
<b>1980</b> 91:2	100.3 192.7 271.10 272.16	337.14 342.3,7 <b>3 000</b> 67.11 277.17	<b>40-KIIUL</b> 100.10 <b>400</b> 08.16 105.10	128.19 178.8
1981 42:5	2/1.10 2/2.10	3,000 02.11 324.14	<b>700</b> <i>7</i> 0.10 10 <i>3</i> .10	192.16 218.22
				172.10 210.22

	1	1	l	
221:8 382:15,21				
398.15 399.6				
6-month 0/1.10				
<b>6-1101111</b> 94.19				
<b>6:30</b> 398:15				
<b>60</b> 132:10 147:10				
<b>60,000</b> 386:7				
<b>64</b> 117:3,6				
<b>65</b> 130:6.8				
69 27.17				
0/2/.1/				
7				
7 163.3 104.12				
225.11 259.9				
223.11 230.0				
288:22 289:4				
318:20				
<b>7.78</b> 274:15				
<b>70</b> 325:14				
<b>72</b> 235:11				
<b>74</b> 117:4				
<b>75</b> 117:4 370:21				
<b>76</b> 117:4				
0				
<u> </u>				
<b>8</b> 30:15 96:7 104:18				
128:1 191:18				
318:20 377:17				
<b>8,400</b> 222:22 223:1				
<b>8-hour</b> 341:20				
<b>8:30</b> 1.13 397.19				
8.33 5.2				
<b>80</b> 112.22				
<b>00</b> 112.22 <b>000</b> 01.1				
800 81:1				
88 3 / 5:8,11				
<b>89</b> 4:10				
9				
<b>9</b> 96:13				
<b>9/11</b> 53:12				
<b>9:30</b> 103:21				
<b>90</b> 59:14 73:6 130:7				
130.8 274.10				
911 353.4 8				
03 232.4				
<b>05</b> 232. <del>1</del>				
<b>73</b> 337.4 <b>00 0 5</b> 9.7				
<b>7.9 28:0</b>				
	1	l		
## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Hydrographic Services Review Panel

Before: NOAA

Date: 05-23-12

Place: Anchorage, AK

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

near ans &

Court Reporter

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 469

(202) 234-4433